



# Understanding the Economic Costs of Diabetes and Prediabetes and What We May Learn About Reducing the Health and Economic Burden of These Conditions

Joan M. O'Connell and Spero M. Manson

*Diabetes Care* 2019;42:1609–1611 | <https://doi.org/10.2337/dci19-0017>

Over 30 million people suffered from diabetes in 2015, representing 9.4% of the entire U.S. population and 12.2% of all adults (1). One in four of these people did not know they had diabetes (1). Furthermore, in the same year, approximately 84.1 million U.S. adults—over a third of U.S. adults (33.9%)—were believed to have prediabetes, 90% of whom were also unaware of their condition (1). The high prevalence of gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) (6.0%) among women who gave birth in 2016 further underscores the seriousness of this epidemic (2).

The burden of diabetes is not uniformly shared, varying by age, education, income, location, race/ethnicity, and other social determinants of health (SDOH) (1–6). Greater burden is evident among adults with lower educational attainment and household income than among adults of higher socioeconomic status (1,3), disparities that have widened over time (3). In 2015, compared with non-Hispanic whites (7.4%), the age-adjusted prevalence of diagnosed diabetes was higher among American Indian and Alaska Native adults (15.1%), non-Hispanic black adults (12.7%), adults of Hispanic ethnicity (12.1%), and Asian adults (8.0%) (1). The prevalence

of undiagnosed diabetes (4,5), prediabetes (7), and GDM (2,6) also varied by SDOH.

Federal, state, and local governments—ultimately, taxpayers—bear the brunt of diabetes-related costs. For example, Medicare's diabetes-related burden increased during recent years as the prevalence of diabetes increased (8). Updated, comprehensive information on the economic burden of diabetes-related conditions is critical for governments, employers, other health payers, and health care providers to assess opportunities for improving service delivery and, ultimately, health outcomes.

Dall et al. (9) updated and expanded the American Diabetes Association (ADA) 2012 estimates of such burden, and the 2017 estimates presented in this issue of *Diabetes Care* (10) account for changes in population risk and direct and indirect costs. The ADA placed the cost of diagnosed diabetes in 2017 at \$327.2 billion (11). Undiagnosed diabetes (7.9%, \$31.7 billion), prediabetes (10.7%, \$43.4 billion), and GDM (0.4%, \$1.6 billion) combine with the prior estimate for diagnosed diabetes to total \$403.9 billion annually (10). Diagnosed diabetes accounted for 81.0% of this total

(10). The average economic cost per person was projected to be \$13,240 for diagnosed diabetes, \$4,250 for undiagnosed diabetes, \$500 for prediabetes, and \$5,800 for GDM. These estimates included medical expenditures that exceeded levels occurring in the absence of diabetes or prediabetes as well as indirect costs due to productivity losses associated with related morbidity and mortality. It is no surprise, then, that medical spending for diagnosed diabetes is among the highest for all conditions (12,13). To situate the 2017 total medical and indirect costs within the U.S. economy we compared those costs to the U.S. gross domestic product; the \$403.9 billion economic costs of diabetes and prediabetes are approximately 2.1% of the 2017 U.S. gross domestic product (14).

In light of these circumstances, increasing access to programs to prevent diabetes, prediabetes, and risk factors associated with these conditions (e.g., obesity, insufficient exercise) becomes even more crucial. The National Diabetes Prevention Program (DPP), specifically the lifestyle individual intervention and metformin use, were both effective in preventing diabetes and cost-effective (15). Subsequent studies found DPP lifestyle group programs to be cost-effective

Centers for American Indian and Alaska Native Health and Department of Community and Behavioral Health, Colorado School of Public Health, University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus, Aurora, CO

Corresponding author: Joan M. O'Connell, [joan.oconnell@cuanschutz.edu](mailto:joan.oconnell@cuanschutz.edu)

© 2019 by the American Diabetes Association. Readers may use this article as long as the work is properly cited, the use is educational and not for profit, and the work is not altered. More information is available at <http://www.diabetesjournals.org/content/license>.

See accompanying article, p. 1661.

(16). In fact, a Medicare study of such programs revealed they were associated with significant reductions in Medicare spending, inpatient admissions, and emergency department visits in the intervention group relative to the comparison group (17). DPP programs may qualify for reimbursement by Medicare, leading many private health plans and some state Medicaid agencies to support their expansion. Such expansion, particularly among high-risk populations, may have a sizable impact on diabetes prevalence and associated costs.

