

INDEX

- Aarhus, Denmark, research dataset for, 244
- Abandoned spaces. *See* Vacant land
- Accra, Ghana
limits of model in, 236
research dataset for, 249
- Acqua Bene Comune (ABC), 135
- Adaptability, 207–210
- Adelaide, Australia, research dataset for, 252
- Administrative mediators, 31
- Advisory boards/commissions, 165–166
- Africa. *See also individual cities*
cities coded and analyzed in, 249–250
commons-based approaches in, 219–220
- African American communities. *See*
Black urban communities
- Africatown Land Trust, 226
- Agenda Tevere coalition, 228–229
- Agents of Proximity, Bologna, 120
- Agglomeration effects, 2–8, 32, 43–47
- Agyeman, Julian, 11, 35
- Alexander, Greg, 18
- Alexander, Lisa, 92
- Alternatives, space of, 135
- Amsterdam, Netherlands
research dataset for, 244
Room for Initiative program, 143
smart city technology in, 3, 12, 143–144, 207–208
- Architects, neighborhood, 175–176
- Arnstein, Sherry, 123, 167, 194
- Art Commission of the City of New York, 76
- Artificial intelligence (AI). *See* Smart cities
- Ashdod, Israel, research dataset for, 250
- Asia
cities coded and analyzed in, 250–251
commons-based approaches in, 219–220
- Asilo Filangieri urban commons, 135, 136–137
- Assets of Community Value (ACV), 133
- Association Mastro Pilastro, 121
- Athens, Greece, research dataset for, 244
- Atlanta Land Trust, 99
- AWMN wireless network, 213

- Bamako, Mali, research dataset for, 249
- Bandung, Indonesia, research dataset for, 250
- Bangalore, India
governance of urban lakes in, 70–71
research dataset for, 250
- Banjarmasin, Indonesia, research dataset for, 251
- Barangay, Philippines, research dataset for, 251
- Barcelona, Spain
agglomeration effects in, 7
Citizen Assets program in, 23, 140–142
civic management in, 132, 139–142, 194, 204
rebel city platform in, 17
research dataset for, 244
right to the city in, 3, 108
technological infrastructure in, 215, 217
Barcelona en Comú, 17
- Bari, Italy, research dataset for, 245
- Baton Rouge, Louisiana, Co-City Baton Rouge project in, 222–227
- Battipaglia, Italy, research dataset for, 245
- Beirut, Lebanon, research dataset for, 251
- Belgrade, Serbia, research dataset for, 245
- Bella Fuori* 3 pact, 124–125
- Benefit-sharing agreements, 171–173
- Beni comuni* (common goods), 80–84
- Benkler, Yochai, 53, 193
- Bergrivier, South Africa, research dataset for, 250
- Berlin, Germany
digital stewardship in, 51, 213
research dataset for, 245
- Bilbao, Spain, research dataset for, 245
- Bin-Zib community, Seoul, 87–89
- Birmingham, Alabama, research dataset for, 245
- Black and Brown Workers Cooperative, 40
- Black Lives Matter (BLM) movement, 222, 234
- Blackmar, Elizabeth, 44
- Black urban communities. *See also* Inequalities; Wealth and resource disparities
Co-City Baton Rouge project and, 222–227
displacement of, 8, 14–17, 37–40, 86–87, 223
environmental justice concerns in, 35
- Bloc Bully IT Solutions, 179
- Blockchain technologies, 180, 233
- Blomley, Nicholas, 14, 18
- Bloomberg Philanthropy Engaged Cities Award, 116
- Bollier, David, 84, 205
- Bologna, Italy
Cities as a Commons project in, 116
civic engagement and political culture of, 116–117
Co-Bologna process in, 22–23, 115–126, 201
collaboration pacts in, 111–112
Engaged Cities Award given to, 116
Iperbole digital platform in, 215
Office for Civic Imagination in, 209
research dataset for, 245
urban co-governance in, 194
urban labs in, 210
- Bologna Regulation, 22–23
adoption of, 115–116
evaluation of, 123–126
policymaking process for, 117–119
- Borough councils, 164–165
- Boston, Massachusetts
agglomeration effects in, 7
Dudley Street Neighbors Initiative in, 49–50, 94–95
- Bottom-up urban commons, 28–29, 40–41, 80–84

- Brazil
 community land trusts in, 221–222
 “right to the city” concept in, 3, 15–16
- Bristol, England, research dataset for, 245
- Broadband localism, 213
- Broadband networks, 27, 51, 213–214
- Brooklyn Community Board 16, 178
- Brooklyn Public Library, 179
- Brownsville neighborhood, New York City
 Brownsville Community Justice Center, 178
 Brownsville Houses Tenants Association, 178
 Brownsville Multi-Service Center, 178
 Brownsville Partnership, 178
 NYCx Co-Labs in, 178–180
- Brussels, Belgium, research dataset for, 245
- Budapest, Hungary, research dataset for, 245
- Budgeting, participatory. *See* Participatory budgeting (PB)
- Build Baton Rouge (BBR), 222–227
- Business improvement districts (BIDs), 45, 77–80, 157
- Caldeira, Teresa P. R., 52
- Callan, Ireland, research dataset for, 245
- Caltrans, 41
- Cameroon, commons-based approaches in, 220
- Can Batlló Self-Managed Community and Neighborhood Space Association, 142
- Caño Martín Peña community land trust, 95–96
- Cape Town, South Africa
 commons-based approaches in, 220
 research dataset for, 250
- Capitalism, crowd-based, 204
- Casablanca, Morocco, research dataset for, 250
- Casa Ozanam Community hub pact (Turin), 184–185
- Cascina Roccafranca Foundation, 184
- Caserta, Italy, research dataset for, 245
- Centocelle Archeological Park, 232
- Central and Latin America, cities coded and analyzed in, 248–249. *See also individual cities*
- Central Park, New York City, 44, 75–76
- Central Park Conservancy, 75–76
- Central Park Task Force, 75–76
- Centro Antartide*, 116
- Challenge, right to. *See* Community right to challenge (CRTC)
- Change, resistance to, 234–235
- Charter of Common Rome, 17
- Cheap talking phase, co-city protocol, 211
- Chengdu, China, research dataset for, 251
- Chicago, Illinois
 agglomeration effects in, 7
 community gardens in, 86
 land value in, 37
 NeighborSpace land trust in, 97–99
 participatory budgeting in, 169–170
 urban renewal programs in, 33
- Chicago Park District, 97
- Chief Science Offices, 208
- Chief Technology Office/Officer (CTO), 207–208
- Christchurch, New Zealand, research dataset for, 252
- Chronic street nuisances, 45
- Citidans, decision-making role of, 15
- Cities as a Commons project, Bologna, 116
- Citizen Assets program, Barcelona, 23, 140–142
- Citizen Heritage Board, Barcelona, 140

- Citizen Heritage of Community Use and Management, Barcelona, 140
- Citizen participation, 164–173
 benefit-sharing agreements, 171–173
 community benefit agreements, 166–167
 community boards, 164–166
 ladder of, 123, 167–168
 neighborhood or borough councils, 164–165
 participatory budgeting, 30, 112, 119–120, 122, 129, 167–171, 215
- Citizen Participation Regulations, Barcelona, 141
- City-making, 106
- City policies. *See* Public policies
- City Science Offices (CSOs), 176
- City Statute of Brazil, “right to the city” concept in, 3, 15–16
- Ciudad Propuesta CDMX*, 122
- Civic and Collective Urban Uses, Turin, 145
- Civic centers, 140
- Civic Collective Management, Turin, 145
- Civic deals, 145, 184–185
- Civic eState network, 130
- Civic flourishing environments, public spaces as, 136, 138
- Civic management, declaratory approach to, 132–144
- Civic tech jobs, 217
- Civic uses, 132–144
 Amsterdam Smart City program, 143–144
 Barcelona Citizen Assets program, 140–142
 characteristics of, 107–111, 132–133
 community right to bid, 133
 community right to challenge, 133–134
 Naples resolutions, 134–139
- Civil Rights Movement, 222
- Co-Bologna process, 115–126
 Agents of Proximity, 120
 Bologna Regulation, 22–23, 115–119, 123–126, 201
 as catalyst for other cities, 111–112
Collaborare è Bologna process, 119, 215
 collaboration pacts in, 124–125
Comunità Iberbole Platform, 119
 critique of, 126, 201
 evaluation of, 123–126
 Foundation for Urban Innovation, 119–120
Incredibol policy, 119
 Office of Civic Imagination, 120
 participatory budgeting in, 119–120
 Pilastro neighborhood project, 119–122, 201, 209–210
 urban labs/collaboratories in, 119, 121–122
- Co-Cities Guidance Codebook, 243–244
- Co-City Baton Rouge (CCBR) project, 222–227
- Co-city design principles. *See* Design principles
- Co-city protocol
 cheap talking phase, 211
 mapping phase, 211
 modeling phase, 212
 practicing phase, 211–212
 prototyping phase, 212
 testing phase, 212
- Co-City Turin project, 144–147, 181–185
- Code for the City project, Mexico City, 122
- Codigo para la Ciudad* project, 122
- Coding of cities, 243–244
- Co-governance. *See* Urban co-governance
- Cohesion Policy framework (EU), 190
- Co-housing, 25, 28
 pooling and, 68, 204
 in Seoul, 87–89, 220

