

Notes

Preface

1. Baber, C., 1991, *Speech Technology in Control Room Systems: A Human Factors Perspective*, Chichester: Ellis Horwood.
2. Baber, C., and Stanton, N., 2017, Rewritable routines in human interaction with public technology, *Engineering Psychology and Cognitive Ergonomics: Volume 2: Job Design and Product Design*, Avebury: Ashgate.
3. Baber, C., and Butler, M., 2012, Expertise in crime scene examination: Comparing search strategies of expert and novice crime scene examiners in simulated crime scenes, *Human Factors*, 54, 413–424.
4. Johannessen, C. M., Longcamp, M., Stuart, S. A., Thibault, P. J. and Baber, C., 2021, The look of writing in reading. Graphetic empathy in making and perceiving graphic traces. *Language Sciences*, 84.
5. Baber, C., 2003, *Cognition and Tool Use*, London: Taylor and Francis.
6. <http://avant.edu.pl/en/events-2>.
7. Baber, C., and Janulis, K., 2021, Purposeful tool use in early lithic technologies, *Adaptive Behavior*, 29, 169–180.
8. Chen, X., Starke, S. D., Baber, C. and Howes, A., 2017, A cognitive model of how people make decisions through interaction with visual displays, *Proceedings of the 2017 CHI conference on human factors in computing systems*, 1205–1216, New York: Association of Computing Machinery.
9. Baber, C., Chemero, T. and Hall, J., 2019, What the jeweller's hand tells the jeweller's brain: Tool use, creativity and embodied cognition, *Philosophy & Technology*, 32, 283–302.

Chapter 1

1. Putnam, H., 1975, The meaning of "meaning," *Philosophical Papers, Vol. II: Mind, Language, and Reality*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
2. Shipp, N., and Vallee-Tourangeau, F., 2019, Cognition can be distributed, extended, enacted, embodied and systemic (but does it matter which?), *British Psychological Society Cognitive Section Bulletin* 4.
3. Shapiro, L., 2011, *Embodied Cognition*, New York: Routledge.
4. Wilson, M., 2002, Six views of embodied cognition, *Psychonomic Bulletin and Review* 9, 625–636.
5. James, W., 1890, *The Principles of Psychology*, New York: Holt, 1:154.
6. Vygotsky, L. S., 1962, *Thought and Language*, Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
7. Wertsch, J. V., 1988, *Vygotsky and the Social Formation of Mind*, Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
8. Brooks, R., 1991, Intelligence without representation, *Artificial Intelligence Journal*, 47, 139–160; Chemero, A., 2009, *Radical Embodied Cognitive Science*, Cambridge, MA: MIT Press; Dreyfus, H. L., 2002, Intelligence without representation—Merleau-Ponty's critique of mental representation: The relevance of phenomenology to scientific explanation, *Phenomenology and the Cognitive Sciences*, 1, 367–383; Gallagher, S., 2017, *Enactivist Interventions: Rethinking the Mind*, Oxford: Oxford University Press; Hutto, D. D., and Myin, E., 2013, *Radicalizing Enactivism: Basic Minds without Content*, Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
9. Sennett, R., 2008, *The Craftsman*, London: Penguin, 227.
10. Bateson, G., 1971, *Steps to an Ecology of Mind: Collected Essays in Anthropology, Psychiatry, Evolution, and Epistemology*, Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 5.
11. Williams, D., 2018, Predictive processing and the representation wars, *Minds and Machines*, 28, 141–172.
12. Dietrich, E., 2007, Representation, in P. Thaggard, ed., *Philosophy of Psychology and Cognitive Science*, Oxford: North-Holland, 1–29.
13. Baddeley, A., 2007, *Working Memory, Thought, and Action*, Oxford: Oxford University Press.
14. Miller, G. A., 1956, The magical number seven, plus or minus two: Some limits on our capacity for processing information, *Psychological Review*, 63, 81.
15. Baddeley, A. D., Thomson, N., and Buchanan, M., 1975, Word length and the structure of short-term memory, *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, 14, 575–589.
16. Fodor, J. A., and Pylyshyn, Z. W., 1988, Connectionism and cognitive architecture: A critical analysis, *Cognition* 28, 3–71.

17. O'Brien, G., and Opie, J., 2004, Notes toward a structuralist theory of mental representation, in H. Clapin, P. Staines and P. Slezak, eds., *Representation in Mind: New Approaches to Mental Representation*, 1–20, Amsterdam: Elsevier.
18. Markman, A. B., and Dietrich, E., 2000, In defense of representation, *Cognitive Psychology*, 40, 140.
19. Craik, K. J. W., 1952, *The Nature of Explanation*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 61.
20. Craik, K. J., 1947, Theory of the human operator in control systems 1: I. The operator as an engineering system, *British Journal of Psychology. General Section*, 38, 56–61; Craik, K. J., 1948, Theory of the human operator in control systems. II. Man as an element in a control system, *British Journal of Psychology*, 38, 142.
21. Bartlett, F. C., 1932, *Remembering: A Study in Experimental and Social Psychology*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
22. Craik, 1952, 51.
23. Walter, W. G., 1953, *The Living Brain*, London: Butterworth, 125.
24. Gibson, J. J., 1966, *The Senses Considered as Perceptual Systems*, Boston: Houghton Mifflin.
25. Merleau-Ponty, M., 2014 [1945], *Phenomenology of Perception*, London: Routledge.
26. Chemero, A., 2020. Epilogue: What Embodiment Is. In N.K. Dees (ed) *A Multi-disciplinary Approach to Embodiment*, London: Routledge, 133–139.
27. Rorty, 1979. *Philosophy and the Mirror of Nature*, Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
28. Gibbs, R. W., 2006, *Embodiment and Cognitive Science*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; Rupert, R. D., 2009, *Cognitive Systems and the Extended Mind*, Oxford: Oxford University Press.
29. Gallagher, 2017, 160.
30. Dreyfus, H., 1991, *What Computers Still Can't Do*, Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
31. Polanyi, M., 1966, *The Tacit Dimension*, London: Routledge and Kegan Paul.
32. Hutto and Myin, 2013, 67.
33. Rouse, W. B., and Morris, N. M., 1986, On looking into the black box: Prospects and limits in the search for mental models, *Psychological Bulletin*, 100, 349.
34. Ryle, G., 1949, *The Concept of Mind*, London: Hutchinson.
35. Gallagher, S., 2015, How embodied cognition is being disembodied, *Philosophers' Magazine*, 68, 96–102.

36. Butler, S., 1912, *The Notebooks of Samuel Butler*, London: A. C. Fifield.
37. Varela, F. J., 1979, *Principles of Biological Autonomy*, New York: North Holland.
38. Chomsky, N., 1988, *Language and the Problems of Knowledge*, Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
39. Shannon, C. E., 1948, A mathematical theory of communication, *Bell System Technical Journal*, 27, 379–423, 623–656.
40. Turvey, M. T., and Carello, C., 1985, The equation of information and meaning from the perspectives of situation semantics and Gibson's ecological realism, *Linguistics and Philosophy*, 8, 81–90.
41. Gibson, J. J., 1979, *The Ecological Approach to Visual Perception*, Boston, MA: Houghton Mifflin, 242.
42. Merleau-Ponty, 2014.
43. Aleksander, I., 2013, *The World in My Mind, My Mind in the World*, London: Andrews UK Limited
44. Kirsh, D., 2010, Thinking with external representations, *AI & Society*, 25, 441–454; Scaife, M., and Rogers, Y., 1996, External cognition: How do graphical representations work? *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, 45, 185–213.
45. Hollan, J., Hutchins, E., and Kirsch, D., 2002, Distributed cognition: toward a new foundation for human-computer interaction, in J. Carroll, ed., *Human-Computer Interaction in the New Millennium*, 75–94, New York: Addison-Wesley; Hutchins, E., 1995, *Cognition in the Wild*, Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
46. Thelen, E., and Smith, L. B., 1994, *A Dynamic Systems Approach to the Development of Cognition and Action*, Cambridge, MA: MIT Press; Van Gelder, T., 1995, What might cognition be, if not computation? *The Journal of Philosophy*, 92, 345–381.
47. Hutchins, 1995.
48. Nemeth, C., 2003, How cognitive artifacts support distributed cognition in acute care, *Proceedings of the 47th Annual Meeting of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society*, 381–385, Santa Monica, CA: Human Factors And Ergonomics Society.
49. Clark, A., and Chalmers, D. J., 1998, The extended mind, *Analysis*, 58, 10–23.
50. Adams, F., and Aizawa, K., 2008, *The Bounds of Cognition*, Oxford: Blackwell.
51. Clark, A., 2001, Reasons, robots, and the extended mind, *Mind and Language*, 16, 132.
52. Brooks, R., 1990, Elephants don't play chess, *Robotics and Autonomous Systems*, 6, 3–15.
53. Goldinger, S. D., Papesh, M. H., Barnhart, A. S., Hansen, W. A., and Hout, M. C., 2016, The poverty of embodied cognition, *Psychonomic Bulletin and Review*, 23, 959–978.

