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SUMMARY

While there is now general agreement that the most effective way to promote health and decrease health inequities is by creating more just economic, social and political conditions, there is much less agreement about concrete ways in which public health practitioners can work with communities to address inequities such as poverty, racism and powerlessness. Practical strategies are desperately needed. Popular education, also known as Freirian and empowerment education, has been used successfully to create more equitable conditions around the world for >50 years. Its use to improve health has been documented in the public health literature since the early 1980s. Nonetheless, it remains largely unknown and its potential unrealized in mainstream public health circles in the industrialized world. In order to explore the potential of popular education as a tool to address inequities and improve health, a systematic review of the peer-reviewed international literature was conducted. Findings revealed that popular education is an effective method for enhancing empowerment and improving health. However, the existing literature does not provide empirical evidence that popular education is more effective than traditional education at increasing health knowledge and empowerment and changing health behavior. In order to fully understand the potential of popular education as a tool to eliminate health inequities and to advocate effectively for its use, further studies are needed that utilize mixed methods, participatory approaches and experimental or quasi-experimental designs.
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INTRODUCTION

There is growing consensus that the most effective way to promote health and decrease health inequities is by creating more just economic, social and political conditions (WHO Commission on Social Determinants of Health, 2008; Frieden, 2010). The connections between health and social justice are increasingly apparent, whether in the form of relative income equality (Kennedy et al., 1996), equitable workplace conditions (Zimmerman and Hartley, 1982; Karasek and Theorell, 1989) or racial/ethnic equity (Krieger, 1990; David and Collins, 1991; Krieger et al., 1993). Much less clear, however, are concrete ways in which public health practitioners can work with communities to address inequities such as poverty, racism and powerlessness. Such strategies are desperately needed.

Popular education, also referred to as Freirian and empowerment education, has played a vital role in struggles for social justice in Latin America (Kane, 2001), the USA (Horton, 2003) and around the world (Walters and Manicom, 1996) for >50 years. With underpinnings in epistemology, political theory, ethics and pedagogy (Nuñez Hurtado, 2004),
Popular education draws out and validates what participants already know and do, connects their personal experience to larger social realities and then supports participants to work collectively to change their reality. The use of popular education to improve health has been documented in the public health literature since the early 1980s. A well-developed theoretical framework posits that popular education can promote individual and community empowerment, an intermediate outcome in the pathway to improved health (Wallerstein, 1992b, 2002, 2006; Wallerstein and Bernstein, 1988).

Despite over 30 years of research suggesting that it may be a valuable mechanism for creating social justice and improving health, popular education remains largely unknown in mainstream public health circles in the industrialized world. One of the reasons for its relative obscurity has been the lack of a systematic review of the literature about popular education in a public health context. The purpose of the current review is to bring together the relevant public health scholarship about popular education in order to explore its potential as a tool to address inequities and improve health. In addition to discovering what is known and not known about the relationship between popular education and health, this review will provide direction as to what further research is needed in order to ensure that popular education can play an optimal role in health improvement.

THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

Popular education

While a full exposition of the history and current status of popular education is well beyond the scope of this review, a brief description is necessary before moving on to the methodology of the review. In the USA public health literature, ‘empowerment education’ has been conceptualized as synonymous with the theory and practice of the Brazilian educator Paulo Freire (Freire, 1973, 1978, 1985, 1993, 1997, 2003). While the importance of Freire to the diffusion and development of popular education can hardly be overstated, ‘popular education’ as used here is a broader movement that pre-dates Freire and has continued to develop since his death in 1997. This broader conceptualization of popular education potentially overcomes some of the shortcomings that researchers have identified in a strictly Freirian conception (Minkler, 1985).

The name ‘popular education’ is derived from the Spanish educación popular (or educação popular in Portuguese). In Latin America, the definition of popular education has changed as the connotations of the word ‘popular’ have changed. In the early nineteenth century, consistent with the standard definition of popular in the Romance languages, ‘educación popular’ was used to refer to universal primary education (Bralich, 1994). By the early twentieth century, with Marxism influencing both Latin American society and language, students in several Latin American countries set up ‘popular universities’ that provided instruction in a wide variety of subjects and exposure to socialist practices to workers and farmers (Gómez and Puiggros, 1986). The term ‘educación popular’ was also applied to efforts by military and political leaders such as Augusto Sandino and Lázaro Cárdenas to promote universal literacy and develop political consciousness among the dispossessed (Gómez and Puiggros, 1986; Becker, 1995). This is the legacy that Freire inherited when he began his work in adult literacy in Northeastern Brazil in 1940s and 1950s (Wiggins, 2011).

In the USA, educator and organizer Myles Horton (Horton, 2003) eventually adopted the name ‘popular education’ to describe the work he had begun in the Cumberland Mountains of Tennessee in the 1920s. Horton’s Highlander Research and Education Center (formerly, the Highlander Folk School), founded in 1932, has helped to prepare generations of activists and organizers, among them, Dr Martin Luther King and Rosa Parks (Wiggins, 2011).

In recent years, popular education has exerted a strong influence on social movements, including the Zapatista National Liberation Front in Mexico, the immigrants’ rights movement in the USA and Brazil’s Landless Rural Workers Movement (Caldart, 2004; Cho et al., 2004). As these movements use popular education, they develop and expand its definition further. Key proponents of popular education in a health context, such as Wallerstein (Wallerstein, 1992a,b, 2002, 2006), have also contributed significantly to the development of popular education.

