The Tudor domain, the name of which originates from its deciphering, as their tandems of Tudor domains bind methylated the related 53BP1 and Crb2 proteins, participates in the histone code group, including the JMDJ family of histone demethylases, as well as domains were recently distinguished (Jin et al., 2009). Based on their sequences and functions, four groups of Tudor functions, such as chromatin remodeling and RNA processing. They function in gene silencing, probably through a mechanism analogous to RNA interference by inducing the cleavage of gene transcripts [transposon silencing (Saito et al., 2006)] or directly at the transcriptional level (Yin and Lin, 2007). Moreover, they were shown in Drosophila to regulate mRNA stability and translation, a function which thereby contrasts with the only negative effect of small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) and micro-RNAs (miRNAs) (Li, 2007). Arginine methylation of Piwi and interaction with Tudors seems to be a conserved feature of the Piwi pathway that distinguishes it from the somatic Ago pathway [the other clade of Argonaute proteins that bind to miRNAs and small interfering RNA (siRNA) and are ubiquitously expressed]. This, specific interaction could be required for Piwi recruitment to nuage granules (Vagin et al., 2009a), and germline Tudor proteins might provide the platform necessary for assembly of numerous proteins that function as a complex in the Piwi pathway (Vagin et al., 2009a). This pathway is essential, in Drosophila, for germ cell determination and maintenance of germline stem cells and, in mice, for postnatal spermatogenesis [reviewed in Chuma et al. (2009)].

ABSTRACT
We describe here LOTUS, a hitherto uncharacterized small globular domain, which was identified using sensitive sequence profile analysis. The LOTUS domain is found in germline-specific proteins that are present in the nuage/polar granules of germ cells. TDRD5 and TDRD7, two mammalian members of the germline Tudor group, possess three copies of the LOTUS domain in their extreme N-termini. The Tudor domains of these proteins bind symmetric dimethyl arginines present on the germ cell-specific Piwi proteins, which form a particular clade of Argonaute proteins. Piwi proteins interact with a specific class of non-coding RNAs [piwi-interacting RNAs (piRNAs)] and play a key role in the repression (silencing) of transposons and possibly other germline-specific functions. A LOTUS domain is also present in the Oskar protein, a critical component of the pole plasm in the Drosophila oocyte, which is required for germ cell formation. LOTUS domains are found in various proteins from metazoans and plants, are often associated with RNA-specific modules and are likely to adopt a winged helix fold. This suggests a germline-specific role in the mRNA localization and/or translation or a specific function toward piRNAs.
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1 INTRODUCTION
The Tudor domain, the name of which originates from its identification in the Drosophila Tudor protein (Callebaut and Mormon, 1997; Ponting, 1997), is a small domain belonging to the large ‘Royal Family’ that also includes Chromo, MBT, PWWP and plant Arogen domains (Maurel-Strob et al., 2003). Tudor domains are specialized in the specific recognition of methylated lysine or methylated arginine sites, and are involved in various epigenetic functions, such as chromatin remodeling and RNA processing. Based on their sequences and functions, four groups of Tudor domains were recently