- Co-Lab Challenges, NYCx Co-Labs, 179
 - Co-living, pact of, 209
 - Collaborare è Bologna* process, Bologna, 119, 215
 - Collaboration pacts
 - Co-Bologna process, 111–112, 115–126
 - costs and challenges of, 108–110
 - defined, 111
 - evaluation of, 123–126
 - New Turin Regulation, 145–146
 - power of, 110–111
 - Seoul Sharing city policy, 111–115
 - Collaborative economy, 53, 181
 - Collaborative governance, 194
 - defined, 195–196
 - failed practices, 105
 - model of, 104–105
 - regulatory or public policy approaches to. *See* public policies
 - Collaboratories. *See* Urban labs/ collaboratories
 - Collective governance, 62–63, 193.
 - See also* Polycentric urban governance; Urban co-governance
 - challenges of, 31
 - collaboration pacts and, 124
 - of community gardens, 72–73
 - design principles for, 25, 28, 62–63
 - future of, 221
 - in Latin America, 221
 - in NeighborSpace, 98–99
 - pooling and, 52–56
 - quintuple helix approach to, 30, 149–157, 183, 194
 - recognition and respect for, 84
 - regulatory or public policy approaches to. *See* public policies
 - state enabling role in, 18, 71–72, 132
 - of urban resources, 65–66
 - Collective political power, right to, 15
 - Colombes, France, research dataset for, 245
 - Co-management, 22, 24
 - in Barcelona Citizen Assets program, 140–141
 - pacts of collaboration and, 124, 127–128, 131
 - stewardship models, 93
 - in tech justice design principle, 216–217
 - Comedy of the commons, 47–48
 - Commoning, 19, 84–89
 - defined, 84–85
 - dynamics of, 85–89
 - Commoning.city web platform, 192, 239–240
 - Common interest communities, 92
 - Common pool resources, 20, 28, 43, 68.
 - See also* Governance
 - Commons, city as, 19–26. *See also*
 - Urban commons
 - concept of, 41–47
 - cross-disciplinary application of, 20–22
 - defined, 19
 - exchange versus use value of land, 16
 - historical and intellectual lineage of, 19–20
 - Italian commons movement, 228
 - regulatory or public policy approaches to. *See* public policies
 - urban pooling economies, 52–56
- Commons-based peer production (CBPP), 202
 - Commons Foundation, Turin, 204
 - Commons Transition Plan, Ghent, 130
 - Community Association for the Public Park of Centocelle, 230
 - Community Association of Neighborhood shops of Bolognina, 210
 - Community-based public-private partnerships (CBP3s), 158–159
 - Community benefit agreements (CBAs), 166–167
 - Community boards, 164–166

- Community cooperative model, 189–190
- Community cooperatives, 196, 231
- Community EcoPark, Baton Rouge, 225
- Community enablement
 - neighborhood architects, 175–176
 - technological tools for, 180
 - urban labs or collaboratories, 55–56, 174–180, 207–208, 210, 217
- Community gardens
 - collective governance of, 72–73
 - conflicts between residents and city over, 33–36
 - creation of, 86
 - pooling economy and, 203–204
- Community improvement districts (CIDs), 77
- Community Interest Companies (CICs), 189–190
- Community land bank and trust (CLBT), 225–226
- Community land trusts (CLTs), 28, 90–96
 - Africatown Land Trust, 226
 - Atlanta Land Trust, 99
 - Caño Martín Peña, 95–96
 - Co-City Baton Rouge project, 225–226
 - construction of, 49–51
 - Dudley Street Neighbors Initiative, 49–50, 94–95, 97
 - governance structure of, 92–96, 196
 - in Latin America, 50, 221–222
 - legal structure of, 90–92
 - motivations for, 50–51
 - NeighborSpace, 97–99
 - Oakland Community Land Trust, 41
 - scaling of, 96–101
 - in urban pooling economies, 54–55, 204
- Community right to bid (CRTB), 133
- Community right to challenge (CRTC), 133–134, 143
- Community wireless mesh networks (CWN), 213–214
 - construction of, 27, 51
 - Coviolo Wireless project, 186
 - pooling economy and, 203–204
- Company-centered social responsibility (CCSR), 172
- Comunità Iberbole Platform*, Bologna, 119
- Confagricoltura, 232
- Conflict resolution, 59, 63
- Constitutive policies, 107–111
 - characteristics of, 29–30, 107–108
 - Co-Bologna process, 111–112, 115–126
 - costs and challenges of, 108–110
 - declaratory policies blended with, 144–147
 - Ghent’s constitutive approach, 127–132
 - Madrid Ordinance, 127–132
 - power of, 110–111
 - regulatory race toward the commons, 126–132
 - Seoul Sharing city policy, 111–115
- Constructed urban commons, 31.
 - See also* Community land trusts (CLTs); Limited-equity cooperatives (LECs); Urban commons; Wireless mesh networks
 - characteristics of, 28–29, 62
 - concept of, 41–47
 - defined, 48
 - examples of, 50–51
 - Ostrom’s framework applied to, 65–68
- CooperACTiva, 228, 231
- Cooperation, technology as driver of, 212
- Cooperative governance. *See* Polycentric urban governance
- Cooperatives, 189–190, 231
- Coopify, 203
- Co-ownership, 26, 176, 212, 215–217, 219

- Copenhagen, Denmark, research dataset for, 245
- Co-production, 12, 18, 29. *See also* Public policies
- Co-Roma project, 227–234
CooperACTiva, 231
GrInn.City, 231–233
GrInn Lab, 229–231
origins of, 227–228
Rome Open Labs, 233–234
smart co-district in, 229–231
tech justice in, 216
Tiber River, care and regeneration of, 229
- Coruna, Spain, research dataset for, 245
- COVID-19 pandemic, 4, 40, 115
- Coviolo Wireless project, 186, 210
- Creative class, 5–7
- Creative use of law, 137
- Crowd-based capitalism, 204
- Cucina del Borgo pact, 185
- Cultural institutions, role of, 7, 25, 80, 83, 236
- Dahl, Robert, 200
- Dakar, Senegal, research dataset for, 250
- Daniels, Brigham, 46
- Data collection, 240–244
- Data selection, 240–244
- Data set, 240–242
- Data trusts, 218
- Decentralization, 58, 135, 157, 209
- Decidim Barcelona*, 215
- Declaration of Community Connectivity, 214
- Declarations of Civic and Collective Use, 137
- Declaratory policies, 107–111
Amsterdam Smart City program, 143–144
Barcelona Citizen Assets program, 140–142
characteristics of, 29–30, 107–108, 132–133
community right to bid, 133
community right to challenge, 133–134, 143
constitutive approach blended with, 144–147
costs and challenges of, 108–110
Naples resolutions, 134–139
power of, 110–111
- Deed-restricted homes, 90, 91, 93
- DeFilippis, James, 100
- de Magistris, Luigi, 135
- Democratic deliberative property, 100
- Design principles, 25–26, 31–32
challenges of, 32
co-governance, 25, 193–198
enabling state, 25–26, 198–201
Ostrom's framework for, 62–63, 192
overview of, 191–192
pooling economies, 26, 201–205
tech justice, 26, 212–218
urban experimentalism, 26, 205–212
- Detroit, Michigan
access to urban infrastructure in, 27
agglomeration effects in, 7
Creative Corridor Center, 7
digital stewardship in, 51
displacement of Black residents in, 37–40
land bank program in, 39–41
land value in, 38
vacant or abandoned land in, 48
wireless mesh networks in, 213
- Developing economies, applications of co-city approach to, 236
- Development Neighborhoods plans, Amsterdam, 143
- Digital infrastructure, 114, 180, 212–218, 223
- Digital stewardship, 51
- di Robilant, Anna, 100, 202
- Distributive justice, 17–18, 91