54. Clark, A., and Toribio, J., 1994, Doing without representing? *Synthese*, 101, 418.
55. Degenaar, J., and Myin, E., 2014, Representation—hunger reconsidered, *Synthese*, 191, 3639–3648.
56. Barsalou, L. W., 1999, Perceptions of perceptual symbols, *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 22, 637–660; Barsalou, L. W., 2008, Grounded cognition, *Annual Review of Psychology*, 59, 617–645.
57. Calvo-Merino, B., Glaser, D. E., Grèzes, J., Passingham, R. E., and Haggard, P., 2005, Action observation and acquired motor skills: An fMRI study with expert dancers, *Cerebral Cortex*, 15, 1243–1249.
58. Dreyfus, 2002, 382.
59. Gallagher, 2017.
60. Bernstein, N. A., 1967, *The Coordination and Regulation of Movements*, Oxford: Pergamon Press.
61. Gibson, 1979, 225.
62. Huys et al., 2004, 360.
63. Luria, A. R., 1973, *The Working Brain*, Harmondsworth: Penguin.
64. Keele, S. W., 1968, Movement control in skilled motor performance, *Psychological Bulletin*, 70, 387; Schmidt, R. A., 1975, A schema theory of discrete motor skill learning, *Psychological Review*, 82, 225.
65. Gallagher, S., 2005, *How the Body Shapes the Mind*, Oxford: Clarendon Press.
66. Wilson, A. D., and Golonka, S., 2013, Embodied cognition is not what you think it is, *Frontiers in Psychology*, 4, 58.
67. Barsalou, 1999, 2008; Lakoff, G., and Johnson, M., 1980, *Metaphors We Live By*, Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
68. Lakoff and Johnson, 1980.
69. Chemero, 2009, 29.
70. Gallagher, S., and Zahavi, D., 2008, *The Phenomenological Mind: An Introduction to Philosophy of Mind and Cognitive Science*, New York: Routledge.
71. Adams and Aizawa, 2008.

Chapter 2

1. Glaveanu, V. P., 2014, *Distributed Creativity: Thinking outside the Box of the Creative Individual*, Cham, Germany: Springer; Malinin, L. H., 2019, How radical is embodied creativity? *Frontiers in Psychology*, 10, 2372.

2. Malinin, 2019.
3. "Kees Overbeeke," Interaction Design Foundation, n.d., <https://www.interaction-design.org/literature/author/kees-overbeeke>.
4. Rowe, 1991, *Design Thinking*, Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 2.
5. Rowe, 1991, 77.
6. Csikszentmihalyi, M., 1996, *Flow and the Psychology of Discovery and Invention*, New York: Harper Perennial.
7. Dreyfus, H. L., 2002, Intelligence without representation—Merleau-Ponty's critique of mental representation: The relevance of phenomenology to scientific explanation, *Phenomenology and the Cognitive Sciences*, 1, 367–383.
8. Gordon, W. J. J., 1961, *Synergetica: The Development of Creative Capacity*, New York: Harper and Row.
9. Koestler, A. 1964, *The Act of Creation*, New York: Macmillan.
10. Zeng, L., Proctor, R. W., and Salvendy, G., 2011, Can traditional divergent thinking tests be trusted in measuring and predicting real-world creativity?, *Creativity Research Journal*, 23, 24–37.
11. Gallagher, S. 2005, *How the Body Shapes the Mind*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
12. Malinin, 2019; Sawyer, R. K., and de Zutter, S., 2009, Distributed creativity: How collective creations emerge from collaboration, *Psychology of Aesthetics, Creativity, and the Arts*, 3, 81; Schön, D. A., 1983, *The Reflective Practitioner: How Professionals Think in Action*, London: Routledge; Csikszentmihályi, M., 1990, The domain of creativity, in M. A. Runco and R. S. Albert, eds., *Sage Focus Editions, Vol. 115: Theories of Creativity*, 190–212, London: Sage.
13. Boden, M. A., 1996, What is creativity? In M. A. Boden, ed., *Dimensions of Creativity*, Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 75.
14. Brown, T., 2009, *Change by Design: How Design Thinking Transforms Organizations and Inspires Innovation*, New York: Harper Business.
15. Simon, H. A., 1988, The science of design: creating the artificial, *Design Issues*, 4, 67–82.
16. Asimow, M., 1962, *Introduction to Design*, Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
17. Kimbell, L., 2011, Rethinking design thinking: Part I. *Design and Culture*, 3, 285–306; Kimbell, L., 2012, Rethinking design thinking: Part II. *Design and Culture*, 4, 129–148.
18. Campbell, D. T., 1960, Blind variation and selective retention in creative thought as in other knowledge processes, *Psychological Review*, 67, 380–400.

19. Voss, J. F., 2006, Toulmin's model and the solving of ill-structured problems, in D. Hitchcock and B. Verheij, eds., *Arguing on the Toulmin Model: New Essays in Argument Analysis and Evaluation*, 300–311, Berlin: Springer.
20. Simon, H. A., 1973, The structure of ill-structured problems, *Artificial Intelligence*, 4, 181–201.
21. Simon, H. A., 1972, Theories of bounded rationality, *Decision and organization*, 1, 161–176.
22. Ashton, K., 2015, *How to Fly a Horse: The Secret History of Creation, Invention, and Discovery*, London: Doubleday, 59.
23. Reitman, W. R., 1964, Heuristic decision procedures, open constraints and the structure of ill-defined problems, in M. Shelly II and G. L. Bryan, eds., *Human Judgments and Optimality*, 282–315, New York: John Wiley & Sons.
24. Stokes, P. D., 2006, *Creativity from Constraints: The Psychology of Breakthrough*, New York: Springer
25. Reitman, 1964, 307.
26. Baber, C., Chemero, T., and Hall, J., 2019, What the jeweller's hand tells the jeweller's brain: Tool use, creativity and embodied cognition, *Philosophy and Technology*, 32, 283–302.
27. Kirsh, D., and Maglio, P., 1994, On distinguishing epistemic from pragmatic action, *Cognitive Science*, 18, 513–549, quoted in the caption to figure 2.1.
28. Kirsh and Maglio, 1994.
29. Maier, N. R. F., 1931, Reasoning in humans II: The solution of a problem and its appearance in consciousness, *Journal of Comparative and Physiological Psychology*, 12, 181–194.
30. Maier, N. R. F., 1931, Reasoning in humans II: the solution of a problem and its appearance in consciousness, *Journal of Comparative and Physiological Psychology*, 12, 193.
31. Ohlsson, S., 1992, Information-processing explanations of insight and related phenomena, *Advances in the Psychology of Thinking*, 1, 1–44.
32. Cofer, C. N., 1951, Verbal behaviour in relation to reasoning and values, in H. Guetzkow, ed., *Groups, Leadership and Men*, 206–217, Pittsburgh, PA: Carnegie Press.
33. Duncan, C. P., 1961, Attempts to influence performance on an insight problem, *Psychological Reports*, 9, 35–42.
34. Olteteanu, A-M., and Freksa, C., 2014, Towards affordance-based solving of object insight problems, *Proceedings of the 1st Workshop on Affordances: Affordances*

for *Vision in for Cognitive Robotics, Robotics Science and Systems, Carnegie Music Hall, Pittsburgh, PA: Robotics: Science and Systems.*

35. Zhang, J., and Norman, D., 1994, Representations in distributed cognitive tasks, *Cognitive Science*, 18, 87–122.

36. Kotovsky, K., Hayes, J. R., and Simon, H. A., 1985, Why are some problems hard? Evidence from the Tower of Hanoi, *Cognitive Psychology*, 17, 248–294.

