Regulation of leucocyte homeostasis in the circulation
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The functions of blood cells extend well beyond the immune functions of leucocytes or the respiratory and hemostatic functions of erythrocytes and platelets. Seen as a whole, the bloodstream is in charge of nurturing and protecting all organs by carrying a mixture of cell populations in transit from one organ to another. To optimize these functions, evolution has provided blood and the vascular system that carries it with various mechanisms that ensure the appropriate influx and egress of cells into and from the circulation where and when needed. How this homeostatic control of blood is achieved has been the object of study for over a century, and although the major mechanisms that govern it are now fairly well understood, several new concepts and mediators have recently emerged that emphasize the dynamism of this liquid tissue. Here we review old and new concepts that relate to the maintenance and regulation of leucocyte homeostasis in blood and briefly discuss the mechanisms for platelets and red blood cells.
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This article is part of the Spotlight Issue on Leucocyte trafficking across the vessel wall.

1. Introduction

Making and maintaining blood requires a symphony of finely tuned microenvironments and mechanisms that regulate self-renewal, differentiation, migration, and removal of its ageing elements. The generative arm is orchestrated in the bone marrow where distinct niches have been shown to maintain haematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) and regulate progenitor commitment and differentiation into mature blood cells, whereas the recycling arm is shared among the marrow, spleen, and liver. Although the response of the bone marrow and extramedullary organs to acute blood loss or severe infection can be spectacular—and mechanistically insightful—the precise regulation of haematopoiesis during homeostasis illustrates the exquisite level with which haematopoiesis organizes leucocytes, platelets, and red blood cells are regulated by input and output mechanisms, whose combined net effects are reflected in how many cells are present in the circulation at any given time. For leucocytes, homeostasis does not necessarily mean a constant number of cells in the circulation at all times but rather an oscillation with peak counts occurring during the behavioural rest phase of the organism.¹ Thus, the appropriate number of circulating blood cells reflects the current need for specific cell populations at specific times.

In humans and mice, circulating leucocytes account for ~3–10⁶ cells per millilitre of blood. This relatively small pool lies in stark contrast to the huge cellular reservoirs of haematopoietic cells that reside within bone marrow, thymus, spleen, and lymph nodes from where cells can be readily released into blood in a process termed mobilization or egress. Thus, while circulating white blood cell counts are an important rheostat of altered homeostasis, disease states, and behavioural activity of the organism, a small difference in the amount of cells being released from or recruited to organs can have a dramatic effect on numbers, phenotypes, as well as cellular composition and may not necessarily reflect what is happening within individual organs. This also illustrates that circulating leucocytes represent cells in transition, in the process of migrating from one organ to another. Only few leucocyte subtypes such as neutrophils and monocyte subsets do not normally leave the circulation (or...
rather the intravascular compartment, as detailed below) during their lifetime under steady-state conditions. Since leucocytes do not undergo apoptosis in blood itself, the key input and output mechanisms of blood counts are mobilization and recruitment, respectively, which we will discuss below focusing on the individual cell populations.

Cellular egress into blood and the migration of cells into tissues are the result of extensive communication among organs. A local inflammatory reaction in the skin for example provides the cue for the bone marrow to enhance granulopoiesis and release mature neutrophils into the blood. In contrast, hypoxia or bleeding provides the necessary signals for the release of erythrocytes or platelets, respectively. The key communication system that relays this information between tissues is the vasculature itself, acting as transport vessels for numerous endocrine signals, as well as the autonomic nervous system which comprise opposing sympathetic and parasympathetic branches. Thus, local release of hormones or cytokines in peripheral tissues reaches the bone marrow via the bloodstream, whereas neural circuits provide signals outside the blood. The sympathetic nervous system (SNS) for example drives mobilization of cells from the bone marrow via local adrenergic output from nerve varicosities in that tissue. The parasympathetic nervous system (PNS), in turn, can provide long-distance anti-inflammatory reflexes in response to pathogen or injury as has been elegantly demonstrated in the skin. Together, extensive signalling pathways between organs are responsible for keeping homeostasis in blood cell counts.

The bone marrow is the most important haematopoietic organ in the adult from which all blood cells are derived. Recent research indicates that different niches exist within the bone marrow that are responsible for the generation of specific haematopoietic cell subsets. While the niche for HSCs appears to be localized in close proximity to sinusoids and arterioles, the generation of B cells has been suggested to occur close to bone lining osteoblasts. Due to incomplete understanding of different niches for different haematopoietic lineages, the mechanisms of how distinct niches are targeted to induce specificity in the egress of haematopoietic subsets into blood are not well understood. It is evident, however, that some stimuli are more specific for the mobilization of certain haematopoietic lineages than others. This is an emerging concept in haematology and will not be further discussed here.

2.1 Haematopoietic stem and progenitor cells

Haematopoietic stem and progenitor cells (HSPCs) can circulate throughout the body after release from the bone marrow and percolate via blood and lymph to reach target organs. The physiological role of spontaneous HSPC mobilization is not entirely understood and may occur to replenish emptied niches and perform immunosurveillance of peripheral organs. In the context of inflammation, mobilized HSPCs can feed the spleen for further differentiation into myeloid cells that can then be deployed into the affected tissues. The possibility to mobilize haematopoietic cells at will has had impact in the clinic where HSPC mobilization has provided enormous benefits for bone marrow transplantation procedures. G-CSF is a cytokine that induces the bone marrow to produce granulocytes and HSPCs. In addition, it stimulates the release of these cells into the bloodstream, which is why it is currently the most commonly used mobilizing agent for HSPCs with its peak effect occurring 5–7 days after initial administration. This drug also mobilizes committed myeloid, megakaryocytic, as well as erythroid progenitors, indicating similar egress mechanisms for different myeloid lineages. For G-CSF-induced mobilization of HSPCs to occur, expression of the G-CSF-receptor (G-CSF-R) is required on haematopoietic cells. The precise nature of this haematopoietic cell had long been a matter of debate as G-CSF-R is found on a variety of cell types in the bone marrow, including neutrophils, monocytes as well as a broad range of progenitor cell types, which could explain some of the common egress pathways of these cells. Recent data now indicate the essential involvement of bone marrow macrophages in G-CSF-induced mobilization of HSPCs. Expression of G-CSF-R exclusively on CD68+ mononuclear phagocytes as well as depletion of CD169+ bone marrow macrophages has revealed the importance of these cells in the mobilization after G-CSF. Interestingly, the effect of G-CSF antagonizes macrophage function in the bone marrow HSPC niche as depletion of monocytes/macrophages results in the mobilization of HSPCs. This indicates a critical role for this cell type in the retention of stem and progenitor cells in the bone marrow.