Based on this historical understanding, popular education can be conceptualized as a
philosophy and methodology that aims to construct a just society by creating settings in which people who have historically lacked power can discover and expand their knowledge and use it to eliminate societal inequities (Wiggins, 2011). It is grounded in two equally fundamental beliefs—first, that the current distribution of power and resources in the world is unjust, and second, that change is possible. Values such as compassion, discipline and love for the cause of the people are at the heart of popular education (Caldart, 2004). Methods such as dinámicas (social learning games), sociodramas (social skits), brainstorming, simulations and problem-posing are important in popular education not only because they increase participation, but also because they embody the values of popular education and prefigure the type of society popular educators aim to create. A list of the principles of popular education is provided in Figure 1.

Empowerment and health

In the public health literature from English-speaking countries, popular education has been presented almost exclusively within the context of empowerment. In the public health literature from Spanish- and Portuguese-speaking countries, the construct of consciousness-raising—concientización or conscientização—plays a similar role, although the two constructs are not the same and the word empowerment is increasingly used. Because the construct of empowerment is central to an understanding of the public health literature about popular education in many industrialized countries, I will provide a brief introduction.

The concept of empowerment has its origins in the work of community organizers such as Alinsky (Alinsky, 1989), who proposed that oppressed people needed to build ‘power coalitions’ to equalize conditions with other, more powerful groups (Wallerstein, 1992b). The first social science field to adopt the political philosophy of empowerment was community psychology, where advocates such as Rappaport (Rappaport, 1981, 1984) and Zimmerman (Zimmerman and Rappaport, 1988) proposed empowerment as an alternative to the paternalistic philosophy and practice that had guided social services since the nineteenth century (Swift, 1984). Subsequently, the concept has been applied to, and used within, occupational and stress research and public health (Wallerstein, 1992a,b; Wallerstein and Bernstein, 1988). Empowerment is a key construct within progressive visions of health promotion. The World Health Organization's Ottawa Charter for Health Promotion (World Health Organization, 1986) defines health promotion as ‘the process of enabling people to increase control over, and to improve their health,’ (n.p.) and identifies empowerment as the process through which increased control is achieved.

In public health, empowerment is conceptualized as a multi-level construct existing at the individual, organizational and community levels. Reacting against definitions of empowerment promulgated by the media and right-wing politicians, some theorists have questioned whether empowerment can reasonably be said to exist at the individual level. They have also contested the idea that one person or group can participate in or further the empowerment of another person or group (Bernstein et al., 1994). Labonte has proposed a middle way, calling empowerment a ‘fascinating dynamic of power given and taken all at once, a dialectical dance…’ [(Bernstein et al., 1994), p. 285]. Zimmerman has advanced the construct of psychological empowerment as an individual level variable that takes into account the social context (Zimmerman, 1990). Currently, empowerment in a public health context is conceptualized as including perceived control at the individual, organizational and community levels; sense of community; critical awareness of the social context (critical consciousness) and participation in change (Zimmerman, 2000; Romero et al., 2006; Wallerstein, 2006).

Various definitions of empowerment have been proposed (Keiffer, 1984; Labonte, in Bernstein et al., 1994; Rappaport, 1984; Wallerstein, 1992b). The active, multi-level conceptualization of empowerment is appropriately reflected in Wallerstein’s definition: ‘a social action process by which individuals, communities and organizations gain mastery over their lives in the context of changing their social and political environment to improve equity and quality of life’ (Wallerstein, 2002, p. 73). Following Wallerstein, I use the term ‘community empowerment’ in this review to distinguish it from an individual-level construct of empowerment.

Despite the long time-frames generally required to document associations between
health promotion outcomes and improved health (Wallerstein and Bernstein, 1988; Nutbeam, 1998), studies have supported the idea that increased empowerment is associated with improved health. A 2006 World Health Organization report (Wallerstein) that assesses the evidence about the effectiveness of empowerment to improve health found that components and strategies of empowerment are associated with a wide range of measures of improved health and independently predict better self-reported health and fewer depressive symptoms.

Building on the work of others, I envision a three-dimensional model of community empowerment (Figure 2). The first dimension encompasses the three levels of empowerment: the individual, the organization and the community. The second dimension encompasses the locus of empowerment, which can be either internal or external. At the individual level, internal empowerment consists of a belief in one’s capacity, while external empowerment is defined by being able to use that capacity to bring about change for oneself or one’s community. At the organizational and community levels, the two types of empowerment are analogous to Wallerstein’s vertical and horizontal empowerment, e.g. democratic decision-making within the organization or community, and external power to address organizational or

Fig. 1: Principles of popular education.

Fig. 2: Dimensions of empowerment.
community needs (Wallerstein, 2002). Spiraling through these two dimensions is the third dimension, the components of empowerment, which, like empowerment, are both processes and outcomes. This dimension draws on the empowerment holosphere developed by Labonte (Labonte, 1994) on the components of empowerment (critical consciousness, participation etc.) as identified earlier, and on developmental theories of empowerment (Keiffer, 1984; Serrano-Garcia, 1984).

As shown in Figure 2, generally, the components of empowerment can be thought to develop from the most individual and internal level, to the most collective and external level. However, the process is not linear; it is unique to each person, each organization and each community. The components are also interlinked, so that gaining more control over life might occur at any of the levels and either of the locations. The ultimate goal of empowerment in this model, congruent with popular education, is the creation of just and equitable social, economic and political relationships at every level of society and along every axis of diversity.

