Cardiovascular Effects of Incretin-Based Therapies: Integrating Mechanisms With Cardiovascular Outcome Trials
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As the worldwide prevalence of diabetes and obesity continues to rise, so does the risk of debilitating cardiovascular complications. Given the significant association between diabetes and cardiovascular risk, the actions of glucose-lowering therapies within the cardiovascular system must be clearly defined. Incretin hormones, including GLP-1 (glucagon-like peptide 1) and GIP (glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide), are gut hormones secreted in response to nutrient intake that maintain glycemic control by regulating insulin and glucagon release. GLP-1 receptor agonists (GLP-1Ras) and dipeptidyl peptidase 4 inhibitors (DPP-4is) represent two drug classes used for the treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) that improve glucose regulation through stimulating the actions of gut-derived incretin hormones or inhibiting their degradation, respectively. Despite both classes acting to potentiate the incretin response, the potential cardioprotective benefits afforded by GLP-1Ras have not been recapitulated in cardiovascular outcome trials (CVOTs) evaluating DPP-4is. This review provides insights through discussion of clinical and preclinical studies to illuminate the physiological mechanisms that may underlie and reconcile observations from GLP-1Ras and DPP-4i CVOTs. Furthermore, critical knowledge gaps and areas for further investigation will be emphasized to guide future studies and, ultimately, facilitate improved clinical management of cardiovascular disease in T2DM.

The gastrointestinal tract coordinates nutrient intake and utilization by peripheral tissues, and unraveling the integrative physiological network connecting these functions has revealed several drug targets. Glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1) and glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide (GIP) are hormones released in response to nutrient intake that potentiate glucose-stimulated insulin secretion (1). Their hormonal action is limited to the postprandial period because of their rapid inactivation by dipeptidyl peptidase 4 (DPP-4), a serine protease that cleaves two N-terminal amino acids, and subsequent renal elimination. Two classes of drugs that potentiate the effects of gut-derived hormones have been developed for type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM): GLP-1 receptor agonists (GLP-1Ras), which are peptides based on human or nonmammalian structures, and DPP-4 inhibitors (DPP-4is), which stabilize endogenous GLP-1 and other substrates, including GIP.

While glucose-lowering therapies have demonstrated efficacy in reducing microvascular events in patients with T2DM, preventing macrovascular complications has proven more difficult. The number of pharmacological tools available to endocrinologists has increased in recent years, with significant advancements in effective glucose-lowering drugs. Since the introduction of these incretin-based therapies to the market, DPP-4is have been widely adopted to manage glucose levels with few side effects, and the use of GLP-1Ras is steadily increasing (2). In agreement with the 2008 guidance of the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, cardiovascular outcome trials (CVOTs) have been performed to evaluate the cardiovascular safety of these agents. Most use the 3-point major
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adverse cardiovascular end point (MACE), comprised of cardiovascular mortality, nonfatal myocardial infarction (MI), and nonfatal stroke. Here, we review the results of incretin therapy CVOTs and provide molecular insights into potential mechanisms using smaller clinical studies and translationally relevant studies in animal and cellular models.

**GLP-1Ras and Cardiovascular Outcomes**

The first completed GLP-1Ra CVOT, the Evaluation of Lixisenatide in Acute Coronary Syndrome (ELIXA), demonstrated that lixisenatide treatment of subjects with T2DM was noninferior to placebo for 3-point MACE, with similar observations reported in the Exenatide Study of Cardiovascular Event Lowering (EXSCEL). Conversely, 3-point MACE results from CVOTs on the longer-acting GLP-1Ras liraglutide (Liraglutide Effect and Action in Diabetes: Evaluation of Cardiovascular Outcome Results [LEADER]), semaglutide (Trial to Evaluate Cardiovascular and Other Long-Term Outcomes with Semaglutide in Subjects with Type 2 Diabetes [SUSTAIN-6]), albiglutide (Harmony Outcomes), and dulaglutide (Researching Car- diovascular Events with a Weekly Incretin in Diabetes [REWIND]) were quite positive (Fig. 1A). GLP-1Ra CVOTs have also demonstrated inconsistencies regarding the secondary outcome of hospitalization for heart failure (HF), whereby the LEADER and Harmony Outcomes CVOTs reported trends toward reduced hospitalization rates, whereas the SUSTAIN-6 and REWIND CVOTs reported no differences, as reviewed in Gopal et al. (3).

