Adipose tissue mass is modulated by SLUG (SNAI2)
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The zinc-finger transcription factor SLUG (SNAI2) triggers epithelial–mesenchymal transitions (EMTs) and plays an important role in the developmental processes. Here, we show that SLUG is expressed in white adipose tissue (WAT) in humans and its expression is tightly controlled during adipocyte differentiation. Slug-deficient mice exhibit a marked deficiency in WAT size, and Slug-overexpressing mice (Combi-Slug) exhibit an increase in the WAT size. Consistent with in vivo data, Slug-deficient mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) showed a dramatically reduced capacity for adipogenesis in vitro and there was extensive lipid accumulation in Combi-Slug MEFs. The analysis of adipogenic gene expression both in vivo and in vitro showed that peroxisome proliferator-activated factor γ2 (PPARγ2) expression was altered. Complementation studies rescued this phenotype, indicating that WAT alterations induced by Slug are reversible. Our results further show a differential histone deacetylase recruitment to the PPARγ2 promoter in a tissue- and Slug-dependent manner. Our results connect, for the first time, adipogenesis with the requirement of a critical level of an EMT regulator in mammals. This work may lead to the development of targeted drugs for the treatment of patients with obesity and/or lipodystrophy.

INTRODUCTION

Being overweight or obese increases the risk of many diseases and health conditions. Therefore, a better knowledge of the molecular mechanisms that control adipose tissue development and function is an important goal for understanding the causes, prevention and treatment of obesity. Previous studies have identified a number of transcription factors involved in adipocyte differentiation. These include peroxisome proliferator-activated factor γ (PPARγ) and members of the C/EBP family of transcription factors (1,2). Although many of the components of the gene regulatory network that controls the differentiation of adipocytes have been elucidated in studies of cultured 3T3-L1 pre-adipocytes and primary mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs), recent evidence has suggested that additional factors are likely to be necessary in vivo (3,4).

The zinc-finger transcription factor SLUG (SNAI2) is a member of the Snail family of zinc-finger transcription factors that share an evolutionary conserved role in mesoderm formation in invertebrates and vertebrates. SLUG is an important regulator of normal and tumour development (5,6). Slug controls key aspects of stem cell function, suggesting that similar mechanisms control normal development and cancer stem cell properties (7–10). The post-natal expression of SLUG (SNAI2) and the effects of SLUG deletion and overexpression are similar in mouse and human (8,10–15). Homozygous-null Slug mice have a white forehead blaze, patchy depigmentation of the ventral body, tail and feet and macrocytic anaemia and infertility, inferring an essential role for Slug in melanocytes, haematopoietic stem cells and germ cells (8). Heterozygous deletion of the SNAI2 gene results in human piebaldism (14), whereas a homozygous deletion has been detected in two individuals with Waardenburg disease type 2 (12). Recent studies showed that Slug is tightly controlled temporally and spatially in a number of sites including the neural crest and haematopoietic system (7,8). Regarding the major adult tissues, transcripts of the Slug gene are present in white adipose tissue (WAT) in mice (10), suggesting a potential role for Slug in adipogenesis. However, the functions of SLUG in adipocyte development in vivo and in vitro remain unknown.

In this study, we found that SLUG is expressed in human WAT tissue. Slug expression is tightly controlled during adipocyte differentiation in both 3T3-L1 and primary murine...
embryonic fibroblast (MEFs), suggesting that Slug is also required for adipogenesis. Slug-deficient mice carried much less WAT mass than wild-type mice, showing Slug also plays a role in WAT development in vivo. In agreement with these results, mice carrying a tetracycline-repressible Slug transgene (Combi-Slug) exhibit an increase in the WAT mass, and this increase in the WAT tissue was restored by suppression of the Slug transgene. Thus, it seems likely that failure to regulate Slug expression explains why Combi-Slug mice develop obesity. Consistent with in vivo data, Slug-deficient MEFs showed a dramatically reduced capacity for adipogenesis in vitro when compared with wild-type MEFs. However, there was extensive lipid accumulation in Combi-Slug MEFs. We therefore analysed the molecular mechanism by which Slug controls WAT development and found that PPARγ2 expression is altered both in vivo in WAT of Slug-deficient and Combi-Slug mice and in vitro in Slug-deficient and Combi-Slug MEFs during the course of adipocytic differentiation. Complementation studies in Slug-deficient MEFs confirmed this regulation. Histone acetylation status is related to Slug expression in adipose tissue, and chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assays show differential histone deacetylase (HDAC) recruitment to the PPARγ2 promoter in a tissue- and Slug-dependent manner. These results provide evidence that Slug is a key regulator of the adipocyte differentiation and that loss of tight control of Slug expression can induce obesity and/or lipodystrophy in mice. Because Slug is also expressed in human white fat, this work may lead to the development of targeted drugs for the treatment of these pathologies in humans.