Philosophical and historical connections account for the fact that, in the English-speaking world, community empowerment has been the construct of choice when measuring the outcomes of popular education. A variety of other constructs (e.g. community capacity, community competence and social capital) share dimensions with community empowerment and could make competing claims to be considered as outcomes of popular education. However, a meaningful discussion of the validity of those claims is beyond the scope of this article.

**METHODOLOGY**

In order to identify potential studies to include in this review, I used EBSCOhost to search Academic Search Complete, Academic Search Premier, E-Journals, Health Source: Nursing/Academic Edition, Fuente Académica, MasterFILE Premier, MedicLatina, Medline and Psychology and Behavioral Sciences Index. Separately, I searched UK PubMed Central. I used three sets of search terms—‘popular education’ and health, ‘empowerment education’ and health and Freire and health—and chose peer-reviewed articles about humans in academic journals. (In the case of UK PubMed Central, I used ‘Paulo Freire’ and health to exclude articles with authors named Freire.) I also sought out other articles referenced in the articles I identified in my preliminary search. I did not specify a language. In the relatively few cases where citations were in languages I could not read, I used the English abstract that is provided for articles included in EBSCOhost. None of the articles fits my criteria (see later text). I identified a total of 29 articles that fit my criteria that described studies in Brazil, Canada, Mexico, Nicaragua, Norway, Puerto Rico, Senegal, Taiwan, the UK and the USA.

I included in this review, empirical research articles measuring the effectiveness of a health-focused intervention that referenced or was influenced by popular education as I have described it earlier. I defined empirical per The New Oxford American Dictionary as ‘based on, concerned with, or verifiable by observation or experience rather than theory or pure logic’ (McKean et al., 2005), and thus included studies that utilized qualitative methods and case study designs, along with articles using quantitative methods and experimental or quasi-experimental designs. As I reviewed abstracts, I distinguished between ‘popular education’ defined as a distinct mode of teaching and learning, and ‘popular education’ used to refer to universal education, and excluded articles that used the latter definition. I also distinguished between articles that intentionally used popular or empowerment education as part of an intervention, and those that described programs influenced by the thought and work of Paulo Freire. I included the former and excluded the latter. I did not include articles that focused only on the development and/or use of educational materials, nor did I include articles that concerned the use of theater of the oppressed for health promotion. Given that a systematic review of the literature about the photovoice approach has already been conducted (Catalani and Minkler, 2009), I excluded articles that concerned photovoice. Finally, I excluded studies, common now in the field of diabetes education, based on a concept of empowerment that is not influenced by the work of Paulo Freire or popular education as described in this review.

I used an approach to qualitative coding that fell somewhere between the classic ‘grounded’ approach (Strauss and Corbin, 1990) and the
pre-structured approach described by Miles and Huberman (Miles and Huberman, 1994). While I allowed emic codes to arise from the data, I was influenced by the etic codes contained in my conception of empowerment as a multi-dimensional construct.

**FINDINGS**

In this section, I will first share the findings from my review of the literature concerning the use of popular education in a public health context. Then, I will assess the quality of the evidence on which the findings are based. The use of popular education in a health context has been associated with a variety of desirable outcomes. These can be divided into empowerment-related and health-related outcomes. I will report first on the empowerment-related outcomes.

**Empowerment-related outcomes**

The eight categories of empowerment-related outcomes I identified are organized according to the component dimension explained earlier and, per the model, move generally from the most individual and internal level, to the most collective and external level.

Empowerment often begins with individual-level increases in self-esteem and self-confidence. Serrano-García has asserted that these changes must occur before people can move on to develop critical consciousness or take community action (Serrano-García, 1984). The studies included in this review identified a number of changes at this level. Chang *et al.* reported that cancer patients in Taiwan experienced increased confidence after involvement in a dialogical interviewing intervention (Chang *et al.*, 2004). Arenas-Monreal *et al.* concluded that the success of women involved in a child nutrition program in Mexico ‘has contributed to improving the self-confidence and self-esteem of the women, a basic principle for empowerment, and it has also awakened interest and respect on the part of the men of the locality’ (Arenas-Monreal *et al.*, 1999, p. 118). (Translations from the Spanish and Portuguese are by the author.) Rivera also found increases in self-esteem among homeless and formerly homeless women in Boston, Massachusetts, who participated in a GED preparation program (Rivera, 2003).

Interviews with Native American youth in New Mexico involved in the Alcohol and Substance Abuse Prevention Program (ASAP, subsequently known as the Adolescent Social Action Program) revealed that the youth felt more confident in talking about issues with their friends (Wallerstein and Bernstein, 1988).

Despite highly fluctuating attendance, formerly homeless men who developed skills as peer educators in a program in Atlanta, Georgia were able to share information and felt that they had become more capable in a variety of ways (Conner *et al.*, 1999). An informal evaluation of a clinic-based program designed to ameliorate health problems in Oslo, Norway found increased feelings of confidence among the Pakistani women who participated (Aambo, 1997). Latino youth in Los Angeles, California, who participated in a 2-week curriculum about workplace and community health expressed ‘a sense of confidence and empowerment as a result of learning that they have rights’ (Delp *et al.*, 2005), while promotoras (health promoters) who participated in a training program in San Antonio, Texas reported that they gained confidence to talk to their spouses about problems in their relationships, and to make presentations in the community (Kelly *et al.*, 2007). Interviews with the facilitators and staff of a peer-led intervention to reduce intimate partner violence among migrant farmworkers in Texas suggested that participants came to see themselves as capable of engaging in discussions and leading discussion groups with their peers (Nelson *et al.*, 2010). Finally, based on interviews and focus groups, Aubel *et al.* reported improvements in self-esteem among Senegalese grandmothers who participated in an intervention designed to improve maternal and child nutrition practices (Aubel *et al.*, 2004). Clearly, while all the changes reported in these studies involve individuals’ perceptions of themselves, a few also involve changes in individuals’ actions vis-à-vis the outside world and in at least one case (Arenas-Monreal *et al.*), a change in others’ perceptions about them.