**Potential Mechanisms Underlying GLP-1Ra–Mediated Cardioprotection**

**GLP-1Ras and Atherosclerosis**

Post hoc analysis of the LEADER trial demonstrated that patients with a history of MI, stroke, or established disease demonstrate more significant cardiovascular benefit using liraglutide than subjects with elevated risk factors alone (4). Patients enrolled in these CVOTs receive standard-of-care measures, including optimal lipid lowering and antihypertensives; therefore, together with the timeline required for protection, GLP-1Ras are likely antiatherogenic (5). Consistent with this, preclinical mouse studies have described the reduced progression of atherosclerosis with liraglutide and semaglutide through reduced residual inflammation in the atherosclerotic plaques of Western diet (WD)-fed Ldb1−/− and Apoe−/− mice (6). Dulaglutide treatment reduced the atherosclerotic plaque area and aortic arch macrophage infiltration in Apoe−/− mice with streptozotocin (STZ)-induced experimental type 1 diabetes (7). This benefit was also associated with reduced aortic expression of markers of inflammation and increased plaque stability. Interestingly, the antiatherogenic effect of dulaglutide was more pronounced when administered to younger diabetic mice (at 10–18 weeks old rather than 18–26 weeks old). As assessed in the brachiocephalic artery by iMAP intravascular ultrasound, plaque stability was increased with lixisenatide in Watanabe heritable hyperlipidemic rabbits (8). Moreover, lixisenatide decreased circulating lymphocytes and interleukin-6 levels in Apoe−/−:Irs2+/− mice, and plaque macrophages displayed increased arginase and decreased inducible nitric oxide (NO) synthase expression, indicating an anti-inflammatory (M2) phenotype (9). However, establishing reductions in atherogenesis or plaque composition in patients with T2DM has proven more challenging. Treatment of subjects with exenatide once weekly for up to 18 months improved glycemic control but did not significantly alter the volume of carotid plaque (MRI) or the lipid-rich necrotic core or calcification (10). Exploratory analysis of the LEADER trial evaluating factors contributing to the time to first MACE identified several factors, including HbA1c, body weight, systolic blood pressure (BP), and LDL cholesterol (11). Nevertheless, preclinical studies demonstrated that reduced lesion progression with GLP-1Ras was independent of changes in body weight or total cholesterol (6). Additionally, the collective effect size on atherogenic LDL cholesterol in the MACE trials is modest (12). Postprandial triacylglycerol-rich lipoproteins are reduced by GLP-1Ras (13), and treatment with liraglutide in a prospective 18-month real-world study did reduce carotid intima-media thickness, which was associated with reduced plasma triacylglycerols (14). However, the contribution of reduced triacylglycerol-rich lipoproteins to reduced atherogenesis is currently unclear.

**GLP-1Ras and Hypertension**

GLP-1Ras frequently produce antihypertensive effects in murine hypertension models and in T2DM MACE trials, which may contribute to their cardioprotective properties (11), independent of glucose lowering and weight loss (15). Liraglutide-mediated reductions in systolic and diastolic BP in angiotensin II–infused C57BL/6J mice were due to atrial natriuretic peptide (ANP) release from atrial cardiomyocytes, which relaxes the vasculature (16). Intriguingly, liraglutide failed to lower systolic and diastolic BP in angiotensin II–infused ANP-deficient mice. Nonetheless, the clinical relevance of the proposed GLP-1r–ANP axis in reducing human BP is questionable, as liraglutide increased plasma ANP levels in subjects with T2DM in some studies (17) but not in others (18). The enhancement of the vasodilatory response may also explain the antihypertensive effects of GLP-1Ras through direct GLP-1R activation in the vasculature. Endothelium-dependent vasodilation in response to acetylcholine was improved in preconstricted aortic rings isolated from WD-fed Apoe−/− mice treated with liraglutide versus the control, which was abolished by cotreatment with the GLP-1R antagonist exendin(9-39) (19). Conversely, intracoronyary infusion of GLP-1r(7-36) did not augment coronary flow in open-chest, anesthetized dogs and failed to induce vasodilation in preconstricted coronary artery rings (20).
GLP-1Ras may also reduce BP by decreasing vascular inflammation. In C57BL/6J mice infused with angiotensin II for 1 week, liraglutide treatment attenuated aortic wall infiltration by LY6G :LY6C : monocytes (where LY6G is lymphocyte antigen 6 complex, locus G) and LY6G :LY6C : neutrophils while decreasing systolic BP (21). Furthermore, these effects were associated with decreased aortic levels of proinflammatory mediators (nuclear factor κB [NF-κB] and tumor necrosis factor-α) and leucocyte adhesion molecules (vascular cell adhesion molecule 1 and intercellular adhesion molecule 1). Interestingly, these beneficial effects were abolished in mice with
endothelium-specific but not myeloid-specific GLP-1R deficiencies.