- Dream Big Innovation Center, The, 179
- Dublin, Ireland
 legal and property adaptation in, 90
 research dataset for, 245
- Dudley Neighbors, Inc., 94
- Dudley Street Neighbors Initiative,
 49–50, 94–95, 97
- Ecologically sustainable communities, 35
- Economic production
 agglomeration effects and, 4–8
 cities as centers of, 1–2
- Edge-cloud broadband networks,
 213–214
- Edinburgh, Scotland, research dataset
 for, 245
- Education institutions, role of, 36–237
- Eindhoven, Netherlands, research data-
 set for, 245
- Ela, Nate, 86
- Ellickson, Robert, 45, 64
- Enabling state. *See also* Legal and prop-
 erty adaptation; Polycentric urban
 governance; Pooling economies;
 Public policies
 concept of, 25–26, 198–201
 construction of urban commons and,
 28–29, 71–80
 facilitator role of, 18, 27, 107
 interventionist role of, 114–115
 research dataset for, 244–254
 resurgence of, 199
 role in polycentric governance, 57–60
- Endogenous variables, 69
- Energy microgrids, 51
- Engaged Cities Award, 116
- Environmental, social, and governance
 (ESG) approach, 186, 235
- Environmental justice, 35, 51
- Environmental sustainability, smart cit-
 ies and, 10
- Equitable autonomy, 202
- Erasmus+ EU program, 232
- European Groups of Territorial Cooper-
 ation, 190
- European Union (EU). *See also individual
 cities*
 Civic eState network, 130
 Cohesion Policy framework, 190
 funding programs of, 132, 183, 190
 regions/cities coded and analyzed in,
 244–247
 Taxonomy Regulation, 235
- European Urban Initiative, 190
- Evaluative methodology, 206–207
- Exchange value, 16, 27, 37–41
- Exclusionary megacities, 37
- Exogenous variables, 69
- Experimentalism, 13
 adaptability in, 207–210
 city and citizen science in, 155
 in Co-Bologna process, 122
 defined, 26
 evaluative methodology of, 206–207
 importance of, 205–206
 iterative process of, 210–211
 legal and property adaptation, 28,
 89–96
 regions/cities coded and analyzed for,
 244–254
 role of, 205–212
- Expulsions, 8, 16, 17–18
- External mutualism, 236
- Fab Labs, 220
- Facilitator, state as, 18, 27, 107
- Falklab project (Turin), 185
- Faro Convention, 228
- Favelas, 50, 221
- FederCasa, 233
- Fee simple, 91, 93
- Fennell, Lee, 43
- Fidenza, Italy, research dataset for, 245
- Financing, 186–190
 Amsterdam Smart City program, 143
 commons foundations, 186–188

- fundraising and urban commons
 - finance tools, 188
 - sustainable, 186, 189–190
- First Life platform, 180
- Fisheries projects, self-governance of, 64
- 5P partnerships. *See* Public-private-science-social-community partnerships (5P)
- Florida, Richard, 5–7
- Flynn, Ray, 94
- Fondazione del Monte di Bologna*, 116
- Forest Preserve District of Cook County, 97
- Forever Ultras* pact, 125
- Foundation for Urban Innovation, 119–120
- Framework Regulation on Civic Participation, Madrid, 129
- Free peering and transit, 214
- Freifunk wireless network, 213
- Friends of Park [X] groups, 74, 178
- Frishmann, Brett, 193
- Frug, Gerald, 106
- Fundraising, 137, 186–190, 204
- Future applications of co-city approach, 234–239
 - developing economies, 236
 - intergenerational alliances, 237–238
 - legal and policy innovation, 235–236
 - private sector investments, 235
 - resistance to, 234–235
 - role of scientific, knowledge, education, and cultural institutions in, 36–237
- Future generations, rights of, 237
- Gdansk, Poland, research dataset for, 245
- General interest, 119, 134, 138, 162, 189, 194, 200
- Gentrification
 - community land trusts as response to, 50, 99, 221
 - displacement by, 37–41, 82, 89–90
 - privatization and, 78–79
- Ghana Institute for Public Management, 236
- Ghent, Belgium
 - constitutive approach of, 127–132
 - research dataset for, 245
- Glaeser, Edward, 5
- Glasgow, Scotland, research dataset for, 245
- Global South, 236
 - applications of co-city approach to, 24, 236
 - peripheral urbanization in, 52
 - rural to urban migration in, 2
 - urban interaction space in, 48
- Goodman, Ellen, 11
- Gothenburg, Sweden, research dataset for, 245
- Governance. *See also* Collective governance; Polycentric urban governance; Urban co-governance
 - of community land trusts, 92–96
 - conflict resolution in, 63
 - failure of, 11
 - of green urban commons, 69–71
 - of limited-equity cooperatives, 92–96
 - megacity model of, 106–107
 - of natural resource commons, 62–63
 - nested, 96–101
 - Ostrom’s design principles for, 41–43, 46, 56–58, 62–63, 193, 195, 197–198
 - property stewardship models of, 92–96
 - right to, 15
 - self-governance, 63–64
 - traditional tripartite structure of, 91, 92, 99
 - of urban green commons, 69–71
- Governance property, 91
- Graffiti, 45, 79, 124
- Great Migration, 39

- Green and sustainable finance, 186, 189–190
- GreenThumb Program, New York City, 73
- Green urban commons, governance of, 69–71
- Grenoble, France, research dataset for, 245
- GrInn.City, 231–233
- GrInn Lab, 229–231
- Gross domestic product (GDP), contribution of cities to, 1–2
- Guangzhou, China
 research dataset for, 251
 urban villages in, 220–221
- Guifi wireless network, 213
- H2020, 190
- Habitat project, Turin, 185
- Hackney Community Transport, 189–190
- Hamburg, Germany, research dataset for, 245
- Hardin, Garret, 42–44, 67
- Harlem, New York City, 213–214, 223
- Harvey, David, 14, 16, 47
- Helfrich, Silke, 84, 205
- Helsinki, Finland, research dataset for, 245
- Hess, Charlotte, 193
- High human capital individuals, 5.
See also Agglomeration effects
- High Line (New York City), 47
- Hollands, Robert, 9
- Holon, Israel, research dataset for, 251
- Homogeneous communities, self-governance in, 64
- Hong Kong, research dataset for, 251
- Horizon 2020 OpenHeritage EU project, 228
- “House of Emerging Technologies” program (Rome), 233–234
- Housing for All* (Iaione et al.), 233
- Housing initiatives
 Bin-Zib co-housing communities, 87–89
 Caño Martín Peña community land trust, 95–96
 co-housing, 25, 28, 68, 87–89, 204, 220
 deed-restricted homes, 90, 91, 93
 Dudley Street Neighbors Initiative, 49–50, 94–95
 GrInn.City, 231–233
 limited-equity cooperatives, 28, 86–87, 90–96
 occupy movement and, 82–84
HUB Underground Base pact, 125
 Huron, Amanda, 86–87
 Hypervacancy, 48–49
- Iasi, Romania, research dataset for, 245
- Ibeju Lekki Local Government, benefit sharing arrangement with, 172–173
- Idea collection maps, 169
- Incredibil* policy, Bologna, 119
- Inequalities. *See also* Minority communities; Urban poor
 in collective pacts, 206
 in environmental justice, 35
 governance and, 31, 59
 nested institutions and, 78
 in participatory budgeting, 170–171
 in smart cities, 216
 wealth and resource disparities, 2–3, 7–8, 35, 158, 212–218
- Institutional analysis and development (IAD) framework, 69–70
- Institutional spaces, 31, 109, 116, 174, 207
- Intergenerational alliances, 237–238
- Internet Governance Forum (IGF)
 Dynamic Coalition on Community Connectivity (DC3), 214
- Interventionist state, 114–115
- Iperbole* digital platform, 215