Popular education interventions have also been associated with increases in multiple components of psychological empowerment, measured both quantitatively and qualitatively. A randomized trial that compared 400 seventh-graders who participated in the ASAP Program to 400 who did not found statistically significant changes among the intervention youth in
response- and self-efficacies around protecting family and friends, and social influence-perceived control (Wallerstein et al., 2005). (Response efficacy is defined as ‘the belief that one’s actions will make the desired difference’ [p. 221].)

In the context of an intervention to increase empowerment and prevent HIV among multicultural, at-risk women in New Mexico, Romero et al. measured domains of psychological empowerment including self- and collective-efficacy, sense of community and perceived control at the organizational and community levels (Romero et al., 2006). Paired t-tests reflected significant changes on 21 (of 38) empowerment-based cognitive questions, 5 (of 10) perceived control items, 3 (of 4) self-efficacy items, all 6 collective efficacy items and all 7 factors identified in the factor analysis (p < 0.05). A study by Chang et al. compared changes between an experimental group of nurses who participated in an empowerment intervention and members of a control group (Chang et al., 2008). Analysis with ANCOVA revealed statistically significant improvements in psychological empowerment, job productivity and innovative behaviors among members of the experimental group.

In a qualitative study, Wiggins et al. conducted in-depth interviews with Community Health Workers (CHWs) involved in a health promotion program in Oregon (Wiggins et al., 2009). According to the CHWs, their use of popular education as the primary strategy for identifying and addressing health issues contributed to increases in self-esteem, sense of personal potential, level of community involvement and participation, quantity and quality of leadership and sense of community solidarity. Working from a separate set of qualitative interviews with the same participants and one additional participant, Farquhar et al. reported increases in leadership skills, sense of efficacy and empowerment among the CHWs, and increases in sense of community and perceived control among community members (Farquhar et al., 2008). Also based on qualitative interviews, McFarlane and Fehir reported that participants in a prenatal education and support program in Texas experienced improvements in participation, self-esteem, self-respect, sense of community, political awareness and participation, as well as concrete skills such as grant-writing and computer literacy (McFarlane and Fehir, 1994).

From the perspective of popular education, the development of critical consciousness is a ‘key prerequisite of action for social change’ (Delp et al., 2005). While located within the individual, it provides the platform and motivation for both individual and collective action in the external world. A 1980 study by Minkler and Cox focused directly on the development of critical consciousness among members of a Housewives Club in a Honduran village. In the course of the intervention, the women began to question their inferior position relative to men. Other concrete outcomes of this intervention—in increases in the number of women who boiled water, the building of a school, increased female participation in land reform and land recuperation—also attest to the development of critical consciousness among participants.

The study conducted by Delp et al. was unique in its systematic and thoughtful measurement of changes in critical consciousness (Delp et al. 2005). This study involved three levels of intervention: (i) a 2-week ‘Safe Jobs for Youth’ curriculum unit for 9th graders; (ii) a semester-long leadership class for high school juniors and seniors and (iii) community-based internships for students from the leadership classes. Participation in the 2-week curriculum was associated with statistically significant increases in critical consciousness of teen rights and knowledge of resources. Focus groups with participants identified increased consciousness of workplace rights and societal factors that can put girls and immigrants at particular risk of abuse. This is especially important as it indicates an awareness of the dynamics of oppression. Youth also indicated that they had shared the information they learned with families and friends. Interviews with participants in the leadership classes suggested that participants had developed greater awareness of injustice and differential treatment because of race and class. According to focus groups with youth and interviews with supervisors, participation in the internships further increased critical consciousness as the young people saw workplace abuses firsthand.

Low-income women involved in a participatory research project about nutritional inequities in Nova Scotia, Canada realized that others shared their problems and therefore they must not be solely responsible (Travers, 1997). They also became aware that welfare food allowances were too low to support a family. According to
the common and political roots of their oppression’ (p. 349). Authors of a study of nutritional education in Jalisco, México, attribute changes in participants’ behavior to ‘awareness of the social, economic, and political constraints of their lives’ [(Figueroa et al., 2000), p. 1]. Participants in a small nutrition education study ‘began to realize that others had similar problems and that the problems had both a personal level and a societal level’ [(Rusness, 1993), p. 2]. Kelly et al. reported that the promotoras involved in their study became more aware of illiteracy and poverty in their own community, a first step toward critical consciousness (Kelly et al., 2007). Changes in critical consciousness in the studies ranged from greater awareness of problems, to awareness of the roots of those problems in racist, sexist or classist structures.

Like critical consciousness, sense of community is a component of psychological empowerment that sets the stage for organizational and community empowerment. Depending on how it is measured, it can be either an individual or a collective variable. A variety of studies included in this review documented increases in sense of community. These included the study by Figueroa et al. (Figueroa et al., 2000), in which women supported one another when family members were ill or injured, and the study by McFarlane and Fehir (McFarlane and Fehir, 1994), in which participants expressed that the program brought community members closer together and increased the level of caring among them, and a study by Lugo (Lugo, 1996), where members visited one another in homes and hospitals, attended each others’ labors and shared baby clothes. Travers reports that women involved in the participatory study in Canada evolved ‘from a collection of individuals to a group with a common sense of purpose’ (Travers, 1997, p. 349). A project that aimed to empower gay men in the northwest of England in order to decrease STIs succeeded in increasing social support, a construct that is closely related to sense of community (Crossley, 2001).

