Anonymous nuclear loci in non-model organisms: making the most of high-throughput genome surveys
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ABSTRACT

Motivation: When working with non-model organisms, few if any species-specific markers are available for phylogenetic, phylogeographic and population studies. Therefore, researchers often try to adapt markers developed in distantly related taxa, resulting in poor amplification and ascertainment bias in their target taxa. Markers can be developed de novo and anonymous nuclear loci (ANL) are proving to be a boon for researchers seeking large numbers of fast-evolving, independent loci. However, the development of ANL can be laboratory intensive and expensive. A workflow is described to identify suitable low-copy anonymous loci from high-throughput shotgun sequences, dramatically reducing the cost and time required to develop these markers and produce robust multilocus datasets.

Results: By successively removing repetitive and evolutionary conserved sequences from low coverage shotgun libraries, we were able to isolate thousands of potential ANL. Empirical testing of loci developed from two reptile taxa confirmed that our methodology yields markers with comparable amplification rates and nucleotide diversities to ANLs developed using other methodologies. Our approach capitalizes on next-generation sequencing technologies to enable the development of phylogenetic, phylogeographic and population markers for taxa lacking suitable genomic resources.
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1 INTRODUCTION

In a recent review, Thomson et al. (2010) noted that next-generation sequencing methodologies make the acquisition of many-marker datasets readily feasible. The ability to generate libraries of random sequence from across the genome at a relatively low expense has already changed the way that markers are developed for phylogenetic and population genetic studies. In particular, the use of the Roche 454 platform for generating large fragments of randomly sheared genomic DNA has already provided a wealth of markers. Most marker development studies have focussed on the large number of microsatellites that can be readily obtained from partial sequencing runs on non-model organisms (e.g. Abdelkeim et al., 2009; Allentoft et al., 2009), and large numbers of species can be analysed simultaneously on this platform (Gardner et al., 2011). In addition, almost entire mitochondrial genomes and a number of protein-coding genes (Rasmussen and Noor, 2009) have been recovered from these kind of data. One class of marker that should be present in high numbers but has yet to be exploited from these data are anonymous nuclear loci (ANL) (Karl and Avise, 1993).

ANL represent randomly selected fragments of DNA that, by chance, are likely to be from non-coding regions of the genome, given that a large percentage of eukaryotic genomes comprise non-coding regions (Thomson et al., 2010). The advantages of these markers are numerous: they are likely to be independent; not biased to a single area of the genome and unlikely to be under selection and so free to accumulate mutations at varying rates, providing a suite of sufficiently fast-evolving markers useful for phylogenetics, phylogeography and population genetics. ANL have been successfully used in multilocus reconstruction of phylogenetic (Thomson et al., 2008) and phylogeographic (Rosenblum et al., 2007; Lee and Edwards, 2008) histories. A criticism of using anonymous markers is that paralogous loci and repetitive elements may go undetected, potentially confounding phylogenetic and phylogeographic inferences (Thomson et al., 2010). In addition, the development of these markers has required intensive laboratory methods including a substantial amount of cloning during development from small insert libraries (Lowe et al., 1998; Jennings and Edwards, 2005), Bacterial Artificial Chromosome libraries (Thomson et al., 2008) and Amplified Fragment Length Polymorphism fragments (Brumans et al., 2003). Herein, we describe the development of ANL from randomly generated sequences produced by the Roche 454 platform and provide empirical data to evaluate the methods.

2 METHODS

2.1 Marker development

Sequence reads were generated for two squamate reptiles, the gecko Gehyra✿lazelli and the sea snake Hydrophis sp., by sequencing randomly sheared genomic DNA on the Roche 454 GS-FLX titanium platform at the Australian Genomic Research Facility, Brisbane, Australia, following the methodology of Gardner et al. (2011). Approximately, one-eighth of a titanium flow cell for each species returned a total of 87 899 reads for Gehyra✿lazelli (average length...
of 358 bp) and 149 075 reads for H. spiralis (average length of 345 bp). The sequences are available from the Dryad Digital Repository doi:10.5061/dryad.fc1b2.

A workflow was designed to successively filter out sequence reads of non-interest (i.e. repetitive, highly conserved and coding sequences) using software available in the public domain. All analyses were run on an Intel quad core 2.4 GHz PC with 8 GB RAM running Fedora Linux (Release 11). When possible, parallel processing capabilities using multiple processor cores were used to speed up analyses. Default parameter values were utilized for all software unless otherwise stated. The sequences for each species were analysed separately. FASTA formatted sequence reads were extracted from the GS-HLX output files using the ‘efflint’ program, available as part of the Roche GSAssembler suite. RepeatScout (Price et al., 2005) was used to identify highly repetitive sequences in the data after running the build, index, table script, which is included with the package. The repeat library generated contained high copy number sequences including mitochondrial fragments, simple sequence repeats (microsatellites), transposable elements and species-specific repeats. This repeat library was used as a ‘custom library’ in RepeatMasker (Smii et al., 1996–2010) to mask these highly repetitive sequences in the original FASTA file. In addition, repeats included in the Repbase Update (Jurka et al., 2005) ‘repeatmaskerlibraries-20090604’ were also used with RepeatMasker to remove known repeats that were present in low copy number. The parallel processing option was enabled and the bacterial insertion element check skipped (using the ‘–no_is’ option). Masked areas were removed from sequences using the SeqClean PERL script (available from http://compbio.dfci.harvard.edu/software) enabling the parallel processing option. The SeqClean script was run three times with the minimum ‘clear range’ (-c option) set to 250, 350 and 450 bp, respectively, to determine the number of sequences of each size class available for further analysis.