G-CSF acts in part by inhibiting the ability of macrophages to support the expression of the HSPC retention factors CXCL12, VCAM-1, Kitl, and Angt1 in Nestin+ niche cells. CXCL12 (also known as SDF-1) is the most important chemoattractant expressed in the bone marrow parenchyma, which is recognized by the chemokine receptor CXCR4, expressed throughout haematopoietic lineages from immature HSCs to mature myeloid and lymphoid cells. The CXCL12-CXCR4 chemokine axis therefore plays a predominant role in the retention/mobilization of most haematopoietic cells from the bone marrow (Figure 1). This interaction can be disrupted by using the CXCR4 antagonist AMD3100 (also known or plerixafor), which induces the mobilization of HSPCs and neutrophils by interfering with CXCR4-induced chemotraction and adhesion within the bone marrow or other organs, as well as by preventing their emigration from the circulation. HSPCs can also be mobilized from the bone marrow during infection. Systemic infection of mice with *Escherichia coli* resulted in the deployment of HSPCs to the spleen and associated extramedullary haematopoiesis, which was dependent on expression of toll-like receptor (TLR) 4 and nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain-containing protein 1 (NOD1) on radio-resistant cells.

Vascular cell adhesion molecule (VCAM)-1 contributes to anchoring HSPCs to bone marrow stromal cells by engaging with the integrin very late antigen (VLA)-4 (α4β1; CD49d/CD29) expressed on haematopoietic cells. Consequently, interfering with this axis causes mobilization of HSPCs as shown by blockade of VCAM-1 or VLA-4 with antibodies (Figure 1). In addition, genetic ablation of VLA-4 or VCAM-1 results in the constitutive mobilization of HSPCs in the bone marrow, which is enhanced after G-CSF. Both the chemokine and adhesion molecule retention pathways are highly coordinated as engagement of CXCL12 present on the bone marrow vasculature by HSPCs results in a high affinity conformation of the integrin VLA-4 within the marrow space leading to increased retention of transfused HSPCs in the bone marrow. In contrast to the retention factors CXCL12 and VCAM-1 whose down-modulation induces the mobilization of HSPCs, the phospholipid sphingosine 1 phosphate (S1P) is an HSPC egress factor. S1P is an 18-carbon amino alcohol with an unsaturated hydrocarbon chain that is phosphorylated by sphingosine kinase. S1PR1, the main receptor for S1P, is expressed on HSPCs and is involved in HSPC mobilization in steady state. It also enhances mobilization in combination with plerixafor administration.

While macrophages provide key retention factors for HSPCs, the SNS down-regulates the same factors to induce HSPC mobilization. Pharmacological inhibition or genetic ablation of catecholamine neurotransmission blunts bone marrow mobilization while agonism of β2 or
β3 adrenergic receptors induces mobilization. Recent evidence points to a non-continuous mobilization of HSPCs from bone marrow into blood and to a rhythmic recruitment pattern back to the marrow (see below), both appearing to be responsible for the rhythmic oscillations of blood leucocyte counts. Together, macrophages as local haematopoietic cells and the SNS as an organism-wide neural circuit exert opposite functions in the mobilization of HSPCs from the bone marrow and are key players for regulating their homeostatic numbers in blood.

### 2.2 Neutrophils

Neutrophils are the most abundant circulating leucocytes in humans and represent a significant white blood cell fraction in mice. Mobilization of mature neutrophils from the bone marrow occurs at a dramatic rate of \( \approx 10^{11} \) cells per day (10⁷ in mice, respectively). However, this rate is further increased in an inflammatory setting as the bone marrow reserve of neutrophils can be mobilized in a matter of hours. This is in stark contrast to the mobilization of HSPCs, which occurs robustly after few days. Mature neutrophils that are about to be released next to bone marrow sinusoids from where they pass through the sinusoidal endothelium to reach the blood stream. In addition to the general mode of leucocyte migration into peripheral organs where leucocytes mostly migrate at the junction between endothelial cells, newly formed leucocytes can migrate into sinusoids transcellularly, by passing through the endothelial cell body independently of its junctions. As mentioned above, G-CSF also induces the mobilization of neutrophils from the bone marrow. This cytokine is also critical for proliferation and...
differentiation of myeloid cells. In contrast to G-CSF, CXCR4 inhibition via plerixafor may augment the frequency of circulating neutrophils through their release from the marginated pool present in the lung, while simultaneously preventing neutrophil return to the bone marrow. An interesting paradigm of how elimination of leucocytes in tissues communicates with their mobilization into blood to maintain homeostasis in blood is the regulation of granulopoiesis in the bone marrow by peripheral tissue-resident phagocytes and γδ T cells. Dying neutrophils are taken up in tissues by phagocytes (in equal parts by the spleen, liver, and bone marrow), which curbs the release of IL-23 (Figure 2). IL-23 induces IL-17 production by T cells, which in turn increases G-CSF levels and activates granulopoiesis. Therefore, phagocytosis of neutrophils in peripheral tissues may provide negative feedback for their mobilization from the bone marrow to fine tune neutrophil homeostasis in blood.

Similar to HSPCs, neutrophils are retained in the bone marrow mainly through CXCL12-CXCR4 and VCAM-1-VLA-4 axes. In contrast, however, to the relatively slow process of mobilization with G-CSF, neutrophils are rapidly mobilized into blood in inflammatory scenarios. This is reflected in the rapid increase of blood neutrophil numbers after peripheral stimulation with the proinflammatory mediators leukotriene B4, C5a, and IL-8. In addition, the chemokines CXCL1 and CXCL2 induce rapid and selective mobilization of neutrophils. However, under conditions of hypercholesterolaemia CXCL1 can also induce the mobilization of inflammatory monocyte subsets (see below) from bone marrow and spleen. Consistent with these observations, studies from the Link and Rankin laboratories have established that CXCR2 and CXCR4 provide negative feedback for the homeostatic release of neutrophils into the circulation. In this model, CXCR4 signalling antagonizes that of CXCR2 to promote retention in the bone marrow, while