RESULTS

SLUG is expressed in white fat in humans

SLUG (SNAI2) expression and the effects of its deletion and overexpression are similar in mouse and human (8,10–15). Our previous observations indicated that Slug was also present in mouse adipose tissue (10 and Fig. 1A–E). Thus, now we first studied whether human adipose tissue expressed SLUG. Expression of human SLUG was analysed by reverse transcriptase – polymerase chain reaction (RT–PCR). The PCR products were transferred to a nylon membrane and analysed by hybridization with a specific probe. SLUG expression was identified in human subcutaneous adipose tissues (Fig. 1B and C). These and previous observations (10) indicate that expression of SLUG is a common finding in both human and mouse WAT, suggesting a role for SLUG in WAT development.

Slug expression is tightly controlled during adipocyte differentiation

To determine the function of Slug in WAT development, we first examined expression of Slug during adipocyte differentiation. 3T3-L1 pre-adipocytes are a well-characterized in vitro model of adipocyte differentiation that can differentiate into mature adipocytes upon exposure to a mixture hormonal stimulus (2–4). Slug expression is very high before induction of differentiation and the amount of Slug mRNA and protein decreased during such hormonal stimulation (Fig. 2A and B), whereas PPARγ, a transcription factor essential for adipocyte differentiation (2), was apparent within 1 day and increased in abundance thereafter (Fig. 2B). This observation was further confirmed using primary MEFs as a model (Fig. 2C). These results indicate that Slug is tightly controlled temporally during differentiation of pre-adipocytes.

Slug-deficient mice exhibit reduced WAT mass

In order to determine the effect of Slug expression in WAT development, we analysed WAT mass in Slug-deficient mice. We observed modest but significant reduction of body weight in Slug-deficient mice (Supplementary Material, Fig. S1). Slug-deficient mice showed a large reduction in WAT weight in Slug −/− mice (Table 1 and Fig. 3), but heterozygous mice were indistinguishable from wild-type mice (data not shown). As control for decreased fat mass, we compared the muscle tissue of control and Slug −/− mice and we did not find any difference. However, Slug-deficient animals were protected against obesity induced by a high-fat diet (16) (Supplementary Material, Fig. S1). In addition, food intake was similar in wild-type (2.9 ± 0.4 g per mouse per day) and Slug-deficient mice (3.0 ± 0.4 g per mouse per day). In the animals fed the high-fat diet, fat pads in Slug-deficient mice showed no significant changes, but these pads had showed dramatic increases in the wild-type littermates, leading to an even more dramatic difference (data not shown). This overall reduction in adipose tissue in Slug-deficient mice was observed in males and females (Table 1). In contrast to WAT, other tissues including kidney (Table 1) and the interscapular brown adipose tissue (BAT) and liver (data not shown) had similar weights for wild-type and Slug-deficient mice.

To further characterize the phenotype of adipose tissue, we examined histological sections of WAT and BAT (Figs 3 and 4). We observed no difference between the wild-type and KO mice in the BAT and WAT tissues. The histological analyses of the WAT in Slug-deficient mice did not evidence any pathological change within the terminally differentiated adipocytes. On the contrary, Slug-deficient mice had a normal architecture of the tissue and we did not observe any shift in the WAT towards immature tissue in the Slug-deficient mice.

To confirm that the decrease in the WAT mass in Slug-deficient mice was caused by the absence of Slug, Slug-deficient mice were crossed with Combi-Slug mice (10), which express the transgenic Slug in WAT tissue (Fig. 1A). As expected, the WAT phenotype was rescued in the Slug-deficient mice by expressing Slug (Table 1).

We also investigated whether increased energy expenditure could account for the decrease in WAT mass in Slug-deficient mice by studying core body temperature and locomotor activity in these mice. We have measured locomotor activity and body temperature in Slug-deficient mice (Supplementary Material, Table S1), showing no significant differences.