While these rodent studies have improved our potential understanding of antihypertensive mechanisms, as already mentioned, GLP-1Ra-mediated reductions in BP are not as potent in humans, and this is also observed in larger animal species (e.g., pigs and dogs), as reviewed in Ussher and Drucker (22). Therefore, BP reductions are unlikely to be a major contributor to the cardioprotection reported in GLP-1Ra CVOTs. Further emphasizing this point, albiglutide had negligible actions on BP lowering despite significant improvements in cardiovascular outcomes in Harmony Outcomes, whereas exenatide significantly lowered BP, albeit mildly, but did not improve cardiovascular outcomes in EXCEL.

**GLP-1Ras and MI**

In patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention, a randomized, placebo-controlled study involving a 6-h exenatide infusion prior to reperfusion onset reported an improved myocardial salvage index (23). This translated into a reduced infarct size if infusion occurred <132 min from the time of first medical contact to balloon treatment, and benefits were observed in subjects with and without diabetes. However, as mentioned previously, the EXCEL CVOT did not observe decreases in cardiovascular outcomes or fatal/nonfatal MI events (24).

GLP-1 and GLP-1-Ras decrease infarct size in mice, rats, and pigs following temporary ligation of the left anterior descending (LAD) or circumflex coronary arteries (22). However, a caveat to these studies is that nearly all were performed in healthy young animals, with surprisingly few studies performed in animals with experimental obesity and/or T2DM. Likewise, similar to issues relating to studying antihypertensive actions described previously, the effect of GLP-1-Ras on infarct size is not as reproducible in larger animal models, with several studies in pigs reporting no benefit, though this could also be partially due to their limited collateral circulation. It is possible that decreased inflammation is responsible for increased vascular function/coronary blood flow and attenuated infarct size (25). GLP-1-Ras may also decrease infarct size during ischemia/reperfusion by inhibiting cardiomyocyte apoptosis (26). Furthermore, albiglutide-mediated reductions in infarct size are associated with increased myocardial glucose oxidation, which may improve cardiac efficiency, as carbohydrates are a more oxygen-efficient fuel (27).

**GLP-1Ras and HF**

While the LEADER and Harmony Outcomes trials demonstrated trends toward reduced hospitalization rates for HF, all other GLP-1Ra CVOTs have been neutral for this end point. Furthermore, two randomized placebo-controlled trials suggested no clear benefit and potential adverse effects for liraglutide in HF subjects with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF). The Functional Impact of GLP-1 for Heart Failure Treatment (FIGHT) trial included subjects with HFrEF who were recently hospitalized for acute HF and treated with either liraglutide or a placebo for 6 months (28). Although the FIGHT trial reported no changes in HF-related outcomes or cardiac function, a mild but nonsignificant signal for harm was observed for liraglutide, which appeared greater in subjects with coexistent T2DM. Similarly, the Effect of Liraglutide on Left Ventricular Function in Stable Chronic Heart Failure Patients (LIVE) trial demonstrated that treatment with liraglutide for 24 weeks did not affect cardiac function in subjects with stable HFrEF with or without T2DM (29). However, a higher prevalence of serious adverse cardiac events, including atrial fibrillation and aggravation of ischemic heart disease, was observed with liraglutide, raising concerns about the safety of GLP-1-Ras in individuals with HFrEF.