- Irrigation projects, self-governance of, 64
- Istanbul, Turkey, peripheral urbanization in, 52
- Italian Constitution, rights of future generations in, 237
- Iterative process, 210–211
- Jacobs, Jane, 5, 36
- Jerusalem, research dataset for, 251
- Jim Crow era, 222
- Johannesburg, South Africa, research dataset for, 250
- Just sustainability, 12, 35
- Karachi, Pakistan, research dataset for, 251
- Kathmandu, Nepal, research dataset for, 251
- Katyal, Sonia K., 83
- Kenya, commons-based approaches in, 220
- Ker Thiossane project, 220
- Keyboard, video, and mouse (KVM) devices, 214
- Kigali, Rwanda, research dataset for, 250
- Kinshasa, DR Congo, research dataset for, 250
- Kitchin, Dan, 10
- Knowledge House, The, 179
- Knowledge institutions, role of, 18, 222
- agglomeration effects and, 7
- in Co-Bologna process, 119
- in collaboration pacts, 111
- enabling state and, 199
- in future applications of co-city approach, 236–238
- in pooling, 53, 89
- public-private-science-social-community partnerships, 160–162, 172–173, 181
- in urban governance, 25, 30, 107, 110, 151–153
- in urban labs, 55
- Koregaoni, India, research dataset for, 251
- Kyoto, Japan, research dataset for, 251
- Lab for the City, Mexico City, 55
- LabGov, 227–234
- Laboratorio para la ciudad* (Mexico City), 55, 122, 177
- Labs. *See* Urban labs/collaboratories
- Labsus*, 116
- Ladder of citizen participation, 123, 167–168
- Lagos, Nigeria
- benefit sharing arrangement in, 172–173
- research dataset for, 250
- Lahore, India, research dataset for, 251
- Lakes, governance of, 70–71
- Land, urban. *See also* Community land trusts (CLTs); Urban commons; Vacant land
- bottom-up approaches to, 40–41
- community gardens, 33–36, 72–73, 86, 203–204
- conflicts between residents and city over, 33–41
- exchange versus use value of, 16
- privatization of, 37–38
- tension between exchange and use value of, 27, 37–41
- top-down approaches to, 39–40
- value of, 37–38
- Land bank program, Detroit, 39–41
- Landmarks Preservation Commission, 76
- Land trusts. *See* Community land trusts (CLTs)
- Last-mile network connectivity gap, 51
- Lawanson, Taibat, 172–173
- Lefebvre, Henry, 14, 15, 19

- Legal and property adaptation, 28, 89–96, 235–236
 community land trusts, 41, 49–51, 54–55, 90–96, 196, 221–222, 225–226
 limited-equity cooperatives, 28, 86–87, 90–96
 as response to gentrification, 89–90
 Legal hacking, 137
 Lekki Worldwide Investment Limited, 172–173
 Lepida, 186
 Lille, France, research dataset for, 246
 Limited-equity cooperatives (LECs), 28, 86–87, 90–96
 governance structure of, 91–96
 legal structure of, 90–91
 limited-equity homeownership, 93–94
 Linebaugh, Peter, 18
 Lisbon, Portugal, research dataset for, 246
 Liverpool, England, research dataset for, 246
 Local administrations, as enabling platforms, 22, 111, 120, 136–140, 146, 188, 200–201
 Local Entities Assets Regulations, Barcelona, 141
 Local environmental stewardship
 community gardens as, 33–36
 defined, 34
 Logan, John, 37
 Loitering, 45
 Lomé, Togo, research dataset for, 250
 London, England
 agglomeration effects in, 7
 economic output of, 1–2
 research dataset for, 246
 Los Angeles, California
 community benefit agreements in, 166–167
 economic output of, 1
 land vacancy in, 41, 48
 land value in, 37
 Lucca, Italy, research dataset for, 246
 Luiss University LabGov, Co-Roma project and, 227–234
 Lyon, France, research dataset for, 246
 Made in Brownsville, 178
 Madison, Michael, 193
 Madrid, Spain
 co-governance in, 194
 Madrid Ordinance, 127–132
 research dataset for, 246
 Magnet cities, vacancy rates in, 48
 Malmö, Sweden, research dataset for, 246
 Management assembly, 137
 Mantova, Italy, research dataset for, 246
 Mapping phase, co-city protocol, 211
 Maribor, Slovenia, research dataset for, 246
 Marseille, France, research dataset for, 246
 Martín Peña Canal Special Planning District, 95–96
 Massarosa, Italy, research dataset for, 246
 Matarò, Spain, research dataset for, 246
 Matching, 5
 Matera, Italy, research dataset for, 246
 Mayor's Fund to Advance New York City, 55
 Mayor's Office of the Chief Technology Officer (MOCTO), 55, 177–178
 Mazzucato, Mariana, 218
 McLaren, Duncan, 11
 Megacity model of governance, 106–107
 Melbourne, Australia, research dataset for, 252
 Member's Media, Ltd. Cooperative, 203
 Mesh networks. *See* Community wireless mesh networks (CWN)
 Messina, Sicily, research dataset for, 246
 Mexico City
 Code for the City project, 122
 economic output of, 1–2

- Laboratory for the City, 55, 122, 177
- peripheral urbanization in, 52
- Proposed City CDMX, 122
- Right to the City Charter, 3, 16
- Milan, Italy
 - agglomeration effects in, 7
 - occupy movement in, 83–84
 - research dataset for, 246
- Minority communities
 - Co-City Baton Rouge project and, 222–227
 - conservation of resources of, 172–173
 - displacement of, 8, 14–17, 37–40, 86–87, 165, 223
 - environmental justice concerns in, 35
 - impact of privatization on, 78–79
- Modeling phase, co-city protocol, 212
- Molotch, Harvey, 37
- Mombasa, Kenya, research dataset for, 250
- Moms4Housing, 40–41
- Monocentric governments, 57
- Montpellier, France, research dataset for, 246
- Moor, Tine De, 193
- Moses, Robert, 165
- Movimiento Urbano Popular (MUP), 16
- Multi-actor governance. *See* Polycentric urban governance
- Mumbai, India, research dataset for, 251
- Mumford, Lewis, 181
- Municipal Body Regulations, Barcelona, 141
- Municipal Charter of the City of Barcelona, 141
- Mutualism, external, 236

- Nagendra, Harini, 70
- Nairobi, Kenya, research dataset for, 250
- Nantes, France, research dataset for, 246
- Naples, Italy
 - co-governance in, 194
 - declaratory policies in, 134–139
 - population and economic output of, 133–134
 - rebel city platform in, 17
 - research dataset for, 246
- Narni, Italy, research dataset for, 246
- National Agency for New Technologies, Energy and Sustainable Economic Development (Italy), 228
- Natural resource commons, 20
 - Ostrom’s framework for, 28, 61–65
 - urban commons compared to, 28, 61–62
- Neco wireless network, 213
- Neighborhood architects, 175–176
- Neighborhood-as-a-commons program, 174–175
- Neighborhood commons
 - business improvement districts and, 45, 77–80, 157
 - tragedy of the commons* scenario, 45–46
- Neighborhood councils, 164–165
- Neighborhood Houses, Turin, 183–184
- Neighborhood improvement districts (NIDs), 77
- Neighborhood innovation labs. *See* Urban labs/collaboratories
- Neighborhood pooling economies defined, 181
 - Reggio Emilia projects, 185–186
 - Turin Co-City and Co4Cities projects, 181–185
 - urban co-governance as driver for, 181–186
- NeighborSpace, 97–99
- Neoliberalism, 158, 199
- Nested enterprises, 46
 - in Co-Bologna project, 125
 - enablement of, 57–58, 60, 63, 78, 103
- NeighborSpace land trust, 97–99
- nested urban commons, 96–101
 - urban co-governance and, 110, 123, 156

- Networks
 broadband, 27, 51, 213–214
 collective ownership of, 214
 community wireless mesh, 27, 51, 186, 203–204, 213–214
 network equality, 214
 network neutrality, 214
- New Turin Regulation, 144–147, 186–190
- New urban crisis, 7–8
- New York City
 access to urban infrastructure in, 27
 agglomeration effects in, 7
 Central Park, 75–76
 community boards in, 164–166
 community land trusts in, 54–55
 digital divide in, 213
 economic output of, 1
 GreenThumb Program, 73
 High Line, 47
 land value in, 37
 Mayor's Office of the Chief Technology Officer, 177–178
 NYCx Co-Lab initiative, 55–56, 177–180, 217
 participatory budgeting in, 167–171
 as smart city, 3, 213–214
 Times Square, 79
tragedy of the commons scenario and, 44
 urban pooling economy in, 54–55
 urban renewal programs in, 33
 vacancy rates in, 48
- Ninux wireless network, Germany, 213
- Nobel Prize, 19, 41, 62
- Nongovernmental organizations (NGOs)
 collaboration pacts with, 108, 116, 124–125
 co-management with, 140
- Non-Profit Utilities (NPU), 196
- Nonrivalrous resources, 44
- North America, cities coded and analyzed in, 247–248. *See also individual cities*
- Nubian Square, Boston, 49–50
- Nussbaum, Martha, 12
- NYCx Co-Lab initiative, 55–56, 177–180, 217
- NYCx Co-Labs, 177–180, 217
- Oakland, California, land vacancy in, 40–41
- Oakland Community Land Trust, 41
- Observatory of Urban Commons and Participatory Democracy, Naples, 135
- Occupy movement, 82–84
- Occupy PHA, 40
- Oceania, cities coded and analyzed in, 251–252. *See also individual cities*
- Office for Civic Imagination, Bologna, 120, 209, 210
- Olajide, Oluwafemi, 172–173
- Open access resources, vacant land as, 80–84
- Oslo, Norway, research dataset for, 246
- Osteria di Centocelle (Rome), 230
- Ostrava, Czech Republic, research dataset for, 246
- Ostrom, Elinor
 collective and self-governance scenarios, 41–43, 46, 56–58, 193, 195
 design principles of, 62–63, 192
 institutional analysis and development framework, 69–70
 natural resource commons framework, 19–20, 28, 61–65
 Nobel Prize awarded to, 19, 41, 62
 polycentric governance scenarios, 56–57, 64–65, 193, 197–198
 social-ecological system framework, 70–71
 water basin management findings, 78
 work applied to urban commons, 41–42, 65–68
- Ostrom, Vincent, 57
- OUTakes archive*, 124