**Figure 2** Cholesterol metabolism and control of leucocyte homeostasis. Modified forms of cholesterol within haematopoietic cells (macrophages or haematopoietic progenitors; HSPC) function as agonists for LXR. Activation of these transcription factors then causes increased transcription of ABC transporters required for cholesterol efflux from the cells and trans-repression of inflammatory cytokines. When LXR or ABC transporters are absent, accumulation of cholesterol in the cells leads to elevated cytokine production (e.g. IL-23) or enhanced signalling through membrane-associated receptors (e.g. IL-3/GM-CSF-R or c-MPL) that promotes leucocyte mobilization, HSPC expansion or thrombocytosis, respectively. Regulation of these processes can occur in extramedullary sites (macrophages in tissues) or in the bone marrow (HSPC or megakaryocytic precursors).
ally induces the egress of HSPCs from the bone marrow via SNS signal-enter (chemokine is necessary, into which inflammatory monocytes then stream. Instead, a local decoration of bone marrow sinusoids with the response to CCL2 is not due to systemic levels of CCL2 in the blood. An additional axis of chemokine CCL7 that in turn induces monocyte mobilization.39 An addition to these models of pathogen-associated molecular pattern (PAMP)-induced mobilization, sterile acute myocardial infarction can induce the release of Ly6Chi monocytes from the bone marrow.38 CXCR4, this chemokine receptor demonstrates the central role of chemokines in the spontaneous mobilization of myeloid cells. Interestingly, the response to CCL2 is not due to systemic levels of CCL2 in the blood stream. Instead, a local decoration of bone marrow sinusoids with the chemokine is necessary, into which inflammatory monocytes then enter (Figure 1). This mechanism is induced by low levels of TLR ligands such as TLR4, the receptor for the bacterial cell wall component LPS, which act on mesenchymal stromal cells to release CCL2.37 In contrast to the other haematopoietic lineages, the central organ for the generation of mature T lymphocytes and their release into blood is the thymus. T-cell progenitors leave the bone marrow to seed the thymus, where they undergo differentiation and are being released into the circulation as mature single-positive CD4+ or CD8+ T cells. A critical axis involved in the egress of lymphocytes from organs into blood is S1P and its receptors S1PR1-5.45 S1P levels exhibit a strong concentration gradient between blood and tissues, which is essential for egress of lymphocytes from tissues, being highest in blood (≏1 μM) and lowest in organs (≏1 nM). Fully mature T and B cells express the S1P-receptor S1PR1, which is the main S1P receptor for lymphocyte egress as cells deficient in this molecule fail to leave the thymus and lymph nodes resulting in severe lymphopenia. S1P also promotes the egress of lymphocytes from splenic white to red pulp and back into the circulation.46

The egress mechanisms of lymphocytes have been investigated in most detail in the lymph node due to better accessibility for in vivo imaging techniques. In contrast to the bone marrow, thymus or spleen, egress of cells into blood from lymph nodes is not direct but occurs via the lymph. For most of the body (except the right arm) lymph drains into the thoracic (or left lymphatic) duct, which at the level of the subclavicular bone merges with blood vessels allowing cells to reach the blood circulation. Therefore, egress from lymph nodes into blood is not immediate but occurs with a delay. In addition, this means that cells must migrate across lymphatic endothelial cells to reach the blood. S1P provides the egress signal via S1PR1 for lymphocytes in the lymph node, whereas chemokine receptors such as CCR7 provide retention signals and are critical for their recruitment (discussed below) (Figure 1). T cell activation (e.g. in response to infection) delays lymphocyte egress and provides the necessary time for specific T-cell clones to find their cognate antigen and proliferate. This is due to a combination of enhanced S1P levels in tissues, which desensitizes S1P receptors, and the up-regulation of activation markers such as CD69, which counteracts S1PR1 signalling.45 In the clinic, functional antagonism of S1PRs is induced by treating multiple sclerosis patients with FTY720 (also known as fingolimod), a drug that inhibits lymphocytes from leaving lymph nodes, from entering the circulation and infiltrating the CNS.47 Recent data indicate the importance of the SNS in the retention of lymphocytes within lymph nodes: Adrenergic signals via the β2-adrenergic receptor enhance retention-promoting signals through CCR7 and CXCR4, and consequently inhibit lymphocyte egress from lymph nodes.48 These data demonstrate the central importance of G-protein-coupled receptor signalling for lymphocyte homeostasis in blood.

2.3 Monocytes

Monocytic lineages consist of macrophages as well as Ly6C+ inflammatory or classical and Ly6Clo non-inflammatory or non-classical monocytes. Macrophages are by definition cells that reside in tissues and are critical mediators for the mobilization of HSPCs as discussed above. They also represent important regulators of the erythroid niche in the bone marrow as erythroblast islands, formed by erythrocyte precursors surrounding a central macrophage, are depleted upon removal of CD169 macrophages, thereby reducing bone marrow erythroblasts.35 In their role as phagocytes in peripheral tissues they provide critical long-distance feedback for the egress of cell types from the bone marrow (discussed below). Ly6C+ monocytes express the chemokine receptor CCR2 on their surface and are thus able to respond to inflammatory signals consisting of the chemokine CCL2 (also known as MCP-1). This axis is critical for their deployment from the marrow as CCR2-deficient mice exhibit blood monocytopaenia and an accumulation of Ly6C+ monocytes in the bone marrow.16 Together with CXCR4, this chemokine receptor demonstrates the central role of chemokines in the spontaneous mobilization of myeloid cells. Interestingly, the response to CCL2 is not due to systemic levels of CCL2 in the blood stream. Instead, a local decoration of bone marrow sinusoids with the chemokine is necessary, into which inflammatory monocytes then enter (Figure 1). This mechanism is induced by low levels of TLR ligands such as TLR4, the receptor for the bacterial cell wall component LPS, which act on mesenchymal stromal cells to release CCL2.37

In addition to these models of pathogen-associated molecular pattern (PAMP)-induced mobilization, sterile acute myocardial infarction can induce the release of Ly6Chi monocytes from the bone marrow.38 CXCR4, this chemokine receptor demonstrates the central role of chemokines in the spontaneous mobilization of myeloid cells. Interestingly, the response to CCL2 is not due to systemic levels of CCL2 in the blood stream. Instead, a local decoration of bone marrow sinusoids with the chemokine is necessary, into which inflammatory monocytes then enter (Figure 1). This mechanism is induced by low levels of TLR ligands such as TLR4, the receptor for the bacterial cell wall component LPS, which act on mesenchymal stromal cells to release CCL2.37

In a manner analogous to neutrophils in the bone marrow, the splenic reservoir of monocytes is clustered in cords within the subcapsular red pulp. Ischaemic myocardial injury increases the motility of monocytes within this compartment and induces their mobilization via an angiotensin II-mediated mechanism. Splenic monocytes express the angiotensin type-1 receptor on their surface and are thus specifically able to react to this signal.41 Interestingly, myocardial infarction additionally induces the egress of HSPCs from the bone marrow via SNS signalling pathways. HSPCs then seed the spleen, yielding a sustained boost in monocyte production.9 These data underline the intricate relationships between various leucocyte subsets in different tissues and the extensive means of communication between them.

