The Epidemiology of *Clostridium difficile* Infection in Children: A Population-Based Study
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**Background.** The incidence of *Clostridium difficile* infection (CDI) is increasing, even in populations previously thought to be at low risk, including children. Most incidence studies have included only hospitalized patients and are thus potentially influenced by referral or hospitalization biases.

**Methods.** We performed a population-based study of CDI in pediatric residents (aged 0–18 years) of Olmsted County, Minnesota, from 1991 through 2009 to assess the incidence, severity, treatment response, and outcomes of CDI.

**Results.** We identified 92 patients with CDI, with a median age of 2.3 years (range, 1 month–17.6 years). The majority of cases (75%) were community-acquired. The overall age- and sex-adjusted CDI incidence was 13.8 per 100 000 persons, which increased 12.5-fold, from 2.6 (1991–1997) to 32.6 per 100 000 (2004–2009), over the study period (*P* < .0001). The incidence of community-acquired CDI was 10.3 per 100 000 persons and increased 10.5-fold, from 2.2 (1991–1997) to 23.4 per 100 000 (2004–2009) (*P* < .0001). Severe, severe-complicated, and recurrent CDI occurred in 9%, 3%, and 20% of patients, respectively. The initial treatment in 82% of patients was metronidazole, and 18% experienced treatment failure. In contrast, the initial treatment in 8% of patients was vancomycin and none of them failed therapy.

**Conclusions.** In this population-based cohort, CDI incidence in children increased significantly from 1991 through 2009. Given that the majority of cases were community-acquired, estimates of the incidence of CDI that include only hospitalized children may significantly underestimate the burden of disease in children.
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and community-acquired cases, in a population-based cohort of children.

**METHODS**

**Study Setting**

Using the resources of the Rochester Epidemiology Project (REP) [17, 18], we conducted a population-based cohort study of the epidemiology of CDI from 1991 through 2009 in residents aged 0–18 years of Olmsted County, Minnesota. The REP provides access to all medical records, from all sources of care available to Olmsted County residents, and includes all medical diagnoses, hospital admissions, and surgical procedures, results of hematologic and laboratory tests, imaging tests, vaccinations, and drug prescriptions. A central diagnostic index links records from all outpatient visits, emergency room visits, hospitalizations, nursing home visits, surgical procedures, autopsy examinations, and death certificates for all residents since 1908. The REP therefore allows investigators to follow subjects through their outpatient and hospitalization contacts across all local medical facilities, regardless of where the care was delivered and of insurance status. In any 3-year period, >95% of Olmsted County residents are examined at 1 of the 2 major healthcare systems in Olmsted County [17, 18]. The Mayo Clinic and Olmsted Medical Center institutional review boards approved this study.

**Case Definitions**

The REP database was searched for the ICD-9 (International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision) code for CDI (008.45), along with CDI as a microbiologic or clinical diagnosis (both inpatient and outpatient). After identification of possible cases of CDI, records of patients were reviewed. Clinical notes, laboratory results, and endoscopic and histopathologic reports were manually reviewed to confirm diagnoses. On the basis of recent recommendations [19], we defined cases of CDI as the occurrence of diarrhea (≥3 loose stools per day) with a positive test for C. difficile toxin determined by enzyme immunoassay (EIA) or polymerase chain reaction (PCR), and no other identified causes of diarrhea. Patients who did not have diarrhea or who had other causes for diarrhea were excluded from the study (n = 7). The method for stool CDI testing changed from EIA to PCR in June 2007. All patient medical records were manually reviewed to confirm the diagnosis of CDI, identify separate cases of CDI in individual patients, and determine acquisition modality (community- vs hospital-acquired).

According to guidelines from the Infectious Diseases Society of America and the Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America [19], CDI was defined as hospital-acquired if symptom onset occurred >48 hours after admission to a hospital, provided symptom onset was >12 weeks after the last discharge from a hospital. CDI was defined as indeterminate if symptom onset occurred between 4 and 12 weeks after a hospital dismissal [20]. Patients with indeterminate infection (n = 8) were considered as community-acquired in this study.

**Clinical Features, Treatment, and Outcomes**

We defined acid suppression medication use as the use of either a proton-pump inhibitor or a histamine-2 receptor blocker at the time of CDI diagnosis, and antibiotic exposure as the use of oral or parenteral antibiotics in the 12 weeks preceding CDI diagnosis. We defined inflammatory bowel disease as the presence of diagnosed ulcerative colitis, Crohn’s disease, or indeterminate colitis, and malignancy as a current or previous diagnosis of a localized or metastatic solid tumor, lymphoma, or leukemia.