Information about the economic burden of diabetes may be used to assess the value of programs and policies implemented to prevent and treat these conditions. For example, state policy makers may consider ADA estimates of a state's diabetes-related medical costs to assess the value of Medicaid reimbursement for DPP services. An employer faced with a similar decision may wish to consider ADA estimates of medical costs and costs associated with lost productivity. Note, however, that data on the economic burden may only be "considered" in such evaluations, since neither all cases of nor complications from diabetes and prediabetes are preventable.

The high cost of treating undiagnosed diabetes highlights the need to increase early detection and management. The ADA recommends type 2 diabetes screening for adults aged 45 years and older and high-risk younger adults every 3 years (18). Yet, 2005–2012 data showed that less than half of these individuals were screened; failure in this regard was largely attributed to SDOH (5,19). Addressing such barriers is especially important given that early diagnosis and treatment of diabetes improve clinical outcomes and prevent costly complications, such as cardiovascular disease and end-stage renal disease (20–22). Likewise, diabetes self-management and support programs (23), participation in which is similarly affected by SDOH, can be cost-effective, even cost-saving (20,22,24,25). Data of the type reported by Dall et al. (10) may be used to situate and interpret the short- and long-term costs of such programs.

The models used to estimate the burden of diabetes and prediabetes (10,11) are the most comprehensive cost of illness models specific to diabetes and prediabetes, incorporating recent data

from an array of sources. Data for commercially insured persons and Medicare beneficiaries were used to model health service utilization patterns, and Medical Expenditure Panel Survey (MEPS) data were included in the medical cost estimates. Productivity losses were derived from National Health Interview Survey (NHIS) data on labor force participation and missed workdays. The reports of economic burden (10,11) include detailed information on disease prevalence, medical service use, treatment costs, and productivity losses that improve our understanding of these costs by age and other patient characteristics. This information serves as a reference for comparison with other data on similar measures. Indeed, these models contribute to the development of diabetes-related economic cost models in other countries and economic cost models for other conditions.

Dall et al. (10) describe limitations associated with their model's assumptions, exclusion of some costs, and other factors that influenced their results. For example, the current model did not include indirect costs of prediabetes or GDM. However, they offered a preliminary estimate of the indirect costs of prediabetes and encouraged inclusion of such costs in future work. Drawing on data for commercially insured persons, the authors modeled utilization patterns for persons less than 65 years old who had Medicaid coverage compared with no health coverage. They argued that improvements in access to Medicaid data through the Centers for Medicaid and Medicare Services' national reporting system may allow the future inclusion of such data.

Additional model enhancements could include accounting for nonmedical direct costs (e.g., travel, childcare), long-term care costs, and indirect costs of persons aged 65 years and older. The authors noted the challenges of modeling service utilization patterns using multivariate regressions (i.e., use of Poisson compared with negative binomial models). Future research should address these challenges, as regression findings influence service utilization estimates and, therefore, cost projections.

Diabetes prevention and treatment services have difficulty reaching high-risk populations. An important future direction is to broaden the models referenced

here to estimate the economic burden introduced by SDOH. Evaluations of programs and policies designed to reduce the burden in high-risk populations may then better describe the economic burden of diabetes and prediabetes as well as the direct costs, effectiveness, and cost-effectiveness of the programs and policies that target high-risk populations.

**Funding.** Funding sources include the National Institutes of Health (NIH) National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases (P30DK092923 and R18DK114757), NIH National Institute on Aging (R01AG061189), Western Carolina University (Research Subaward Agreement A14-0036-S003), and the Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute (AD-1304-6451).