Conversely, preclinical studies examining the impact of liraglutide and other GLP-1-Ras in HFrEF have been largely positive. Indeed, a 7-day pretreatment with liraglutide prior to MI induction via permanent LAD coronary artery ligation increased survival and ameliorated adverse left ventricular (LV) remodeling in both nondiabetic and diabetic mice (26). Moreover, in obese mice fed a WD for 20 weeks, liraglutide treatment during the final week improved LV ejection fraction in a 5’AMP activated protein kinase (AMPK)-dependent manner, as improvement was not observed in mice concurrently treated with the AMPK inhibitor compound C (30). In Ossabaw swine fed a WD for 6 months and subjected to MI using an ameroid constrictor placed around the LAD coronary artery, liraglutide treatment for 4 weeks did not reduce infarct size but did improve cardiac efficiency (31). This effect was attributed to β1-adrenoreceptor downregulation, which would decrease myocardial O2 demands. In contrast but drawing similar parallels to observations in the FIGHT and LVE trials, liraglutide treatment for 42 days exacerbated cardiac hypertrophy and fibrosis in nondiabetic J2N-k hamsters, which develop a spontaneous dilated cardiomyopathy (32).

HF with preserved ejection fraction (HfPEF) is more prevalent in patients with diabetes than the general population, and early diastolic dysfunction (a form of diabetic cardiomyopathy) is often undiagnosed because of a lack of routine cardiovascular screening in the early stages of T2DM (3). Currently, no clinical studies have investigated the impact of GLP-1-Ras in subjects with HfPEF. However, liraglutide treatment for 6 months improved diastolic function, indicated by an increased peak early diastolic tissue velocity (e') and decreased LV end diastolic volume in the Magnetic Resonance Assessment of Victoza Efficacy in the Regression of Cardiovascular Dysfunction in Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (MAGNA VICTORIA) study (29). In addition, 6 months of liraglutide treatment improved diastolic function in subjects with T2DM, indicated by an increased e'/peak late diastolic tissue velocity (a') ratio.
and a decreased peak early diastolic flow velocity (E/e') ratio (33). These observations have been recapitulated in preclinical studies, as liraglutide treatment improved global longitudinal strain in aged WD-fed, angiotensin II-induced female mice, indicating reduced diastolic dysfunction (34). Treatment alleviated fibrosis and proinflammatory gene expression and decreased capillary density, all of which promote diabetic cardiomyopathy (3). Furthermore, liraglutide-mediated improvements in diastolic function (increased E/peak atrial flow velocity [A] and decreased E/e') in WD-fed mice administered low-dose STZ to induce T2DM were associated with increased myocardial glucose oxidation rates (35). As direct impairments in myocardial glucose oxidation precipitate diastolic dysfunction (3), liraglutide's ability to stimulate glucose oxidation may explain how GLP-1Ras alleviate diabetic cardiomyopathy. GLP-1Ras may also mitigate diastolic dysfunction in T2DM by decreasing oxidative stress. Exenatide administration for 4 weeks increased the myocardial expression of antioxidant enzymes (manganese-dependent superoxide dismutase and catalase) in mice fed a WD for 24 weeks, increasing the E/A ratio (36). Liraglutide therapy for 4 weeks also increased myocardial catalase activity in Sprague-Dawley rats with WD/low-dose STZ-induced T2DM, although diastolic function was not assessed (37).