Severe CDI was defined as a peripheral white blood cell count ≥15 000 cells/mm³ or a serum creatinine rise of ≥50% from baseline [19]. CDI was classified as severe-complicated if the infection was complicated with sepsis, hypotension, ileus, toxic megacolon, perforation, need for intensive care unit admission, surgery for a CDI-related complication (eg, megacolon, perforation, refractory colitis), or death [19, 20]. Treatment failure was defined as the persistence of diarrhea or medication intolerance during antibiotic treatment leading to a change in treatment within 14 days. We defined recurrent CDI using the above-described case criteria if the onset of diarrhea occurred within 8 weeks of initial diagnosis and after documented symptom resolution [19, 20].

**Statistical Analyses**

The age- and sex-adjusted incidence of CDI per 100 000 person-years was calculated (direct adjustment to the age and sex distribution of US whites, as of the 2000 census). We used Poisson regression models to evaluate the association of age, sex, and calendar period with crude incidence rates. Because the REP captures data on both inpatients and outpatients, calculations of both the overall and community-acquired incidence rates were done. The community-acquired rates also were adjusted to 2000 US census data as described above. Associations among demographics, clinical features, severity, and outcomes with mode of acquisition of CDI (ie, community-acquired vs hospital-acquired) were assessed.

**RESULTS**

**Incidence and Clinical Features**

Of the 99 patients identified, 7 were excluded because of lack of diarrhea or the presence of other identified causes of
diarrhea. Of the remaining 92 CDI cases, 67% occurred in the last 3 years of the study. The median age at symptom onset was 2.3 years (range, 1 month to 17.6 years). The majority of cases (54%) occurred in males. In the 12 weeks prior to CDI diagnosis, exposure to antibiotics occurred in 72 cases (78%) and gastric acid suppression medications were used in 18 cases (20%). Fifty-six (60.9%) of all patients had antibiotic exposure, 2 (2.2%) had exposure to gastric acid suppression medications alone, 16 (17.4%) had exposure to both acid suppression medications and antibiotics, and 18 (19.5%) had no exposure to either. Of all children with CDI, 4.3% (n = 4) had inflammatory bowel disease and 5.5% (n = 5) had an underlying malignancy.

Sixteen cases (17%) occurred in children <1 year of age (median age, 8.5 months). Fifteen (93.8%) were exposed to antibiotics and 5 (31.3%) were exposed to gastric acid suppression medications.

The majority of cases (75%) were community-acquired; only 25% of cases were hospital-acquired (21.7% of patients had community onset of symptoms but had been hospitalized in the 4 weeks prior to the diagnosis of CDI, and 3.3% had onset of diarrhea after 48 hours of hospitalization). Only 15 patients (16.3%) had neither preceding antibiotic exposure nor recent hospitalization prior to CDI. Of 69 patients with community-acquired CDI, 85.5% (n = 59) had an outpatient or an emergency department visit in the 3 months prior to CDI onset, and 10 patients (10.9% of all cases) did not have any healthcare exposure in the 12 weeks prior to CDI onset.

The overall age- and sex-adjusted incidence of CDI was 13.8 per 100 000 person-years and increased from 2.6 per 100 000 (1991–1997) to 5.7 per 100 000 (1998–2003) to 32.6 per 100 000 (2004–2009) over the study period (P < .001) (Figure 1A). The age-specific incidence was highest in the 0- to 1-year age group, at 49.1 per 100 000 person-years (Figure 1B).

The age- and sex-adjusted incidence of community-acquired CDI was 10.3 per 100 000 person-years overall, and increased significantly over the study period, from 2.2 per 100 000 (1991–1997) to 4.7 per 100 000 (1998–2003) to 23.4 per 100 000 (2004–2009) (P < .001). The age-specific incidence was the highest in the 0- to 1-year age group, at 43.6 per 100 000 person-years. There was no association between sex and the overall incidence (P = .57) or the incidence of community-acquired CDI (P = .75).

**Outcomes, Treatment Failure, and Recurrence**

Among the 69 patients with community-acquired CDI, only 9 (13%) required hospitalization for management of CDI. Compared to children with hospital-acquired CDI, children with community-acquired CDI were younger, were less likely to have exposure to acid suppression medications, and were much less likely to develop severe infection (2.9% vs 26.1%; Table 1). There were no differences in outcomes among patients who were exposed to antibiotics alone, those who were exposed to antibiotics and gastric acid suppression medications, and those who had no exposure to acid suppression or antibiotics.

Initial treatment was with metronidazole in 81.5% of cases, oral vancomycin in 7.6%, and rifaximin in 2.1%. There were 13 treatment failures (18%) with metronidazole and no treatment failures with vancomycin or rifaximin (P = .11). There

![Figure 1](https://academic.oup.com/cid/article-abstract/56/10/1401/406090)

**Figure 1.** Age- and sex-adjusted overall incidence of *Clostridium difficile* infection in children, 1991–2009. A, Trend in age- and sex-adjusted incidence rates over time for the overall study population. B, Age-specific incidence in the whole population. Abbreviations: EIA, enzyme immunoassay; PCR, polymerase chain reaction.
was no treatment documented in 8.7%, and these patients were managed conservatively. There was 1 patient with severe infection (as defined by laboratory criteria), and there were no complications or recurrences in patients who did not receive CDI-specific treatment.