To remove highly conserved sequence or known coding regions, the resulting reads were compared to existing GenBank sequences using BLASTN (version 2.2.21). The makeblastsdb package included in the BLAST distribution was used to create local, searchable BLAST databases comprising available messenger RNA (mRNA) and EST sequences from the family Elapidae, which includes the subfamily Hydrophinae, to compare to the H. spiralis reads and similarly mRNA and EST sequences from the genus Gehyra to compare to the G. lazelli reads. An additional BLAST database constructed from the squamate Anolis carolinensis genome (AnoCar 1.0, February 2007, Broad Institute) was also used to screen each dataset. Finally, matches to mitochondrial sequences from Rhamnus fasciatus (Elapidae: GenBank accession NC_0111939) and Gehyra gecko (Gekkonidae: GenBank accession NC_007627) were determined to remove any low copy number mitochondrial sequences not removed by the RepeatScout program. High similarity matches, defined as e-value < 10−10, were output in tabular format and removed from the FASTA files using an in-house PERL script. A PERL script automating the analysis pipeline to this point is available from http://www.samsuemes.sa.gov.au/assets/files/science/evolutionary-biology-unit/anonmarker.tar.gz.

Primer design was carried out using the program ‘Primer3’ (Rozen and Skaltsys, 2000), as implemented in software package Geneious, by specifying a product size between 400 and 500 bp and using default setting for optimal primer size (20 bp), Tm (60°C), %GC content (50%) and minimizing hairpins and primer dimers.

### 2.2 Evaluation of anonymous nuclear loci

For all taxa examined, genomic DNA was extracted from frozen and ethanol preserved tissue using a Puregene DNA isolation kit (Gentra Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA) following the manufacturer’s protocol for DNA purification from solid tissue. All loci examined were amplified using either AmpliTaq Gold DNA polymerase (Applied Biosystems) or HotMaster Taq polymerase (PerkinElmer) following standard PCR protocols and visualization of double-stranded amplification products on 1.5% agarose gels. Purification of PCR products and cycle-sequencing using the BigDye Terminator v3.1 cycle-sequencing kit (Applied Biosystems) was outsourced to the Australian Genome Research Facility Ltd. (AGRF) in Brisbane, Australia.

A subset of 15 loci for Gehyra and 17 for hydrophine sea snakes were assayed for amplification success and polymorphism within each group. Initial screening in Gehyra used G. multilata and G. lazelli which show ∼15% divergence over 1200 bp of ND2 and ∼5% for the protein-coding gene PRLR (Townsend et al., 2008). Sea snake primers were tested for cross-amplification using four taxa that span the crown hydrophine sea snake radiation (H. spiralis, Eodiurana schiltii, Ephalophis greyi and Aipysurus apraefrontalis). Ten additional crown group taxa were added per locus to calculate the polymorphism statistics presented in Table 3; the maximum corrected (HKY) pairwise divergence among these taxa was ∼18% divergence over 808 bp of the ATP synthase gene and ∼1.5% divergence at the PRLR locus. Polymorphism statistics were re-calculated for a reduced set of recently diverged Hydrophis subgroup species, which show maximum pairwise divergence of only 10% for the ATP-synthase gene and 0.3% for the PRLR locus.

### 3 RESULTS

#### 3.1 Marker development

The number of sequences for each taxon with contiguous sequence lengths >250, 350 and 450 bp after masking repeats and other highly repetitive sequence is shown in Table 1. Since our aim was to maximize the information from each locus developed for screening, only sequences with lengths >450 bp were selected for further development. Approximately 25–30% of these sequences were discounted due to close matches to the mRNA, EST and mitochondrial sequences obtained from GenBank or A. carolinensis genomic resources (Table 2). The number of sequences for which we were able to generate suitable primer pairs is also shown in Table 2.

#### 3.2 Characteristics of the evaluated anonymous loci

Twelve of the 15 primer pairs tested in G. lazelli amplified successfully, while only six amplified for both this taxon and Gehyra multilata. Of the four loci that sequenced successfully, one was not variable and one was polymorphic for a large (>500 bp) indel.
making it unsuitable as a phylogenetic marker. Of the 17 primer pairs developed from *H. spiralis*, 12 amplified successfully in this taxon and three other taxa spanning the sea snake crown group. Eight of these loci were polymorphic across hydrophiles; however, five showed evidence of paralog co-amplification based on fixed site heterozygosity across all individuals and were discarded. Details of the loci that amplified in all species and showed no evidence of paralogs are shown in Table 3. For both reptile groups, the number of paralogs is comparable to amplification success in the *Gehyra* study, 50% of the markers did not amplify in the outgroup and only one of the four sequenced products was invariant. In contrast, within the more recently diverged Hydrophiinae group, 33% of the loci tested were invariant and a further 42% showed evidence of paralogs.

Nevertheless, the relatively high attrition rate of loci during screening is more than offset by the large number of sequenced fragments suitable for screening. If a larger pool of potential loci are desired, increasing the size range of fragments considered or altering primer design parameters will increase the yield of potential markers.
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