Expression of CD31/PECAM-1 (Platelet Endothelial Cell Adhesion Molecule 1) by Blastic Plasmacytoid Dendritic Cell Neoplasms
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IMPORTANCE Blastic plasmacytoid dendritic cell neoplasm (BPDCN) is a rare malignant neoplasm with cutaneous manifestations and a rapidly progressive clinical course. The diagnosis relies on characteristic clinicopathologic and immunopathologic features. However, the overlap of immunophenotypic features with other cancers, as well as newly discovered interperson and intrapersonal phenotypic variations, renders the identification of BPDCN challenging. A greater understanding of the proteins expressed by BPDCN might facilitate its recognition and provide insights into its clinical behavior.

OBSERVATIONS In 7 of 9 patients at 4 tertiary care institutions, immunohistochemical analysis demonstrated strong CD31/PECAM-1 (platelet endothelial cell adhesion molecule 1) expression by neoplastic cells. Combined with similar findings observed in 1 former patient, 8 of 10 cases of BPDCN were CD31/PECAM-1 positive.

CONCLUSIONS AND RELEVANCE Expression of CD31/PECAM-1 by BPDCN adds new information about the antigenic profile of this unusual neoplasm. CD31/PECAM-1 influences multiple cell functions including adhesion, apoptosis, coagulation, host response, and protein synthesis that might affect clinical features of BPDCN such as hemorrhage, aggressive tumor growth, and resistance to therapy. Therefore, the potential role of this molecule in the tumor formation and progression of BPDCN warrants additional exploration.

Blastic plasmacytoid dendritic cell neoplasm (BPDCN), formerly known as CD4+/CD56+ hematodermic neoplasm or blastic natural killer (NK) cell lymphoma, is an exceedingly rare and highly aggressive malignant neoplasm, recently reclassified as a distinct entity.1,2 It shows a strong predilection for elderly men but may affect any age group, including neonates.2,3 Because the skin is usually the site of initial presentation, dermatologists play a key role in timely recognition and treatment.2,4-5 Cutaneous involvement is invariably followed by rapidly progressing systemic dissemination, which may culminate in fulminant leukemia.2-5 The response to high-dose chemotherapy and/or radiotherapy is initially favorable but ultimately short lived, and median survival rarely exceeds 14 months.2-6 Occasionally, allogeneic bone marrow transplantation has achieved sustained remission in younger patients.2-6-7

The diagnosis of BPDCN is rendered difficult by the heterogeneity of clinical and immunophenotypic features.6-8 Generally, the following clinical, histopathologic, and immunopathologic criteria are used to establish the diagnosis. Skin manifestations range from nonspecific reddish-brown to violaceous patches, plaques, or nodules, which may appear bruise-like and tend to generalize as the malignancy progresses, to atypical eruptions mimicking lichen planus or lupus erythematosus.2,4-6 Flat solitary lesions occur in up to 30% of cases, and B-type symptoms are commonly absent, mandating a high level of suspicion.5 Histopathologic analysis demonstrates a nonepidermotropic dermal infiltrate of monomorphic, medium-sized lymphoid-appearing cells that display a slightly irregular-shaped nucleus with finely dispersed chromatin and scant cytoplasm.4-6 Definitive diagnosis relies on adequate immunophenotypic analysis, which shows CD4, CD56, and/or CD123 in approximately 95% of cases and TCL-1, CD2-associated protein (CD2AP), and/or BDCA2/CD303 in 75% to 90% of cases. There is absence of common B-cell, T-cell, and myeloid or myelomonocytic cell lineage markers such as CD3, 11c, 20, 34, 79a, 163, lysozyme, and myeloperoxidase.1-6 However, this profile overlaps substantially with those of other hematolymphoid malignant neoplasms, predominantly NK cell lymphoma and/or leukemia and myeloid leukemias with skin involvement.2-4-7 Moreover, the immunophenotypic diversity of BPDCN is greater than previously recognized.2,5-7 
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though the exact proportion of aberrant phenotypes remains unknown, negativity of at least 1 of the 4 markers CD4, CD56, CD123, and TCL-1 was demonstrated in 34% to 36% of cases in 2 large studies, emphasizing the need to disclose additional immunophenotypic features of this lethal neoplasm.\textsuperscript{5,7}

Herein we report the expression of CD31/PECAM-1 (platelet endothelial cell adhesion molecule 1) as an additional antigenic characteristic of BPDCN.