In the entire cohort, there were 8 severe cases (8.7%) and 4 severe-complicated cases (4.2%), including 1 death in a patient with metastatic rhabdomyosarcoma. Of the 8 severe cases, 6 were initially treated with metronidazole and 1 with vancomycin, and 1 did not receive any documented treatment. The overall recurrence rate was 19.6% (21.3% in children treated with metronidazole and 0% in children treated with oral vancomycin; \( P = .07 \)). There were no differences in recurrence in those with severe CDI compared to mild to moderate CDI.

**DISCUSSION**

In this population-based epidemiologic study reporting the incidence of CDI in children, we found that CDI incidence increased significantly over the study period, consistent with findings described in other investigations [11, 21–23]. The uniqueness of our study is the inclusion of community-acquired cases that accounted for a majority of patients. Most of the prior studies of CDI in children included only hospital-acquired CDI cases. This limitation has important epidemiologic and clinical implications. Specifically, omission of these community-acquired cases would lead to underestimation of the overall incidence of CDI in children, and an overestimation of severity, given that hospital-acquired cases tend to be more severe than community-acquired cases. However, similar to the findings in a recent report from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the majority of patients in our study with community-acquired CDI had healthcare exposure prior to CDI [24].

The largest prior study of CDI in children was hospital based, and it showed a significant increase in CDI incidence from 2001 to 2006 [10]. However, a distinction between hospital- and community-acquired CDI was not made. In that study, approximately one-fourth of patients were <1 year of age and the majority of patients (67%) had underlying chronic medical conditions, which is higher than in our study [10].

An increasing incidence has also been described in adults and has been attributed to increasing antibiotic use and the presence of a hypervirulent strain [3, 4]. However, we did not perform strain characterization in this investigation. Although these factors may play a role in the increase in incidence of CDI observed in our study, there also is the potential for diagnostic detection bias contributing to the increase in incidence in the latter time period of our study, as the stool assay for *C. difficile* at our institution changed from an EIA-based test to a more sensitive PCR-based test in July 2007. This more sensitive test could have led to increased detection and an apparent increase in incidence [25, 26]. With increasing awareness of CDI among healthcare providers, it is also possible that increased testing for CDI could have led to an increased incidence.

The majority of our population (76%) had prior antibiotic exposure, which has been noted in only 22%–57% of children with CDI in other studies [11, 15]. A possible explanation for this difference is the availability of a centralized data linkage system that the REP provides, which captures all medications prescribed to all inhabitants of Olmsted County, regardless of clinical setting.

The highest incidence of CDI was seen in children aged <1 year. It is widely recognized that infants and young children can be colonized with *C. difficile*, and, therefore, some of the cases may represent colonization with diarrhea related to another cause [27]. However, CDI has been reported as a cause of diarrhea in infants in other studies [21, 22], and in our study these patients did not have other identified causes of diarrhea despite thorough evaluation, and improved with treatment for CDI.

Severe infection was uncommon among community-acquired cases but was more common in the hospital-acquired group. Most patients were treated with metronidazole as the initial treatment followed by vancomycin, and there was no documented treatment failure in vancomycin. Recurrence rates were higher for metronidazole than vancomycin, although these were not statistically different. These findings are similar to a recent systematic review in adults, which demonstrated that treatment failure rates for metronidazole were >1.5 times higher than those for vancomycin, and there was no significant difference in recurrence rates [28]. Oral vancomycin has been demonstrated to be equivalent to metronidazole for mild to moderate CDI but superior to metronidazole for adults with severe CDI (cure rate, 97% for vancomycin vs 76%
for metronidazole) [29]. In this study, the relapse rate was not significantly different between the 2 treatment groups [29]. However, larger, randomized, blinded studies stratified by severity are needed to evaluate possible differences in treatment outcomes between metronidazole and vancomycin in children.

The major strength of our study is that data have been collected over a period of 19 years from a stable population. Collecting data from a population-based cohort allowed us to study the epidemiology of CDI in both hospitalized and community-acquired cohorts. However, we were unable to estimate the incidence of hospital-acquired CDI owing to lack of accurate information on hospital bed-days for children, especially since the cohort included newborns. Other limitations include the potential of missing data, such as laboratory tests used for defining severe CDI, and lack of information on C. difficile strain.

In conclusion, in this population-based cohort, CDI was uncommon in children from 1991 through 2009, although there was a significant increase in cases after 2006. Severe infection was infrequently seen and there were very few CDI-related complications. A substantial fraction of cases occurred in patients managed as outpatients, which would have been missed if hospitalized children were the only identified cohort. Such an omission of community-acquired cases could result in an underestimation of disease incidence and overestimation of disease severity in children with CDI. In children presenting with diarrhea, CDI should be considered in the differential diagnosis, even in outpatients with an absence of recent hospitalization and antibiotic exposure.
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