Slug expression modulates WAT mass in mice

The above results suggest that Slug controls WAT tissue mass. Thus, we next examined the effect of upregulation of Slug expression on WAT mass in vivo. Mice carrying a
tetracycline-repressible Slug transgene (Combi-Slug mice) were initially generated to investigate the potential role of Slug overexpression in cancer (10). As anticipated from the patterns of Slug expression, Combi-Slug mice expressed high amounts of Slug in adipose tissue (10; Fig. 1A). We now analysed WAT in Slug-overexpressing mice. Transgenic mice kept off doxycycline from conception, leading to Slug expression throughout development, were found to have a modest but significant increase in body weight (Supplementary Material, Fig. S1) and to have strikingly increased WAT mass. Uniformly, male and female Slug-overexpressing mice show a significant increase in the WAT weight (Table 1 and Fig. 3), indicating that the overexpression of Slug does perturb normal WAT mass. Moreover, food intake in Combi-Slug mice (2.9 ± 0.6 g per mouse per day) was similar to wild-type mice.

We also investigated whether decreased energy expenditure could account for the increase in WAT mass in Slug-Combi mice by studying core body temperature and locomotor activity in these mice. We have measured locomotor activity and body temperature in Combi-Slug mice (Supplementary Material, Table S1), showing no significant differences.

Some Combi-Slug animals (12%) presented lipid accumulation in kidney and liver (Fig. 5A) and developed palpable masses involving the adipose tissues, which upon dissection and histological examination revealed lipoma formation (Fig. 5B). Similarly to Slug-deficient mice, the histological analyses of the white adipose depots in the Slug-overexpressing animals revealed a normal architecture of the tissue (Fig. 3). However, the volumes of adipocytes of Combi-Slug mice were larger than those of normal mice (Fig. 3C). Pathological changes were not observed in the BAT of these transgenic mice (Fig. 4). Thus, Slug-overexpressing mice exhibit increased WAT mass. This result is in agreement with the loss of the physiological modulation of Slug

**Figure 1.** SLUG expression in WAT. Expression of both human and mouse Slug was analysed by RT–PCR. 36B4 were used to check cDNA integrity and loading. (A) Slug expression in mouse WAT. Expression of Combi-Slug, endogenous Slug and adipocyte fatty acid-binding protein (aP2) was analysed by RT–PCR in WAT derived of Combi-Slug, Slug-deficient mice and control mice. The PCR products were transferred to a nylon membrane and analysed by hybridization with a specific probe. (B) SLUG expression in human tissues. Expression of endogenous SLUG was analysed by RT–PCR in a variety of human tissues like liver (1), heart (2), hWAT#1 (3), kidney (4), spleen (5) and no cDNA (6). (C) SLUG expression in human adipose tissue. Expression of endogenous SLUG and adipocyte fatty acid-binding protein (aP2) was analysed by RT–PCR in human subcutaneous adipose tissue RNA (Zen-bio, Inc.) corresponding to donors with different BMI. Values are mean ± SEM of three independent experiments. Differences between hWAT#1 and hWAT#3 were statistically significant (P < 0.05) as determined by Mann–Whitney’s test. However, each hWAT sample comes from only one individual and the apparent correlation between the BMI and SLUG expression may depend on population variation. (E) Slug expression in BAT. Expression of mouse Slug was analysed by RT–PCR in BAT derived of control mice.
expression during the course of adipocyte differentiation in Combi-Slug cells (Fig. 2C).

The above results support the hypothesis that Slug expression modulates adipose tissue mass. Therefore, abolition of Slug overexpression might be expected to either halt or reduce WAT increase. To assess this, 12 Combi-Slug mice with an increase in the body weight when compared with wild-type mice were evaluated for WAT size following administration of tetracycline (4 g/l in the drinking water for 3 weeks, a dose sufficient to suppress exogenous Slug expression, Fig. 6A). Eleven out of 12 CombitTA-Slug mice exhibited a decrease in the body weight, being the WAT weight of these mice after tetracycline treatment similar to wild-type mice (Fig. 6B). Thus, these results indicate that the WAT alterations, induced by Slug, are reversible.