**DPP-4is and Cardiovascular Outcomes**

The DPP-4i trials have been extensively reviewed elsewhere (22) and are summarized in Fig. 1B. Although their designs were not entirely consistent, the five CVOTs yielded similar results: DPP-4is (alogliptin, saxagliptin, sitagliptin, and linagliptin) were noninferior to placebo, demonstrating their cardiovascular safety when added to standard care (39–42). The Cardiovascular and Renal Microvascular Outcome Study With Linagliptin (CARMELINA) demonstrated both cardiovascular and renal safety of linagliptin versus placebo (43). In addition to disappointing results for obvious cardiovascular benefits, the Saxagliptin Assessment of Vascular Endpoints Recorded in Patients with Diabetes Mellitus–Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction 53 (SAVOR-TIMI 53) trial revealed an increased risk of hospitalization for HF (40), which was highest among patients with elevated baseline brain natriuretic peptide levels, prior HF, or impaired renal function at study entry (44). However, the increased HF hospitalization risk was not associated with an increased risk of cardiovascular death (40). Interestingly, these results were not replicated in the Trial Evaluating Cardiovascular Outcomes With Sitagliptin (TECOS) and CARMELINA, as their HF hospitalization rates did not differ among patients with T2DM, even between those with a history of HF or chronic kidney disease, and were independent of LV ejection fraction (41). In a secondary analysis of TECOS, cardiovascular and all-cause deaths after HF hospitalization were also similar between the sitagliptin and control groups (45). Thus, the increase in HF hospitalization observed in the SAVOR-TIMI 53 trial may be related to its design or to properties of the saxagliptin molecule itself, which may directly impair cardiomyocyte function (46).

**Reconciling the Preclinical Cardioprotection of DPP-4is With Observations of Neutrality in CVOTs**

Modest improvements in classic cardiovascular risk factors, including HbA1c, BP, fasting, and postprandial blood lipids, have been observed with DPP-4is, albeit much lower in magnitude than those observed with GLP-1Ras (12), consistent with the sustained elevation in physiological GLP-1. Here, we discuss aspects of DPP-4 biology that may contribute to the MACE differences observed between DPP-4is and GLP-1Ras.

DPP-4 exists as both a membrane-bound isoform and a soluble form shed from the membrane to circulate in most bodily fluids (sDPP-4), which lacks the intracellular tail and transmembrane domain but maintains enzymatic activity (47). Plasma sDPP-4 level increases are positively associated with coronary artery disease (48,49), endothelial dysfunction in patients with T2DM (50), and diabetic nephropathy (51).

Adding to the complexity of its association with disease progression, sDPP-4 originates from various sources depending on the metabolic state. For example, major sDPP-4 sources in healthy rodents are endothelial cells and

Reconciling Preclinical Mechanisms of Action With Observations From GLP-1Ra CVOTs

It is not surprising that most GLP-1Ra CVOTs have yielded positive findings based on the available preclinical data and associated mechanisms (Fig. 2). ELIXA's neutral outcomes may have been due to lixisenatide's shorter half-life and the higher-risk population studied (subjects had acute coronary events within 180 days of screening). Improvements in vascular function and decreases in oxidative stress as well as circulating lipids may contribute to the antiatherosclerotic properties of GLP-1Ras, possibly explaining the decreased cardiovascular events, including MI, in subjects with T2DM. A highly contested aspect of GLP-1Ra–induced cardioprotection that requires further interrogation involves GLP-1R expression in the cardiovascular system, which is often impacted by the species and tools (e.g., antibodies) used. In rodents, ventricular cardiomyocytes do not express the canonical GLP-1R, while recent studies of human heart extracts demonstrated GLP-1R expression in all four chambers (16,38). However, efforts to determine whether the GLP-1R is meaningfully expressed in vascular smooth muscle cells, endothelial cells, and immune cells have been plagued by inconsistencies. GLP-1Ra–induced heart rate elevations may contribute to the potential worsened outcomes observed for subjects with HFpEF in the FIGHT and LIVE trials. It should be noted that the average increase in heart rate in the LIVE trial (~7 bpm) is greater than those observed in most GLP-1Ra CVOTs (0.4 to 3.0 bpm).
hematopoietic cells, including bone marrow cells, lymphocytes, and macrophages (52). DPP-4 is stored in secretory granules by cytotoxic lymphocyte populations, and, upon stimulation, these vesicles rapidly translocate to the cell surface in a Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent manner to release proteolytically active sDPP-4 (53). Conversely, the increased sDPP-4 in obesity appears to originate from hepatocytes (54,55), as soluble factors released from dysfunctional adipocytes promote hepatic hypoxia-inducible factor 1\(\alpha\) expression, increasing hepatic DPP-4 shedding (56). Furthermore, in obese mice, hepatocyte-derived sDPP-4 induces inflammation in macrophages by directly interacting with surface caveolin 1, increasing its phosphorylation and dissociation from complexes with Toll-interacting protein and interleukin-1 receptor-associated kinase 1, which activates NF-\(\kappa\)B (54,57). Collectively, these data suggest that obesity-mediated increases in hepatic DPP-4 activate inflammatory programs by directly interacting with surface molecules that activate NF-\(\kappa\)B, which promotes inflammation and insulin resistance (55,58). These data parallel other studies in which DPP-4i treatment abrogates the inflammatory effects of sDPP-4 (59,60). Contrary to findings in mice, continuous DPP-4i treatment did not increase circulating sDPP-4 levels in humans with established cardiovascular disease (CVD) and T2DM (58). Therefore, although some pools of sDPP-4 may contribute to the subclinical inflammation observed in metabolic disease, evidence suggests that, in human subjects with diabetes, DPP-4is have an overall neutral effect on pathways regulating inflammation (Fig. 3).