37. Guthrie, L. G., Vallée-Tourangeau, F., Vallée-Tourangeau, G., and Howard, C., 2015, Learning and interactivity in solving a transformation problem, *Memory & Cognition*, 43, 723–735.

38. Vallée-Tourangeau, F., Steffensen, S. V., Vallée-Tourangeau, G., and Sirota, M., 2016, Insight with hands and things, *Acta Psychologica*, 170, 195–205.

39. Steffensen, S. V., Vallée-Tourangeau, F. and Vallée-Tourangeau, G., 2016, Cognitive events in a problem-solving task: a qualitative method for investigating interactivity in the 17 Animals problem. *Journal of Cognitive Psychology*, 28, 79–105.

40. Archer, L. B., 1965, *Systematic Method for Designers*, London: Her Majesty's Stationery Office.

41. Jones, J. C., 1992, *Design Methods*, 2nd ed., New York: van Nostrand Reinhold, 19.

42. Polanyi, M., 1966, *The Tacit Dimension*, London: Routledge and Kegan Paul.

43. Perry, S., 2018, *Making Sense: Cognition, Computing, Art and Embodiment*, Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 254.

44. Adamson, G., 2013, *Thinking through Craft*, London: Bloomsbury, 4.

45. Baber et al., 2019.

46. Rietveld, E., and Brouwers, A. A., 2016, Optimal grip on affordances in architectural design practices: An ethnography, *Phenomenology and Cognitive Sciences*, 16, 555.

47. Verstijnen, I. M., van Leeuwen, C., Goldschmidt, G., Hamel, R., and Hennessey, J. M., 1998, Creative discovery in imagery and perception: Combining is relatively easy, restructuring takes a sketch, *Acta Psychologica*, 99, 177–200.

48. Goldschmidt, G., 1991, The dialectics of sketching, *Creativity Research Journal*, 3, 123–143.

49. Alexander, C., 1964, *Notes on the Synthesis of Form*, Cambridge MA: Harvard University Press.

50. Baber et al., 2019.

51. Chemero, A., 2009, *Radical Embodied Cognitive Science*, Cambridge, MA: MIT Press

52. Rietveld and Brouwers, 2016.

53. Baber, C. and Saini, M., 1995, Craft skills in jewellery manufacture, in S. A. Robertson (ed), *Contemporary Ergonomics 1995*, 92–97, London: Taylor and Francis.
54. Pereira, Á., and Tschimmel, K., 2012, The design of narrative jewelry as a perception in action process, in Duffy, A.; Nagai, Y.; Taura, T., eds, *DS 73–1 Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Design Creativity Volume 1.*, Glasgow: The Design Society.
55. Baber et al., 2019.
56. Ingold, T., 2010, The textility of making, *Cambridge Journal of Economics*, 34, 91–10; Ingold, T., 2013, *Making: Anthropology, Archaeology, Art and Architecture*. New York: Routledge.
57. Schön, 1983.
58. Schön, 1983, 50.
59. Dewey, J., 1933, *How We Think*, Boston: Houghton Mifflin.
60. Schön, 1983, 103.
61. Wuytens, K., and Willems, B., 2009, Diversity in the design processes of studio jewellers, *EKSIG: Experimental Knowledge, Method & Methodology*, http://www.academia.edu/885664/Diversity_in_the_design_processes_of_studio_jewellers.

Chapter 3

1. Gibson, J. J., 1979, *The Ecological Approach to Visual Perception*, Boston: Houghton Mifflin, 128.
2. Gibson, 1979, 128.
3. Rietveld, E., and Kiverstein, J., 2014, A rich landscape of affordances, *Ecological Psychology*, 26, 325–352.
4. Ingold, T., 2000, *The Perception of the Environment: Essays on Livelihood, Dwelling and Skill*, London: Psychology Press, 5.
5. Gibson, 1979, 254.
6. Freivalds, A., 1986, The ergonomics of shovelling and shovel design—A review of the literature, *Ergonomics*, 29, 3–18.
7. Rietveld, E., 2008, Situated normativity: The normative aspect of embodied cognition in unreflective action, *Mind*, 117, 973–1001.
8. Newell, K. M., 1986, Constraints on the development of coordination, in M. G. Wade and H. T. A. Whiting, eds., *Motor Development in Children: Aspects of Coordination and Control*, 341–361, Amsterdam: Martinus Nijhoff Publishers.

9. Gibson J. J., 1947, Motion picture testing and research. *AAF Aviation Psychology Research Report 7*. Washington, DC: US Government Printing Office.
10. Garcia-Marquez, G., 1967, *One Hundred Years of Solitude*, London: Picador, 46.
11. Gibson, J. J., and Crooks, L. E., 1938, A theoretical field-analysis of automobile-driving, *American Journal of Psychology*, 51, 453–471.
12. Flach, J. M., 1995, The ecology of human machine systems: A personal history, in J. M. Flach, P. A. Hancock, J. Caird, and K. J. Vicente, eds., *Global Perspectives on the Ecology of Human-Machine Systems*, 121–156, Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press.
13. Brunswik, E., 1956, *Perception and the Representative Design of Psychological Experiments*, Berkeley: University of California Press.
14. Vicente, K. J., 2003, Beyond the lens model and direct perception: Toward a broader ecological psychology, *Ecological Psychology*, 15, 241–267.
15. Vicente, 2003.
16. Warren, W. H., Jr., 1984, Perceiving affordances: Visual guidance of stair climbing, *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 10, 683–703.
17. Vicente, 2003.
18. Camerer, C. F., and Johnson, E. J., 1997, The process-performance paradox in expert judgment: How can experts know so much and predict so badly, in A. Ericsson and J. Smith, eds, *Research on Judgment and Decision Making: Currents, Connections, and Controversies*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 195–217.
19. Gigerenzer, G., 2008, Why heuristics work, *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 3, 20–29.
20. Karelaia, N., and Hogarth, R., 2008, “Determinants of linear judgment: A meta-analysis of lens model studies,” *Psychological Bulletin*, 134, 404–426.
21. Bisantz, A. M., Kirlik, A., Gay, P., Phipps, D. A., Walker, N., and Fisk, A. D., 2000, Modeling and analysis of a dynamic judgment task using a lens model approach, *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics—Part A: Systems And Humans*, 30, 605–616.
22. Kirlik, A., Walker, N., Fisk, A. D., and Nagel, K., 1996, Supporting perception in the service of dynamic decision making, *Human Factors*, 38, 288–299.
23. Lipshitz, R. and Ben Shaul, O., 1997, Schemata and mental models in recognition-primed decision making, in C. E. Zsombok and G. Klein, eds., *Naturalistic Decision Making*, London: Psychology Press, 293–303.
24. Baber, C., Chen, X., and Howes, A., 2015, (Very) rapid decision-making: Framing or filtering? Communication presented at the International Conference on Naturalistic Decision-Making, McLean, VA.