Participation in change has been described by Wallerstein as ‘the link between the individual and organizational level’ of empowerment (Wallerstein, 2002, p. 74). Popular education interventions have been associated with increased participation in Taiwan, where cancer patients became more involved in their care planning (Chang et al., 2004). Participants in the Florida Healthy Start Program attended and spoke at community meetings (Lugo, 1996), while grandmothers in the Senegalese intervention became more involved in maternal/child health activities (Aubel et al., 2004). Students who participated in the study in Los Angeles reported becoming more involved in block or community clubs (Delp et al., 2005).

Perhaps the most significant example of increased participation came from Honduras, where the women involved in the Housewives Club began to participate more in land reform and land recuperations initiated by the formerly all-male Campesino Leagues (Minkler and Cox, 1980). By taking actions such as marching on the Capitol, Campesino League members were able to force the Honduran government to follow its own stated policy of land reform and allow squatters to keep the land they had occupied. In this case, initiators of a popular education intervention were able to take advantage of a supportive context for their work, and contribute to actual structural change.

The process of people and communities gaining greater control over their lives represents a further step toward collective action, in that formerly uninvolved community members now begin not only to participate, but to take leadership roles. Several studies have linked popular education interventions to this outcome across a variety of levels. For example, participants in peer support groups in Florida took ownership/leadership of the groups, assigning tasks among themselves (Lugo, 1996), while formerly home- less men in Georgia who participated in training became actively involved in setting the agenda, identifying the topics, deciding what methods would be used and sharing leadership (Conner et al., 1999). Immigrant women in the intervention in Norway took responsibility to form their own health education groups and did the planning with some support from staff. Two years after the project began, 80 women were participating in health education groups, many of them facilitated by the original participants (Aambo, 1997). In the study in Jalisco, México, which introduced an alfalfa concentrate into the diets of the rural participants, female participants assumed responsibility for preparing the alfalfa (Figueroa et al., 2000). More significantly, women involved in the child nutrition intervention in Mexico took over responsibility for conducting an epidemiological surveillance
Volunteer mothers participating in the prenatal program in Texas were deeply involved in the development of the program from the start, writing the mission statement and developing program activities (McFarlane and Fehir, 1994). By Year 3, ‘volunteer mothers were mainstreamed into all program decisions’ (p. 387), and by Year 5, participants took over the program completely, becoming the only paid staff. Many of these outcomes represent valid examples of internal organizational empowerment, as programs moved from hierarchical control by outsiders to more democratic control by participants.

Contemplating actions of solidarity to improve the community, help fellow community members and achieve community goals is an important step in the process of individual empowerment; taking such actions represents internal organizational and community empowerment. Developments at both these levels were found in the articles reviewed. Women involved in the GED program in Boston became motivated to help one another with schoolwork, parenting and instrumental support (Rivera, 2003), while the Taiwanese cancer patients developed more desire and strength to help others in similar situations (Chang et al., 2004). Participants in the educational sessions conducted by promotoras in Texas reported increased desire to work to help their communities by sharing information and becoming promotoras themselves (Kelly et al., 2007). Follow-up interviews from the intimate partner violence intervention in Texas suggested that participants used the skills they had learned to intervene with other men in their community, discouraging them from committing acts of violence (Nelson et al., 2010). Members of the Housewives Club in the Honduran village motivated the village men to begin to build a school. When the men stopped working on the school at harvest time, the women completed the school themselves (Minkler and Cox, 1980).

Likewise, the Mexican women in the child nutrition project initiated a series of community actions, such as organizing to obtain needed supplies and then administering them (Arenas-Monreal et al., 1999). In the study by Delp et al., students who participated in community internships reported taking individual actions to address problems as well as fostering community solidarity and organizing health fairs and presentations about environmental hazards (Delp et al., 2005).

Like the outcome described earlier, the outcome of increased motivation to bring about change through advocacy represents a change in individual empowerment if it remains only aspirational. If community members act on that motivation, it can signify external organizational and community empowerment. Both levels of this outcome have been associated with popular education interventions. For example, at the individual level, women who were involved in the GED program in Boston developed a desire to address the root causes of health and advocate for their rights (Rivera, 2003). At the community level, a multi-site, transnational popular education program among farm workers was associated with an increase in farm worker activity to bring about change, including testifying at hearings, filing complaints to enforcement agencies and using the media to put pesticide problems on the public agenda (Weinger and Lyons, 1992). As a result of the complaints, farmers were fined and forced to take corrective action. Similarly, women involved in the nutritional inequities project in Canada used a letter writing campaign to get welfare allowances increased and successfully prevented the closure of the Parent Center where the participatory project was based (Travers, 1997). Youth involved in community internships as part of the environmental health project in California organized community meetings and forced school officials to install a vapor extraction system to remove toxic vapors from a school being built across the street from a Superfund site (Delp et al., 2005). After documenting abuses, other students filed a complaint with the labor commissioner’s office against companies who hire teenagers to sell products door-to-door, securing the enforcement of existing child labor laws. As successful attempts to secure the change or enforcement of a policy, the four latter cases mentioned here all represent valid examples of external community empowerment associated with popular education interventions. They also represent actual changes in unjust structures that maintained oppression.