**Expression of adipogenic genes in WAT of Slug-deficient and Combi-Slug mice**

The development of adipose tissue involves a differentiation switch that activates a new programme of gene expression, followed by accumulation of lipids in a hormone-sensitive manner (1,2). To further explore the molecular basis through which Slug favours and lack of Slug impairs the development of fat tissue, we examined the expression levels of the proteins responsible for WAT development (Fig. 7A and B) and that of several adipocyte markers (Fig. 7C). As shown in Figure 7, the expression of RXRα, C/EBPβ, C/EBPα, C/EBPγ and the fat cell markers seems not to be affected. However, the expression of PPARγ2 was decreased in the WAT of Slug-deficient mice and increased in the WAT of Combi-Slug mice (Fig. 7B).
Table 1. Adipose tissue mass in Slug-deficient and Slug-overexpressing mice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Kidney</th>
<th>Reproductive fatpad</th>
<th>Inguinal fatpad</th>
<th>Retroperitoneal fatpad</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Male</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild-type</td>
<td>0.147 ± 0.009</td>
<td>0.78 ± 0.13</td>
<td>0.56 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.30 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slug −/−</td>
<td>0.149 ± 0.005</td>
<td>0.11 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.11 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combi-Slug</td>
<td>0.148 ± 0.008</td>
<td>1.75 ± 0.21</td>
<td>1.39 ± 0.13</td>
<td>0.77 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slug −/− × Combi-Slug</td>
<td>0.148 ± 0.006</td>
<td>0.64 ± 0.19</td>
<td>0.47 ± 0.17</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Female</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild-type</td>
<td>0.143 ± 0.007</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.55 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.38 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slug −/−</td>
<td>0.141 ± 0.009</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.08 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combi-Slug</td>
<td>0.144 ± 0.004</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.44 ± 0.17</td>
<td>0.89 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slug −/− × Combi-Slug</td>
<td>0.143 ± 0.005</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.13</td>
<td>0.35 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3. Comparison of WAT samples in Slug-deficient, Combi-Slug and control mice. (A) A ventral view of Slug-deficient, Combi-Slug and control mice (upper row). (B) A comparison of reproductive fat pads of Slug-deficient, Combi-Slug and control embryos (Fig. 8A). At day 8 after hormonal induction, there is lipid accumulation, defined as percentage of cells that are Oil-Red-O positive, in control MEFs (15–25%). However, there was extensive accumulation in Combi-Slug MEFs (35–45%) and barely any lipid accumulation in Slug-deficient MEFs (0.1–0.5%) (Fig. 8B). In agreement with these morphological changes, the marker of adipogenesis, PPARγ2, was also significantly reduced in the hormone-induced Slug −/− MEFs (Fig. 9A and B) and increased in Combi-Slug MEFs, compared with those in Slug +/+ MEFs. This increase in PPARγ2 expression was reverted upon doxycycline treatment of Combi-Slug MEFs (Fig. 9B). Similarly, the expression of the fat cell marker, ap2, confirmed the morphological changes (Fig. 9C and D). To define whether the estimation of PPARγ2 expression is modulated by Slug, we examined whether Slug might be sufficient to drive the PPARγ2 expression in cells lacking Slug (Fig. 9D and E). These results suggest that Slug modulates adipogenesis in vitro by affecting PPARγ2 expression.

Taken together, these results suggest that Slug could modulate WAT development by affecting PPARγ2 expression.

**Impaired in vitro adipogenesis of Slug-deficient and Combi-Slug MEFs**

The adipogenesis of MEFs by hormonal induction is a well-established model system for the study of adipocyte differentiation in vitro (17,18). To further examine the contribution of Slug to adipogenesis, we isolated MEFs from days 13.5 of Slug −/−, Combi-Slug and control embryos (Fig. 8A). At day 8 after hormonal induction, there is lipid accumulation, defined as percentage of cells that are Oil-Red-O positive, in control MEFs (15–25%). However, there was extensive accumulation in Combi-Slug MEFs (35–45%) and barely any lipid accumulation in Slug-deficient MEFs (0.1–0.5%) (Fig. 8B). In agreement with these morphological changes, the marker of adipogenesis, PPARγ2, was also significantly reduced in the hormone-induced Slug −/− MEFs (Fig. 9A and B) and increased in Combi-Slug MEFs, compared with those in Slug +/+ MEFs. This increase in PPARγ2 expression was reverted upon doxycycline treatment of Combi-Slug MEFs (Fig. 9B). Similarly, the expression of the fat cell marker, ap2, confirmed the morphological changes (Fig. 9C and D). To define whether the estimation of PPARγ2 expression is modulated by Slug, we examined whether Slug might be sufficient to drive the PPARγ2 expression in cells lacking Slug (Fig. 9D and E). These results suggest that Slug modulates adipogenesis in vitro by affecting PPARγ2 expression.

