

15. LUSTIG, B., and H. WACHTEL. Versuch einer Methodik zur Prüfung von Substanzen auf ihre Wirksamkeit bei Carcinom. *Ztschr. f. Krebsforsch.*, **41**:468-482. 1935.
16. PARFENTJEV, I. A., V. D. SUNTZEFF, and W. K. DEVRIENT. The Influence of Various Preparations of Lactic Acid and Sugars on the Growth of Transplanted Tumors: Mouse Sarcoma 180. *Am. J. Cancer*, **20**:117-136. 1934.
17. PETROWA, E. Zur Frage über den Glycogengehalt in den myomatösen Tumoren der Gebärmutter. *Zentralbl. f. Gynäk.*, **56**:1045-1048. 1932.
18. PFLÜGER, E. Meine Methode der quantitativen Analyse des Glykogenes und die Arteigenthümlichkeit der Substanzen des Thierleibes. *Arch. f. d. ges. Physiol.*, **129**:362-378. 1909.
19. ROUSSY, G., and E.-C. CRACIUN. La glycogénie du sarcome de Jensen. *Compt. rend. Soc. de biol.*, **99**:1488-1490. 1928.
20. ROUSSY, G., and E.-C. CRACIUN. Glycogénie du sarcome de Peyton-Rous. *Compt. rend. Soc. de biol.*, **99**:1720-1722. 1928.
21. SCHOTT, H. F., L. T. SAMUELS, and H. A. BALL. Effect of Hypophysectomy on Glycogen Distribution in Tumor-Bearing Rats. *Proc. Soc. Exper. Biol. & Med.*, **37**:410-412. 1937.
22. TESAURO, G. Il glicogeno nei carcinomi dell'utero. *Arch. di sc. biol.*, **9**:88-94. 1926.
23. VANNEFÄLT, K. A. Über die Wirkung von Glykose auf Teertumoren bei Mäusen. *Upsala läkeref. förh.*, **43**:267-412. 1937-38.

Corrections

See: Lewisohn, R., C. Leuchtenberger, R. Leuchtenberger, D. Laszlo, and K. Bloch. Action of Yeast Extract on Transplanted and Spontaneous Tumors in Mice. *Cancer Research*, **1**:799-806. 1941.

The following corrections of errors in the manuscript are published at the request of the authors:

1. P. 799, column 2, line 14: For "(No. 3, Table I)" substitute "(No. 2, Table I)."

2. P. 799, footnote 2: For "No. 3" substitute "No. 2"; for "0.01Y" substitute "0.1Y."

3. P. 800, column 1, paragraph 2, line 9: Insert "in the filtrate" after "it was present."

4. P. 804, column 2, last line: Delete "nor" and insert "and is."

5. P. 806, column 1, line 1: Insert "not" after "The active material is."

6. P. 800, Table I, substitute the following:

TABLE I: SUMMARY OF TESTS OF FRACTIONS OF YEAST EXTRACT

Extract No.	Preparation	Dose in mgm.	Per cent of solids compared with yeast extract	Total number of animals	Complete * regressions
1.	Ethanol precipitate after dialysis	3	28	37	9
2.	Lead filtrate from No. 1	3	22	27	9
3.	Silver filtrate from No. 2	2	11	23	7
4.	Lead filtrate from undialyzed yeast extract	4	25	19	5
5.	Lead precipitate from undialyzed yeast extract	4	37	7	0
6.	Silver filtrate from No. 4	4.5	16	16†	5
		1.5			
7.	Silver precipitate from No. 4	1	11	8	0
8.	Ethanol-insoluble barium salts from No. 6	0.9	3.2	11	4
9.	Phosphotungstate from No. 6	0.7	2.5	9	3
10.	No. 1 after nitrous acid treatment	7.5	..	7	2
11.	No. 1 after treatment with N/2HCL	4.5	..	9	0

* Temporary regressions with subsequent recurrences are not included in these statistics.

† 8 mice received 4.5 mgm., and 8 received 1.5 mgm. in single doses.