



RESPONSE TO COMMENT ON LEWIS ET AL.

Management of Hemoglobin Variants Detected Incidentally in HbA_{1c} Testing: A Common Problem Currently Lacking a Standard Approach. Diabetes Care 2017;40:e8–e9

Diabetes Care 2017;40:e150–e151 | <https://doi.org/10.2337/dci17-0020>

Michael R. Lewis,¹
Patricia R. Sheehan,²
Myrlene A. Staten,³
Lawrence S. Phillips,⁴ and
Anastassios G. Pittas²

We thank Drs. Little and Rohlfing for their comments (1) regarding our article on the management of incidental detection of hemoglobin (Hb) variants in HbA_{1c} testing (2). We agree that awareness of the potential for interference to affect HbA_{1c} results is essential in research and clinical care. Multiple studies (including that of Lin et al. [3]) prior to the 2016 article by Rohlfing et al. (4) had yielded no evidence of clinically significant bias resulting from HbAS or HbAC variants in Tosoh G8 results. In the Vitamin D and Type 2 Diabetes (D2d) Study, we excluded potential participants with HbAE and HbAD because clinically significant bias had been demonstrated.

In a recent article by Rohlfing et al. (4), HbA_{1c} testing using a Tosoh G8 with software version 5.20 was found to show clinically significant interference (5) in the presence of HbAS and HbAC at the 9% HbA_{1c} level (mean difference with comparative method, 0.76 percentage points for HbAC, 0.79 percentage points for HbAS). Interference at the 6% HbA_{1c} level, which is relevant to D2d given the study's focus on prediabetes, was not found to be clinically significant (0.33 percentage points for HbAC, 0.18 percentage points for HbAS). We acknowledge that "not clinically significant" is not equivalent to "no interference." Nonetheless, any potential interference

should not have a meaningful effect on the conduct of the D2d Study because only 37 out of 2,423 participants have HbAS ($n = 27$) or HbAC ($n = 10$) variants, and HbA_{1c} is only one of three criteria used to define prediabetes or diabetes. Moreover, owing to the randomized design, the internal validity of D2d should not be affected.

Changes in assay properties are to be expected over the course of a long-term clinical trial such as D2d, and there have so far been two software updates to the Tosoh G8 used in the D2d central laboratory. The software version (5.20) noted in the article by Rohlfing et al. (4) was in use from June 2014 through December 2015, during which time only eight participants with HbAS or HbAC were randomized. Prior to that period, version 5.10 was used; version 5.23 has been in use since December 2015 and remains in place as we follow our study population. Review of older articles cited on the NGSP interferences web page (6) does not reveal which software versions may have been in use in those studies, and we are unaware of data on possible interferences rising to a level of clinical significance for versions 5.10 and 5.23. To our knowledge, version 5.24 is not yet available in the U.S.

When selecting an HbA_{1c} assay for research, avoiding interference due to rare Hb traits is important and needs to be

balanced with other factors. The low coefficient of variation of the ion exchange high-performance liquid chromatography method we chose is desirable for a study such as D2d because it optimizes internal validity.

We agree with Little and Rohlfing (1) that the HbA_{1c} assay is not as straightforward as is sometimes thought and that more work is needed both to understand operational characteristics (especially in individuals with Hb variants) and to define its role as a diagnostic criterion for diabetes. D2d is the first large trial to use HbA_{1c} as one of the inclusion criteria for prediabetes and as a diagnostic criterion for diabetes; therefore, it represents an ideal setting in which to gain insight into these complex issues.

Funding. The D2d Study is supported by a U01 multicenter clinical study cooperative agreement research grant from the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, National Institutes of Health Office of the Director, and the National Institutes of Health Office of Dietary Supplements (U01-DK-098245). Funding is also provided by the American Diabetes Association (1-14-D2d-01).

Duality of Interest. No potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article were reported.

References

1. Little RR, Rohlfing CL. Comment on Lewis et al. Management of hemoglobin variants detected

¹Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, University of Vermont, Burlington, VT

²Division of Endocrinology, Diabetes and Metabolism, Department of Medicine, Tufts Medical Center, Boston, MA

³Kelly Government Solutions for National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, Bethesda, MD

⁴Atlanta VA Medical Center, Decatur, GA, and Division of Endocrinology, Metabolism and Lipids, Department of Medicine, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA

Corresponding author: Michael R. Lewis, michael.lewis@uvmhealth.org.

© 2017 by the American Diabetes Association. Readers may use this article as long as the work is properly cited, the use is educational and not for profit, and the work is not altered. More information is available at <http://www.diabetesjournals.org/content/license>.

- incidentally in HbA_{1c} testing: a common problem currently lacking a standard approach. *Diabetes Care* 2017;40:e149. <https://doi.org/10.2337/dc17-0731>
- Lewis MR, Macauley RC, Sheehan PR, et al.; D2d Research Group. Management of hemoglobin variants detected incidentally in HbA_{1c} testing: a common problem currently lacking a standard approach. *Diabetes Care* 2017;40:e8–e9
 - Lin CN, Emery TJ, Little RR, et al. Effects of hemoglobin C, D, E, and S traits on measurements of HbA_{1c} by six methods. *Clin Chim Acta* 2012;413:819–821
 - Rohlfing C, Hanson S, Weykamp C, et al. Effects of hemoglobin C, D, E and S traits on measurements of hemoglobin A_{1c} by twelve methods. *Clin Chim Acta* 2016;455:80–83
 - NGSP. HbA_{1c} assay interferences [Internet], 2016. Available from <http://www.ngsp.org/interf.asp>. Accessed 22 June 2017
 - NGSP. Factors that interfere with HbA_{1c} test results [Internet], 2016. Available from <http://www.ngsp.org/factors.asp>. Accessed 19 July 2017