2.4 Lymphocytes

In a manner similar to myeloid cells, down-modulation of CXCR4 and VLA-4 is thought to play the major role in the egress of B lymphocytes from the bone marrow. However, interfering with either

the CXCR4-CXCL12 or the VCAM-1-VLA-4 axis induces the release of immature B cell progenitors to a greater extent than mature B cells, indicating mechanistic differences in the mobilization at different stages of maturation.32 For the retention of immature B cells in the bone marrow, the VCAM-1-VLA-4 axis seems to play a more dominant role than CXCR4. Instead, the Gαi-coupled cannabinoid receptor 2 is required for the retention of these cells in sinuses.43 In keeping with the prominent role of this signalling axis in general B cell egress into the bloodstream, maturation along the B cell lineage is accompanied by diminished function of CXCR4 which may be important for the relocalization of maturing B cells within the bone marrow or mobilization into blood.44

In contrast to the other haematopoietic lineages, the central organ for the generation of mature T lymphocytes and their release into blood is the thymus. T-cell progenitors leave the bone marrow to seed the thymus, where they undergo differentiation and are being released into the circulation as mature single-positive CD4+ or CD8+ T cells. A critical axis involved in the egress of lymphocytes from organs into blood is S1P and its receptors S1PR1-5.45 S1P levels exhibit a strong concentration gradient between blood and tissues, which is essential for egress of lymphocytes from tissues, being highest in blood (≏1 μM) and lowest in organs (≏1 nM). Fully mature T and B cells express the S1P-receptor S1PR1, which is the main S1P receptor for lymphocyte egress as cells deficient in this molecule fail to leave the thymus and lymph nodes resulting in severe lymphopenia. S1P also promotes the egress of lymphocytes from splenic white to red pulp and back into the circulation.46
which can promote vascular injury. \(^{49,50}\) Several millions of platelets are produced every hour by bone marrow megakaryocytes. Megakaryocytes are localized in the perivascular environment of bone marrow sinusoids where they form long, dynamic pseudopods that reach across endothelial cells into the blood stream. \(^{51}\) CXCL12 is an important chemokine for the positioning of megakaryocytes next to bone marrow sinusoids. \(^{52}\) In addition, thrombopoietin (THPO), which is produced in the liver and kidneys induces their production and differentiation and is thus the most critical hormone for thrombopoiesis. S1P is also a critical cue during thrombopoiesis as it is needed for the shedding of platelets into blood. Mice lacking S1PR1 exhibit severe thrombocytopenia due to defects in shedding and formation of pro-platelets. \(^{53}\)

The S1P gradient between blood and bone marrow parenchyma is important to drive polarized pro-platelet extension of megakaryocytes into the sinusoidal lumen via S1PR1 expression on megakaryocytes. Mature megakaryocytes form intravascular pro-platelet extensions that grow from the megakaryocyte body at a rate of 10 \(\mu\)m/min under shear. The immunosuppressive drug FTY720 leads to shedding of intravascular pro-platelet extensions into the blood stream, paralleled by an acute but transient increase in circulating platelets. This suggests that FTY720 acts as an agonist for megakaryocytic S1PR1 and has the potential to rapidly mobilize pro-platelets into the blood, most likely by supporting fragmentation of intravascular pro-platelets.

Erythropoietin (EPO) is the principal hormone promoting erythropoiesis. Similar to THPO, it is produced in the liver and kidneys. While the liver is the major producing organ during development, the kidneys are the main producers of EPO during adulthood. EPO levels in the circulation are relatively low in steady state. However, during periods of hypoxic stress, the levels can increase up to a 1000-fold. The fates of erythrocytes and leucocytes are highly intertwined as to the physiological cues that underlie the specific increase of the leucocyte counts and functions are changed, which contributes to clinical manifestations. \(^{54}\)

3. Recruitment and clearance of cells from the circulation

Together with the mechanisms regulating the influx of leucocytes, erythrocytes, and platelets into the bloodstream, those that control their emigration back into the tissues are equally essential to confer homeostasis in blood cell numbers. The efflux of blood cells into haematopoietic, lymphoid, or other tissues serves three major purposes: definitive elimination, replenishment, and recirculation of cells. The first accounts for the necessity to eliminate cells that have accumulated structural or other alterations during their transit in blood and are no longer functional (e.g. erythrocytes, platelets, or neutrophils). Replenishment of leucocytes is needed in the case of leucocyte subtypes that do not self-renew in tissues. Finally, recirculation allows various leucocyte subsets to survey tissues in search of danger signals and/or in search of appropriate environments to carry out their functions, as is the case of lymphocytes and haematopoietic precursors.