distinguished (Jin et al., 2009). The first group, including the JMDJ family of histone demethylases, as well as the related S3BP1 and Cht2 proteins, participates in the histone code decphering, as their tandems of Tudor domains bind methylated lysines of histone tails (Botuyan et al., 2006; Huang et al., 2006). Binding of symmetrically dimethylated arginines (sDMAs) is a property shared by the two following groups that include proteins involved in the RNA metabolism (Côté and Richard, 2005; Friberg et al., 2009). The second group includes the Tudor domain of the SMN protein (the product of the survival of motor neuron gene), which interacts with the spliceosomal Sm proteins and regulates the mRNA splicing machinery (Selenko et al., 2001). The third group, typified by the Tudor domain of SN1D1 (also called Tudor-SN or p100), a component of the RNA-induced silencing complex, is involved in the processing of small non-coding RNAs (Caudy et al., 2003). The fourth group contrasts with the others that include widely expressed proteins. It contains proteins highly enriched or selectively expressed in germ cells and involved in the formation of polar/germinal granules or nuage, those electron-dense organelles, abundant in RNA and proteins and characteristic of the germline in many organisms. These proteins are crucial for spermatogenesis and small RNA pathways (Chuma et al., 2006; Vasileva et al., 2009). Several recent studies showed that the Tudor domains of proteins of this group, called the germline Tudor proteins, bind sDMAs present on the germ cell-specific Piwi proteins. These last proteins form a particular clade of Argonaute proteins, interacting with a specific class of non-coding RNAs [piwi-interacting RNAs (piRNAs)]. piRNAs–Piwi complexes are likely to have diverse roles in the germline (Chen et al., 2009; Kirino et al., 2010; Nishida et al., 2009; Vagin et al., 2009b; Wang et al., 2009). They function in gene silencing, probably through a mechanism analogous to RNA interference by inducing the cleavage of gene transcripts [transposon silencing (Saito et al., 2006)] or directly at the transcriptional level (Yin and Lin, 2007). Moreover, they were shown in Drosophila to regulate mRNA stability and translation, a function which thereby contrasts with the only negative effect of small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) and micro-RNAs (miRNAs) (Li, 2007). Arginine methylation of Piwi and interaction with Tudors seems to be a conserved feature of the Piwi pathway that distinguishes it from the somatic Ago pathway [the other clade of Argonaute proteins that bind to miRNAs and small interfering RNA (siRNA) and are ubiquitously expressed]. Thus, this specific interaction could be required for Piwi recruitment to nuage granules (Vagin et al., 2009a), and germline Tudor proteins might provide the platform necessary for assembly of numerous proteins that function as a complex in the Piwi pathway (Vagin et al., 2009a). This pathway is essential, in Drosophila, for germ cell determination and maintenance of germline stem cells and, in mice, for postnatal spermatogenesis [reviewed in Chuma et al. (2009)].
In a survey of Tudor domain-containing proteins, we focused on these germline Tudor proteins. We identified multiple copies of a new domain in some mammalian members (TDRD5 and TDRD7), which is also present in other proteins with different domain architectures. We thus describe here this short, novel domain, that we called LOTUS (after Limkain, Oskar and TUDor-containing proteins 5 and 7).