25. Vallée-Tourangeau, F., Steffensen, S. V., Vallée-Tourangeau, G., and Sirota, M., 2016, Insight with hands and things, *Acta Psychologica*, 170, 195–205.
26. Klein, G. A., 1993, A recognition-primed decision (RPD) model of rapid decision making, in G. A. Klein, J. Orasanu, R. Calderwood, and C. E. Zsombok, eds., *Decision Making in Action: Models and Methods*, 138–147, Norwood, NJ: Ablex.
27. Weller, A., Villejoubert, G., and Vallée-Tourangeau, F., 2011, Interactive insight problem solving, *Thinking and Reasoning*, 17, 429–439.
28. Csikszentmihalyi, M., 1996, *Flow and the Psychology of Discovery and Invention*, New York: Harper Perennial
29. Gibson, 1979, 9.
30. Rasmussen, J., 1999, Ecological interface design for reliable human-machine systems, *International Journal of Aviation Psychology*, 9, 203–223.
31. Suchman, L. A., 1987, *Plans and Situated Action*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
32. Heidegger, M., 1962, *Being and Time*, New York: Harper Row.
33. Clark, P., 1976, Atomism versus thermodynamics, in C. Howson, ed., *Method and Appraisal in the Physical Sciences*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 41–106.
34. Sharrock, W. W., and Anderson, B., 2012, *The Ethnomethodologists*, London: Routledge, 124.
35. Høffding, S. and Martiny, K., 2016, Framing a phenomenological interview: What, why and how, *Phenomenology and the Cognitive Sciences*, 15, 539–564.
36. Klein, G. A., Calderwood, R., and Macgregor, D., 1989, Critical decision method for eliciting knowledge, *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics*, 19, 462–472.
37. Gallagher, S., 2017, *Enactivist Interventions: Rethinking the Mind*, Oxford: Oxford University Press.
38. Gallagher, S., and Zahavi, D., 2008, *The Phenomenological Mind: An Introduction to Philosophy of Mind and Cognitive Science*, New York: Routledge, 28.
39. Dourish, P., 2004, *Where the Action Is: The Foundations of Embodied Interaction*, Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 125.
40. Ericsson, K. A., and Simon, H. A., 1980, Verbal reports as data, *Psychological Review*, 87, 215.
41. The video *William “Holly” Whyte in His Own Words, “The Social Life of Small Urban Spaces” (1980)* gives a very neat summary of the work; see <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=sU2vVqbtRAY>.

42. Rietveld and Kiverstein, 2014.
43. Baber, C., and Butler, M., 2012, Expertise in crime scene examination: Comparing search strategies of expert and novice crime scene examiners in simulated crime scenes, *Human Factors*, 54, 413–424.
44. Heath, C., and Luff, P., 1992, Collaboration and control: Crisis management and multimedia technology in London Underground Line control rooms, *Journal of Computer-Supported Cooperative Work*, 1, 24–48; Heath, C., Luff, P., Sanchez-Svensson, M., and Nicholls, M., 2017, Exchanging implements: The micro-materialities of multidisciplinary work in the operating theatre, *Sociology of Health and Illness*, 40, 297–313.
45. Chen, X., Starke, S. D., Baber, C., and Howes, A., 2017, A cognitive model of how people make decisions through interaction with visual displays, *CHI17: Proceedings of the 35th Annual ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, New York: ACM, 1205–1216.
46. Hayhoe, M., and Ballard, D., 2005, Eye movements in natural behaviour, *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 9, 188–194.
47. Ballard, D. H., Hayhoe, M. M., and Pelz, J. B., 1995, Memory representations in natural tasks, *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 7, 66–80.
48. Moray, N., and Rotenberg I. R. A., 1989, Fault management in process control: Eye movements and action, *Ergonomics*, 32, 1319–1342; Starke, S. D., Baber, C., Cooke, N. J., and Howes, A., 2017, Workflows and individual differences during visually guided routine tasks in a road traffic management control room, *Applied Ergonomics*, 61, 79–89.
49. Kirwan, B., Kaarstad, M., Hauland, G., and Follesoe, K., 1995, See no evil, hear no evil, speak no evil: Verbal protocol analysis, eye movement analysis, and nuclear power plant diagnosis, *Contemporary Ergonomics 1995*, London: Taylor and Francis, 249–249.
50. Heath et al., 2017, 300.
51. Heath et al., 2017, 303.
52. Latour, B., and Woolgar, S., 1979, *Laboratory Life: The Social Construction of Scientific Facts*, Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.
53. Dourish, P., 2017, *The Stuff of Bits: An Essay on the Materialities of Information*, Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
54. Baber, C., Cengiz, T. G., Starke, S. and Parekh, M., 2015, Objective classification of performance in the use of a piercing saw in jewellery making, *Applied Ergonomics*, 51, 211–221.

Chapter 4

1. Norman, D. A., 1988, *The Psychology of Everyday Things*, New York: Basic Books, 9.
2. Chemero, A., 2020, Epilogue: What embodiment is. In N. K. Dees, ed., *A Multidisciplinary Approach to Embodiment*, London: Routledge, 133–139.
3. Varela, F., Thompson, E., and Rosch, E., 1991 *The Embodied Mind: Cognitive Science and Human Experience*, Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
4. Baber, C., 2018 Designing smart objects to support affording situations: Exploiting affordance through an understanding of forms of engagement, *Frontiers in Psychology*, 9, 292.
5. Brooks, R., 1991, Intelligence without representation, *Artificial Intelligence Journal*, 47, 139–160.
6. Montesano, L., Lopes, M., Bernardino, A., and Santos-Victor, J., 2008, Learning object affordances: From sensory-motor coordination to imitation, *IEEE Transactions on Robotics*, 24, 15–26.
7. Cisek, P., 2007, Cortical mechanisms of action selection: The affordance competition hypothesis, *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 362, 1585–1599.
8. Sridharan, M., and Meadows, B., 2017, An architecture for discovering affordances, causal laws, and executability conditions, *Advances in Cognitive Systems*, 5, 1–16.
9. Newell, K. M., 1986, Constraints on the development of coordination, in M. G. Wade and H. T. A. Whiting, eds., *Motor Development in Children: Aspects of Coordination and Control*, 341–361, Amsterdam: Martinus Nijhoff.
10. Lewin, K., 1936, *Principles of Topological Psychology*, New York: McGraw-Hill.
11. Kirlik, A., 2004, On Stoffregen’s definition of affordance, *Ecological Psychology*, 16, 73–77.
12. Abbate, A. J., and Bass, E. J., 2017, Modeling affordance using formal methods, *Proceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society 2017 Annual Meeting*, 723–727, Santa Monica, CA: Human Factors and Ergonomics Society.
13. Abbate and Bass, 2017.
14. Fitts, P. M., and Deininger, R. L., 1954, S-R compatibility: Correspondence among paired elements within stimulus and response codes, *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 48, 483–492; Fitts, P. M., and Seeger, C. M., 1953, S-R compatibility: Spatial characteristics of stimulus and response codes, *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 46, 199–210; Welford, A. T., 1976, *Skilled Performance. Perceptual and Motor Skills*, Glenview, IL: Foreman.