The basic mechanisms that guide leucocyte extravasation into tissues were largely defined over two decades ago and summarized by Butcher and Springer as a series of sequential and distinct molecular interactions between the leucocyte and the endothelial cells that coat the vessels. \(^{55,56}\) These interactions are initiated by pairs of lectin-type receptors and their glycoconjugate ligands present on leucocytes and endothelial cells (Figure 1): L-selectin on leucocytes and P- or E-selectins on endothelial cells recognize sialyl-Lewis x, a tetrasaccharide structure presented by specific glycoproteins including PSGL-1, CD44, and GlyCAM-1. That selectins display very high affinity but low avidity for their ligands is particularly relevant for the initial capture or tethering of cells under the conditions of high shear stress present in most blood vessels. This relevant role in early leucocyte egress from blood is illustrated by alterations in spleen size or leucocyte counts in mice lacking one or more of the selectins or other adhesion receptors. \(^{79,84,59}\)

Subsequent steps in the extravasation process include the activation of \(\beta1\) and \(\beta2\) integrins induced by various chemokines or upon selectin binding, firm engagement of immunoglobulin-superfamily ligands present on the endothelial surface, leucocyte crawling, and finally transmigration between or across endothelial cells into the tissue (reviewed in more detail in Ref. 60 and 61). Although this sequence of steps has been defined almost exclusively during inflammation, a context in which many of the relevant chemokines and receptors are strongly up-regulated, it is likely that similar mechanisms mediate leucocyte egress under steady-state conditions as described below. For example, the bone marrow, skin, and lymph node microvasculatures display constitutive expression of many of the molecules required for extravasation and leucocytes are therefore continuously found rolling and arrested on these vessels. \(^{62-65}\) A subset of patrolling monocytes has also been shown to adhere to and crawl on resting vascular beds in the absence of infectious or inflammatory stimuli. \(^{66}\)

Below, we discuss basic mechanisms of recruitment and clearance of the different blood cells into their preferred target organs, and when relevant, we describe how this process varies during steady-state or inflammatory conditions.

3.1 HSPCs

As indicated above, levels of haematopoietic precursors in the circulation oscillate according to circadian cycles. While the mechanisms underlying HSPC mobilization (spontaneous or induced by various agents) have been thoroughly studied because of its therapeutic potential for transplantation procedures, those regulating their migration to extramedullary tissues remain largely unexplored. In contrast, interest in understanding the cues that guide their migration back to the bone marrow has been fostered by the potential benefit of improving engraftment when the number of donating progenitors are limiting; as is the case when cord blood units are used for transplantation or with poorly mobilizing patients. \(^{67}\) For this reason, there is thorough knowledge of the chemotactic and adhesive pathways regulating their homing to the bone marrow. Predominant chemotactic and adhesive cues in mice and humans are those mediated by CXCR4 and VLA-4 expressed on HSPCs, \(^{58}\) which recognize CXCL12 and VCAM-1, respectively. These molecules are complemented by endothelial selectins, \(^{68}\) which are constitutively expressed in the bone marrow microvasculature. \(^{18,21,69}\)

Evidence that the CXCR4/CXCL12 interaction regulates the directionality of HSPC migration (blood to bone marrow, or bone marrow to blood) comes from studies showing that the expression of CXCR4 on HSPC is regulated by the circadian clock and is up-regulated at those times when the progenitors naturally adhere to bone marrow microvessels and migrate to this organ, \(^{69,70}\) which coincides with elevated expression of its cognate ligand in the marrow. \(^{41,71}\) These studies highlighted a coordinated action of the migrating cells and the target organ for efficient clearance of HSPCs from the circulation. The question remains as to the physiological cues that underlie the specific increase of the
3.2 Neutrophils

As in the case of HSPCs, neutrophils are most efficiently cleared from the circulation at specific times of the day. This natural clearance of neutrophils from the blood is believed to result in degradation within bone marrow, liver, and spleen, organs that are specialized in the turnover of blood cells. Other organs are also possibly involved in the clearance of neutrophils, but this has not been explored in detail. A peculiarity of neutrophils, compared with other blood populations, is their short lifetime in the circulation (~12 h), and a high reactivity against danger signals that allows them to rapidly respond to pathogens, but is in turn an underlying factor of vascular inflammation. Both features are likely to have driven an evolutionary selection for efficient mechanisms for neutrophil disposal. Interestingly, the elimination of neutrophils from blood is preceded by specific molecular and morphological alterations collectively defined as ‘ageing,’ including increases in adhesion receptors such as CD11b, CD49d, and CXCR4 that facilitate their migration into peripheral tissues and the bone marrow. As indicated for HSPCs, these studies suggested a coordinated program between neutrophils (cell intrinsic) and the surrounding tissues (environmental) that promote their homoeostatic elimination from blood. While the migration to the marrow clearly involves CXCR4 signalling, the mechanisms guiding elimination in other tissues are less clear. β2 integrins together with endothelial selectins are likely adhesive mediators of the emigration of neutrophils from blood in the absence of inflammation, as evidenced by the marked neutrophilia displayed by mice mutant in these pathways. In contrast, the chemotactic gradients that promote the extramedullary clearance of neutrophils have not been defined. It is possible, however, that CXCR2—a prominent chemokine receptor on these cells—plays an active role in the process, although most data related to the functions of CXCR2 have been obtained in the context of inflammation, and different receptors may be specialized for migration into specific organs.

Another peculiarity of neutrophils is the dynamic fluctuations of their number in blood, which may not necessarily involve extravasation and require instead firm adhesion on the vessel wall, resulting in their removal from the circulation. This process, referred to as margination, is thought to occur in organs endowed with a rich network of small capillaries such as the lung and the liver. Recent data have demonstrated that the reverse process, or de-margination, from pulmonary vessels actually accounts for the rapid mobilization of neutrophils into blood in response to CXCR4 antagonists but, interestingly, not in response to G-CSF. This suggests that the CXCR4/CXCL12 pathway is important in the control of neutrophil numbers even in extramedullary tissues.

Although neutrophils are believed to be eliminated and die in the tissues that they infiltrate during active inflammation, evidence obtained in zebrafish and mice suggest that a fraction can return back to the vasculature and into the circulation. Although in mice this represented a small proportion of cells that could be recruited to secondary inflammatory sites, the contribution of this phenomenon to balancing neutrophil numbers and/or promoting distant inflammation remains to be determined.

3.3 Monocytes

As previously indicated, two subsets of monocytes are found in the circulation. The less abundant, non-inflammatory subset can be found crawling on vascular beads even in the absence of injury. This patrolling behaviour allows encounter with damaged cells or pathogens that result in the uptake of the foreign body and restoration of endothelial cell and vascular homeostasis. Mechanisms regulating the removal of these cells from the circulation are poorly defined. In contrast, the mechanisms regulating the natural egress of Ly6Chi CX3CR1 inflammatory monocytes from the circulation have been recently identified using elegant experimental approaches. Expression of CCR2, one of the major chemoattractant receptors in inflammatory monocytes, is repressed by the concerted action of Bmal1, a component of the circadian clock, and the polycomb repressor complex. When Bmal1 levels are low, or in mice with myeloid-specific deficiency in this gene, CCR2 expression is constitutively high and causes an elevated migration of these cells into tissues. The relevance of these findings in the context of inflammation was illustrated by the enhanced response of the myeloid-specific Bmal1-deficient mice to bacterial infection and their susceptibility to metabolic disease. These studies revealed that at least some of the molecular pathways underlying homeostatic clearance and inflammatory responses in specific leucocyte subsets are similar, and that mechanisms have evolved to quantitatively modulate these pathways to ensure blunted or enhanced migration as needed. Interestingly, a recent study reported that these inflammatory monocytes actively recirculate between blood, tissues, and lymphoid organs, suggesting that the levels of monocytes in circulation could be dynamically regulated by their differential dwell times in various compartments.