2 METHODS

The PSI-BLAST program (BLAST 2.2.22+, default parameters) (Altschul et al., 1997) was used to search for similarities against the non-redundant database (nr database; January 2010, 10,381,056 sequences) at NCBI (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/blast). The E-value threshold was 0.005 for inclusion of sequences into a profile. Sequences were clustered with BLASTCLUST followed by manual sorting. Low sequence identity and length coverage threshold values were used in order to define only a few numbers of clusters, from which representative sequences were used as queries for further PSI-BLAST iterations.

Hydrophobic cluster analysis (HCA) was used to predict the position of globular domains in protein sequences, as well as to refine and extend the sequence alignments, as reported in the PSI-BLAST results. Guidelines to the use of this methodology can be found in (Callebaut et al., 1997; Eudes et al., 2007). The SMART (http://smart.embl-heidelberg.de; Letunic et al., 2009) and Pfam (http://pfam.sanger.ac.uk; Finn et al., 2010) domain databases, as well as the CDD collection (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Structure/cdd/wrpsb.cgi; Marchler-Bauer et al., 2009) were used in searching for similarities against the non-redundant protein database. Clear hinge regions can be predicted on these plots, containing approximately one-third of strong hydrophobic amino acids gathered into clusters typical of regular secondary structures. Additional hits were identified by searching the nr database using the first predicted domain of TDRD7 as query (ending either at amino acid 100 or amino acid 115). The first iteration identified similarities of TDRD7 with the TDRD5 first domain, while subsequent iterations identified, with significant E-values (E < 0.005), similarities with the Drosophila Oskar protein (second iteration, E = 7 × 10⁻⁷) and with various other uncharacterized proteins, several of which possess RNA-binding domains (e.g. K homology (KH) domain-containing protein from Cryptosporidium muris, ninth iteration, E = 0.003). Multiple copies of this domain were also found in limkain b1, a human autoantigen whose function and partners have not been reported to date (Dunster et al., 2005). This domain was also found in a hypothetical orphan protein (D1081.7) from Caenorhabditis elegans, which apparently does not possess Tudor domains, but is reported in the STRING database (Jensen et al., 2009) to be co-expressed with the germ cell-expressed R06C7.1 protein, a member of the Aragoneta family. In this way, by convergence (iteration 14), we collected ~300 sequences of proteins possessing this domain, called LOTUS after the name of some representative members (Limkain, Oskar and TUDor-containing proteins 5 and 7). The LOTUS domain is present in various proteins of metazoans (including echinoderms, arthropods and nematodes) and plants (see Fig. 1 for the architecture in domains of main representative members of the LOTUS family).

The amino acid conservation profile of the LOTUS domain allowed the more precise prediction of its C-terminal end, defined at amino acid 96 of human TDRD7 and amino acid 100 of human TDRD5. Moreover and interestingly, along the PSI-BLAST iterations, a triplication of the LOTUS domain was highlighted in the N-terminus of the TDRD5 and TDRD7 proteins, covering the three domains described above [e.g. match with mungo TDRD5 amino acids 1–99 (30% identity; E-value = 5 × 10⁻⁷), amino acids 293–367 (17% identity; E-value = 4 × 10⁻⁷) and amino acids 130–197 (17% identity; E-value = 1 × 10⁻³)]. These repeats were further assessed at the 2D level, using HCA (see Supplementary Material 1). A more precise analysis of the LOTUS repeats in these proteins suggests that domains 1 and 2 of TDRD7 are homologous to domains 1 and 3 of TDRD5, the third domain of TDRD7 and the second one of TDRD5 likely resulting from a later duplication event. Additional hits were identified by searching the nr database using PSI-BLAST and representative members of the LOTUS family as queries, as well as the second and third LOTUS domain of TDRD5 and TDRD7. A few borderline similarities [E-values > 0.001; for example, Orzca sativa RSSG8 (UniProt Q94CF9_ORYSA) or other uncharacterized proteins from plants] were also confirmed through reciprocal PSI-BLAST searches.

Multiple alignment of the selected sequences was constructed using MAFFT (Katoh and Toh, 2008), and manually adjusted with guidance from HCA. This multiple alignment was considered to predict protein secondary structures using JPRED (http://www.compbio.dundee.ac.uk/www/jpred/) (Cole et al., 2008) and PSI-PRED (http://bioinf.cs.ucl.ac.uk:3000/pipred/) (McGuffin et al., 2000), and to perform fold recognition using Phyre (http://www.sbg.bio.ic.ac.uk/phyre/) (Bennett-Lovey et al., 2008) or other methods, such as HHpred (Soding et al., 2005) and MUSTER (http://zhanglab.ccmb.med.umich.edu/MUSTER/) (Wu and Zhang, 2008). It was also used in additional searches using the HHMRE3 package (Eddy, 2008) with default parameters, on the Mobyle system (http://mobyle.pasteur.fr) (Néron et al., 2009).