In sum, the popular education interventions documented in the studies included in this review were associated with eight empowerment-related outcomes: increases in self-esteem and self-confidence; increases in multiple components of psychological empowerment;
development of critical consciousness; increases in sense of community; increased participation; increases in personal and collective control; actions of solidarity to improve the community, help fellow community members and achieve community goals; and increased motivation to bring about change through advocacy. Many of these outcomes extended across several levels and both locations of empowerment.

Health-related outcomes

Use of popular education has also been associated with positive change on a number of health-related factors, including health promoting behavior change. For example, more rural residents in Honduras boiled their water after participating in the consciousness-raising program in which rural women were trained as promotores de salud (health promoters). This outcome was particularly notable, since a series of programs had been trying, unsuccessfully, to achieve this outcome for >25 years (Minkler and Cox, 1980). While no control group was used, this outcome suggests that popular education may be more effective than other methods of education at achieving behavior change.

Results of the one-group pre- and post-questionnaire used by Romero et al. showed that participants became significantly more likely to use a condom or latex barrier when having sex (mean change from 2.97 to 3.17; p < 0.000), and significantly less likely to have unprotected sex (mean change from 0.67 to 0.62, a reduction in risk, p = 0.041) (Romero et al., 2006). In an HIV prevention and education program in Ciudad Juárez, Mexico, quantitative results were non-significant (see below, Ferreira-Pinto and Ramos, 1995). Nonetheless, in-depth interviews suggested that participants did make substantive changes in the behaviors that put them at risk. The researchers hypothesize that these changes were the result of changes in women’s self-esteem, self-efficacy and awareness of the social, political and economic context.

In the nutrition education study in Senegal, verbal pre-post questionnaires conducted with grandmothers in the intervention communities suggested that the quality of advice and the level of support grandmothers provided improved following the intervention, while post-intervention interviews with women of reproductive age (WRA) in control and experimental communities revealed that nutrition-related practices of WRAs in the intervention villages were ‘significantly different’ on six parameters from practices in the control villages (Aubel et al., 2004).

Based on an external evaluation, Aambo reported positive behavior change, especially regarding nutrition, among the immigrant women involved in the intervention in Norway (Aambo, 1997). A majority (67%) of clients interviewed by Crossley reported they were more likely to practice safe sex as a result of participating in the project intended to increase empowerment among gay men (Crossley, 2001). After participating in the intervention in Jalisco, México, community members introduced the alfalfa concentrate into their diets (Figueroa et al., 2000). The authors do not report how long this behavior was sustained. Finally, clinical team members and patients involved in a US program to improve medication adherence among people living with HIV reported anecdotal improvements in adherence, but the study was too preliminary to report quantitative outcomes (Williams et al., 2005).

Study results also suggest that popular education is an effective method for increasing health knowledge. Using a McNemar test, Romero et al. found statistically significant changes on the majority of knowledge questions about HIV transmission and prevention among the women who participated in the intervention (Romero et al., 2006). For instance, the women better understood how HIV can be contracted and prevented. Similarly, a pre-test, post-test evaluation revealed statistically significant improvements in knowledge and attitudes about violence among peer leaders in two of three groups involved in the study by Nelson et al. (Nelson et al. 2010). Likewise, Kelly et al. reported that health promoters in their study increased their awareness of the ‘broad nature of the problem’ of family violence (Kelly et al., 2007). Based on the focus groups conducted after the grandmother intervention, Aubel et al. concluded that knowledge of key maternal/child nutrition topics had increased among grandmothers and community leaders (Aubel et al. 2004).

Popular education has also been seen to further health literacy. Based on a review of programs that used popular education methods to
integrate English and literacy skills into health and safety education, Wallerstein concluded that popular education is particularly appropriate for addressing the barriers that low literacy and limited English proficiency pose to workers’ understanding of health and safety education materials (Wallerstein, 1992a).

Other programs that used popular education to increase worker safety have been associated with improvements in physical markers of health risk factors. In Nicaragua, a statistically significant correlation was found between having participated in an educational program that used popular education and having reduced exposure to pesticides (95% CI: 0.30, 1.36) (Weinger and Lyons, 1992). Causation cannot be imputed because the popular education intervention was only one part of a larger campaign. However, the researchers did eliminate a variety of competing explanations for the outcome by controlling for confounders.

Due in part to the extended periods of time required to change the underlying social, economic and political conditions that affect health, few studies of popular education interventions have been able to demonstrate actual physical changes in health. Those studies that do demonstrate physical changes suffer from methodological limitations. Arenas-Monreal et al. reported a very slight improvement in child malnutrition associated with the training of promotores de salud in Morelos, Mexico (Arenas-Monreal et al., 1999). Between September 1994 and February 1995, the percentage of children aged under 5 with mild to moderate malnutrition went from 64 to 62% (n = 108). However, no statistical tests on this change or attempts to control for other possible explanatory variables are reported. Although they could not attribute outcomes to the intervention due to the lack of a control group, the authors of the study about the prenatal education and support program in Texas reported that since the program began, no low-birth-weight babies had been born to program participants. In addition, the infant mortality rate in the neighborhood where the program was active decreased from 16.5 per 1000 live births in 1989 to 11.4 per 1000 in 1993; no tests of statistical significance are reported (McFarlane and Fehir, 1994). The Oregon CHW program was associated with statistically significant increases in social support and self-rated health and significant decreases in depression among randomly chosen members of the participating communities. However, no comparison or control group was used (Michael et al., 2008).