- Pact of co-living, 209
- Pacts of collaboration. *See* Collaboration pacts
- Padua, Italy, research dataset for, 246
- Palermo, Sicily, research dataset for, 246
- Panhandling, 45
- Paris, France
 - agglomeration effects in, 7
 - economic output of, 1–2
 - participatory budgeting in, 215
 - research dataset for, 246
- Park conservancies, 45, 75–77
- Parks
 - friends groups for, 74–75
 - legal protection of, 35–36
 - state enabling role in, 73–77
 - tragedy of the commons* scenario and, 43–47
- Participant assembly problem, 43–44
- Participation, technology as driver of, 212
- Participatory budgeting (PB), 30
 - in Co-Bologna process, 119–120
 - in Ghent, 129
 - in Mexico City, 112, 122
 - motivations for, 167–171
 - in New York City, 168–169
 - in Paris, 215
- Participatory policies, 30–31
- Partnerships
 - benefit-sharing agreements, 172
 - business improvement districts, 77–80
 - community improvement districts, 77
 - Friends of Park [X] groups, 74–75
 - neighborhood improvement districts, 77
 - park conservancies, 75–77
 - public-community, 31, 159–160, 191
 - public-community-private, 191
 - public-private, 28, 71–80, 157–158
 - public-private-community, 31
 - public-private-science-social-community, 160–161, 172–173, 181
 - unequal power dynamics in, 171
- Partnerships for Parks, New York City, 74
- Paternalistic benefit-sharing agreements, 172
- Peer-to-peer economies, 181
- Peñalver, Eduardo Moises, 83
- Peniche, Portugal, research dataset for, 247
- Peripheral urbanization, 52
- Permanent Council, Turin, 144–145
- Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, land vacancy in, 40–41, 48
- Philadelphia Housing Authority (PHA), 40–41
- Piantala* pact, 125
- Pilastro neighborhood project, 119–122, 201, 209–210
- Pilastro Northeast Development Agency, 209
- Pitkin Avenue BID, 178–179
- Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, agglomeration effects in, 7
- Plank Road Corridor, Baton Rouge. *See* Co-City Baton Rouge (CCBR) project
- Platform cooperativism, 203
- Platform economy, 181
- Policies. *See* Public policies
- Policy innovation labs, 176
- Polycentric urban governance, 29, 64–65, 193–194. *See also* Urban co-governance
 - advantages of, 56–58, 191
 - criticisms of, 58–59
 - enabling of, 27, 56–60
 - importance of, 196–198
 - origins of, 196–197
 - Ostrom's analysis of, 56–57, 64–65, 193, 197–198
 - public entrepreneurship in, 57

- Polycentric urban governance (cont.)
 public-private partnerships in, 29
 state role in, 57–60
- Pooling economies
 concept of, 18–19, 52–56, 201–203
 defined, 26, 68, 89, 181
 design principles for, 201–203
 NeighborSpace initiative and, 97–99
 regions/cities coded and analyzed for, 244–254
 role of, 201–205
 Seoul's Sharing city policy and, 115
 state role in, 28
 types of, 203–205
 urban co-governance as driver for, 181–186
 urban commons and, 43, 68, 89, 97
 urban pools, 202
- Pool resources, urban commons compared to, 27
- Porto Alegre, Brazil, participatory budgeting in, 168, 170
- Powles, Julia, 11
- Practicing phase, co-city protocol, 211–212
- Predatory city, Detroit as, 38–40
- Presov, Slovakia, research dataset for, 247
- Pretoria, South Africa, commons-based approaches in, 220
- Privacy, network, 214
- Private sector investments, 235
- Privatization of land, 37–38, 78–79
- Procurement, 134, 139, 141, 200
- Property adaptation. *See* Legal and property adaptation
- Property tax foreclosures, 37–41
- Proposed City CDMX, Mexico City, 122
- Prototyping phase, co-city protocol, 212
- Proximity, *tragedy of the commons* scenario and, 43–47
- Public actors, 104
- Public Administration Assets Management, Spain, 128
- Public Archeological Park of Centocelle, 230
- Public calls, 119, 128
- Public-citizen partnerships, 159–160
- Public-community partnerships (PCPs), 31, 159–160, 191. *See also* Citizen participation; Community enablement
- Public-community-private partnerships (PCPPs), 191
- Public entrepreneurship, 57
- Public participation. *See* Citizen participation
- Public policies, 22–24, 29–30. *See also* Collaboration pacts; Constitutive policies; Declaratory policies
 Amsterdam Smart City program, 143–144
 Barcelona Citizen Assets program, 140–142
 blended approach to, 144–147
 civic uses and civic management, 132–144
 Co-Bologna process, 111–112, 115–126
 collaborative governance reflected in, 103–107
 community right to bid, 133
 community right to challenge, 133–134, 143
 costs and challenges of, 108–110
 creative use of law and, 137
 declaratory versus constitutive, 29–30, 107–111
 emergence of, 29–30, 103–107
 in future applications of co-city approach, 235–236
 Ghent's constitutive approach, 127–132
 legal and policy innovation, 235–236
 Madrid Ordinance, 127–132
 motivations for, 104–105
 Naples resolutions, 134–139

- participatory, 30–31
- power of, 110–111
- regulatory race toward the commons, 126–132
- Seoul Sharing city policy, 111–115
- urban pooling economies, 52–56
- urban renewal, 223
- Public-private-community partnerships (PCPPs), 31
- Public-private partnerships, 28
 - enablement of urban commons by, 71–80
 - urban revitalization and, 45–46
- Public-private partnerships (PPPs), 157–158
- Public-private-science-social-community partnerships (5P), 160–161, 172–173, 181
- Public-private-science-social-community partnerships in, 173
- Public procurement, 134, 139, 141, 200
- Public-public partnerships, 159–160
- Public sector, 104
- Public trust doctrine, 35–36
- Public utilities, collaborative governance of, 196
- Pune, India, research dataset for, 251
- Purcell, Mark, 14

- Quayside Waterfront smart city project, 217–218
- Quintuple helix governance, 30, 149–157, 183, 194

- Racial justice, 4, 222–227, 234. *See also* Black urban communities; Inequalities
- Rawls, John, 12
- Rebel cities, 16–17
- Rebel city platforms, 16–17
- Reclaiming Our Homes, 41
- Red Hook Wi-Fi, 51, 213

- Reggio Emilia, Italy
 - collaboratories in, 174–177
 - Coviolo Wireless project, 186
 - neighborhood-as-a-commons policy, 174–176, 210
 - Regulation for Citizenship Agreement, 174
 - research dataset for, 247
 - wireless community network in, 204
- Regies de quartier* model, 120–121
- Regional research datasets, 244–253
 - Africa, 249–250
 - Asia, 250–251
 - Central and Latin America, 248–249
 - Europe, 244–247
 - North America, 247–248
 - Oceania, 251–252
- Regional water basin management, 78
- Register of the Guarantors, Turin, 144
- Regulation. *See* Public policies
- Regulation (EU) 2020/852, 235
- Regulation for Citizenship Agreement, 174
- Regulation for Collaboration between Citizens and the City for the Care and Regeneration of the Urban Commons. *See* Bologna Regulation
- Regulation on Local Entities Assets Management, Spain, 128
- Research methodology, 240–244. *See also* Design principles
 - case study source information, 240–242
 - co-cities data set, 242–243
 - coding of cities, 243–244
 - Commoning.city web platform, 192, 239–240
 - data selection and collection, 24–25, 240–244
 - goals of, 25
 - regions and cities coded and analyzed, 244–253
- Resource pooling. *See* Pooling economies
- Resurgence of state, 199