15. Kornblum, S., Hasbroucq, T., and Osman, A., 1990, Dimensional overlap: Cognitive basis for stimulus-response compatibility—A model and taxonomy, *Psychological Review*, 97, 253; Kornblum, S., and Lee, J. W., 1995, Stimulus-response compatibility with relevant and irrelevant stimulus dimensions that do and do not overlap with the response, *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 21, 855.
16. Proctor, R. W., and Vu, K. P. L., 2016, Principles for designing interfaces compatible with human information processing, *International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction*, 32, 2–22.
17. Fitts and Seeger, 1053.
18. Stins, J. F., and Michaels, C. F., 1997, Stimulus-response compatibility is information-action compatibility., *Ecological Psychology*, 9, 25–45.
19. Norman, D. A., 1999, Affordance, conventions, and design, *Interactions*, 6, 38–43.
20. Turner, P., 2005, Affordance as context, *Interacting with Computers*, 17, 787–800.
21. Stefanucci, J. K., and Geuss, M. N., 2009, Big people, little world: The body influences size perception, *Perception*, 38, 1782–1795; Warren, W. H., and Wang, S., 1987, Visual guidance of walking through apertures: Body-scaled information for affordances, *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 13, 371–383.
22. Warren, W. H., 1984, Perceiving affordances: Visual guidance of stair climbing, *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 10, 683–703.
23. Kinsella-Shaw, J. M., Shaw, B., and Turvey, M., 1992, Perceiving walk-on-able slopes, *Ecological Psychology*, 4, 223–239.
24. Mark, L. S., 1987, Eye-height-scaled information about affordances: A study of sitting and stair climbing, *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 13, 361–370.
25. Cornus, S., Montagne, G., and Laurent, M., 1999, Perception of a stepping-across affordance, *Ecological Psychology*, 11, 249–267.
26. Stefanucci, J. K., and Geuss, M. N., 2010, Duck! Scaling the height of a horizontal barrier to body height, *Attention, Perception and Psychophysics*, 72, 1338–1349.
27. Franchak, J. M., and Adolph, K. E., 2014, Gut estimates: Pregnant women adapt to changing possibilities for squeezing through doorways, *Attention, Perception, & Psychophysics*, 76, 460–472.
28. Higuchi, T., Murai, G., Kijima, A., Seya, Y., Wagman, J. B., and Imanaka, K., 2011, Athletic experience influences shoulder rotations when running through apertures, *Human Movement Science*, 30, 534–549.
29. Proffitt, D. R., 2006, Embodied perception and the economy of action, *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 1, 110–122.

30. Proffitt, D. R., and Linkenauger, S. A., 2013, Perception viewed as a phenotypic expression, in W. Prinz, M. Biesert, and A. Herwig, eds., *Action Science: Foundations of an Emerging Discipline*, 171–179, Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
31. Witt, K. L., and Proffitt, D. R., 2008, Action-specific influences on distance perception: A role for motor simulation, *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, *34*, 1479–1492.
32. Humphreys, G. W., 2001, Objects, affordances, action, *Psychologist*, *14*, 408–412.
33. Malafouris, L., 2013, *How Things Shape the Mind: A Theory of Material Engagement*, Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
34. Kiverstein, J., and Rietveld, E., 2020, Scaling-up skilled intentionality to linguistic thought, *Synthese*, *198*, 175–194.
35. Bruineberg, J., and Rietveld, E., 2014, Self-organisation, free-energy minimisation, and optimal grip on field of affordances, *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2014.00599>; Rietveld, E., and Kiverstein, J., 2014, A rich landscape of affordances, *Ecological Psychology*, *26*, 325–352.
36. Rietveld, E., De Haan, S., and Denys, D., 2013, Social affordances in context: What is it that we are bodily responsive to? Commentary on Schilbach et al., *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, *36*, 436.
37. Rietveld and Kiverstein, 2014, 335.
38. Rietveld, E., Denys, D., and Van Westen, M., 2018, Ecological-enactive cognition as engaging with a rich landscape of affordances: The skilled intentionality framework (SIF), in A. Newen, L. De Bruin, and S. Gallagher, eds., *The Oxford handbook of 4E cognition*, New York: Oxford University Press, 41–70.
39. Ingold, T., 2000, *The Perception of the Environment: Essays on Livelihood, Dwelling and Skill*, London: Psychology Press, 5.
40. Käufer, S., and Chemero, A., 2015, *Phenomenology: An Introduction*, Cambridge: Polity Press.
41. Rietveld and Kiverstein, 2014.
42. Wittgenstein, L., 1953, *Philosophical Investigations*, Oxford: Blackwell.
43. Gibson, J. J., 1966, *The Senses Considered as Perceptual Systems*, Boston: Houghton Mifflin.
44. Gibson, J. J., 1979, *The Ecological Approach to Visual Perception*, Boston: Houghton Mifflin, 127.
45. Chemero, A., 2003, An outline of a theory of affordances, *Ecological Psychology*, *15*, 181–195.

46. Gibson, 1979, 128.
47. Pickering, A., 1995, *The Mangle of Practice: Time, Agency, and Science*, Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
48. Davis, J., 2020, *How Artifacts Afford: The Power and Politics of Everyday Things*, Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
49. Merleau-Ponty, M., 2014 [1945], *Phenomenology of Perception*, London: Routledge
50. Davis, 2020, 97.
51. Koffka, K., 2001 [1935], *Principles of Gestalt Psychology*, London: Routledge, 353.
52. Koffka, 2001, 138.
53. Käufer and Chemero, 2015.
54. Koffka, 1935, 7. The quotation ends with the phrase “and a woman says ‘Love me.’” The contemporary reader will be affronted by the misogyny here. One might have an idea of what the “woman” in question might “say” to Koffka (although I doubt that it would be printable).
55. Peirce, C. S., 1991, *Peirce on Signs: Writings on Semiotic*, J. Hoopes, ed., Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina.
56. Gaver, W., 1991, *Technology Affordances, CHI’91*, New York: Association for Computing Machinery.
57. Lewin, 1936.
58. Gibson, 1979, 138–139.
59. Gibson, 1979, 138–139.
60. Hone, K. S., and Baber, C., 2001, Designing habitable dialogues for speech-based interaction with computers, *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, 54, 637–662.
61. Chalmers, M. and Galani, A., 2004, Seamful interweaving: Heterogeneity in the theory and design of interactive systems, *Proceedings of the 5th Conference on Designing Interactive Systems: Processes, Practices, Methods, and Techniques*, 243–252, Cambridge, MA: Association for Computing Machinery.

Chapter 5

1. Carroll, J. M., and Rosson, M. B., 1992, Getting around the task-artifact cycle: How to make claims and design by scenario, *ACM Transactions on Information Systems (TOIS)*, 10, 181–212.
2. Bijker, W. E., 1997, *Of Bicycles, Bakelites, and Bulbs: Toward a Theory of Sociotechnical Change*, Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

3. Tuomi, I., 2002, *Networks of Innovation: Change and Meaning in the Age of the Internet*, Oxford: Oxford University Press.
4. Rasmussen, J., 1997, Risk management in a dynamic society: A modelling problem, *Safety science*, 27, 183–213.
5. Eason, K., 2001, Changing perspectives on the organizational consequences of information technology, *Behaviour & Information Technology*, 20, 323–328.; Klein, L., 2014, What do we actually mean by “sociotechnical”? On values, boundaries and the problems of language, *Applied Ergonomics*, 45, 137–142.
6. Latour, B., 2013, Reassembling the social: An introduction to actor-network-theory, *Journal of Economic Sociology*, 14, 73–87.
7. Baber, C., Morar, N. S., and McCabe, F., Ecological interface design, the proximity compatibility principle, and automation reliability in road traffic management, *IEEE Transactions on Human-Machine Systems*, 49, 241–249.
8. Burns, C. M., and Hajdukiewicz, J., 2004, *Ecological Interface Design*, San Diego, CA: CRC Press; Jenkins, D. P., Stanton, N. A., Salmon, P. M., and Walker, G. H., 2008, *Cognitive Work Analysis: Coping with Complexity*, Avebury: Ashgate; Vicente, K. J., and Rasmussen, J., 1992, Ecological interface design: Theoretical foundations, *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man and Cybernetics*, 22, 589–606.
9. Baber, C., Attfield, S., Conway, G., Rooney, C., and Kodagoda, N., 2016, Collaborative sensemaking during intelligence analysis exercises, *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, 86, 94–108.
10. Lintern, G., 2010, A comparison of the decision ladder and the recognition-primed decision model, *Journal of Cognitive Engineering and Decision Making*, 4, 304–327.
11. Rasmussen, J., 1986, A framework for cognitive task analysis in systems design, in E. Hollnagel, E. Mancini, and D. Woods, eds., *Intelligent Decision Support in Process Environments*, 175–196, Berlin: Springer.
12. Rasmussen, 1986.
13. Mayes, J. T., Draper, S. W., McGregor, A. M., and Oatley, K., 1988, Information flow in a user interface: The effect of experience and context on the recall of MacWrite screens, in D. M. Jones and R. Winder, eds., *Human-Computer Interaction*, 275–289, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
14. Naikar, N., 2010, *A Comparison of the Decision Ladder Template and the Recognition-Primed Decision Model*, Defence Science and Technology Organisation, Victoria (Australia), Air Operations Division.
15. Lintern, 2010.
16. Bennett, K. B., and Flach, J., 2019, Ecological interface design: Thirty-plus years of refinement, progress, and potential, *Human Factors*, 61, 513–525; Bennett, K. B.,

and Flach, J. M., 2011, *Display and Interface Design: Subtle Science, Exact Art*, Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press; Burns, C. M. and Hajdukiewicz, J., 2004, *Ecological Interface Design*, Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press.