Although improved food security was reported in only one of the studies included in this review, this outcome is sufficiently important to merit mention. According to Travers, women involved in the project in Canada successfully petitioned local grocery stores to correct price inequities between their stores and stores in a higher-income suburb (Travers, 1997). One store also created a bulk food section. Ultimately, a cooperative grocery store was organized at the Parent Center the women attended. Given the mechanism through which these changes came about, this is also an example of external empowerment at the community level.

The studies included in this review provide support for the idea that use of popular education can be associated with improvements in six health-related categories: health promoting behavior change, health knowledge, health literacy, physical markers of health risk factors, actual physical changes in health and improved food security.

Facilitating and limiting conditions for popular education interventions

The literature included in this review reveals a variety of structural conditions that can either facilitate or limit the success of popular education interventions. These conditions may exercise an effect that is independent of the quality of the intervention itself, or they may directly influence the nature of the intervention and thus its effectiveness. In an example of the former, based on her participatory study in Nova Scotia, Canada, Travers mentions a number of practical constraints on women’s participation in change (Travers, 1997). ‘I wanted to go out and change the world,’ she quotes a local welfare activist and single mother as saying, ‘but I couldn’t find a babysitter’ (Thompson, 1990, p. 28, quoted in Travers, 1997, p. 353). Travers also identifies fear of losing government benefits as an effective barrier to empowerment among the women in her study. Citing statements by women who were repeatedly ‘voted down’ when they tried to share their concerns in public meetings,
Travers comments that ‘the “majority rules” philosophy of a democratic society can be a barrier to minority-driven social change efforts’ (p. 354).

A similar set of barriers faced the women involved in the GED program in Boston (Rivera, 2003). These included health problems, learning disabilities, lack of transportation, lack of child care, domestic violence, substance abuse and the practical need to get the GED credential. An even greater barrier arose with the 1995 passage of the Massachusetts Welfare Reform Act, which mandated welfare recipients to find work as soon as possible. By 1999, only one woman out of the 50 originally included in the study was still participating in the program.

Factors implicit in the broader social, political and economic context are also mentioned by Minkler and Cox (Minkler and Cox, 1980) and Weinger and Lyons (Weinger and Lyons, 1992). Based on their experience of applying Freire’s approach among peasant farmers in Honduras and elderly residents of the Tenderloin District of San Francisco, Minkler and Cox concluded that stable kinship networks and placement within a broader context of radical social change efforts facilitated the success of the first effort, while isolation and lack of a sense of community contributed to the (initial) failure of the second effort. Reflecting on their own trinational study, Weinger and Lyons concluded that popular education interventions were more successful when embedded in a supportive context like that provided by Nicaragua under the Sandinistas.

An example of how structural factors can directly influence the effectiveness of a popular education intervention is provided by Lugo (Lugo, 1996). In the Florida Healthy Start Program, funders’ goals to improve pregnancy outcomes conflicted with investigators’ goals to increase empowerment and promote community development. The funding structure required case management for eligible women, pushing the peer leaders toward one-on-one home visits and away from leading support groups. Likewise, the need to equip peer educators with case management skills meant that empowerment skills were given short shrift. It also appears that program staff lacked the skills needed to facilitate empowerment among the peer educators. Lugo succinctly concludes that ‘community empowerment cannot be a side dish to a medical model of services’ (p. 288).

Limitations of the literature on popular education and health

The literature regarding popular education and health possesses a number of gaps and limitations. Some of these are related to flaws in how popular education was conceptualized and used. Two of the studies conducted in clinical settings (Chang, 2004; Williams et al., 2005) completely overlooked the communal aspect of popular education, with the minor exception that Chang did introduce the participants in her dialogical interviewing intervention to one another (Chang, 2004). Similarly, in the study by McFarlane and Fehir (McFarlane and Fehir, 1994), activities of the volunteer mothers appear to have consisted mostly of one-on-one visits with pregnant women; no groups appear to have been conducted. Generally, popular education interventions were very short: 1 month in the case of Rusness (Rusness, 1993), and as short as one educational session in the case of Weinger and Lyons (Weinger and Lyons, 1992). The Norwegian clinic-based intervention focused on empowerment of Pakistani immigrant women within their own community; thus, the women became critical about their own knowledge, not about their place in the broader Norwegian society (Aambo, 1997). The study by Crossley lacked many of the crucial components of a typical popular education intervention, such as an attempt to draw out and build on what people know, and an analysis of power relationships (Crossley, 2001). Even in the study by Romero et al., which was firmly grounded in empowerment theory, recommendations for changes in the curriculum after the Year 1 evaluation all seem to deal with individual level change (e.g. adding a behavior change contract) (Romero et al., 2006). In addition, few projects provided actual opportunities for participants to experience making collective change in their communities. An exception was the study by Delp et al., which was supported by a strong community–university–school partnership that focused explicitly on the three levels of empowerment and made it possible for students to engage in community internships (Delp et al., 2005).