- Revitalization plans, 6
- Revolutionary Workers Collective, 40
- “Right to the city” concept, 1–3, 13–19
 - defined, 14
 - Latin American and European contexts of, 15–16
 - Mexico City’s Right to the City Charter, 3, 16
 - origins of, 13
 - rebel cities and, 16–17
 - uncertainties in, 14–15
- Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, community land trusts in, 50
- Risk prevention, 186
- Rivalrous resources, 44
- Roma Tre Information Engineering Department, 234
- Rome, Italy
 - occupy movement in, 82–83
 - research dataset for, 247
 - “right to the city” concept in, 17
 - Valle Theatre in, 82
- Rome Open Labs, 233–234
- Room for Initiative program, Amsterdam, 143
- Rose, Carol, 47, 193
- Rosenzweig, Roy, 44
- Rotterdam, Netherlands, research dataset for, 247

- Safe and Thriving Nighttime Corridors challenge, 179
- Safransky, Sara, 39–40
- Saint Joseph Church, Ghent, 130, 132
- Samenlevingsopbouw Gent, 129
- Sandel, Michael, 12
- San Donato Neighborhood administration, Bologna, 121
- San Francisco, California
 - agglomeration effects in, 7
 - land value in, 37
 - vacant land in, 48
- San Giovanni Tower, 230
- San Juan, Puerto Rico, community land trusts in, 50, 95–96, 222
- San Tamaro, Italy, research dataset for, 247
- Santiago, Chile, peripheral urbanization in, 52
- Sao Paulo, Brazil, peripheral urbanization in, 52
- Sapienza Innovation, 234
- Sapienza University, 229
- Sarantaporo, Greece, research dataset for, 247
- Sarker, Ashutosh, 58
- Sassari, Italy, research dataset for, 247
- Sassen, Saskia, 17, 37
- Scientific institutions, role of, 36–237
- Seattle, Washington
 - Africatown Land Trust in, 226
 - community boards in, 165
 - vacant land in, 48
- Self-governance, 36, 47, 58, 134, 145–146, 193, 195–197. *See also* Polycentric urban governance; Urban co-governance
- Sen, Amartya, 12
- Seoul, South Korea
 - Bin-Zib co-housing communities in, 87–89
 - economic output of, 1–2
 - interventionist state in, 114–115
 - population and economic output of, 112
 - research dataset for, 251
 - Seoul Sharing city policy, 111–115
 - smart city technology in, 12
 - urban villages in, 220
- Seoul Community Support Center (SCSC), 113–114, 220
- Shared infrastructure. *See* Urban commons
- Shared Management of the Ex Serre Giardini Margherita* pact, 125

- Shareholder benefit-sharing agreements, 172
- Sharing economy, 181, 204
- Sharing Economy International Advisory Board, Seoul, 113
- Sharing Promotion Fund, Seoul, 113
- Shenyang, China, research dataset for, 251
- Shenzhen, China
 - research dataset for, 251
 - urban villages in, 220–221
- Sidewalk Labs, 11, 217
- Sidney, Australia, research dataset for, 252
- Slum clearance programs, 36
- Smart cities, 3, 8–13
 - Amsterdam Smart City program, 143–144
 - characteristics of, 9–10
 - community enablement through, 180
 - in Co-Roma project, 229–231
 - digital divide, 213, 223
 - potential of, 8–9
 - Seoul Sharing city policy, 114
 - sharing city paradigm for, 11–13
 - tech justice design principle, 212–218
 - tensions in, 10–11
- Smart City program, Amsterdam, 143–144
- Social and economic pooling. *See* Pooling economies
- Social benefits, 143
- Social contagion, 73
- Social-ecological system (SES) framework, 70–71
- Social influence, 73
- Social justice. *See* Black urban communities; Environmental justice; Inequalities; Racial justice; Wealth and resource disparities
- Social licenses, 172
- Social management, 140–141, 214
- Social norms, self-governance and, 64
- Social purpose vehicles, 201
- Social Taxonomy Report, 190
- Soft infrastructure, 10
- Solidarity economy, 47–48, 53
- South Africa
 - benefit-sharing agreements in, 171–172
 - commons-based approaches in, 220
 - occupy movement in, 82
- Special water districts, 78
- State, enabling. *See* Enabling state
- Steering assembly, 137
- Stewardship models, 34, 92–96
- St. Louis, Missouri, agglomeration effects in, 7
- Strandburg, Katherine, 193
- Street Art Pact at the Zaccarelli Center*, 124
- Structural racism. *See* Black urban communities; Inequalities; Racial justice; Wealth and resource disparities
- Suburbs
 - wealth and resource disparities in, 8
 - white flight to, 222
- Sustainability
 - in Amsterdam Smart City program, 143
 - ecological, 35
 - environmental, 10
 - just, 12, 35
 - Seoul Sustainable Development Goals, 114
 - social, 10
 - sustainable finance framework, 186, 188–190
 - sustainable investment, 235
- Sylvain, Olivier, 213
- SynAthena*, 215
- “Take back the land” movement, 82–84
- Taxonomy Regulation, 235
- Tech Boards, NYCx Co-Labs, 178–180

- Tech justice
 - dimensions of, 215–218
 - examples of, 212–218
 - research dataset for, 244–254
- Technical Board, Turin, 145
- Technological infrastructure, access to, 212–218
- Technological sovereignty, 217
- Technology-enabled smart cities. *See* Smart cities
- Tel Aviv, Israel, economic output of, 1–2
- Tender procedures, 128
- Testing phase, co-city protocol, 212
- Thematic tables, 137
- Third sector, 59
- 3 Black Cats Cafe, 179
- Three Ts of development, 6
- Tiber for all (Tevere per Tutti) foundation, 229
- Tiber River, care and regeneration of, 229
- Times Square, New York City, 79
- Tokyo
 - economic output of, 1–2
 - research dataset for, 251
- Tolerance, 6
- Toronto, Canada
 - economic output of, 1–2
 - Quayside Waterfront smart city project, 11, 217–218
- Torre Spaccata, 232
- Tor Vergata School of Engineering, 234
- Tower of Centocelle (Rome), 230
- Tragedy of the Commons, The* (Hardin), 42–44, 67
- Tragedy of the commons* scenario, 42–47, 67–68
- Tripartite governance, 91, 92, 99
- Tunnel of Centocelle, 230
- Turin, Italy
 - Co-City and Co4Cities projects, 144–147, 181–185
 - Commons Foundation, 204
 - financing innovations in, 186–190
 - First Life platform, 180
 - research dataset for, 247
 - Tuscany, Italy, citizen participation in, 116–117
- Uganda, commons-based approaches in, 220
- United Kingdom (UK)
 - community right to bid, 133
 - community right to challenge, 133–134
- United Nations (UN), Internet Governance Forum Dynamic Coalition on Community Connectivity, 214
- URBACT program, 132, 138, 143, 183, 190
- Urban Center of Bologna, 119–120
- Urban civic communities, 138
- Urban Civic Use Regulation, 137
- Urban civic uses, collaborative governance of, 196
- Urban co-governance. *See also* Collective governance; Polycentric urban governance; Public policies; Urban labs/collaboratories
 - benefit-sharing agreements, 172
 - business improvement districts, 77–80
 - citizen participation in, 164–173
 - collaborative, 194, 195–196
 - community enablement in, 174–180
 - community improvement districts, 77
 - conceptualization of, 30–31, 149–150, 193–198
 - defined, 25, 194
 - as driver for neighborhood pooling economies, 181–186
 - financing of, 186–190
 - levels/steps of, 193–195
 - neighborhood improvement districts, 77
 - origins and influences on, 193
 - park conservancies, 75–77