17. Burns, C. M., 2000, Navigation strategies with ecological displays, *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, 52, 111–129.

18. Vicente, K. J., and Rasmussen, J., 1992, Ecological interface design: Theoretical foundations, *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man and Cybernetics*, 22, 589–606.

19. Rasmussen, J., 1999, Ecological interface design for reliable human-machine systems, *International Journal of Aviation Psychology*, 9, 203–223.

20. Vicente and Rasmussen, 1992.

21. Lau, N., and Jamieson, G. A., 2006, Ecological interface design for the condenser subsystems of a boiling water reactor simulator, in E. Koningsveld, *Proceedings of the 16th World Congress on Ergonomics: IEA 2006*, Maastricht, The Netherlands: Elsevier.

22. Vicente, K. J., and Rasmussen, J., 1988, On applying the skills, rules, knowledge framework to interface design, *Proceedings of the Human Factors Society Annual Meeting*, Los Angeles, CA: SAGE Publications, 254–258.

23. Adapted from Vicente, K. J., 1999, *Cognitive Work Analysis*, Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.

24. Adapted from Vicente, 1999.

25. Adapted from Vicente, 1999.

26. Bennett, K. B., Posey, S. M., and Shattuck, L. G., 2008, Ecological interface design for military command and control, *Journal of Cognitive Engineering and Decision Making*, 2, 349–385.

27. Gibson, J. J., 1979, *The Ecological Approach to Visual Perception*, Boston, Houghton Mifflin, 37.

28. Duez, P., and Vicente, K. J., 2005, Ecological interface design and computer network management: The effects of network size and fault frequency, *International journal of human-computer studies*, 63, 565–586; Ham, D. H., and Yoon, W. C., 2001a, Design of information content and layout for process control based on goal-means domain analysis, *Cognition, Technology & Work*, 3, 205–223; Ham, D. H., and Yoon, W. C., 2001b, The effects of presenting functionally abstracted information in fault diagnosis tasks, *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*, 73, 103–119; Lau, N., Jamieson, G. A., Skraaning Jr., G., and Burns, C. M., 2008, Ecological interface design in the nuclear domain: An empirical evaluation of ecological displays for the secondary subsystems of a boiling water reactor plant simulator, *IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science*, 55, 3597–3610; Pawlak, W. S., and Vicente, K. J., 1996, Inducing effective operator control through ecological interface design, *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, 44, 653–688.

29. Carrasco, C. G. A., and Jamieson, O. St-cyr., 2014, Revisiting three ecological interface design experiments to investigate performance and control stability effects under normal conditions, *IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man and Cybernetics*, New York: IEEE, 323–328.
30. Burns, 2000; Ham and Yoon, 2001a; Ham et al., 2008, *ibid*; Janzen, M. E., and Vicente, K. J., 1998, Attention allocation within the abstraction hierarchy, *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, 48, 521–545.
31. Borst, C., Flach, J. M., and Ellerbroek, J., 2014, Beyond ecological interface design: Lessons from concerns and misconceptions, *IEEE Transactions on Human-Machine Systems*, 45, 164–175.
32. Vicente and Rasmussen, 1992.

Chapter 6

1. Dourish, P., 2004, *Where the Action Is: The Foundations of Embodied Interaction*, Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 126.
2. Ishii, H., Mazalek, A., and Lee, J., 2001, Bottles as a minimal interface to access digital information, in *CHI'01 Extended Abstracts on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, 187–188, New York: Association of Computing Machinery.
3. Underkoffler, J., and Ishii, H., 1999, Urp: A luminous-tangible workbench for urban planning and design, *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, 386–393, New York: Association of Computing Machinery.
4. Rekimoto, J., 1997, Pick-and-drop: A direct manipulation technique for multiple computer environments, in G. Robertson and C. Schmandt, eds., *Proceedings of the 10th Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology*, 31–39, New York: Association of Computing Machinery.
5. Levy, S., 1994, *Insanely Great: The Life and Times of Macintosh, the Computer That Changed Everything*, London: Penguin.
6. CHI 2019 SIGCHI Lifetime Research Award, Hiroshi Ishii: Making Digital Tangible, <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=T6D1A9J0qIE>.
7. Winograd, T., Flores, F., and Flores, F. F., 1986, *Understanding computers and cognition: A New Foundation for Design*, Norwood, NJ: Ablex Publishing Corporations.
8. Weiser, M., 2002, The computer for the 21st century, *IEEE Pervasive Computing*, 1, 19–25.
9. Dreyfus, H., 1991, *What Computers Still Can't Do*, Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
10. Dotov, D. G., Nie, L., and Chemero, A., 2010, A demonstration of the transition from ready-to-hand to unready-to-hand, *PLoS One*, 5, e9433.

11. Dourish, 2001.
12. Niedenthal, P. M., Barsalou, L. W., Winkielman, P., Krauth-Gruber, S., and Ric, F., 2005, Embodiment in attitudes, social perception, and emotion, *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 9, 184–211.
13. Bianchi-Berthouze, N., Kim, W. W., and Patel, D., 2007, Does body movement engage you more in digital game play? And why? *International Conference on Affective Computing and Intelligent Interaction*, 102–113, Berlin: Springer.
14. Antle, A. N., Corness, G., and Droumeva, M., 2009, What the body knows: Exploring the benefits of embodied metaphors in hybrid physical digital environments, *Interacting with Computers*, 21, 66–75.
15. Hurtienne, J., and Blessing, L., 2007, Design for Intuitive Use—Testing image schema theory for user interface design, in *DS 42: Proceedings of ICED 2007, the 16th International Conference on Engineering Design, Paris, France, 28.-31.07. 2007*, Glasgow: The Design Society, 829–830; Hurtienne, J., Israel, J. H., and Weber, K., 2008, Cooking up real world business applications combining physicality, digitality, and image schemas, *Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Tangible and Embedded Interaction*, New York: Association of Computing Machinery, 239–246.
16. Fällman, D., 2003, In romance with the materials of mobile interaction: A phenomenological approach to the design of mobile information technology , PhD dissertation, Umeå University, Faculty of Social Sciences, Informatic.
17. Hornecker, E., 2005, A design theme for tangible interaction: Embodied facilitation, in *ECSCW 2005: Proceedings of the 16th European Conference on Computer-Supported Cooperative Work: The International Venue on Practice-centred Computing and the Design of Cooperation Technologies - Exploratory Papers, Reports of the European Society for Socially Embedded Technologies*, 23–43, Springer, Dordrecht.
18. Marshall, P., and Hornecker, E., 2013, Theories of embodiment in HCI, in *The SAGE Handbook of Digital Technology Research*, 1:144–158, New York: Sage.
19. Stoffregen, T. A., Bardy, B. G., and Mantel, B., 2006, Affordances in the design of enactive systems, *Virtual Reality*, 10, 4–10.
20. Baber, C., Khattab, A., Russell, M., Hermsdörfer, J., and Wing, A., 2017, Creating affording situations: Coaching through animate objects, *Sensors*, 17, 2308.
21. Daniel Leithinger, Sean Follmer, Alex Olwal, Akimitsu Hogge, and Hiroshi Ishii, n.d., inFORM, MIT Media Lab, Tangible Media Group, <https://tangible.media.mit.edu/project/inform/>.
22. Brooks, R., 1991, Intelligence without representation, *Artificial Intelligence Journal*, 47, 139–160.
23. Agre, P. E., 1991, *The Dynamic Structure of Everyday Life*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; Agre, P. E., and Chapman, D., 1990, What are plans for? in P. Maes, ed.,

Designing Autonomous Agents: Theory and Practice from Biology to Engineering and Back, 17–34, Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

24. Brooks, 1991, 15.

25. Brooks, 1991, 16.

26. Brooks, 1991, 16.

27. Brooks, 1991, 16.

28. “Furby HBW” by Vox Efx is licensed under CC BY 2.0.

