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SiteComp: a server for ligand binding site analysis in protein structures
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ABSTRACT

Motivation: Computational characterization of ligand-binding sites in proteins provides preliminary information for functional annotation, protein design and ligand optimization. SiteComp implements binding site analysis for comparison of binding sites, evaluation of residue contribution to binding sites and identification of sub-sites with distinct molecular interaction properties. Availability and implementation: The SiteComp server and tutorials are freely available at http://sitecomp.sanchezlab.org
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1 INTRODUCTION

The interaction of proteins with their ligands (metabolites, proteins, nucleic acids, lipids, etc.) is the most fundamental of all biological mechanisms. These interactions are often specific and are the consequence of distinct molecular interaction properties of the binding sites. Hence, the analysis and comparison of binding site properties can shed light on the basis of ligand affinity, selectivity and ultimately the molecular underpinnings of protein function. The most frequent questions that arise in binding site analysis are: (i) Does a binding site contain regions (sub-sites) with special molecular interaction properties? (ii) What residues contribute to the formation of a binding site? (iii) What are the differences between two similar binding sites? SiteComp is a webserver designed to answer these questions, hence facilitating the design of new experiments and the analysis of existing data in the context of elucidating molecular mechanisms and drug design.

While tools for the characterization of sub-sites within a ligand-binding region have been available since the development of the GRID approach (Goodford, 1985), no freely available webservers exist to carry out this type of analysis. Existing computational methods have also achieved success in the identification of ligand-binding sites (Ghersi and Sanchez, 2011), including detection of local similarity (Kellenberger et al., 2008), or comparison of interaction properties of complete proteins (Richter et al., 2008). However, these methods are not well-suited for identifying differences between similar binding sites, which can be exploited to improve ligand selectivity. Methods that address the question of residue contribution to a binding site can be divided into two groups: (i) computational alanine scanning methods (Chong et al., 2006; Kortemme et al., 2004; Kruger and Godikse, 2010; Massova and Kollman, 1999); and (ii) energy decomposition methods (Benedix et al., 2009; Schymkowitz et al., 2005; Zoetie and Michielin, 2007). The former have been developed exclusively for protein–protein interaction surfaces. While the latter, which are relatively accurate, require computationally expensive molecular dynamics or Monte Carlo simulations.

SiteComp complements the existing methods, bridging several of the current gaps, by providing a web-based interface for identification of differences between similar binding sites, discovery of sub-sites with different interaction properties and for fast (albeit more approximate) calculations of residue contribution to binding sites. It integrates these three modes of binding site analysis into an easy to use interactive interface with graphical input and output.

2 METHODS

2.1 Types of SiteComp analyses

SiteComp uses molecular interaction fields (MIFs) as descriptors of small-molecule ligand binding sites. MIFs describe the spatial variation of the interaction energy between a target molecule (e.g. a protein) and a probe, which represents a specific chemical group or atom (Ghersi and Sanchez, 2009). SiteComp provides three types of MIF-based analyses:

(i) Binding site comparison identifies regions where two proteins exhibit differences in ligand-binding properties. After superposition of the two input proteins, a difference MIF is calculated and post-processed using the SiteHound algorithm (Ghersi and Sanchez, 2009) to identify difference clusters (see Supplementary Materials for details). These clusters identify regions with more favorable probe interactions with one protein than the other. The difference clusters can be used, for example, as guides to explain or design ligand selectivity between two proteins (Fig. 1).

(ii) Binding site decomposition evaluates the contribution of specific side chains to protein–ligand interaction regions. This is achieved by comparing the MIFs of the wild-type protein with that of the same protein with one or more residues mutated to alanine. Up to 10 residues can be selected in a user-defined region of the protein. A single protein is required as input and SiteComp produces the variants where alanine replaces the wild-type residue. This type of analysis can be used to identify key residues in a previously identified binding site and design mutations that disrupt binding.

(iii) Multi-probe characterization facilitates visual comparison of MIF clusters detected in a single protein with different chemical probes. It also facilitates the exploration of different parameters for MIF calculation (energy cutoff) and clustering (algorithm). Hence, this type of analysis enables an advanced characterization of the molecular interaction properties of a user-defined region in one protein. One application of this analysis is the identification of sub-sites with different interaction properties within
The three types of SiteComp analyses can be integrated into a combined analysis. For example, a difference region identified in binding site decomposition to identify residues that are important contributors to that region. Alternatively, it could be directed into multi-probe characterization to provide detailed information about the molecular interaction properties of the difference site. SiteComp is also integrated with the SiteHound-web binding site identification server (Hernandez et al., 2009), which enables seamless analysis of predicted binding sites using the SiteComp tools.

2.2 Integration of analyses

The SiteComp website includes step-by-step tutorials for each type of multi-probe characterization, additional chains and ligands can be selected for display only. Next, a region of interest, the calculation box, is defined using a graphical user interface (GUI) based on the Jmol molecular structure viewer. The center of the calculation box can be defined interactively by selecting an atom in Jmol, entering a residue number or specifying coordinates. The box dimensions can also be modified interactively. Subsequently, parameters for MIF calculation and clustering are selected. Finally, the calculation is carried out and the output is presented in a Jmol-based GUI. Runtime is usually less than a few minutes, depending on the size of the calculation box.

The user can retrieve the results from the calculation at runtime or within 30 days after the calculation has completed using a unique and private URL out and the output is presented in a Jmol-based GUI. Runtime is usually less than a few minutes, depending on the size of the calculation box.

The user can retrieve the results from the calculation at runtime or within 30 days after the calculation has completed using a unique and private URL.

2.3 Usage and output

For each of the analyses, the user can upload PDB files or specify PDB codes for the proteins of interest. SiteComp processes the structures and prompts the user to select chains for calculation. In binding-site decomposition and multi-probe characterization, additional chains and ligands can be selected for display only. Next, a region of interest, the calculation box, is defined using a graphical user interface (GUI) based on the Jmol molecular structure viewer. The center of the calculation box can be defined interactively by selecting an atom in Jmol, entering a residue number or specifying coordinates. The box dimensions can also be modified interactively. Subsequently, parameters for MIF calculation and clustering are selected. Finally, the calculation is carried out and the output is presented in a Jmol-based GUI. Runtime is usually less than a few minutes, depending on the size of the calculation box.

The user can retrieve the results from the calculation at runtime or within 30 days after the calculation has completed using a unique and private URL.

The SiteComp website includes step-by-step tutorials for each type of analysis. The server requires Java and Javascript to be enabled and has been tested on all major operating systems and web browsers.
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