RESPONSE TO COMMENT ON LIU ET AL.

Latent Autoimmune Diabetes in Adults With Low-Titer GAD Antibodies: Similar Disease Progression With Type 2 Diabetes: A Nationwide, Multicenter Prospective Study (LADA China Study 3). Diabetes Care 2015;38:16–21

Xia Li,[1] Lingjiao Liu,[1] Yufei Xiang,[1] Gan Huang,[1] R. David Leslie,[2] and Zhiguang Zhou[1]

We thank Shah and Yu (1) for their comments on our study (2) and for the opportunity to discuss some key points on the GAD antibody (GADA) pattern and its relation with progression of type 1 diabetes, especially for latent autoimmune diabetes in adults (LADA). They suggest that low-titer GADA subjects in our study might have low-affinity GADA representing biologically "false positivity" and GADA affinity could be analyzed with an electrochemiluminescence (ECL)-based assay to determine if this was the case. Indeed, the important role of different GADA patterns has been studied extensively and the number, titer, and affinity of GADA are decisive biomarkers in discriminating and predicting type 1 diabetes (3).

Subjects with single or low-titer GADA have a low risk of disease progression, potentially because they represent a technically false-positive group or a biologically distinct group. These two options are mutually exclusive and the former may be relevant to some studies of LADA. Against the former, we have duplicated the assay by our Diabetes Autoantibody Standardization Program–standardized radioimmunoassay method, so false positivity rates are very low and the quantile-quantile plot indicates that the positive signal represents a distinct group of subjects (4). In support of the latter, compared with patients with type 2 diabetes, low-titer GADA patients had more total HLA-DQA1 susceptibility haplotypes and more were on insulin therapy at entry into the study (2,4).

We agree with Shah and Yu (1) that studies of GADA affinity would be of value, as 10% of these low-titer GADA patients developed β-cell failure and none converted to high-titer GADA. The comprehensive measurement of GADA, including affinity, titer, different epitope recognition, isotypes, and concurrent status of other islet autoantibodies, should be of value as GADA affinity and titer are not always correlated (5). Considering most studies of GADA affinity were done in classic juvenile-onset type 1 diabetes (3,5), it will be valuable to know whether GADA affinity can better discriminate the heterogeneity of LADA, perhaps by using the ECL-based GADA assay, which is a promising alternative to complicated competitive binding experiments.

References
1. Shah VN, Yu L. Comment on Liu et al. Latent autoimmune diabetes in adults with low-titer GAD antibodies: similar disease progression with type 2 diabetes: a nationwide, multicenter prospective study (LADA China Study 3). Diabetes Care 2015;38:16–21 (Letter). Diabetes Care 2015;38:e43. DOI: 10.2337/dc14-2575
2. Liu L, Li X, Xiang Y, et al.; LADA China Study Group. Latent autoimmune diabetes in adults with low-titer GAD antibodies: similar disease progression with type 2 diabetes: a nationwide, multicenter prospective study (LADA China Study 3). Diabetes Care 2015; 38:16–21
3. Krause S, Landherr U, Agardh CD, et al. GAD autoantibody affinity in adult patients with latent autoimmune diabetes, the study participants of a GAD65 vaccination trial. Diabetes Care 2014;37:1675–1680
4. Zhou Z, Xiang Y, Ji L, et al.; LADA China Study Group. Frequency, immunogenetics, and clinical characteristics of latent autoimmune diabetes in China (LADA China study): a nationwide, multicenter, clinic-based cross-sectional study. Diabetes 2013;62:543–550
5. Mayr A, Schlosser M, Grober N, et al. GAD autoantibody affinity and epitope specificity identify distinct immunization profiles in children at risk for type 1 diabetes. Diabetes 2007;56:1527–1533

[1]Institute of Metabolism and Endocrinology, The Second Xiangya Hospital and the Diabetes Center, Metabolic Syndrome Research Center, Key Laboratory of Diabetes Immunology, Ministry of Education, Central South University, National Clinical Research Center for Metabolic Diseases, Changsha, Hunan, China
[2]Department of Diabetes and Metabolic Medicine, Blizard Institute, London, U.K.
Corresponding author: Zhiguang Zhou, zhouzg@hotmail.com.