29. Negroponte, N., 1995, *Being Digital*, New York: Alfred A Knopf.

30. Dennett, D.C., 1989, *The Intentional Stance*, Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

31. Weiser, M., 1999, The computer for the 21st century, *Scientific American*, 265, 94–105.

32. Society of Automotive Engineers, 2016, Taxonomy and definitions for terms related to driving automation systems for on-road motor vehicles (Surface Vehicle Recommended Practice: Superseding J3016 Jan 2014), SAE International, September.

33. Sheridan T. B., and Verplank, W. L., 1978, Human and computer control of undersea teleoperators (Tech. Rep.). Cambridge, MA: Man-Machine Systems Laboratory, Department of Mechanical Engineering, MIT.

34. Bainbridge, L., 1983, Ironies of automation, *Automatica*, 19, 775.

35. Licklider, J. C., 1960, Man-computer symbiosis, *IRE Transactions on Human Factors in Electronics*, 1, 4–11.

36. Latour, B., 2013, Reassembling the social: An introduction to actor-network-theory, *Journal of Economic Sociology*, 14, 73–87.

37. Latour, 2013.

38. Kätsyri, J., Förger, K., Mäkäräinen, M., and Takala, T., 2015, A review of empirical evidence on different uncanny valley hypotheses: Support for perceptual mismatch as one road to the valley of eeriness, *Frontiers in Psychology*, 6, 390.

39. Heidegger, M., 1962, *Being and Time*, New York: Harper Row.

40. Latour, B., 1999, *Pandora’s Hope, An Essay on the Reality of Science Studies*, Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 181.

41. Pickering, A., 1995, *The Mangle of Practice: Time, Agency, and Science*, Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

42. “File: Lexan bubble chamber.jpg” by CERN is licensed under CC BY-SA 4.0.

43. Maturana, H., 1987, Everything is said by an observer, in W. I. Thompson, ed., *GAIA: A Way of Knowing*, Hudson, NY: Lindisfarne Press, 73.

44. Bång, M., and Timpka, T., 2003, Cognitive tools in medical teamwork: The spatial arrangement of patient records, *Methods of information in medicine*, 42, 331–336; Nemeth, C., 2003, How cognitive artifacts support distributed cognition in acute care, *Proceedings of the 47th Annual Meeting of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society*, 381–385, Santa Monica, CA: Human Factors and Ergonomics Society; Seagull, F. J., Plasters, C., Xiao, Y., and Mackenzie, C. F., 2003, Collaborative management of complex coordination systems: Operating room schedule coordination, *Proceedings of the 47th Annual Meeting of The Human Factors and Ergonomics Society*, 1521–1525, Santa Monica, CA: Human Factors and Ergonomics Society.

45. Scaife, M., and Rogers, Y., 1996, External cognition: How do graphical representations work? *International Journal of human-Computer Studies*, 45, 185–213.

Chapter 7

1. Still, J. D., and Dark, V. J., 2013, Cognitively describing and designing affordances, *Design Studies*, 34, 285–301.

2. Tucker, M., and Ellis, R., 1998, On the relations of seen objects and components of potential actions, *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 24, 830–846.

3. Craighero, L., Fadiga, L., Rizzolatti, G., and Umiltà, C., 1999, Action for perception: A motor-visual attentional effect, *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 25, 1673; Ellis, R., and Tucker, M., 2000, Micro-affordance: The potentiation of components of action by seen objects, *British Journal of Psychology*, 91, 451–471; Klatzky, R. L., Fikes, T. G., and Pellegrino, J. W., 1995, Planning for hand shape and arm transport when reaching for objects, *Acta Psychologica*, 88, 209–232; Riddoch, M. J., Edwards, M. G., Humphreys, G. W., West, R., and Heafield, T., 1998, Visual affordances direct action: Neuropsychological evidence from manual interference, *Cognitive Neuropsychology*, 15, 645–683; Tucker and Ellis, 1998; Tucker, M., and Ellis, R., 2001, The potentiation of grasp types during visual object categorization, *Visual Cognition*, 8, 769–800.

4. Tipper, S. P., Howard, L. A., and Jackson, S. R., 1997, Selective reaching to grasp: Evidence for distractor interference effects, *Visual Cognition*, 4, 1–38.

5. Tipper, S. P., Howard, L. A., and Houghton, G., 1998, Action-based mechanisms of attention, *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences*, 353, 1385–1393.

6. Rosenbaum, D. D., Chapman, K. M., Weigelt, M., Weiss, D. J., and van der Wel, R., 2012, Cognition, action, and object manipulation, *Psychological Bulletin*, 138, 924–946.

7. Chapman, S., 1968, Catching a baseball, *American Journal of Physics*, 36, 868–870; Fink, P., Foo, P., and Warren, W., 2009, Catching fly balls in virtual reality: A critical test of the outfielder problem, *Journal of Vision*, 9, 14.

8. Saxberg, B. V., 1987, Projected free fall trajectories, *Biological Cybernetics*, 56, 159–175; Saxberg, B. V., 1987, Projected free fall trajectories. II. Human experiments. *Biological Cybernetics*, 56, 177–184.
9. Linear optical trajectory (LOC): Mcbeath, M. K., Shaffer, D. M., and Kaiser, M. K., 1995, How baseball outfielders determine where to run to catch fly balls, *Science*, 268, 569–573.
10. Optical acceleration cancellation (OAC): Chapman, 1968.
11. Chemero, A., 2009, *Radical Embodied Cognitive Science*, Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 191.
12. Haken, H., Kelso, J. S., and Bunz, H., 1985, A theoretical model of phase transitions in human hand movements, *Biological Cybernetics*, 51, 347–356.
13. Craik, K. J., 1947, Theory of the human operator in control systems. I. The operator as an engineering system, *British Journal of Psychology. General Section*, 38, 56–61; Craik, K. J., 1948, Theory of the human operator in control systems. II. Man as an element in a control system, *British Journal of Psychology*, 38, 142.
14. Kugler, P.N., Kelso, J. A.S., and Turvey, M. T., 1982, On the control and coordination of naturally developing systems, in J. A. S. Kelso and J. E. Clark, eds., *The Development of Movement Control and Coordination*, 25–36, New York: Wiley; Kugler, P.N., and Turvey, M. T., 1987, *Information, Natural Law, and the Self-Assembly of Rhythmic Movement*, Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
15. Haken H., 1983, *Synergetics, an Introduction: Non-Equilibrium Phase Transitions and Self-Organisation in Physics, Chemistry and Biology*, Berlin: Springer-Verlag.
16. Biryukova, E., and Bril, B., 2012, Biomechanical analysis of tool use: A return to Bernstein's tradition, *Zeitschrift für Psychologie*, 220, 53.
17. Baber, C., Cengiz, T. G., Starke, S., and Parekh, M., 2015, Objective classification of performance in the use of a piercing saw in jewellery making, *Applied Ergonomics*, 51, 211–221.
18. Findlay, J. M., and Gilchrist, I. D., 2003, *Active Vision: The Psychology of Looking and Seeing*, Oxford: Oxford University Press.
19. Chemero, A., 2020, Epilogue: What embodiment is, in N. K. Dees, ed., *A Multi-disciplinary Approach to Embodiment*, 133–139, London: Routledge.
20. Baber, C., Chen, X., and Howes, A., 2015, (Very) rapid decision-making: Framing or filtering? , International Conference on Naturalistic Decision-Making, Mc-Lean, VA.
21. James, W., 1890, *The Principles of Psychology*, vol. 1, New York: Holt.
22. Knill, D. C., and Pouget, A., 2004, The Bayesian brain: The role of uncertainty in neural coding and computation, *Trends in Neurosciences*, 27, 712–719.