3.4 Lymphocytes

The basic mechanisms governing lymphocyte recirculation were elucidated almost 50 years ago through the pioneering work of Gowans and colleagues. The mechanisms of natural lymphocyte recirculation from the blood into the different tissues markedly differ from that of myeloid cells and are driven by the need of the various lymphocyte subsets to search for rare antigens that will initiate their activation and immunological response.

Guided mostly by intravital imaging of the vessels that feed lymph nodes and supported by in vitro assays using flow chambers, the processes by which lymphocytes leave the bloodstream are now well

receptor and ligand that promote the natural reductions of HSPCs in the circulation. A major regulatory pathway in the bone marrow appears to be catecholamines delivered by local nerves and signalling through the β3-adrenergic receptor, as demonstrated by the finding that pharmacological stimulation enhances expression of relevant adhesive pathways by the medullary microvasculature and promotes HSPC homing. An additional mechanism controlling the steady-state reductions of HSPC from blood is the elevation in niche ‘activity’ within the bone marrow induced by tissue-resident macrophages, whose function is in turn regulated in a time-dependent manner by the presence of senescent neutrophils cleared from blood.

Although the bone marrow is the major organ for HSPC release and recruitment, haematopoietic precursors can be also found in multiple organs in the steady state, including the spleen, liver, and fat tissues. The contribution of these organs in regulating HSPC numbers in the circulation is unclear, however, and deserves further study. As discussed above, HSPCs with long-term reconstituting capacity are also found in lymph. Experiments in parabiotic models concluded that they are derived from the circulation and reach the lymphatics through extramedullary tissues rather than entering through the lymph nodes. These studies revealed that, similarly to lymphocytes, the recirculation of HSPCs from tissues into lymph depends on S1PR1, while the return of progenitor cells from blood back into the bone marrow occurs through the canonical CXCR4/CXCL12 pathway described above.

3.2 Neutrophils

As in the case of HSPCs, neutrophils are most efficiently cleared from the circulation at specific times of the day. This natural clearance of neutrophils from the blood is believed to result in degradation within bone marrow, liver, and spleen, organs that are specialized in the turnover of blood cells. Other organs are also possibly involved in the clearance of neutrophils, but this has not been explored in detail. A peculiarity of neutrophils, compared with other blood populations, is their short lifetime in the circulation (~12 h), and a high reactivity against danger signals that allows them to rapidly respond to pathogens, but is in turn an underlying factor of vascular inflammation. Both features are likely to have driven an evolutionary selection for efficient mechanisms for neutrophil disposal. Interestingly, the elimination of neutrophils from blood is preceded by specific molecular and morphological alterations collectively defined as ‘ageing,’ including increases in adhesion receptors such as CD11b, CD49d, and CXCR4 that facilitate their migration into peripheral tissues and the bone marrow. As indicated for HSPCs, these studies suggested a coordinated program between neutrophils (cell intrinsic) and the surrounding tissues (environmental) that promote their homoeostatic elimination from blood. While the migration to the marrow clearly involves CXCR4 signalling, the mechanisms guiding elimination in other tissues are less clear. β2 integrins together with endothelial selectins are likely adhesive mediators of the emigration of neutrophils from blood in the absence of inflammation, as evidenced by the marked neutrophilia displayed by mice mutant in these pathways. In contrast, the chemotactic gradients that promote the extramedullary clearance of neutrophils have not been defined. It is possible, however, that CXCR2—a prominent chemokine receptor on these cells—plays an active role in the process, although most data related to the functions of CXCR2 have been obtained in the context of inflammation, and different receptors may be specialized for migration into specific organs.

Another peculiarity of neutrophils is the dynamic fluctuations of their number in blood, which may not necessarily involve extravasation and require instead firm adhesion on the vessel wall, resulting in their removal from the circulation. This process, referred to as margination, is thought to occur in organs endowed with a rich network of small capillaries such as the lung and the liver. Recent data have demonstrated that the reverse process, or de-margination, from pulmonary vessels actually accounts for the rapid mobilization of neutrophils into blood in response to CXCR4 antagonists but, interestingly, not in response to G-CSF. This suggests that the CXCR4/CXCL12 pathway is important in the control of neutrophil numbers even in extramedullary tissues.

Although neutrophils are believed to be eliminated and die in the tissues that they infiltrate during active inflammation, evidence obtained in zebrafish and mice suggest that a fraction can return back to the vasculature and into the circulation. Although in mice this represented a small proportion of cells that could be recruited to secondary inflammatory sites, the contribution of this phenomenon to balancing neutrophil numbers and/or promoting distant inflammation remains to be determined.

3.3 Monocytes

As previously indicated, two subsets of monocytes are found in the circulation. The less abundant, non-inflammatory subset can be found crawling on vascular beads even in the absence of injury. This patrolling behaviour allows encounter with damaged cells or pathogens that result in the uptake of the foreign body and restoration of endothelial cell and vascular homeostasis. Mechanisms regulating the removal of these cells from the circulation are poorly defined. In contrast, the mechanisms regulating the natural egress of Ly6Chi CX3CR1 inflammatory monocytes from the circulation have been recently identified using elegant experimental approaches. Expression of CCR2, one of the major chemoattractant receptors in inflammatory monocytes, is repressed by the concerted action of Bmal1, a component of the circadian clock, and the polycomb repressor complex. When Bmal1 levels are low, or in mice with myeloid-specific deficiency in this gene, CCR2 expression is constitutively high and causes an elevated migration of these cells into tissues. The relevance of these findings in the context of inflammation was illustrated by the enhanced response of the myeloid-specific Bmal1-deficient mice to bacterial infection and their susceptibility to metabolic disease. These studies revealed that at least some of the molecular pathways underlying homeostatic clearance and inflammatory responses in specific leucocyte subsets are similar, and that mechanisms have evolved to quantitatively modulate these pathways to ensure blunted or enhanced migration as needed. Interestingly, a recent study reported that these inflammatory monocytes actively recirculate between blood, tissues, and lymphoid organs, suggesting that the levels of monocytes in circulation could be dynamically regulated by their differential dwell times in various compartments.