**Duality of Interest.** No potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article were reported.

## References

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *National Diabetes Statistics Report, 2017*. Atlanta, GA, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2017
- Deputy NP, Kim SY, Conrey EJ, Bullard KM. Prevalence and changes in preexisting diabetes and gestational diabetes among women who had a live birth—United States, 2012–2016. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2018;67:1201–1207
- Beckles GL, Chou CF. Disparities in the prevalence of diagnosed diabetes—United States, 1999–2002 and 2011–2014. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2016;65:1265–1269
- Menke A, Casagrande S, Geiss L, Cowie CC. Prevalence of and trends in diabetes among adults in the United States, 1988–2012. *JAMA* 2015;314:1021–1029
- Casagrande SS, Cowie CC, Genuth SM. Self-reported prevalence of diabetes screening in the U.S., 2005–2010. *Am J Prev Med* 2014;47:780–787
- Zhou T, Sun D, Li X, et al. Prevalence and trends in gestational diabetes mellitus among women in the United States, 2006–2016 (Abstract). *Diabetes* 2018;67(Suppl. 1):121-OR
- Walker RJ, Grusnick J, Garacci E, Mendez C, Egede LE. Trends in food insecurity in the USA for individuals with prediabetes, undiagnosed diabetes, and diagnosed diabetes. *J Gen Intern Med* 2019;34:33–35
- Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. *Medicare Chronic Conditions Charts: Endocrine and renal conditions - Trends, 2007–2016* [Internet], 2018. Available from [https://www.ccwdata.org/web/guest/medicare-charts/medicare-chronic-condition-charts/#b2\\_endo\\_renal\\_2007\\_2016](https://www.ccwdata.org/web/guest/medicare-charts/medicare-chronic-condition-charts/#b2_endo_renal_2007_2016). Accessed 23 April 2019
- Dall TM, Yang W, Halder P, et al. The economic burden of elevated blood glucose levels in 2012: diagnosed and undiagnosed diabetes, gestational diabetes mellitus, and prediabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2014;37:3172–3179
- Dall TM, Yang W, Gillespie K, et al. The economic burden of elevated blood glucose

- levels in 2017: diagnosed and undiagnosed diabetes, gestational diabetes mellitus, and pre-diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2019;42:1661–1668
11. American Diabetes Association. Economic costs of diabetes in the U.S. in 2017. *Diabetes Care* 2018;41:917–928
12. Roehrig C. Mental disorders top the list of the most costly conditions in the United States: \$201 billion. *Health Aff (Millwood)* 2016;35:1130–1135
13. Dieleman JL, Baral R, Birger M, et al. US spending on personal health care and public health, 1996–2013. *JAMA* 2016;316:2627–2646
14. Bureau of Economic Analysis. *Gross Domestic Product, 4th Quarter and Annual 2017 (Advance Estimate)*. Washington, DC, Department of Commerce, 2018
15. Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group. The 10-year cost-effectiveness of lifestyle intervention or metformin for diabetes prevention: an intent-to-treat analysis of the DPP/DPPOS. *Diabetes Care* 2012;35:723–730
16. Community Preventive Services Task Force. Diabetes prevention and control: combined diet and physical activity promotion programs to prevent type 2 diabetes among people at increased risk [Internet], 2015. Available from [https://www.thecommunityguide.org/sites/default/files/assets/Diabetes-Diet-and-PA\\_1.pdf](https://www.thecommunityguide.org/sites/default/files/assets/Diabetes-Diet-and-PA_1.pdf). Accessed 23 April 2019
17. Alva ML, Hoerger TJ, Jeyaraman R, Amico P, Rojas-Smith L. Impact of the YMCA of the USA diabetes prevention program on Medicare spending and utilization. *Health Aff (Millwood)* 2017;36:417–424
18. American Diabetes Association. 2. Classification and diagnosis of diabetes: *Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes—2019*. *Diabetes Care* 2019;42(Suppl. 1):S13–S28
19. Kiefer MM, Silverman JB, Young BA, Nelson KM. National patterns in diabetes screening: data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) 2005–2012. *J Gen Intern Med* 2015;30:612–618
20. Brown HS III, Wilson KJ, Pagán JA, et al. Cost-effectiveness analysis of a community health worker intervention for low-income Hispanic adults with diabetes. *Prev Chronic Dis* 2012;9:E140
21. Ip EJ, Shah BM, Yu J, Chan J, Nguyen LT, Bhatt DC. Enhancing diabetes care by adding a pharmacist to the primary care team. *Am J Health Syst Pharm* 2013;70:877–886
22. Slingerland AS, Herman WH, Redekop WK, Dijkstra RF, Jukema JW, Niessen LW. Stratified patient-centered care in type 2 diabetes: a cluster-randomized, controlled clinical trial of effectiveness and cost-effectiveness. *Diabetes Care* 2013;36:3054–3061
23. Powers MA, Bardsley J, Cypress M, et al. Diabetes self-management education and support in type 2 diabetes: a joint position statement of the American Diabetes Association, the American Association of Diabetes Educators, and the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics. *Diabetes Care* 2015;38:1372–1382
24. Yu J, Shah BM, Ip EJ, Chan J. A Markov model of the cost-effectiveness of pharmacist care for diabetes in prevention of cardiovascular diseases: evidence from Kaiser Permanente Northern California. *J Manag Care Pharm* 2013;19:102–114
25. Li R, Zhang P, Barker LE, Chowdhury FM, Zhang X. Cost-effectiveness of interventions to prevent and control diabetes mellitus: a systematic review. *Diabetes Care* 2010;33:1872–1894