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A 13-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine has been studied in adults aged ≥50 years to compare the immune response to that induced by the 23-valent pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine, which has been the standard of care over the past 30 years. The results demonstrate that adults, regardless of whether they are naive or previously vaccinated with the polysaccharide vaccine, have an overall superior antibody response when vaccinated with the conjugate vaccine compared with the pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine. More importantly, the nature of the response is indicative of a T-cell–dependent response that elicits immunological memory and, therefore, primes the immune system for either natural exposure or subsequent booster vaccination with either conjugate or polysaccharide vaccine. The conjugate vaccine, which has been successful in reducing pneumococcal disease in children, now provides a new approach to preventing pneumococcal disease, including community-acquired pneumonia, in adults.

INTRODUCTION

Capsular polysaccharide conjugate vaccines directed at invasive bacteria have had a significant impact on the burden of disease in children since their introduction over 2 decades ago [1]. The success of these vaccines reflects their ability to induce a functional antibody response directed at the bacterial capsule that is T-cell dependent, resulting not only in a robust initial response but also in the establishment of immunological memory [2]. This memory is an important mechanism for protection upon exposure to the pathogen and for renewing immunity with subsequent immunizations [1]. Over the past decade, a 7-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine (PCV7; pneumococcal serotypes 4, 6B, 9V, 14, 18C, 19F, and 23F) has been introduced into childhood vaccination programs globally, resulting in a significant reduction in both invasive pneumococcal disease (IPD) and mucosal disease (including community-acquired pneumonia [CAP] and otitis media) [3].

However, in adults aged ≥50 years, the burden of pneumococcal disease remains high. Recent estimates suggest that in the United States, the annual burden is as high as 30 000 cases of IPD, 500 000 cases of CAP, and 25 000 deaths [4]. Multivalent, pneumococcal-free polysaccharide vaccines have been available for over 20 years [5]. In the United States, a 23-valent pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine (PPSV23) has been recommended for all adults aged ≥65 years, and over the past decade the vaccination rate has been around 60% [6, 7]. Nevertheless, there has been little impact on disease caused by the serotypes that are unique to that vaccine [8]. This lack of impact is likely due to the T-cell–independent nature of the immune response to free polysaccharides that results in short-lived B-cell responses. In addition, memory B cells are not produced in response to most free polysaccharide vaccines and, in fact, may be depleted postvaccination resulting in hyporesponsiveness.
(a blunted immune response) to future vaccine doses [1]. Furthermore, PPSV23 efficacy against CAP has been difficult to document [5, 9] and a recent Cochrane analysis concluded that “the meta-analysis does not provide compelling evidence to support the routine use of pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine to prevent all-cause pneumonia or mortality” [10].

**THE RATIONALE FOR A PNEUMOCOCCAL CONJUGATED POLYSACCHARIDE VACCINE FOR ADULTS**

A 13-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine (PCV13) was developed and recently licensed for use in children [11]. PCV13 contains conjugates for pneumococcal serotypes 1, 3, 4, 5, 6A, 6B, 7F, 9V, 14, 18C, 19A, 19F, and 23F. Since introduction, combined effectiveness against the 6 additional serotypes (1, 3, 5, 6A, 7F, and 19A) in this vaccine has been demonstrated for invasive disease [12, 13], and for carriage in children with otitis media, including evidence of cross-protection against serotype 6C [14]. A number of immunogenicity studies have been performed with PCVs in adults and have generally concluded that PCV7 elicits a superior response in adults when compared with PPSV23. These studies have been recently summarized [9]. However, in Malawi, a randomized controlled trial with PCV7 administered to adults infected with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) following hospitalization for IPD demonstrated a 74% reduction in IPD [15], whereas a prior trial with PPSV23 in an HIV-positive population in Uganda did not demonstrate protection [16].

As a result of the success of conjugated pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccines in children and the encouraging, but limited, data from clinical studies with PCV7 in adults, we sought to test the ability of PCV13 to induce a response in adults that was quantitatively and qualitatively different from that seen with PPSV23. The clinical program was designed to show that PCV13 could induce a T-cell–dependent response that could then be recalled or boosted by either natural exposure or a subsequent vaccination, or both. Pivotal phase 3 clinical trials have been performed in 2 populations of adults aged ≥50 years: those who were naive to previous vaccination (Study 004) [17, 18] and those who had been previously vaccinated with PPSV23 but not within the past 5 years (Study 3005) [19]. The results from these trials have recently been presented and details will be submitted for publication in the near future. The results are briefly summarized here.

The design and objectives of the 2 pivotal trials in these populations are shown in Figures 1 and 2. In both trials, adults were randomized to receive either PCV13 or PPSV23, and the response after a single dose was evaluated. The subjects were subsequently administered a second immunization with one of the vaccines either 1 year later (Study 3005) or 3–4 years later (Study 004).

The antipneumococcal immune responses were measured using a functional opsonophagocytic activity (OPA) assay, and these responses were generally concordant with the immunoglobulin G responses. As OPA is known to be the mechanism of protection against pneumococcal disease [5], it is the most appropriate assay for comparing antibody responses elicited by vaccines as well as for comparing the responses to various dosing regimens. To compare groups, a geometric mean titer ratio was determined; if the lower 95% confidence interval of the ratio was above 0.5 for serotypes in common, then the response was considered similar or noninferior. If, however, the lower 95% confidence interval was above 1.0, then the response was considered to be statistically significantly higher or superior.