**Progenitor Cell Homing**

Another example where mechanisms for improved cardiovascular function with DPP-4is identified in young mouse models failed to translate was potentiation of C-X-C motif chemokine ligand 12 (CXCL12) signaling to facilitate the homing of C-X-C motif chemokine receptor 4+ progenitor stem cells to sites of myocardial damage, preventing cardiomyocyte apoptosis (61). Genetic elimination and pharmacological inhibition of DPP-4 (62) or potentiation of CXCL12 improves postischemic recovery of cardiac contractility in the hearts of healthy young adult mice (63).
However, cardioprotection with DPP-4is was not reproduced in aged, obese, diabetic mice (63, 64). Aspects of this model have been tested clinically in the Sitagliptin Plus Granulocyte Colony-Stimulating Factor in Patients Suffering From Acute Myocardial Infarction (SITAGRAMI) trial, which combined sitagliptin with colony-stimulating factor 3–mediated stem cell mobilization. Long-term follow-up data showed no improvement in cardiac function or the clinical outcomes of patients with acute MI receiving the combined therapy (65). It is unclear if this mechanism is disrupted due to characterized progenitor cell dysfunction induced by diabetes or whether it can be influenced by the increase in sDPP-4 observed with DPP-4i.

**DPP-4is and Metformin**

Although large clinical CVOTs failed to demonstrate obvious cardioprotective benefits for DPP-4is, a recent meta-analysis of three trials demonstrated that individuals receiving baseline metformin treatment had improved cardiovascular outcomes with DPP-4is compared with metformin nonusers, most notably for MI, stroke, cardiovascular mortality, and hospitalization for unstable angina.
It remains unclear why DPP-4is, despite stabilizing endogenous GLP-1R as Versus DPP-4is

similar HbA1c levels (68), suggesting that metformin may have reduced MACE compared with glipizide, despite similar levels (68), suggesting that metformin’s effects are independent of its glucose-lowering activity. Metformin may potentiate DPP-4i cardioprotection by regulating the incretin pathway. Metformin stimulates intestinal GLP-1 production and promotes GLP-1R as well as GIP receptor expression in islet β-cells via peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-α, which may increase incretin sensitivity (69). It also lowers circulating sDPP-4 levels (58). Collectively, these mechanisms may enhance the cardiovascular benefits when combined with DPP-4is. However, at this time we cannot discount the contribution of DPP-4-independent mechanisms.