3 RESULTS

Examination of the sequences of various members of the germline Tudor proteins (called TDRD6s) shows that globular region(s) are present upstream of the repeated Tudor domains. Of note, in the TDRD5 and TDRD7 proteins, a long stretch of ~400 amino acids is present at the extreme N-terminus and should include globular domain(s), as predicted from the analysis of HCA plots (see Supplementary Material 1). Indeed, this region includes fragments containing approximately one-third of strong hydrophobic amino acids gathered into clusters typical of regular secondary structures. However, it does not match any known profiles in the domain databases. Clear hinge regions can be predicted on these plots, corresponding to proline-rich regions and/or regions containing less hydrophobic amino acids (arrows in Supplementary Material 1; TDRD7: approximately amino acids 100–160 and 315–335; TDRD5: approximately amino acids 100–130 and 225–255), and which are far less conserved when comparing the TDRD5 and TDRD7 sequences. This suggests the presence of three separate globular domains in TDRD5 and TDRD7 (see Supplementary Material 1; TDRD7 domain 1: amino acid 1 to approximately 100 or 115, domain 2: amino acids 160–315 and domain 3: amino acids 335–420; TDRD5 domain 1: amino acid 1 to approximately 100, domain 2: amino acids 130–225 and domain 3: amino acids 255–410). We then performed PSI-BLAST searches against the nr database, using the first predicted domain of TDRD7 as query (ending either at amino acid 100 or amino acid 115). The first iteration identified similarities of TDRD7 with the TDRD5 first domain, while subsequent iterations identified, with significant E-values (E < 0.005), similarities with the Drosophila Oskar protein (second iteration, E = 7 × 10⁻⁷) and with various other uncharacterized proteins, several of which possess RNA-binding domains (e.g. K homology (KH) domain-containing protein from Cryptosporidium muris, ninth iteration, E = 0.003). Multiple copies of this domain were also found in limkain b1, a human autoantigen whose function and partners have not been reported to date (Dunster et al., 2005). This domain was also found in a hypothetical orphan protein (D1081.7) from Caenorhabditis elegans, which apparently does not possess Tudor domains, but is reported in the STRING database (Jensen et al., 2009) to be co-expressed with the germ cell-expressed R06C7.1 protein, a member of the Aragoneta family. In this way, by convergence (iteration 14), we collected ~300 sequences of proteins possessing this domain, called LOTUS after the name of some representative members (Limkain, Oskar and TUDor-containing proteins 5 and 7). The LOTUS domain is present in various proteins of metazoans (including echinoderms, arthropods and nematodes) and plants (see Fig. 1 for the architecture in domains of main representative members of the LOTUS family).

The amino acid conservation profile of the LOTUS domain allowed the more precise prediction of its C-terminal end, defined at amino acid 96 of human TDRD7 and amino acid 100 of human TDRD5. Moreover and interestingly, along the PSI-BLAST iterations, a triplication of the LOTUS domain was highlighted in the N-terminus of the TDRD5 and TDRD7 proteins, covering the three domains described above [e.g. match with mungo TDRD5 amino acids 1–99 (30% identity; E-value = 5 × 10⁻⁷), amino acids 293–367 (17% identity; E-value = 4 × 10⁻⁷) and amino acids 130–197 (17% identity; E-value = 1 × 10⁻³)]. These repeats were further assessed at the 2D level, using HCA (see Supplementary Material 1). A more precise analysis of the LOTUS repeats in these proteins suggests that domains 1 and 2 of TDRD7 are homologous to domains 1 and 3 of TDRD5, the third domain of TDRD7 and the second one of TDRD5 likely resulting from a later duplication event. Additional hits were identified by searching the nr database using PSI-BLAST and representative members of the LOTUS family as queries, as well as the second and third LOTUS domain of TDRD5 and TDRD7. A few borderline similarities [E-values > 0.001; for example, Orzca sativa RSSG8 (UniProt Q94CF9_ORYSA) or other uncharacterized proteins from plants] were also confirmed through reciprocal PSI-BLAST searches.