- participatory policies compared to, 30–31
- public-community partnerships, 31, 159–160, 191
- public-community-private partnerships, 191
- public-private-community partnerships, 31
- public-private partnerships, 28, 71–80, 157–158
- public-private-science-social-community partnerships, 160–162, 172–173, 181
- quintuple helix model of, 30, 149–157, 194
- research dataset for, 244–254
- shared, 194, 195
- unequal power dynamics in, 171
- Urban commons, 19–26. *See also* Community land trusts (CLTs); Pooling economies; Vacant land adaptability, 207–210
 - bottom-up, 28–29, 40–41, 80–84
 - characteristics of, 61–62, 67–68
 - comedy of the commons* scenarios, 47–48
 - common pool resources compared to, 28, 43–44
 - community gardens, 33–36, 72–73, 86, 203–204
 - constructed, 28–29, 47–52
 - creation of (commoning), 84–89
 - cross-disciplinary application of, 20–22
 - defined, 19
 - examples of, 49–52
 - exclusionary effects in, 46–47
 - governance property, 91
 - green, 69–71
 - historical and intellectual lineage of, 19–20
 - legal and property adaptation in. *See* legal and property adaptation
 - natural resource commons compared to, 61–62
 - neighborhood-as-a-commons program, 174–176
 - nested, 96–101
 - Ostrom’s framework applied to, 41–42, 65–68
 - public-private partnerships for, 71–80
 - social interaction in, 47–48
 - solidarity increased by, 47–48
 - state enabling role in, 27, 43, 71–80
 - top-down, 28–29, 80–84
 - tragedy of the commons* scenario, 42–47, 68
- Urban Commons Foundation, Turin, 145, 146, 186–188
- Urban creativity, 119, 138
- Urban experimentalism, 13, 28, 192.
 - See also* Urban labs/collaboratories
 - city and citizen science in, 155
 - in Co-Bologna process, 122
 - defined, 26
 - evaluative methodology of, 206–207
 - importance of, 205–206
 - iterative process in, 210–211
 - organizational innovations in, 208–212
 - scaling of, 207–208
- Urban frameworks, 4–8
 - overview of, 1–4
 - “right to the city” concept, 3, 13–19
 - technology-enabled smart cities, 3, 8–13
 - urban agglomeration, 3, 4–8
- Urban governance. *See* Governance
- Urban Innovative Actions initiative (UIA), 183, 190
- Urbanization, 1, 20, 43, 52
 - in China, 220–221
 - collective power of residents over, 14
 - green urban commons and, 69, 71
 - peripheral, 52
 - property value and, 91
 - rebel cities, 16

- Urbanization (cont.)
 - “right to the city” concept, 1–3, 13–19
 - smart cities as response to, 9
- Urban labs/collaboratories, 55–56, 177–180, 207–208, 210
 - in Amsterdam Smart City platform, 207–208
 - in Co-Bologna project, 119, 121–122, 209–210
 - defined, 174
 - in Mexico City, 177
 - in *Mexico City*, 55, 122, 177
 - neighborhood architects for, 175–176
 - NYCx Co-Labs, 55–56, 177–180, 217
 - policy innovation labs compared to, 176
 - in Reggio Emilia, 174–177
- Urban land. *See* Land, urban
- Urban peripheries, growth of, 2, 66
- Urban pooling economies. *See* Pooling economies
- Urban pools, 202
- Urban poor
 - displacement of, 2, 14–16, 89–90, 165
 - impact of privatization on, 78–79
 - marginalization of, 170, 180
 - participatory budgeting and, 170–171
- Urban Popular Movement, 16
- Urban renewal era
 - communities displaced by, 165, 223
 - community boards in, 164–166
 - failure of, 33
 - slum clearance programs, 36
- Urban revitalization, 6
 - Co-City Baton Rouge project, 222–227
 - communities displaced by, 223
 - community gardens as, 33–36, 72–73, 86, 203–204
 - public-private partnerships in, 45–46
- Urban villages, 220–221
- Use value, 16, 27, 37–41
- Utrecht, Netherlands, research dataset for, 247
- Vacant land
 - amount of, 48–49
 - bottom-up approaches to, 40–41
 - community gardens created from, 33–36
 - conflicts between residents and city over, 33–41, 80–84
 - Dudley Street Neighbors Initiative, 49–50, 94–95, 97
 - hypervacancy, 48–49
 - NeighborSpace land trust, 96–101
 - tension between exchange and use value of, 37–41
 - top-down approaches to, 39–40
- Valencia, Spain, research dataset for, 247
- Valle Theatre, Rome, 82
- Venice, Italy, research dataset for, 247
- Viladecans, Spain, research dataset for, 247
- Villa della Piscina (Rome), 230
- Village Community Movement (VCM), 220
- Villas Ad Duas Lauros (Rome), 230
- Villeurbanne, France, research dataset for, 247
- Walker, Latrice, 179
- Washington, DC
 - Advisory Neighborhood Commissions, 165
 - land value in, 37
 - limited-equity cooperative ownership in, 86–87
 - vacancy rates in, 48
- Water services management
 - Naples resolutions, 135
 - Ostrom’s findings on, 78
- Wealth and resource disparities, 2–3, 212–218. *See also* Inequalities; “Right to the city” concept; Urban poor environmental justice concerns and, 35

- as new urban crisis, 7–8
- rise of neoliberalism and, 158
- tech justice, 212–218, 244–254
- Wellington, New Zealand, research dataset for, 252
- What about the Children, 179
- White flight, 222
- Wien, Austria, research dataset for, 247
- Wikipedia, 202
- Wireless mesh networks. *See* Community wireless mesh networks (CWN)
- Woelab, 220
- Won-Soon, Park, 113
- Workers' Party, 168
- Wynberg, Rachel, 171

- Yogyakarta, Indonesia, research dataset for, 251

- Zaragoza, Spain, research dataset for, 247
- Zero Waste in Shared Space challenge, 179
- Zoning plans, 8, 73, 92, 144, 165

Urban and Industrial Environments

Series editor: Robert Gottlieb, Henry R. Luce Professor of Urban and Environmental Policy, Occidental College

Maureen Smith, *The U.S. Paper Industry and Sustainable Production: An Argument for Restructuring*

Keith Pezzoli, *Human Settlements and Planning for Ecological Sustainability: The Case of Mexico City*

Sarah Hammond Creighton, *Greening the Ivory Tower: Improving the Environmental Track Record of Universities, Colleges, and Other Institutions*

Jan Mazurek, *Making Microchips: Policy, Globalization, and Economic Restructuring in the Semiconductor Industry*

William A. Shutkin, *The Land That Could Be: Environmentalism and Democracy in the Twenty-First Century*

Richard Hofrichter, ed., *Reclaiming the Environmental Debate: The Politics of Health in a Toxic Culture*

Robert Gottlieb, *Environmentalism Unbound: Exploring New Pathways for Change*

Kenneth Geiser, *Materials Matter: Toward a Sustainable Materials Policy*

Thomas D. Beamish, *Silent Spill: The Organization of an Industrial Crisis*

Matthew Gandy, *Concrete and Clay: Reworking Nature in New York City*

David Naguib Pellow, *Garbage Wars: The Struggle for Environmental Justice in Chicago*

Julian Agyeman, Robert D. Bullard, and Bob Evans, eds., *Just Sustainabilities: Development in an Unequal World*

Barbara L. Allen, *Uneasy Alchemy: Citizens and Experts in Louisiana's Chemical Corridor Disputes*

Dara O'Rourke, *Community-Driven Regulation: Balancing Development and the Environment in Vietnam*

Brian K. Obach, *Labor and the Environmental Movement: The Quest for Common Ground*

Peggy F. Barlett and Geoffrey W. Chase, eds., *Sustainability on Campus: Stories and Strategies for Change*

Steve Lerner, *Diamond: A Struggle for Environmental Justice in Louisiana's Chemical Corridor*

Jason Corburn, *Street Science: Community Knowledge and Environmental Health Justice*

Peggy F. Barlett, ed., *Urban Place: Reconnecting with the Natural World*

David Naguib Pellow and Robert J. Brulle, eds., *Power, Justice, and the Environment: A Critical Appraisal of the Environmental Justice Movement*

Eran Ben-Joseph, *The Code of the City: Standards and the Hidden Language of Place Making*

Nancy J. Myers and Carolyn Raffensperger, eds., *Precautionary Tools for Reshaping Environmental Policy*

Kelly Sims Gallagher, *China Shifts Gears: Automakers, Oil, Pollution, and Development*

Kerry H. Whiteside, *Precautionary Politics: Principle and Practice in Confronting Environmental Risk*

Ronald Sandler and Phaedra C. Pezzullo, eds., *Environmental Justice and Environmentalism: The Social Justice Challenge to the Environmental Movement*

Julie Sze, *Noxious New York: The Racial Politics of Urban Health and Environmental Justice*

Robert D. Bullard, ed., *Growing Smarter: Achieving Livable Communities, Environmental Justice, and Regional Equity*

Ann Rappaport and Sarah Hammond Creighton, *Degrees That Matter: Climate Change and the University*

Michael Egan, *Barry Commoner and the Science of Survival: The Remaking of American Environmentalism*

David J. Hess, *Alternative Pathways in Science and Industry: Activism, Innovation, and the Environment in an Era of Globalization*

Peter F. Cannavò, *The Working Landscape: Founding, Preservation, and the Politics of Place*