GLP-1Ras Versus DPP-4is

It remains unclear why DPP-4is, despite stabilizing endogenous GLP-1 levels, have not yielded the positive cardiovascular outcomes seen with GLP-1Ras. The amplified cardiovascular risk in metabolic disease involves a complex interplay between inflammatory, lipid-regulatory, and metabolic factors (70). GLP-1Ras produce clear improvements in glycemia, lipid levels, and metabolism in obesity, whereas DPP-4is impart only modest or limited improvements (12). Moreover, while GLP-1 is the primary DPP-4–regulated substrate responsible for the glucose-lowering actions of DPP-4is, DPP-4 substrates influence multiple facets of the cardiovascular system (47). This adds a unique layer of complexity in extrapolating how DPP-4is and GLP-1Ras differentially influence cardiac function in human studies, especially since both native and DPP-4–cleaved peptides can affect the cardiovascular system. For example, the DPP-4–cleaved GLP-1 peptide GLP-1(9-36), which was originally thought to be inactive, may have direct vascular effects that improve cardiac function (22, 71). However, in swine, GLP-1(9-36) had no impact on cardiac function relative to GLP-1(7-36) (72), and both the Harmony Outcomes and REWIND trials were associated with improvements in cardiovascular outcomes despite albiglutide and dulaglutide being highly DPP-4 resistant. These points argue against GLP1(9-36) being critically involved in GLP-1–mediated cardioprotection. Additionally, reduced sensitivity of endogenous signaling pathways to several DPP-4 substrates, including GLP-1, has been described (73, 74). While we have provided the currently known key details from preclinical studies that indicate potential mechanisms explaining GLP-1Ra–induced cardioprotection in T2DM and accounting for discrepancies between preclinical and clinical studies involving DPP-4is, the field is ripe for growth. Studies in aged and diseased mice did not demonstrate cardiovascular efficacy for DPP-4is. Therefore, it is imperative to use preclinical models that reproduce the multifaceted features of diabetes-related CVD (e.g., structural issues, fibrosis, inflammation, and dyslipidemia) more accurately. Metabolic benefit (HbA1c and obesity) with semaglutide has been determined to closely associate with circulating concentrations of the drug (75). Therefore, despite complex signaling mechanisms, plasma exposure of GLP-1Ra may predict efficacy to prevent MACE and explain the discrepancy between DPP-4i and the range of benefits observed in GLP-1Ra trials.

The Evolving Field of Cardiovascular Endocrinology

The completion of numerous T2DM CVOTs, including those investigating DPP-4is and GLP-1Ras, has sparked excitement in the rapidly evolving field of cardiovascular endocrinology. Nonetheless, many questions remain unanswered. For instance, the CVOTs completed to date do not indicate whether one agent is more efficacious than another regarding cardiovascular outcomes. Recent guidelines developed by the American Diabetes Association, Diabetes Canada, and the European Association for the Study of Diabetes indicate that individuals with T2DM at high cardiovascular risk should be preferentially prescribed liraglutide and other therapies with demonstrated cardiovascular benefits (2,76). Additionally, aging, quality of life (i.e., prevention of hypoglycemia), and adherence must be considered.

Current evidence strongly supports that GLP-1R activation is cardioprotective, whereas DPP-4is are not despite increasing physiological GLP-1 action; thus, these two incretin-based therapies cannot be considered equivalent despite a shared glucose-lowering mechanism of action. It will be imperative for the field to continue defining the mechanisms responsible for GLP-1R–induced cardioprotection in people with T2DM and to better understand the cardiac biology of other DPP-4 substrates. A limitation with many of the mechanisms described here is that most were identified in small rodents, where it is easy to genetically manipulate the mechanistic target to confirm its involvement. However, these cardioprotective effects are often more robust in small rodents, such as that observed for GLP-1Ra–mediated BP reductions, which are often milder in humans and dissociated from the actual impact on cardiovascular outcomes (e.g., EXSCEL). Hence, future studies will need to determine whether such mechanisms translate to larger animal models, as this may also pave the way for the development of new therapies that specifically target T2DM-related CVD.

Another important aspect to consider is that increased survival of acute cardiac events has led to an increased prevalence of HF. Cardiac function end points for HF are not actively included in MACE outcomes in most CVOTs, and HFpEF and HFrEF subjects are often conglomerated, given their shared therapeutic regimen. This is highly
relevant, given that ~40% of patients with HF have HFpEF, and patients with T2DM are overrepresented in this cohort (3). This may explain some of the inconsistencies in studies investigating the effects of GLP-1Ras in HF. Therefore, careful studies evaluating glucose-lowering therapies used in early-stage T2DM to determine their impact on diastolic dysfunction, which goes unrecognized until more overt cardiac dysfunction develops, are required. Additionally, as therapies like sodium–glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors have established merit for treating HFpEF in the presence or absence of diabetes (76), more integration and collaboration between endocrinologists and cardiologists is required to address these questions and progress toward a more personalized CVD management approach for patients with T2DM.
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