23. Moran, R. J., Campo, P., Symmonds, M., Stephan, K. E., Dolan, R. J., and Friston, K. J., 2013, Free energy, precision and learning: The role of cholinergic neuromodulation, *Journal of Neuroscience*, 33, 8227–8236.
24. Clark, A., 2015, *Embodied Prediction*, Frankfurt: MIND Group.
25. Friston, K., 2010, The free-energy principle: A unified brain theory? *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 11, 127–138; Friston, K., Kilner, J., and Harrison, L., 2006, A free energy principle for the brain, *Journal of Physiology—Paris*, 100, 70–87.
26. Friston, 2010.
27. Seth, A. K., 2015, The cybernetic Bayesian brain—From interoceptive inference to sensorimotor contingencies, in T. Metzinger and J. M. Windt, eds, *Open MIND*: 1–24, Frankfurt am Main: MIND Group.
28. O'Regan, K., and Noe, A., 2001 A sensorimotor account of vision and visual consciousness, *Behavioral Brain Science*, 24, 939–1031.
29. Clark, A., Tower, D. H., and Jx, E., 2006, Vision as dance? The challenges for sensorimotor contingency theory, *Psyche*, 12, 1–10.
30. Kirsh, D., 2013, Embodied cognition and the magical future of interaction design, *ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction (TOCHI)*, 20, 10.
31. Ingold, T., 2010, The textility of making, *Cambridge Journal of Economics*, 34, 91–10.
32. Kloos, H., and Van Orden, G. C., 2009, Soft-assembled mechanisms for the unified theory, in J. Spencer, ed., *Toward a Unified Theory of Development: Connectionism and Dynamic Systems Theory Re-Considered*, 259, Oxford: Oxford University Press.
33. Richardson, M. J., and Chemero, A., 2014, Complex dynamical systems and embodiment, in L. Shapiro, ed., *The Routledge Handbook of Embodied Cognition*, 39–50, London: Routledge.
34. Dotov, D. G., Nie, L., and Chemero, A., 2010, A demonstration of the transition from ready-to-hand to unready-to-hand, *PLoS One*, 5, e9433.
35. Baber, C., and Starke, S. D., 2015, Using 1/f scaling to study variability and dexterity in simple tool-using tasks, *Proceedings of the 59th Human Factors and Ergonomics Society Annual Meeting*, 431–435, Santa Monica, CA: HFES.
36. Van Orden, G. C., Holden, J. G., and Turvey, M. T., 2005, Human cognition and 1/f scaling, *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 134, 117.
37. Kello, C. T., Beltz, B. C., Holden, J. G., and Van Orden, G. C., 2007, The emergent coordination of cognitive function, *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 136, 551.
38. Wiltshire, T. J., Steffensen, S. V., and Fiore, S. M., 2019, Multiscale movement coordination dynamics in collaborative team problem solving, *Applied Ergonomics*, 79.

Chapter 8

1. Shaban, D., and Koehler, L., 2016, *The Eames Creative Process*, YouTube, watch?v=tUOFhnWTbm0.
2. "Eames Chair" by designsbykari is licensed under CC BY 2.0.
3. Glaveanu, V. P., 2014, *Distributed Creativity: Thinking outside the Box of the Creative Individual*. Cham, Germany: Springer International Publishing.
4. Woodman, R. W., and Schoenfeldt, T., 1989, Individual differences in creativity: An interactionist perspective, in J. A. Glover, R. R. Ronning, and C. R. Reynolds, eds., *Handbook of Creativity*, 77–93, New York: Plenum Press.
5. Leschziner, V., and Brett, G., 2019, Beyond two minds: cognitive, embodied, and evaluative processes in creativity, *Social Psychology Quarterly*, 82, 340–366.
6. Sawyer, R. K., and de Zutter, S., 2009, Distributed creativity: How collective creations emerge from collaboration, *Psychology of Aesthetics, Creativity, and the Arts*, 3, 81–92.
7. Marx, K., 1990 [1886], *Capital, Volume 1*, London: Penguin Classics, 283.
8. Ingold, T., 2013, *Making: Anthropology, Archaeology, Art and Architecture*, New York: Routledge.
9. Pallasmaa, J., 2009. *The Thinking Hand: Existential and Embodied Wisdom in Architecture*, Chichester: Wiley.
10. Ingold, 2013.
11. Runeson, S., 1989, A note on the utility of ecologically incomplete invariants, *Newsletter of the International Society for Ecological Psychology*, 4, 6–9.
12. Baber, C., 2006, Cognitive aspects of tool use, *Applied Ergonomics*, 37, 3–15.
13. Rosenbaum, D. D., Chapman, K. M., Weigelt, M., Weiss, D. J., and van der Wel, R., 2012, Cognition, action, and object manipulation, *Psychological Bulletin*, 138, 924–946.
14. Ramstead, M. J. D., Veissiere, S. P. L., and Kirmayer, L. J., 2016, Cultural affordances: Scaffolding local worlds through shared intentionality and regimes of attention, *Frontiers in Psychology*, 7, 1090.
15. Davis, J., 2020, *How Artifacts Afford: The Power and Politics of Everyday Things*, Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
16. Bardram, J. E., 2005, Activity-based computing: Support for mobility and collaboration in ubiquitous computing, *Personal and Ubiquitous Computing*, 9, 312–322.
17. Dourish, P., 2004, *Where The Action Is: The Foundations of Embodied Interaction*, Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 126.

18. Dourish, 2004, 126.

19. Dourish, 2004, 138.

20. Csikszentmihályi, M., 1990, The domain of creativity, in M. A. Runco and R. S. Albert, eds., *Sage Focus Editions, Vol. 115. Theories of Creativity*, 190–212, London: Sage.

21. Withagen, R., and van der Kamp, J., 2018, An ecological approach to creativity in making, *New Ideas in Psychology*, 49, 1–6.

This is a section of [doi:10.7551/mitpress/12419.001.0001](https://doi.org/10.7551/mitpress/12419.001.0001)

Embodying Design

An Applied Science of Radical Embodied Cognition

By: Christopher Baber

Citation:

Embodying Design: An Applied Science of Radical Embodied Cognition

By: Christopher Baber

DOI: [10.7551/mitpress/12419.001.0001](https://doi.org/10.7551/mitpress/12419.001.0001)

ISBN (electronic): 9780262369886

Publisher: The MIT Press

Published: 2022

The open access edition of this book was made possible by generous funding and support from MIT Press Direct to Open



The MIT Press

© 2021 Massachusetts Institute of Technology

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced in any form by any electronic or mechanical means (including photocopying, recording, or information storage and retrieval) without permission in writing from the publisher.

The MIT Press would like to thank the anonymous peer reviewers who provided comments on drafts of this book. The generous work of academic experts is essential for establishing the authority and quality of our publications. We acknowledge with gratitude the contributions of these otherwise uncredited readers.

This book was set in Stone Serif and Stone Sans by Westchester Publishing Services.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Baber, Christopher, 1964– author.

Title: Embodying design : an applied science of radical embodied cognition / Christopher Baber.

Description: Cambridge, Massachusetts : The MIT Press, [2021] | Includes bibliographical references and index.

Identifiers: LCCN 2021033926 | ISBN 9780262543781 (paperback)

Subjects: LCSH: Expert systems (Computer science) | Human-machine systems. | Thought and thinking. | Artificial intelligence.

Classification: LCC QA76.76.E95 B22 2021 | DDC 006.3/3—dc23

LC record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2021033926>