**RESPONSE TO PCV13 IN NAIVE ADULTS**

Study 004 [17, 18] was conducted in 2 age cohorts who had never received a pneumococcal vaccine previously: those aged 50–59 years and 60–64 years (Figure 1). At the time of enrollment and randomization, subjects had very low OPA titers near the lower limit of detection in the assay in both age groups. At 1 month following the first dose in those aged 60–64 years (mean age, 62 years), the response to a single dose of PCV13 was at least as good as the response to PPSV23 for all of the serotypes (noninferior) and statistically significantly higher for 9 of the 13 serotypes. The overall superior response to a single dose of PCV13 was seen consistently throughout the clinical program. The response to a dose of PCV13 in those aged 50–59 years (mean age, 54 years) was superior to the response in the 60–64 years cohort for 9 of 13 serotypes, indicating the importance of age as a factor in the immune response. The fact that 2–4 µg of conjugate vaccine induced a better response than 25 µg of free polysaccharide also likely reflects the different immune response pathway used by the T-cell–dependent antigens in PCV13.

A subset of the study subjects returned for a second immunization with either PCV13 or PPSV23 3–4 years (average, 3.7 years) after the initial dose of either PCV13 or PPSV23. Prior to the second immunization, antibody levels had waned in all groups. However, a second dose of PCV13 resulted in a renewed immune response, reflecting the response seen after the first PCV13 administration for all of the serotypes and, importantly, was statistically significantly higher for 6 of the 13 serotypes. A similar result was achieved when PCV13 was followed 3–4 years later by a dose of PPSV23. In this case, the response was statistically higher for 7 of the 13 serotypes. These data demonstrate that PCV13 primed the immune system for a booster response to subsequent vaccination with either vaccine.

This result was in stark contrast to the response seen when subjects received 2 doses of PPSV23. In this case, the second dose of PPSV23 elicited a response that was statistically...
significantly lower for 8 of the 12 serotypes common to both vaccines when compared with the response after the first dose of PPSV23. Of note, the response in subjects given 2 doses of PCV13 was significantly higher for all 12 serotypes common to both vaccines when compared with that seen for subjects receiving 2 doses of PPSV23.

The blunted immune state illustrated by the lower response to subsequent immunization, observed in Study 004 for PPSV23, confirms previous findings of other investigators [20]. Studies of group A and C meningococcal polysaccharide vaccines and repeated doses of PPSV23 in adults and children have shown that a state of immune tolerance or hyporesponsiveness can develop to repeated polysaccharide vaccine antigen exposures [21]. The mechanism of hyporesponsiveness has remained undefined for many years, but recently, Brynjolfsson and colleagues [22] demonstrated in an animal study with meningococcal C polysaccharide that hyporesponsiveness is caused by apoptosis of memory B cells. Figure 3 shows the
pattern of the immune response to serotype 1, which is illustrative of the sequences for the majority of serotypes. The conjugate vaccine induces an immune response that is superior to the free polysaccharide vaccine after the first dose but, more importantly, has primed the immune system for a second dose of either vaccine. In contrast, the PPSV23 vaccine inhibits the response to a second dose of the same vaccine, and this was seen for all of the serotypes.

RESPONSE TO PCV13 IN ADULTS WHO HAD PREVIOUSLY RECEIVED PPSV23

It was important to show that PCV13 could also prime the immune system in individuals previously immunized with PPSV23. Study 3005 [19] enrolled PPSV23 exposed individuals (mean age, 77 years) to receive either PCV13 or PPSV23 again (Figure 2). The pattern of the immune response was essentially the same as that seen in the PPSV23-naive adults (Study 004), although the magnitude of the response was somewhat lower in these older individuals. The response to PCV13 was at least as good as the response to PPSV23 and was statistically significantly higher for 11 of the 13 serotypes. Subjects were reimmunized 1 year later with PCV13, regardless of whether they had received PCV13 or PPSV23 the previous year. Subjects who had previously received a dose of PCV13 had a response that was at least noninferior to the first dose. In contrast, subjects who had received PPSV23 a year previous had a significantly reduced response to PCV13. Although Study 004 in vaccine-naive adults showed that PPSV23 blunted the response to a second dose of the same vaccine, Study 3005 showed that PPSV23 also blunted the response to a dose of the conjugate vaccine. Figure 4 shows the pattern of responsiveness to serotype 1 as an example.

CONCLUSION

PCV13 is a new tool for meeting the significant unmet medical need to prevent pneumococcal disease in adults. It induces a T-cell–dependent immune response in adults that can be recalled or boosted by a subsequent dose of PCV13 or free polysaccharide vaccine. The latter observation may be considered a surrogate for exposure to the polysaccharide during a “natural” infection. Thus, by priming the immune system, PCV13 provides the potential to significantly enhance and prolong immune protection by response to either natural exposure or to booster vaccination. The conjugate vaccine may also provide an
important option for previously immunized adults in whom revaccination with a polysaccharide is generally not recommended.
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