3.4 Lymphocytes

The basic mechanisms governing lymphocyte recirculation were elucidated almost 50 years ago through the pioneering work of Gowans and colleagues. The mechanisms of natural lymphocyte recirculation from the blood into the different tissues markedly differ from that of myeloid cells and are driven by the need of the various lymphocyte subsets to search for rare antigens that will initiate their activation and immunological response.

Guided mostly by intravital imaging of the vessels that feed lymph nodes and supported by in vitro assays using flow chambers, the processes by which lymphocytes leave the bloodstream are now well
understood. Egress of lymphocytes from blood typically occurs by engagement of dedicated ligands on the surface of high endothelial venules (HEV) on secondary lymphoid organs (SLO), which comprise a specialized endothelium that constitutively expresses sulfated Lexis × glycoproteins that are recognized by L-selectin. Peyer’s Patches additionally express MadCAM-1, which is recognized by the α4β7 integrin. Interactions mediated by these ligands initiate a rolling-like motion that facilitates secondary interactions between subset-specific chemokine receptors (mainly CCR7, the receptor for the chemokines CCL19 and CCL21; but also CXCR4 on B cells) and its cognate ligands presented on the surface of HEV which trigger arrest mediated by LFA-1 (αLβ2; CD11a/CD18), and subsequent transendothelial migration (Figure 1). As discussed earlier, if naïve lymphocytes do not encounter their cognate ligand in a specific SLO, they will gain access to efferent lymphatic vessel and return to the circulation through the thoracic duct to restart a new cycle. This unique recirculatory migration pattern conditions their numbers in blood, but it is unclear how different checkpoints in each tissue may regulate their numbers in the circulation in the steady state or under conditions of infection or inflammation. The use of agonists for S1PR1 that efficiently impair the function of the receptor has demonstrated the essential dependence of homeostatic lymphocyte trafficking on the S1P-S1PR1 axis.

Once activated, T and B cells gain new migratory properties that allow their migration to specific tissues. Interestingly, this specificity depends on the SLO where they were primed and the mediators produced by tissue-specific dendritic cells. For example, activation in gut-associated lymphoid structures promotes tropism for the gut by inducing expression of CCR9 and α4β7. In contrast, activation in other SLOs creates a skin-homing profile mediated by the induction of P- and E-selectin ligands and CCR4.

3.5 Platelets and erythrocytes

Platelets circulate in the bloodstream for relatively short times (~10 days in humans, 5 days in mice) before they are eliminated in the spleen and liver. It has been argued that this prompt elimination could be explained, because the high reactivity of platelets in adverse conditions could generate fatal disseminated thrombosis. For example, cold induces rapid clearance of platelets, probably to prevent thrombotic events when the organism’s temperature decreases. This notion has been better studied during bacterial infections. In this context, platelet clearance caused by bacterial neuraminidase exposes de-sialylated structures that are recognized by the Ashwell receptor in the liver, and clearance in this setting has been clearly shown to protect the organism from coagulopathy. Intriguingly, other forms of platelet activation, such as thrombin stimulation, do not alter their lifetime in circulation. Massive platelet depletion can cause serious bleeding complications as seen in certain pathologies such as ITP or thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura (TTP). Studies in patients with ITP, or in patients transfused with platelets stored in cold, have shown that opsonizing anti-platelet antibodies or surface glycan modifications mediate their premature recognition and engulfment by liver or splenic macrophages. In certain models of inflammation, platelet numbers moderately but rapidly decrease as they accumulate in specific intravascular compartments or are captured by activated neutrophils. Recent studies have highlighted the expression and function of TLRs (most prominently TLR3, TLR4, and TLR7) as sensors of pathogens or injury that can initiate platelet activation and clearance from the circulation, either by phagocytosis or through deposition in vascular beds, and that can further promote an efficient innate immune response against the microbes. In contrast to these well-characterized processes of clearance associated to pathological conditions, the mechanisms that mediate the spontaneous elimination of aged platelets from blood are not completely understood. There is however evidence that platelet ageing is accompanied by appearance of phosphatidylserine moieties on the cell surface or loss of mitochondrial inner potential, which can mark the cells for natural elimination. Beyond their haemostatic functions, platelets can also participate in regulating leucocyte migration, as demonstrated by their capacity to enhance lymphocyte rolling on SLO and to stimulate intravascular crawling and migration of neutrophils during inflammation.

Because erythrocytes are produced at a remarkable rate of 1010 per hour and do not actively extravasate, a critical aspect in regulating homeostatic number of erythrocytes is their efficient removal from the circulation when their functional capacity declines. The lifespan of erythrocytes (~120 days in humans; 60 days in rodents) is uniform within a given species. This is determined by the removal of ‘aged’ erythrocytes from the circulation by macrophages present in filter organs, which occurs at a calculated rate of 5 million cells per second in humans. Macrophages in the red pulp of the spleen are responsible for the bulk of erythrocyte clearance, and although the recognition of aged erythrocytes involves specific receptor-ligand pairs, the unique structure of splenic sinuses also facilitates their clearance on the basis of their physical properties. The prevailing theory explaining how erythrocytes are marked for destruction states that molecular changes that accumulate on circulating cells drive their specific recognition and engulfment by macrophages. The critical alterations that appear over time include changes in the anti-oxidative capacity of the cells, leading to the appearance of oxidized proteins (most prominently haemoglobin), clustering of the protein Band3 and exposure of phosphatidylserine, all of which can be recognized and opsonized by naturally occurring antibodies and complement proteins, or bridging molecules that are finally recognized by specific receptors on filtering macrophages in the spleen or liver. Additionally, loss of functional CD47, a ‘don’t-eat-me’ signal expressed by multiple cell types, appears to promote the engulfment of aged erythrocytes.