**Adipogenesis defects in Slug −/− MEFs can be rescued by ectopic expression of Slug**

Our data revealed that PPARγ2 expression is modulated by Slug, suggesting an interesting link between this gene and Slug. In order to confirm this transcriptional regulation, we re-introduced wild-type Slug in Slug-deficient MEFs by retroviral transduction and evaluated the expression level of PPARγ2 by quantitative real-time PCR. Retrovirus-mediated expression of Slug in Slug-deficient MEFs re-established the aberrant expression of PPARγ2 and adipocyte differentiation capacity to wild-type levels, as shown in Figure 10A–D. The demonstration that Slug was sufficient to normalize the adipocyte differentiation block in Slug-deficient MEFs was normalized by treatment with the PPARγ agonist troglitazone. Of interest, the impaired adipocyte differentiation block in Slug-deficient MEFs was normalized by treatment with the PPARγ agonist troglitazone (Fig. 9D and E). These results suggest that Slug modulates adipogenesis in vitro by affecting PPARγ2 expression.

**Slug does not transactivate the PPARγ2 promoter**

Because the results so far suggest that Slug directly regulates PPARγ2 expression, we examined whether Slug might be directly involved in the control of PPARγ2 transcription. A 1 kb proximal promoter region of human PPARγ2 was previously shown to be sufficient to drive the PPARγ2’s expression in reporter assays (19), and it is active in U2OS cells when co-transfected with C/EBPα and C/EBPβ expression vectors.
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grammed modulation of the transcription machinery and chromatin-remodelling factors, notably histone acetylation and deacetylation. To address this issue, we measured the histone acetylation status in WAT of Combi-Slug and Slug-deficient mice. We analysed the acetylation levels at histone H3 using protein blotting. We found a high increase in histone H3 acetylation in Combi-Slug WAT and a decrease in histone H3 acetylation in Slug −/− WAT, compared with control mice (Fig. 12). Thus, these results show a corre-
lation between SLUG expression and histone acetylation status in adipose tissue.

Recent work has implicated HDAC as mediators of the gene regulation modulated by Slug (20,21). The major function of HDAC is to remove acetyl groups from histones, which results in condensation of the chromatin structure (22). This, in turn, diminishes the access of transcription factors to the target DNA and ultimately leads to transcriptional repression. To explore whether Slug is indeed recruited at the PPARγ2 gene promoter inside the cell nucleus, we performed a ChIP assay (Fig. 13A–C). Chromatin samples were prepared from WAT of control, Combi-Slug and Slug-deficient mice and then immunoprecipitated with specific antibodies against C/EBPα, Slug and HDAC1. The binding of C/EBPα was detectable, which is consistent with the current model of PPARγ2 control by C/EBPs (Fig. 13B). This ChIP analysis revealed that both Slug and HDAC1 are recruited at the PPARγ2 promoter in control adipose tissue (Fig. 13B). Importantly, HDAC1 is not recruited at the PPARγ2 promoter in WAT cells from Combi-Slug mice, in agreement with the abundance of acetylated histones at WAT of Combi-Slug mice (Fig. 12). In contrast, HDAC1 is recruited in the PPARγ2 promoter in Slug-deficient WAT (Fig. 13B). Thus, these data show a differential HDAC recruitment to the PPARγ2 promoter in a tissue- and Slug-dependent manner. These findings predict that increased Slug expression may also lead to increased acetylation at the PPARγ2 promoter and vice versa. To test this, we determined histone H3 acetylation at the PPARγ2 promoter at WAT of control, Combi-Slug and Slug-deficient mice (Fig. 13D). The ChIP analysis showed a differential H3 acetylation at the PPARγ2 promoter in a Slug-dependent manner, suggesting a change in the PPARγ2 chromatin towards a more active and ‘open’ state in the Combi-Slug WAT and towards an inactive state in the Slug-deficient WAT. If this were the case, then C/EBPs might be expected to be less able to transactivate the PPARγ2 reporter in the absence of Slug. To directly assess this, an expression vector containing either a C/EBPα or a C/EBPβ cDNA was co-transfected into Slug-deficient cells along with the reporter vector containing the PPARγ2 promoter (pGL3-hPPARγ2p1000 vector). Co-expression of C/EBPα or C/EBPβ increased luciferase activity when compared with the activity with the empty vector (Fig. 11).

