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ABSTRACT

Summary: The state of the art in promoter modeling for higher eukaryotes is predicting not single transcription factor binding sites (TFBSs), but their combinations. The new tool utilizes a previously developed method of distance distributions of TFBS pairs. We model the random distribution of distances and compare it with the distribution observed in the query sequences. Comparison of the profiles allows filtering out the ‘noise’ and retaining the potentially functional combinations. This approach has proved its usefulness as a filtering technique for the selection of TFBS pairs for promoter modeling and is now implemented as a tool in R. As an input, it can use the outputs of three different TFBS- and motif-predictive tools (Gibbs Sampler for motifs, MatchTM and MEME/FIMO for PWM-based search). The output is a list of predicted pairs on over-represented distances with assigned scores, P-values and plots showing the distribution of the pairs in the input sequences.

Availability: The tool is available at https://www.omnifung.hki-jena.de/Rpad/Distances_Scan/index.htm

Supplementary information: Supplementary Data are available at Bioinformatics online.
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1 INTRODUCTION

A promoter model is a combination of sequence elements modulating transcription and characterizing promoters of a certain gene or group of genes (Bailey and Noble, 2003). It is widely accepted that in higher eukaryotes transcription factors (TFs) tend to cooperate and act in most cases in a synergistic manner and that it is this cooperation that confers the required specificity of transcriptional regulation (Brazma et al., 1998; Fickett and Wasserman, 2000). Therefore, co-regulation of genes entails the existence of a characteristic combination of transcription factor binding sites (TFBSs) in their promoters. Such combination can be characterized not only by the set of TFBSs, but also by the distances between the constituents: the distance between the binding sites thus should allow the cooperating factors to physically interact. We suppose that the false positive predictions of the TFBS are combinations of two or more TFBSs, which provide synergistic action of the TFs, qualitatively different from a purely additive effect. The most comprehensive collection of composite elements can be found in the TRANSCompel database (Kel-Margoulis et al., 2002). We could show that each real CE can be characterized by a ‘preferred’ distance or set of distances (Shelest, 2006). We have also shown that the approach of distance distributions can correctly identify the distance in real CEs.

2 METHODS

The underlying method of the distance distributions in TFBS pairs has been proposed by E. Shelest (Shlest, 2006). Similar approaches have been proposed by A. Konopka (Konopka and Smythys, 1987) and V. Makeev (Makeev et al., 2003), but in both cases the authors consider the distances only between neighboring binding sites, not taking into account all possible pairs as it is done in our approach, and do not allow a shift (see below). Briefly, we suppose that positions of the false positive TFBSs are random, whereas the distances between the constituents of the functional pairs are not random. Let us consider a model system with uniform random distribution of points on a segment, where the points are representing the binding sites thus neglecting the extension of TFBSs. We consider a sequence of the length \( L \) in which we find \( M_A \) sites of type A and \( M_B \) sites of type B, where A and B are being distributed randomly. It is evident that we then get \( M_A M_B \) pairs, the maximal number of different combinations of positions being \( L^2 \) (supposing that different sites can occupy the same place). We want to estimate what will be the distribution of the distances between sites A and B (A–B and B–A are considered as the same pair). Since the distances in genuine TFBS pairs are not rigid, we allow a slight shift of the sites, considering the pairs on some distance interval, \([d_1, d_2]\) for example. To estimate the error of the predictions, we conducted computer simulations showing that the standard deviation is \( \sigma \approx \sqrt{\frac{1}{10}} \) (data not shown). The theoretically calculated distribution of distances between random sites will be called further on random distance distribution.

3 DistanceScan OVERVIEW

The workflow includes four main steps: (i) identification of pairs; (ii) measuring the number of pairs in a distance interval; (iii) calculation of random distance distributions for the sequence sets; and (iv) selection of the overrepresented peaks.
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3.1 Identification of pairs
Presently DistanceScan is working with three kinds of inputs: (i) the results of a PWM-based TFBS prediction (MatchTM output; Kel et al., 2003); (ii) the results of motif prediction (Gibbs Sampler output; Thompson et al., 2003); and (iii) the results of two-step prediction of motifs and corresponding PWM search (MEME/FIMO output; Bailey and Elkan, 1994). DistanceScan considers all the positions of all predicted TFBSs or motifs. Further on, it examines all possible combinations of the positions, thus listing all possible pairs in the sequence. The distances are measured between the centers of the sites.

3.2 Counting the number of pairs at a certain distance in sets of sequences
The number of pairs \( f_{\text{obs}} \) in the set of sequences in a distance interval from \( d \) to \( d + \delta \) is directly counted for each \( d \) up to a maximum specified and for \( \delta \) up to a maximum specified. \( d \) and \( \delta \) are defined in special fields of the input form.

In some analyses, we do not expect that all sequences in the set contain the TFBS combinations (not all data are reliable, etc.). For such cases, the user has an opportunity to specify the minimal portion of sequences with pairs.

3.3 Calculation of random distance distributions for sets of sequences
The random distance distribution is calculated as described above, based on the lengths of sequences and frequencies of TFBSs in the considered set. Presently, the tool can work only with sequences of equal lengths.

3.4 Decision for the overrepresentation
To characterize the overrepresentation of a pair, we define a score as:

\[
S = \frac{f_{\text{obs}} - f_{\text{exp}}}{\sigma_d}.
\]

This score shows by how much the observed number of pairs is higher than the corresponding theoretical value, but it does not characterize the statistical significance of the peak. For this, we provide \( P \)-values assigned to each pair (and the corresponding score). The \( P \)-values are obtained by simulation with 1000 iterations. A larger number of iterations would slow down the counting. With 1000 iterations, the simulation results can slightly fluctuate between two repetitions of the same search. This is non-critical, because the fluctuations do not change the order of magnitude, so they cannot influence the decision about the significance. (In the \( P \)-value, not the value itself, but the order of magnitude is informative.)

4 VALIDATION
The effectiveness of the approach was first demonstrated by the results of its sensitivity in dependency of the input files (Gibbs Sampler, Match and MEME/FIMO outputs), we ran the analysis on the same set of promoter sequences used as the input for the three tools (see Supplementary Material). For the result to be more illustrative, we used the sequences containing one of the known CEs (NF-xB–IRF). It is obvious that the results of DistanceScan depend on the rate of true positive predictions of the motif-searching tools. Given that the true positive sites are correctly predicted, the results of DistanceScan do not strongly depend on the type of the input file. Although the exact width and the number of the peaks differ for different inputs, DistanceScan correctly reidentifies all but one CE in each case [8 CEs out of 9 for the Match input (89%), 8 out of 8 for MEME and 6 out of 7 for Gibbs (85%)]. (For more details, see Supplementary Material.) We have successfully applied the tool to the prediction of the functional combinations of TFBSs in modeling different pathways in higher eukaryotes and in fungi. We could not compare the DistanceScan tool with another tool, since to our knowledge there are no available tools specifically designed for searching TFBS combinations on specific distances.

5 IMPLEMENTATION AND AVAILABILITY
The DistanceScan tool is implemented in R. It is freely available at https://www.omnifung.hki-jena.de/Rpad/Distance_Scan/index.htm. The source code can be adjusted to another input format on request.
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