Bite force in children with unilateral crossbite before and after orthodontic treatment. A prospective longitudinal study
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SUMMARY In this prospective, longitudinal study, bite force was examined in children with a unilateral posterior crossbite before (stage 1), immediately after orthodontic treatment (stage 2), and after retention (stage 3). The sample comprised 19 (7 girls, 12 boys) children aged 7–11 years. The children were treated according to conventional practice, with an expansion plate (seven subjects) or a quadhelix appliance (12 subjects). Unilateral bite force was measured at the first molars by means of a standardized method. Statistical analysis was undertaken using Shapiro–Wilks W- and t-tests, and analysis of variance.

There was no significant difference in bite force regarding age, gender, appliance, or side, i.e. right or left molar region. However, during stage 2 the bite force was significantly lower ipsilaterally to the crossbite than contralaterally ($P < 0.05$). In general, the bite force was systematically lower than reference values, but the mean bite force ($P < 0.05$) and the bite force on the ipsilateral side ($P < 0.01$) increased significantly from stage 2 to stage 3.

The bite force level was reduced immediately after treatment, but increased again after retention and approached the bite force level in children with neutral occlusion. The fluctuation in bite force level during orthodontic treatment may be due to transient changes in occlusal support, periodontal mechanoreceptors, and jaw elevator muscle reflexes.

Introduction


Previous studies have found that this malocclusion trait is associated with asymmetrical muscular function (Troelstrup and Møller, 1970; Ingervall and Thilander, 1975; Michler et al., 1987; Ferrario et al., 1999) that affects the development of the elevator muscles in terms of muscle masseter thickness (Kiliaridis et al., 2000) and bite force (Sonnesen et al., 2001a). Bite force is reported to be a key predictor for masticatory performance (Hatch et al., 2001) and a large bite force has been found to cause a high masticatory performance, especially when chewing hard food (Okiyama et al., 2003).

Furthermore, some studies have shown a unilateral posterior crossbite to be statistically associated with symptoms and signs of temporomandibular disorders (TMD), such as pain, headache, and muscle tenderness (Egermark-Eriksson et al., 1983, 1990; Brandt, 1985; Riolo et al., 1987; Krisineli and Shim, 1992; Sonnesen et al., 1998, 2001a), which may also be related to activity and performance of masticatory muscles. No previous prospective and longitudinal study in children has described the outcome of orthodontic treatment of a unilateral crossbite with respect to bite force.

Therefore, the aim of the present study was to compare the maximum molar bite force in children with a unilateral posterior crossbite before, immediately after orthodontic treatment, and after retention.

Subjects

Nineteen Caucasian children with a unilateral posterior crossbite were recruited for this study. Ten had a crossbite on the right side and nine a left-sided crossbite. The group consisted of 7 girls and 12 boys aged 7–11 years (mean 9.9 years), sequentially admitted for orthodontic treatment at the Municipal Dental Health Service in Lyngby, North Zealand, Denmark, and selected using the Danish procedure for screening the child population for malocclusions entailing health risks (Danish Ministry of Health, 1990; Solow, 1995). In all children, the unilateral crossbite was accompanied by deviation of the lower dental arch midline to the crossbite side. None of the children had craniofacial anomalies or systemic muscle or joint disorders, and in none of the children were the signs and symptoms of TMD so severe that they needed TMD treatment. The children were followed before treatment (stage 1, mean age 9.9 years, range 7–11 years), immediately after orthodontic treatment (stage 2, i.e. after 7 months, mean age 10.6 years, range 7–12 years), and after retention (stage 3, i.e. 4–6 months after orthodontic treatment, mean age 11.0, range 8–13 years).
Methods

Registration of occlusion

The occlusion was diagnosed by one author (LS) according to Björk et al. (1964). A unilateral crossbite was recorded for the canine, the premolar, and the molar section, if the buccal cusp of the upper tooth occluded lingually to the buccal cusp of the corresponding lower tooth. The registration was made if at least one tooth was deviated and the child needed orthodontic treatment according to the above-mentioned criteria.

Treatment methods and stages

The orthodontic treatment, including the choice of appliance, was chosen according to conventional practice after an overall evaluation of the child, including an assessment of cooperation by two specialists in orthodontics (L.S. and B. Nybolle). Seven children were treated with an expansion plate and 12 with a quadhelix.

The expansion plate was worn 24 hours a day, except when eating or brushing the teeth, and during the active treatment, the patient was asked to turn the screw twice a week. During the 6-month retention period, the plate was worn only at night. The mean age before treatment was 9.6 years, immediately after treatment 10.2 years, and after retention 10.7 years.

The quadhelix was activated once every 6–8 weeks during active treatment. During the 4-month retention period, the quadhelix remained in the mouth. The mean age before treatment was 10.1 years, immediately after treatment 10.8 years, and after retention 11.1 years.

Bite force

In order to assess the strength of the mandibular elevator muscles, the maximum unilateral bite force was measured during all three stages by one author (LS). The recordings were made at the first mandibular molars on each side by means of a pressure transducer (Flöystrand et al., 1982) during 1–2 seconds of maximum clenching, according to a standard procedure described by Bakke et al. (1989). Only in one subject, a boy at stage 2, was the bite force measurement associated with discomfort/light pain and consequently his force value was not included.