Multiple alignment of the selected sequences was constructed using MAFFT (Katoh and Toh, 2008), and manually adjusted with guidance from HCA. This multiple alignment was considered to predict protein secondary structures using JPRED (http://www.compbio.dundee.ac.uk/www/jpred/) (Cole et al., 2008) and PSI-PRED (http://bioinf.cs.ucl.ac.uk:3000/pipred/) (McGuffin et al., 2000), and to perform fold recognition using Phyre (http://www.sbg.bio.ic.ac.uk/phyre/) (Bennett-Lovey et al., 2008) or other methods, such as HHpred (Soding et al., 2005) and MUSTER (http://zhanglab.ccmb.med.umich.edu/MUSTER/) (Wu and Zhang, 2008). It was also used in additional searches using the HHMRE3 package (Eddy, 2008) with default parameters, on the Mobyle system (http://mobyle.pasteur.fr) (Néron et al., 2009).
The additional small β of three consecutive that the LOTUS domain may actually adopt a WHD fold, also made a perfect correspondence between secondary structures, suggesting Pichia sitchensis in the second LOTUS domain of the uncharacterized protein from absent in some sequences, as for instance in the limkain b1 repeats or et al., e.g. with the ScpB winged helix domain (WHD, pdb 1t6s) (Kim such as Phyre, indicate marginal but interesting similarities with Drosophila Oskar LOTUS domain, see Supplementary Material 1). Fold recognition programs, such as Pfam families with unknown function, which have been merged into a unique superfamily in the SUPFAM database (PNSF no. 066) (Pandit et al., 2004). HUMAN, Homo sapiens, DROME, Drosophila melanogaster; ORYSI, Oryza sativa japonica group; ORYSA, Oryza sativa, PHYPA, Physcomitrella patens spp. patens; PARTE, Paramecium tetraurelia; PICS1, Picae stichensis; 9CRYT, Cryptosporidium muris RN66; and CAEEL, Caenorhabditis elegans. A domain sharing similarities with the GDSL family of serine esterases/lipases is present C-terminal to the LOTUS domain in the Oskar protein, although not reported in the domain databases. Supplementary Material 2 for a complete list). Conserved positions within the alignment are principally occupied by strong hydrophobic amino acids that should correspond to core-forming residues (Fig. 2). Outside these, only a few other positions are more conserved, occupied by proline or glycine residues (in loops) or by an aromatic residue (in helix α2). This one may play a role in the interaction of the LOTUS domain with its partner(s). The LOTUS globular domain is predicted to contain three main helices (α1 to α3), followed by two β-strands (β1 and β2) (Fig. 2). Two additional small β-strands may be suspected on the basis of the HCA plot analysis (see β’ and β” in Supplementary Material 1 and Fig. 2). Two additional hydrophobic clusters, which would be important for correct folding, are also present at the N-terminal and C-terminal limits of the LOTUS domain (βN and αC, e.g. in the C-terminus of human TDRD7 LOTUS domain a and b, as well in the in the C-terminus of Drosophila Oskar LOTUS domain, see Supplementary Material 1). However, these are not very conserved and the αC cluster is even absent in some sequences, as for instance in the limkain b1 repeats or in the second LOTUS domain of the uncharacterized protein from Pichia stichensis (B8LKC8) (Fig. 2). Fold recognition programs, such as Phyre, indicate marginal but interesting similarities with several families within the winged helix superfamily [SCOP a.45, e.g. with the ScpB winged helix domain (WHD, pdb 1h6s) (Kim et al., 2006, 2008); E-value 5.2]. These similarities are supported by a perfect correspondence between secondary structures, suggesting that the LOTUS domain may actually adopt a WHD fold, also made of three consecutive α helices and a small two-stranded β-sheet. The additional small β’ strand predicted in the LOTUS domain may integrate this β-sheet, as observed in the ScpB WHD2 (see Supplementary Material 3). Of note is that the last helix (α4) found in the ScpB WHDs after the two-stranded β-sheet consists of a linker region that does not directly participate in the WHD core (see Supplementary Material 3). The variability or even absence of this helix (called αC) in some LOTUS domains is thus consistent with its particular, non-core-forming role in the ScpB structure, and suggests that helix αC may also play a linker role in proteins of the LOTUS family.