Additional limitations are the result of study design and data collection, analysis and reporting. For example, in the study by Arenas-Monreal et al., while the qualitative changes reported are impressive and mixed methods were used, no
attempt was made to show whether the quantitative improvement in child nutrition rates could have occurred by chance (Arenas-Monreal et al., 1999). As the authors of the New Mexico HIV study acknowledge, there was almost certainly selection bias among women who chose to participate, and there was no long-term follow-up (Romero et al., 2006). The study by Rivera provides too little information about the specifics of the program (Rivera, 2003). Additionally, while the author concludes that ‘popular education can best address the academic, personal and community goals of very poor women,’ she does not say what she is comparing popular education to and provides no evidence to support her claim. Aubel et al. asserted that the Senegalese grandmothers’ empowerment increased, but did not define or operationalize empowerment (Aubel et al. 2004). The author of the article about the Florida Healthy Start Program reported that the participants experienced an increased sense of empowerment and community, but research methods are not well-described and data appear mostly anecdotal (Lugo, 1996). Likewise, Rusness (Rusness, 1993) reported that participants in a small \( n = 9 \) study experienced improvements in self-esteem, sense of community and personal power, but the only data cited are ‘written evaluations.’ In the study by Figueroa et al. (Figueroa et al., 2000), no formal research methods are delineated.

More complex limitations are related to the long time-frames needed, both to show a clear relationship between empowerment interventions and improved health, and to move from increased empowerment at the individual level to increases at the organizational and community levels (Lugo, 1996; Delp et al., 2005). Only the sustained work over many years by Wallerstein et al. (Wallerstein, 1992b, 2002; Wallerstein and Bernstein, 1988; Wallerstein et al., 2005) in the ASAP Program in New Mexico has facilitated the latter process. An additional limitation is that popular education interventions seldom (if ever) occur in isolation. More commonly, they are part of a combination of approaches to improving health and empowerment. Indeed, there is some evidence that popular education interventions work best when this is the case (Minkler and Cox, 1980). But this makes it difficult to attribute change solely to popular education.

A final limitation of the literature on popular education and health is the lack of longitudinal, experimental or quasi-experimental studies that compare the outcomes of popular education interventions with the outcomes of other types of educational interventions and with temporal changes through use of a control group. In the absence of such studies, we cannot infer a causal link between popular education and changes that have taken place in the past, nor can we predict that popular education will produce such changes in the future.

**DISCUSSION**

This review of the literature identified eight categories of empowerment-related outcomes and six categories of health-related outcomes that have been associated with the use of popular education. While clustered at the individual level, these outcomes span the gamut from individual to community and include both internal and external changes. In addition, this review identified a number of structural conditions that can facilitate or limit the success of popular education interventions, as well as a variety of gaps and limitations implicit in the literature and in the interventions themselves.

The general conclusion of the literature I reviewed is that popular education is an effective method to increase empowerment and improve health. However, neither the effectiveness of the interventions described nor the influence of the studies reviewed can be taken for granted. If practitioners and researchers want both interventions and studies to be optimally effective, the literature reveals a number of steps they need to take.

**Recommendations for practice**

As regards interventions, first, it is necessary to take the social, political and economic context into account. When the pre-existing level of sense of community is very low, or the structural barriers are very great, it may be unreasonable to expect that a popular education intervention can lead to improvements in community-level empowerment, at least in the short or medium term. But popular education can still be used to support community members to develop the faith in their own wisdom and the community solidarity, which are essential pre-requisites to group action to address basic inequities. Second, interventions need to be longer. Making interventions longer
provides time for participants to move through the developmental stages of empowerment (Keiffer, 1984), and to move empowerment from the individual to the community level. Finally, and perhaps most importantly, interventions need to be carefully constructed and maintain strict fidelity to popular education philosophy and methodology. They must be grounded in a thorough understanding of popular education as not just a methodology but also a philosophy with deep historical roots. Based on this understanding, they must keep ever present the ultimate goal of popular education, which is the redistribution of power from privileged groups to oppressed groups. In their everyday practice, they must consistently and relentlessly prefigure the kind of values and power relationships of the society they are attempting to create. Well-designed, long-term popular education interventions can contribute to developing the ‘new forms of community activism’ on which the elimination of health inequities depend (Hofrichter, 2003).

**Recommendations for research**

This review suggests that research designs must be carefully and astutely constructed, paying attention to both epistemological and political considerations. Epistemologically, popular education bears its greatest resonance with the participatory, naturalistic/interpretive and critical theoretical paradigms in research. Results from studies by Ferreira-Pinto and Ramos (Ferreira-Pinto and Ramos, 1995) and Arenas-Monreal et al. (Arenas-Monreal et al., 1999) included in this review reaffirm the importance of using qualitative methods to capture the full effects of popular education interventions. However, the positivist paradigm and quantitative methods cannot be left aside in studies of popular education, for several crucial reasons. Practically, combining qualitative and quantitative methods can reveal multiple facets of the ever-changing crystal of truth, as described by Richardson (Richardson, 1997). But perhaps the most important reasons for using quantitative methods are political. The discipline of public health, though liberatory at its heart, depends heavily on the positivist methods of medicine. To gain greater credibility for popular education in public health, we need more studies that can speak to mainstream public health practitioners in their own language, the language of statistics and best practices. Increases in health knowledge are not the subtlest or most important indicators of health improvement. But they are compelling for countless decision-makers in public health authorities worldwide. Equipping health promotion practitioners around the world with empirical evidence that popular education is more effective than traditional education at achieving outcomes of interest can help to shift public health practice—and ultimately, even public education practice. Emerging paradigms such as community-based participatory research, which shares historical roots with popular education, demonstrate that it is not necessary to choose between community-driven, critically oriented research that aims to bring about concrete changes in communities, and research that uses quantitative methods. If, inspired by Thomas Kuhn (Kuhn, 1996), we want the popular education paradigm to triumph, it behooves us to marshal the hard-headed arguments that will be compelling for public health decision-makers, while never, ever losing sight of our ultimate goal, which is a society of justice and equality. We can do both, and we must.
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