Report of Cases

Our study was initiated by the results of the immunohistochemical (IHC) analysis of a violaceous nodule with surrounding golden contusiform rim on the shoulder of an otherwise asymptomatic 80-year-old man (Figure 1A). Histopathologic examination revealed a dense dermal infiltrate of uniform, mononuclear cells in the absence of other inflammatory cells, necrosis, or angioinvasion (Figure 1B). Differential diagnostic considerations included hematopoietic and lymphoid malignant neoplasms, as well as vascular tumors, necessitating a broad panel of antibody tests. Samples stained for IHC analysis were positive for CD4, CD56, CD123, and CD31 (Figure 2) and negative for CD2, CD3, CD5, CD7, CD8, T-cell–restricted intracellular antigen 1 (TIA-1), CD20, PAX-5, lysozyme, myeloperoxidase, CD30, CD1a, CD68, and terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase. A complete blood cell count with differential, comprehensive metabolic panel and serum as well as urine protein electrophoresis had normal results. A computed tomographic scan showed a 5 × 2-cm lesion of the right side of the chest and a 1.7-cm-diameter splenic mass. The clinical and IHC findings were consistent with BPDCN. This case was reminiscent of that of a former patient of ours with BPDCN, whose tumor cells were also CD31 positive.\textsuperscript{8}

The aforementioned observations prompted us to investigate the expression of CD31 by BPDCN cells. In collaboration with 3 other tertiary care institutions, 8 additional patients with BPDCN were identified and paraffin-embedded lesional tissue sections were subjected to immunoperoxidase IHC analysis for the CD31 protein in accordance with manufacturer-recommended protocols, using monoclonal mouse anti–human CD31 antibodies (clone JC/70A, isotype IgG1κ) from Biocare Medical, Dako North America, and Thermo Scientific. Tumor cells demonstrated strong immunoreactivity in 6 cases, paralleling the IHC results seen in Figure 2, whereas 2 cases had negative results. To exclude low-level protein expression undetectable by IHC analysis, the negative biopsy samples were subjected to multispectral image analysis, which has been demonstrated to be more sensitive than routine IHC analysis in the assessment of low-level protein ex-
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Discussion

Two major subtypes of circulating dendritic cell (DC) populations have been identified to date: myeloid DCs (mDCs) and plasmacytoid DCs (pDCs). Formerly thought to originate from NK cells, BPDCN is now believed to derive from pDC precursors, as evidenced by the expression of pDC antigens TCL1, CD123, and BDCA-2. Production of interferon α by BPDCN cells demonstrates that the tumor cells maintain some of the functional characteristics of pDCs.

CD31 is best known as a marker for vascular components of tissues (both normal and diseased) because it is expressed on early and mature endothelial cells and related neoplasms. However, it is also present on platelets and some plasma cells, monocytes, immature mDCs, neutrophils, NK cells, and lymphocytes. In addition, CD31 expression has been observed commonly in some nonendothelial cancers, predominantly in leukemias and lymphomas such as acute myeloid leukemia, acute lymphoblastic leukemia, chronic lymphocytic leukemia, chronic myeloid leukemia, mantle cell lymphoma, hairy cell leukemia, and multiple myeloma but not in cutaneous T-cell lymphomas. Approximately one-third of uterine cervical carcinomas are CD31+, and it is also expressed occasionally by a wide variety of other carcinomas and sarcomas. We are contributing an additional type of neoplasm, BPDCN, to the list of nonendothelial malignancies that express CD31 frequently. However, because of the rarity of this neoplasm, it will be important to study additional cases to determine whether the true prevalence of CD31 expression is 80% (8 of 10) as we report here.

CD31 is able to engage in heterophilic as well as in homophilic binding, allowing complex adhesive interactions, which represent a basis for the role of this molecule in vascular biology. Our observation raises the question of whether CD31 on tumor cells might bind to CD31 on platelets, thereby inhib-

Figure 2. Immunohistochemical Staining of Formalin-Fixed Paraffin-Embedded Sections of the Lesion Shown in Figure 1
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Diaminobenzidine, original magnification, ×200: CD56 (A), CD4 (B), CD123 (C), and CD31/PECAM-1 (platelet endothelial cell adhesion molecule 1) (D).
CD31 could bind to CD31 on endothelial cells, resulting in localized secretion of prostacyclin, which in turn would inhibit coagulation. These events, individually or in combination, might contribute to intralesional hemorrhage and the bruise-like appearance noted in some BPDCN cases. Adhesive interactions between tumoral and endothelial CD31 could also contribute to the aggressive dissemination characteristic of BPDCN.

Recent work has uncovered new functions of CD31 as a cytoprotective cell signaling molecule in a variety of physiological and pathological states; e.g., it inhibits the intrinsic apoptotic pathway both in vitro and in vivo. Therefore, CD31 expression may play a role in the chemoresistance of BPDCN, and decreasing its expression in lymphoid malignant neoplasms could render them more susceptible to chemotherapy-induced apoptosis. One might also speculate that the capability of CD31 to activate regulatory T cells and to attenuate T-cell chemokinesis fosters a local microenvironment that suppresses antitumor T-cell responses. Alternatively spliced, functionally distinct CD31 isoforms exist, and the ones expressed by BPDCN remain to be investigated. Future studies with larger case numbers are needed to evaluate the potential of CD31 as a biomarker for BPDCN and to clarify its role in the pathogenesis of this lethal disorder. Interpersonal and intrapersonal immunophenotypic variations, such as CD4/CD56 positivity followed by negativity for both markers in subsequent biopsy specimens from a single patient, have been reported. These findings underscore the importance of adding new antigens to the known repertoire of proteins expressed by BPDCN because their assessment may aid in early recognition of this tumor or help explain its biology.
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