Finally, alterations in the structural properties of major erythrocyte proteins, haematological stress, and chronic inflammation normally result in accelerated removal of erythrocytes from the circulation, as illustrated by the dramatic reduction in the lifespan of erythrocytes in models of sickle cell disease or β-thalassaemia. Interestingly, inflammatory signals originating by chronic activation of the vascular beds in the context of sickle cell disease (caused by a mutation present only in erythrocytes) result in marked increases in leucocyte numbers and activation. These studies illustrate the multiple levels of interactions that exist among circulating cells that result in mutual regulation of their levels in the circulation.

4. Regulation of leucocyte homeostasis by cholesterol metabolism

A prominent and clinically relevant example of how leucocyte numbers are regulated in blood, and may contribute to inflammatory disease, comes from the study of atherosclerosis. This chronic disease of the vascular wall of medium to large arteries is a primary cause of myocardial infarction and stroke. The formation and growth of the atherosclerotic plaque tightly correlate with hypercholesterolaemia in patients, and a formal link between both parameters was discovered by studies...
showing that lipid-lowering drugs significantly reduce major ischaemic events,\textsuperscript{114} and that human populations or animals with naturally low levels of cholesterol in the form of low-density lipoproteins are protected from cardiovascular disease.\textsuperscript{115,116} Similarly, circulating leucocyte counts show a predictive correlation with cardiovascular events,\textsuperscript{117} and in animal models neutrophil and monocyte counts correlate with lesion burden.\textsuperscript{118,119} Lipid-lowering drugs that reduce cholesterol levels also result in reduced leucocyte counts.\textsuperscript{120} These studies in the context of atherosclerosis suggested a possible connection between cholesterol handling and the homeostasis of circulating leucocytes.

Intracellular cholesterol homeostasis is orchestrated by liver X receptors (LXR) (Figure 2). The two members of this family of nuclear receptors, LXR\(\alpha\) and LXR\(\beta\), are endogenously activated by modified forms of cholesterol and control the expression of genes important for cholesterol uptake, transport, and efflux.\textsuperscript{121} Among these genes, the ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporters Abca1 and Abcg1 mediate cholesterol efflux.\textsuperscript{122} Studies in mice deficient in Abca1 and Abcg1 showed that they display elevated blood neutrophil counts\textsuperscript{123} and marked expansion and proliferation of HSPCs.\textsuperscript{124} Molecular analyses furthermore demonstrated that the haematopoietic expansion was caused by increased Erk-mediated signalling and expression of the common \(B\) subunit of the IL-3/GM-CSF-receptors, and that downstream up-regulation of the PU.1 transcription factors introduced a differentiation bias towards myeloid lineages.\textsuperscript{124} While these effects were intrinsic to HSPCs, both ABC transporters are also important for cholesterol handling in differentiated myeloid leukocytes. Studies in mice with macrophage- and dendritic cell-specific deficiency in Abca1 and Abcg1 demonstrated that cholesterol efflux in these cells was important to maintain homeostatic production of IL-23. Systemic elevation of this cytokine resulted in activation of the IL-17/G-CSF axis in these mice which in turn inhibited stromal niche cells in the bone marrow, causing local reductions in CXCL12 that promoted the release of HSPCs into blood.\textsuperscript{125} Interestingly, a different ABC transporter specifically expressed in megakaryocytic progenitors, Abcg4, was shown to control cholesterol efflux in these cells and to regulate thrombopoiesis.\textsuperscript{126} Deficiency in the receptor caused abnormal activation of the Lyn kinase and c-CBL, leading to abnormal recycling of c-MPL, the receptor for THPO, and resulting in elevated production of platelets. Interestingly, treatment with a recombinant form of high-density lipoprotein to stimulate cholesterol efflux normalized platelet counts in the blood of these mice.\textsuperscript{126}

LXR receptors, on the other hand, have also been associated with the homeostatic control of at least two other leucocyte populations, neutrophils and HSPCs. Mice deficient in both LXR receptors display increased neutrophil counts in blood, spleen, and liver, which were caused in part by impaired clearance of neutrophils from the circulation.\textsuperscript{127} These studies revealed that engulfment of cleared neutrophils in extramedullary tissues activates LXR receptors on phagocytes and curbs the expression of IL-23, and the downstream IL-17 and G-CSF effector cytokines, resulting in blunted production and release of neutrophil into blood.\textsuperscript{128,129} Phagocytosis of cleared neutrophils also occurs in the bone marrow,\textsuperscript{21,120} where haematopoietic niches are located. However, the consequences of clearance in this tissue were shown to differ from those described above. Marrow-resident macrophages efficiently engulf cleared neutrophils, resulting in activation of LXR and up-regulation of Abca1 gene expression. Activation of this pathway resulted in reductions in the number of stromal cells producing CXCL12 and concomitant release of HSPC into the circulation.\textsuperscript{71} Because LXR receptors in both cases are likely activated by recycled cholesterol molecules derived from the engulfed cells,\textsuperscript{99} these studies highlight the dependence of circulating leucocyte homeostasis on cholesterol metabolism. Whether similar mechanisms operate for the regulation of other leucocyte populations in blood is presently unknown. More broadly, these studies illustrate the various mechanisms by which cholesterol handling and appropriate disposal regulate the homeostatic production and release of blood cells, and identify therapeutic opportunities to reverse alterations in blood composition that may be associated with atherothrombosis and other haematological diseases.

### 4.1 Concluding remarks

As a tissue of easy access, blood has been for a long time—and continues to be—a preferred window for physicians to interrogate the body’s condition. We have summarized here studies illustrating that alterations in blood cell composition are in fact a reflection of intricate pathways that aim to preserve homeostasis; leucocytes enter and egress the circulation for a purpose that often meets a demand-supply equilibrium, either because of an environmental stress (e.g. injury or infection) or because aged elements need to be replaced by new functional ones. Even in the absence of environmental stress, various mechanisms are at play to ensure that the optimal number of cells is present in the circulation. For many leucocyte subsets, this does not mean constant numbers, as the blood displays like other tissues circadian variations that are believed to anticipate normal changes in the environment. At the same time, blood composition is also conditioned by socioenvironmental stressors that are part of modern lifestyle. Repeated stress causes alterations in the bone marrow (e.g. increased myelopoiesis), which may be relayed from the blood into peripheral tissues to ultimately promote disease.\textsuperscript{129} Thus, beyond its use in everyday clinical practice, understanding the mechanisms that maintain blood homeostasis may reveal new secrets about the function and dysfunction of any tissue of the body.
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