The reliability of the bite force measurements has previously been assessed by double recordings on 23 randomly selected children with an interval of 14 days (Sonnesen et al., 2001b) without significant difference between the two sets of measurements and with the method error [S(i)] of the individual double recordings with 2-week intervals of 6 per cent. The coefficient of reliability was 0.91.

Statistical analysis

The bite force values did not deviate from the normal distribution assessed using the parameters of skewness and kurtosis and by Shapiro–Wilks W-test. For bite force, the effects of age and gender were assessed by linear regression analyses. Differences in the means of bite force between appliances and sides were assessed by unpaired t-test. A two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and a Bonferroni correction test were performed to compare the bite force at the three stages. The results were considered to be significant at values below $P < 0.05$ and the analyses were performed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences, version 13.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA)

Results

There was no significant difference in bite force regarding age, gender, or appliance, and no significant differences between the right and left sides. Therefore, values from boys and girls with plate or quadhelix treatment and a right or left side crossbite were pooled. Regarding the crossbite side, i.e. ipsilateral, and non-crossbite side, i.e. contralateral, the bite force was significantly lower on the ipsilateral side than on the contralateral side, but only in stage 2 ($P < 0.05$). The mean bite force and the bite force on the ipsilateral side increased significantly from stage 2 to 3 ($P < 0.05$ and $P < 0.01$, respectively; Table 1, Figure 1).

The bite force at the three stages was systematically lower than the mean level in a reference material consisting of children with neutral occlusion (Sonnesen et al., 2001a), but within the 95 per cent confidence limit of the reference material. After retention (stage 3), the bite force was closer to the mean bite force level in the children with neutral occlusion (Figure 1).

Discussion

In agreement with previous studies for the young children, there was no difference in bite force between gender (Lindquist and Ringqvist, 1973; Helle et al., 1983; Kiliaridis et al., 1993) or between right and left side (Lindquist and Ringqvist, 1973; Helle et al., 1983; Bakke et al., 1989; Shiau and Wang, 1993; Ingervall and Minder, 1997). Corresponding with the previous findings of different

Table 1 Maximum molar bite force (N) for the crossbite and non-crossbite side.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bite force (SD)</th>
<th>Stage 1</th>
<th>Stage 2</th>
<th>Stage 3</th>
<th>ANOVA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before treatment</td>
<td>284.21 (64.84)</td>
<td>245.89 (68.41)</td>
<td>338.26 (94.95)</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After expansion</td>
<td>302.16 (88.36)</td>
<td>274.06 (78.31)</td>
<td>321.42 (91.19)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After retention</td>
<td>293.18 (67.33)</td>
<td>259.97 (68.44)</td>
<td>329.84 (84.68)</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* $P < 0.05$ (increase in bite force from stage 2 to 3); ** $P < 0.01$ (increase in bite force from stage 2 to 3); SD, standard deviation, ANOVA, analysis of variance.
masseter volume, ipsilateral and contralateral to the crossbite (Kiliaridis et al., 2000), different bite force values may also have been expected although elevator muscles on both sides are activated during bite force measurements (Bakke et al., 1989). However, only immediately after treatment was bite force significantly lower on the ipsilateral side than on the contralateral side. With the insignificant pre-treatment difference between sides, this could be due to a degeneration of the axons in the periodontal ligament after application of orthodontic forces, as observed in experimental studies in cats (Long et al., 1996). More likely, it could be caused by reduced occlusal support with fewer tooth contacts on the crossbite side immediately after treatment, since the level of elevator muscle activity and the magnitude of bite force is strongly associated with occlusal support (Bakke et al., 1993). The increased bite force level after the retention period could support this idea. In addition, transient changes in masseteric reflex responses have been seen in subjects treated with functional appliances for Class II division I malocclusions, probably originating in periodontal and muscular receptors (Carels and van Steenberghe, 1986). Further, the condyle and fossa were probably not yet fully adapted to the new position of the mandible immediately after treatment (Thilander, 1985; Hesse et al., 1997; Lam et al., 1999; Nerder et al., 1999).

After retention, the bite force increased, which may be associated with normalization of the threshold of the periodontal mechanoreceptors as reported after experimental orthodontic tooth movements in rats (Nakanishi et al., 2004). The bite force in the studied children was then closer to the bite force level in children with neutral occlusion, but still below the mean level. No pain adaptation reducing jaw elevator muscle contraction could account for the low bite force immediately after treatment, but a type of adaptation or ‘retraining’ of the elevator muscles probably takes place during and after treatment (Bakke, 1993). However, as the treatment was started in the late mixed dentition (mean age 9.9 years), it may also be difficult to normalize the muscular function completely (Hamerling et al., 1991; Ben-Bassat et al., 1993; de Boer and Steenks, 1997; Thilander and Lennartsson, 2002).

Conclusion
The bite force in children with a unilateral crossbite was systematically lower than the mean level of children with neutral occlusion, but within the 95 per cent confidence limit. The bite force level was lowest immediately after orthodontic treatment probably due to transient changes in occlusal support, periodontal mechanoreceptors, and jaw elevator muscle reflexes, but the bite force increased again after retention and approached the mean bite force level in children with neutral occlusion.
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