4 DISCUSSION

Various mammalian TDRD proteins have been reported to interact with Piwi proteins (Chen et al., 2009; Vagin et al., 2009b; Wang et al., 2009). The LOTUS domain described here is, however, unique to the TDRD5 and TDRD7 proteins. Although having repeats of Tudor domains, the other TDRDs indeed differ in their extreme N-termini, which include either MYND domain (TDRD1), KH domains (TDRD2) or helicase domain (TDRD9). TDRD7 interacts more specifically with Miwi through its Tudor domains (Chen et al., 2009; Vagin et al., 2009b). In Drosophila, Aubergine (Aub) and AGO3, two members of the Piwi family, interact with the Tudor protein (Kirino et al., 2010; Nishida et al., 2009), which has apparently no LOTUS domain in its N-terminal fragment. We, however, show here that a LOTUS domain is found in the Drosophila Oskar protein, whose localized activity (through mRNA localization and subsequent synthesis) in the nuage at the posterior pole of the oocyte, termed germ or pole plasm, induces germ line formation and correct patterning in the fly embryo (Ephrussi and Lehmann, 1992; Ephrussi et al., 1991; Kim-Ha et al., 1991; Lehmann and Nüsslein-Volhard, 1986). The localized Oskar protein has a critical function in the biogenesis of polar granules (Lehmann and Nüsslein-Volhard, 1986) and recruits downstream components of the pole plasm, such as Vasa and Tudor proteins (Mahowald, 2001). It is interesting to note that, while many of the Drosophila germplasm components are conserved and play an essential role in mammalian germ cell formation as well (reviewed in Chuma et al., 2009), the key factor in Drosophila, Oskar, has no known orthologs in any other species. The results reported here show that the LOTUS domain is a conserved feature of the protein complexes present in the Piwi-containing

Fig. 1. Domain organization of some LOTUS proteins, as deduced from the SMART (Letunic et al., 2009), Pfam databases (Finn et al., 2008) and the present study. UniProt identifiers are indicated. Tudor, Tudor domain; RRM, RNA recognition motif; ANK, ankyrin; UBQ, ubiquitin homolog; Duf88 (PF01936) and Duf537 (PF04396) correspond to Pfam families with unknown function, which have been merged into a unique superfamily in the SUPFAM database (PNSF no. 066) (Pandit et al., 2004). HUMAN, Homo sapiens, DROME, Drosophila melanogaster; ORYSI, Oryza sativa japonica group; ORYSA, Oryza sativa, PHYPA, Physcomitrella patens spp. patens; PARTE, Paramecium tetraurelia; PICS1, Picae stichensis; 9CRYT, Cryptosporidium muris RN66; and CAEEL, Caenorhabditis elegans. A domain sharing similarities with the GDSL family of serine esterases/lipases is present C-terminal to the LOTUS domain in the Oskar protein, although not reported in the domain databases.
germline-specific granules in different organisms. LOTUS domains are indeed present, in mice, in germline TDRDs and in *Drosophila*, in the long and short isoforms of Oskar that directly interact with the Tudor protein. In that respect, TDRD5 and/or TDRD7 might represent, at least in part, the functional homolog of Oskar in mammals.

The exact molecular role of the LOTUS domain remains to be discovered. Its occurrence in proteins having domains associated with RNA metabolism (RNA recognition motif and KH domains) suggests that it might be involved in a RNA-binding function. This hypothesis is supported by the presence of several basic residues, as well as fold recognition programs, which indicate that the LOTUS domain may adopt a WHD fold. Possible RNA partners are piRNAs brought by the TDRD5/7–Piwi complexes or the numerous mRNA present in the nuage granules. The RNA-binding function might then be involved in the regulation of mRNA translation and/or localization or of a specific function in which piRNAs participate.

The identification of this new domain in the mouse germline Tudor proteins, TDRD5 and TDRD7, and in the *Drosophila* Oskar protein should help to understand the function of these proteins in the piRNA pathways and germline determination, as well as to uncover the function of as yet uncharacterized proteins in this context.
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