



RESPONSE TO COMMENT ON AMERICAN DIABETES ASSOCIATION

Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes—2017. Diabetes Care 2017;40(Suppl. 1):S1–S135

Diabetes Care 2017;40:e94–e95 | <https://doi.org/10.2337/dci17-0007>

William H. Herman,¹
Matthew Petersen,² and
Rita R. Kalyani³

We thank Sonne and Hemmingsen (1) for their thoughtful critique of the American Diabetes Association's *Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes—2017* (Standards of Care) (2). As they point out, the Standards of Care now highlight a prediabetes screening tool that was developed in 1995 (3), revised in 2012 (4), and most recently validated in 2016 (5). The Standards of Care also recommend regular monitoring for those with prediabetes, intensive lifestyle intervention for diabetes prevention, and consideration of metformin therapy for selected individuals with prediabetes (6).

We acknowledge that the use of three different diagnostic tests to define prediabetes identifies heterogeneous groups. Although values above the diagnostic threshold for each of the tests have been associated with increased risk of progression to type 2 diabetes (T2D) (7,8), the thresholds for the individual tests do not align directly with the eligibility criteria for the major clinical trials of diabetes prevention, almost all of which required that participants have impaired glucose tolerance (9). Different diagnostic tests for prediabetes identify different individuals (10), and for each diagnostic test the risk of progressing to T2D is lower at the lower end of the glycemic range.

Clearly, the recommendations to implement intensive lifestyle intervention

or metformin for diabetes prevention apply most directly to the subset of individuals with prediabetes who meet the eligibility criteria for the clinical trials. These include adults ≥ 25 years of age who are overweight or obese and have fasting hyperglycemia and impaired glucose tolerance (9). In the Diabetes Prevention Program (DPP), the lifestyle intervention was demonstrated to be more effective than metformin (9). The Standards of Care thus recommend lifestyle intervention for most people with prediabetes and recommend that metformin therapy be considered for those most likely to benefit from it—that is, those 25–60 years of age with BMI ≥ 35 kg/m² and women with histories of gestational diabetes mellitus (11). It may also be reasonable to consider metformin therapy for individuals who cannot implement or adhere to lifestyle intervention or who have progression of hyperglycemia despite lifestyle intervention.

We support a comprehensive approach to health promotion and disease prevention. In addition to dietary changes, weight loss, and physical activity, careful attention should be paid to psychosocial stressors, blood pressure control, lipid management, smoking prevention or cessation, appropriate use of antiplatelet therapy, and health-related quality of life. We acknowledge that labeling people with prediabetes

might adversely affect quality of life, but we are not aware of data to suggest that this is the case. In the Anglo-Danish-Dutch Study of Intensive Treatment in People With Screen Detected Diabetes in Primary Care (ADDITION) trial, the potential disutility of screening for and diagnosing T2D was carefully assessed. Although participants with newly diagnosed diabetes had poorer self-reported health at 3–6 months, the effect was no longer evident at 12–15 months (12).

Finally, in light of the well-documented global epidemic of T2D, we disagree that interventions should be implemented only when there is persuasive evidence of long-term benefit. Clinical and public health action must be based on the best available evidence. Current evidence demonstrates the efficacy of lifestyle and metformin interventions to delay or prevent the development of T2D (9). There will never be a randomized controlled clinical trial of sufficient duration to demonstrate reductions in “hard outcomes.” Simulation modeling has shown that interventions that delay the onset of T2D will reduce its cumulative incidence (13). In addition, 23-year observational follow-up from the Da Qing Diabetes Prevention Study has shown that lifestyle intervention is associated with a 45% reduction in diabetes incidence, a 41% reduction in cardiovascular

¹University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI

²American Diabetes Association, Arlington, VA

³Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD

Corresponding author: William H. Herman, wherman@umich.edu.

© 2017 by the American Diabetes Association. Readers may use this article as long as the work is properly cited, the use is educational and not for profit, and the work is not altered. More information is available at <http://www.diabetesjournals.org/content/license>.

mortality, and a 29% reduction in all-cause mortality (14).

Duality of Interest. W.H.H. serves on data and safety monitoring boards for Merck Sharp & Dohme and Lexicon Pharmaceuticals. No other potential conflicts of interest relevant to the article were reported.

References

1. Sonne DP, Hemmingsen B. Comment on American Diabetes Association. *Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes—2017*. *Diabetes Care* 2017;40(Suppl. 1):S1–S135 (Letter). *Diabetes Care* 2017;40:e92–e93. <https://doi.org/10.2337/dc17-0299>
2. American Diabetes Association. *Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes—2017*. *Diabetes Care*. 2017;40(Suppl. 1):S1–S135
3. Herman WH, Smith PJ, Thompson TJ, Engelgau MM, Aubert RE. A new and simple questionnaire to identify people at increased risk for undiagnosed diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 1995;18:382–387
4. Bang H, Edwards AM, Bombback AS, et al. Development and validation of a patient self-assessment score for diabetes risk. *Ann Intern Med* 2009;151:775–783
5. Poltavskiy E, Kim DJ, Bang H. Comparison of screening scores for diabetes and prediabetes. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2016;118:146–153
6. American Diabetes Association. Prevention or delay of type 2 diabetes. Sec. 5. In *Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes—2017*. *Diabetes Care*. 2017;40(Suppl. 1):S44–S47
7. Nathan DM, Davidson MB, DeFronzo RA, et al.; American Diabetes Association. Impaired fasting glucose and impaired glucose tolerance: implications for care. *Diabetes Care* 2007;30:753–759
8. Soulimane S, Simon D, Shaw J, et al. HbA1c, fasting plasma glucose and the prediction of diabetes: Inter99, AusDiab and D.E.S.I.R. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2012;96:392–399
9. Knowler WC, Barrett-Connor E, Fowler SE, et al.; Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group. Reduction in the incidence of type 2 diabetes with lifestyle intervention or metformin. *N Engl J Med* 2002;346:393–403
10. James C, Bullard KM, Rolka DB, et al. Implications of alternative definitions of prediabetes for prevalence in U.S. adults. *Diabetes Care* 2011;34:387–391
11. Aroda VR, Christophi CA, Edelstein SL, et al.; Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group. The effect of lifestyle intervention and metformin on preventing or delaying diabetes among women with and without gestational diabetes: the Diabetes Prevention Program Outcomes Study 10-year follow-up. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2015;100:1646–1653
12. Eborall HC, Griffin SJ, Prevost AT, Kinmonth AL, French DP, Sutton S. Psychological impact of screening for type 2 diabetes: controlled trial and comparative study embedded in the ADDITION (Cambridge) randomised controlled trial. *BMJ* 2007;335:486
13. Herman WH, Hoerger TJ, Brandle M, et al.; Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group. The cost-effectiveness of lifestyle modification or metformin in preventing type 2 diabetes in adults with impaired glucose tolerance. *Ann Intern Med* 2005;142:323–332
14. Li G, Zhang P, Wang J, et al. Cardiovascular mortality, all-cause mortality, and diabetes incidence after lifestyle intervention for people with impaired glucose tolerance in the Da Qing Diabetes Prevention Study: a 23-year follow-up study. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol* 2014;2:474–480