Paul Stanton Kibel, ed., *Rivertown: Rethinking Urban Rivers*

Kevin P. Gallagher and Lyuba Zarsky, *The Enclave Economy: Foreign Investment and Sustainable Development in Mexico's Silicon Valley*

David N. Pellow, *Resisting Global Toxics: Transnational Movements for Environmental Justice*

Robert Gottlieb, *Reinventing Los Angeles: Nature and Community in the Global City*

David V. Carruthers, ed., *Environmental Justice in Latin America: Problems, Promise, and Practice*

Tom Angotti, *New York for Sale: Community Planning Confronts Global Real Estate*

Paloma Pavel, ed., *Breakthrough Communities: Sustainability and Justice in the Next American Metropolis*

Anastasia Loukaitou-Sideris and Renia Ehrenfeucht, *Sidewalks: Conflict and Negotiation over Public Space*

David J. Hess, *Localist Movements in a Global Economy: Sustainability, Justice, and Urban Development in the United States*

Julian Agyeman and Yelena Ogneva-Himmelberger, eds., *Environmental Justice and Sustainability in the Former Soviet Union*

Jason Corburn, *Toward the Healthy City: People, Places, and the Politics of Urban Planning*

JoAnn Carmin and Julian Agyeman, eds., *Environmental Inequalities Beyond Borders: Local Perspectives on Global Injustices*

Louise Mazingo, *Pastoral Capitalism: A History of Suburban Corporate Landscapes*

Gwen Ottinger and Benjamin Cohen, eds., *Technoscience and Environmental Justice: Expert Cultures in a Grassroots Movement*

Samantha MacBride, *Recycling Reconsidered: The Present Failure and Future Promise of Environmental Action in the United States*

Andrew Karvonen, *Politics of Urban Runoff: Nature, Technology, and the Sustainable City*

Daniel Schneider, *Hybrid Nature: Sewage Treatment and the Contradictions of the Industrial Ecosystem*

Catherine Tumber, *Small, Gritty, and Green: The Promise of America's Smaller Industrial Cities in a Low-Carbon World*

Sam Bass Warner and Andrew H. Whittemore, *American Urban Form: A Representative History*

John Pucher and Ralph Buehler, eds., *City Cycling*

Stephanie Foote and Elizabeth Mazzolini, eds., *Histories of the Dustheap: Waste, Material Cultures, Social Justice*

David J. Hess, *Good Green Jobs in a Global Economy: Making and Keeping New Industries in the United States*

Joseph F. C. DiMento and Clifford Ellis, *Changing Lanes: Visions and Histories of Urban Freeways*

Joanna Robinson, *Contested Water: The Struggle Against Water Privatization in the United States and Canada*

William B. Meyer, *The Environmental Advantages of Cities: Countering Commonsense Antiurbanism*

Rebecca L. Henn and Andrew J. Hoffman, eds., *Constructing Green: The Social Structures of Sustainability*

Peggy F. Barlett and Geoffrey W. Chase, eds., *Sustainability in Higher Education: Stories and Strategies for Transformation*

Isabelle Anguelovski, *Neighborhood as Refuge: Community Reconstruction, Place Remaking, and Environmental Justice in the City*

Kelly Sims Gallagher, *The Globalization of Clean Energy Technology: Lessons from China*

Vinit Mukhija and Anastasia Loukaitou-Sideris, eds., *The Informal American City: Beyond Taco Trucks and Day Labor*

Roxanne Warren, *Rail and the City: Shrinking Our Carbon Footprint While Reimagining Urban Space*

Marianne E. Krasny and Keith G. Tidball, *Civic Ecology: Adaptation and Transformation from the Ground Up*

Erik Swyngedouw, *Liquid Power: Contested Hydro-Modernities in Twentieth-Century Spain*

Ken Geiser, *Chemicals without Harm: Policies for a Sustainable World*

Duncan McLaren and Julian Agyeman, *Sharing Cities: A Case for Truly Smart and Sustainable Cities*

Jessica Smartt Gullion, *Fracking the Neighborhood: Reluctant Activists and Natural Gas Drilling*

Nicholas A. Phelps, *Sequel to Suburbia: Glimpses of America's Post-Suburban Future*

Shannon Elizabeth Bell, *Fighting King Coal: The Challenges to Micromobilization in Central Appalachia*

Theresa Enright, *The Making of Grand Paris: Metropolitan Urbanism in the Twenty-first Century*

Robert Gottlieb and Simon Ng, *Global Cities: Urban Environments in Los Angeles, Hong Kong, and China*

Anna Lora-Wainwright, *Resigned Activism: Living with Pollution in Rural China*

Scott L. Cummings, *Blue and Green: The Drive for Justice at America's Port*

David Bissell, *Transit Life: Cities, Commuting, and the Politics of Everyday Mobilities*

Javiera Barandiarán, *From Empire to Umpire: Science and Environmental Conflict in Neoliberal Chile*

Benjamin Pauli, *Flint Fights Back: Environmental Justice and Democracy in the Flint Water Crisis*

Karen Chapple and Anastasia Loukaitou-Sideris, *Transit-Oriented Displacement or Community Dividends? Understanding the Effects of Smarter Growth on Communities*

Henrik Ernstson and Sverker Sörlin, eds., *Grounding Urban Natures: Histories and Futures of Urban Ecologies*

Katrina Smith Korfmacher, *Bridging the Silos: Collaborating for Environment, Health, and Justice in Urban Communities*

Jill Lindsey Harrison, *From the Inside Out: The Fight for Environmental Justice within Government Agencies*

Anastasia Loukaitou-Sideris, Dana Cuff, Todd Presner, Maite Zubiaurre, and Jonathan Jae-an Crisman, *Urban Humanities: New Practices for Reimagining the City*

Govind Gopakumar, *Installing Automobility: Emerging Politics of Mobility and Streets in Indian Cities*

Amelia Thorpe, *Everyday Ownership: PARK(ing) Day and the Practice of Property*

Tridib Banerjee, *In the Images of Development: City Design in the Global South*

Ralph Buehler and John Pucher, eds., *Cycling for Sustainable Cities*

Casey J. Dawkins, *Just Housing: The Moral Foundations of American Housing Policy*

Kian Goh, *Form and Flow: The Spatial Politics of Urban Resilience and Climate Justice*

Kian Goh, Anastasia Loukaitou-Sideris, and Vinit Mukhija, eds., *Just Urban Design: The Struggle for a Public City*

Sheila R. Foster and Christian Iaione, *Co-Cities: Innovative Transitions toward Just and Self-Sustaining Communities*

This is a section of [doi:10.7551/mitpress/11702.001.0001](https://doi.org/10.7551/mitpress/11702.001.0001)

Co-Cities

Innovative Transitions toward Just and Self-Sustaining Communities

By: Sheila R. Foster, Christian Iaione

Citation:

Co-Cities: Innovative Transitions toward Just and Self-Sustaining Communities

By: Sheila R. Foster, Christian Iaione


DOI: 10.7551/mitpress/11702.001.0001

ISBN (electronic): 9780262369930

Publisher: The MIT Press

Published: 2022

The open access edition of this book was made possible by generous funding and support from MIT Libraries



The MIT Press

© 2022 Massachusetts Institute of Technology

This work is subject to a Creative Commons CC-BY-ND license.

Subject to such license, all rights are reserved.



The open access edition of this book was made possible by generous funding from the MIT Libraries.

The MIT Press would like to thank the anonymous peer reviewers who provided comments on drafts of this book. The generous work of academic experts is essential for establishing the authority and quality of our publications. We acknowledge with gratitude the contributions of these otherwise uncredited readers.

This book was set in Stone Serif and Avenir by Westchester Publishing Services.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Foster, Sheila R., 1963– author. | Iaione, Christian, author.

Title: Co-cities : innovative transitions toward just and self-sustaining communities / Sheila R. Foster and Christian Iaione.

Description: Cambridge, Massachusetts : The MIT Press, [2022] | Series: Urban and industrial environments | Includes bibliographical references and index.

Identifiers: LCCN 2021062248 (print) | LCCN 2021062249 (ebook) | ISBN 9780262539982 (paperback) | ISBN 9780262361910 (epub) | ISBN 9780262369930 (pdf)

Subjects: LCSH: City planning. | Civic improvement. | Commons. | Municipal government. | Infrastructure (Economics) | Public-private sector cooperation.

Classification: LCC HT166 .F675 2022 (print) | LCC HT166 (ebook) | DDC 307.1/216—dc23/eng/20220519

LC record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2021062248>

LC ebook record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2021062249>