

## Letter to the Editor

## Cigarette Smoking and Stomach Cancer

Abraham Nomura, John S. Grove, Grant N. Stemmermann, and Richard K. Severson

Japan-Hawaii Cancer Study, Kuakini Medical Center, Honolulu, Hawaii 96817

The recent letter by McLaughlin *et al.* (1) reported results from a large cohort study of United States veterans. They observed a statistically significant 40% excess risk of stomach cancer among current cigarette smokers, as well as an 82% increase in risk among heavier cigarette smokers. In contrast, in our cohort study we did not observe a dose-response relationship, even though current smokers had an elevated relative risk of 2.7 for gastric cancer (2). As pointed out in our paper and by McLaughlin *et al.*, past studies have reported either a dose-response trend with smoking (3–5), a positive association with no dose-response trend (6–8), or no association at all (9–11).

In this instance, it may be instructive to study the status of former cigarette smokers. Lung and urinary bladder cancer have been strongly and consistently linked to cigarette smoking. Past smokers, as a group, appear to retain an increased risk for these cancers, even though the risk diminishes the longer the interval since smoking had stopped (12, 13). Of the stomach cancer studies cited, only five specifically reported findings of past or former cigarette smokers (1, 2, 6, 9, 11). The results are summarized in Table 1. Contrary to what might be expected if cigarette smoking were etiologically related to stomach cancer, none of the listed studies showed a statistically significant positive association.

There has been an increase in lung and bladder cancer incidence rates in the United States over the past 15 years (14), most likely related to cigarette smoking. It would be of interest to see whether there will be a corresponding increase in stomach cancer rates in future years, although factors which have contributed to the decline of stomach cancer over the past 50 years (15) may offset the effects of cigarette smoking.

Even though epidemiological evidence to date does not strongly indicate that cigarette smoking is directly related to stomach cancer, we agree with McLaughlin *et al.* that there is still a suggestion that cigarette smoking may be causally related

to this disease and further studies are needed. Mainstream and sidestream tobacco smoke are known to contain *N*-nitroso compounds (16), which are suspected carcinogens for gastric cancer (17).

## References

- McLaughlin, J. K., Hrubec, Z., Blot, W. J., and Fraumeni, J. F., Jr. Stomach cancer and cigarette smoking among U.S. veterans, 1954–1980. *Cancer Res.*, 50: 3804, 1990.
- Nomura, A., Grove, J. S., Stemmermann, G. N., and Severson, R. K. A prospective study of stomach cancer and its relation to diet, cigarettes, and alcohol consumption. *Cancer Res.*, 50: 627–631, 1990.
- Hu, J., Zhang, S., Jia, E., Wang, Q., Liu, S., Liu, Y., Wu, Y., and Cheng, Y. Diet and cancer of the stomach: a case-control study in China. *Int. J. Cancer*, 41: 331–335, 1988.
- You, W.-C., Blot, W. J., Chang, Y.-S., Ershow, A. G., Yang, Z.-T., An, Q., Henderson, B., Xu, G.-W., Fraumeni, J. F., Jr., and Wang, T.-G. Diet and high risk of stomach cancer in Shandong, China. *Cancer Res.*, 48: 3518–3523, 1988.
- Risch, H. A., Jain, M., Choi, N. W., Fodor, J. G., Pfeiffer, C. J., Howe, G. R., Harrison, L. W., Craib, K. J. P., and Miller, A. B. Dietary factors and the incidence of cancer of the stomach. *Am. J. Epidemiol.*, 122: 947–959, 1985.
- Correa, P., Fontham, E., Pickle, L. W., Chen, V., Lin, Y., and Haenszel, W. Dietary determinants of gastric cancer in South Louisiana inhabitants. *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.*, 75: 645–654, 1985.
- Haenszel, W., Kurihara, M., Segi, M., and Lee, R. K. C. Stomach cancer among Japanese in Hawaii. *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.*, 49: 969–988, 1972.
- Hammond, E. C. Smoking in relation to the death rates of 1 million men and women. *Natl. Cancer Inst. Monogr.*, 19: 127–204, 1966.
- Jedrychowski, W., Wahrendorf, J., Popiel, T., and Rachtan, J. A case-control study of dietary factors and stomach cancer risk in Poland. *Int. J. Cancer*, 37: 837–842, 1986.
- La Vecchia, C., Negri, E., Decarli, A., D'Avanzo, B., and Franceschi, S. A case-control study of diet and gastric cancer in Northern Italy. *Int. J. Cancer*, 40: 484–489, 1987.
- Buiatti, E., Palli, D., Decarli, A., Amadori, D., Avellini, C., Bianchi, S., Biserni, R., Cipriani, F., Cocco, P., Giacosa, A., Marubini, E., Puntoni, R., Vindigni, C., Fraumeni, J., Jr., and Blot, W. A case-control study of gastric cancer and diet in Italy. *Int. J. Cancer*, 44: 611–616, 1989.
- Fraumeni, J. F., Jr., and Blot, W. J. Lung and Pleura. In: D. Schottenfeld and J. Fraumeni, Jr. (eds.), *Cancer Epidemiology and Prevention*, pp. 564–582. Philadelphia: Saunders, 1982.
- Hartge, P., Silverman, D., Hoover, R., Schairer, C., Altman, R., Austin, D., Cantor, K., Child, M., Key, C., Marrett, L. D., Mason, T. J., Meigs, J. W., Myers, M. H., Narayana, A., Sullivan, J. W., Swanson, G. M., Thomas, D., and West, D. Changing cigarette habits and bladder cancer risk: a case-control study. *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.*, 78: 1119–1125, 1987.
- Ries, L. A. G., Hankey, B. F., and Edwards, B. K. (eds.). *Cancer Statistics Review 1973–1987*. U.S. Dept. of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, NIH publication 90–2789. Washington, DC: Government Printing Office, 1990.
- Howson, C. P., Hiyama, T., and Wynder, E. L. The decline in gastric cancer: epidemiology of an unplanned triumph. *Epidemiol. Rev.*, 8: 1–27, 1986.
- Hoffmann, D., and Hecht, S. S. Nicotine-derived *N*-nitrosamines and tobacco-related cancer: current status and future directions. *Cancer Res.*, 45: 935–944, 1985.
- Mirvish, S. S. The etiology of gastric cancer. *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.*, 71: 631–647, 1983.

Table 1 Relative risks of stomach cancer among former cigarette smokers

Authors (Ref.)	Relative risk	95% confidence interval
McLaughlin <i>et al.</i> (1)	1.02	0.86–1.21
Nomura <i>et al.</i> (2)	1.0	0.6–1.7
Buiatti <i>et al.</i> (11)	0.9	0.7–1.1
Jedrychowski <i>et al.</i> (9)	0.79	0.79–2.13
Correa <i>et al.</i> (6)		
Whites	1.04	0.54–2.03
Blacks	1.85	0.81–4.22

Received 7/20/90; accepted 8/3/90.