Histone modifications in WAT of Combi-slug and Slug-deficient mice

The above results suggest that Slug does not have a direct role in inducing expression of PPARγ2 through association with regulatory elements in the PPARγ2 gene promoter, although only the 1 kb promoter region was tested. However, programmed regulation of gene expression is the result of coordi-

discussion

In mammals, cell specification is a process in which cells first become committed to a developmental fate, after which they...
differentiate and acquire the properties of a specific cell type. Adipocyte development is controlled by a genetic programme that leads fibroblasts to become pre-adipocytes. When further induced, pre-adipocytes differentiate and express genes that allow them to store lipid and become mature adipocytes. Although many of the components of the gene regulatory network that controls differentiation of adipocytes have been elucidated in studies of cultures 3T3-L1, little is known about the developmental signals that control the development of adipocytes in vivo. The present study establishes, for the first time, the important role played by SLUG in adipogenesis in vivo and in vitro.

Slug expression is tightly controlled during adipocyte differentiation. Slug is expressed in vivo, but is only expressed transiently in culture cells, suggesting that it may play a role in initiating and/or maintaining adipogenesis in vivo. Expression of Slug was observed before the induction of differentiation in 3T3-L1 cells (which are lineage-determined pre-adipocytes) and MEFs (which are uncommitted progenitor cells) and to be downregulated within the first 6 h after applying the hormonal stimuli in both cell types. A similar expression pattern is observed in the haematopoietic system in which uncommitted...
progenitor cells differentiate to mature cells at which time, the expression of Slug is downregulated (7,8). These findings indicate that Slug downregulation is required to initiate adipogenesis, suggesting that it could play a role in the development or maintenance of these cells from precursor cells. The reduced WAT mass observed in Slug-deficient mice and the reduced adipocyte differentiation seen in Slug-deficient MEFs are also consistent with a role for Slug in early adipocyte differentiation, although the present experiments cannot distinguish function of SLUG in pre-adipocytes from an effect on lineage commitment. The dissection of the mechanisms controlling its expression could in turn lead to the identification of signals that control adipogenesis in vivo. Of note, Kit is one of the markers for presumptive mesenchymal stem cells as well as being an activator of Slug expression (8). Moreover, several Slug targets have been implicating in regulating stem cell function (21). The regulation of these genes by Slug could be important in maintaining uncommitted progenitor cells.

It appears that Slug must be kept above a certain threshold level to achieve normal WAT development both in vivo and in vitro. Consistent with this interpretation, mice carrying a tetracycline-repressible Slug transgene (Combi-Slug) exhibit an increase in the WAT mass that was specifically re-established by suppression of the Slug transgene. Consistent with in vivo data, Combi-Slug MEFs increased adipocyte differentiation, suggesting that this factor positively regulates adipocyte differentiation. Thus, it seems likely that failure to regulate Slug expression explains why Combi-Slug mice develop obesity.

The data presented here indicate that SLUG is a novel mediator of adipose tissue development in mammals. We therefore analysed the molecular mechanism by which Slug controls WAT development. It is well defined that C/EBPβ...
can promote the fat differentiation of culture cells. After exposure to a hormonal cocktail, CEBPβ is actively expressed and then begins to diminish around day 2 of hormonal induction, at which point the expression of C/EBPα and PPARγ increases (23). C/EBPα and PPARγ induce programmes of gene expression, leading to the differentiation of mature adipocytes (2,17,24). It has been documented that selective disruption of PPARγ2 impairs the development of adipose tissue and is absolutely required for differentiation (16), whereas C/EBPα is not strictly required for adipogenesis (25). In vivo and in vitro Combi-Slug and Slug-deficient tissues and MEFs exhibit normal expression of C/EBPα and C/EBPβ, whereas PPARγ2 expression is altered in vitro in Slug-deficient MEFs and Combi-Slug MEFs during the course of adipocytic differentiation. Complementation studies in Slug-deficient MEFs confirmed...
Figure 13. Recruitment analysis of HDAC, SLUG and c/EBPα to mouse PPARγ2 gene promoter. (A) Schematic depiction of the mouse PPARγ2 promoter sequence from −1205 to −46 (GenBank: AY243584), with arrows indicating the forward and reverse primers used to amplify ChIP products. Pairs of primers 1 and 2 are around two Slug DNA-binding sites, and pairs of primer 3 are not around Slug DNA-binding sites. (B) WAT and Liver ChIP from different mice (wt, Combi-SLUG and SLUG−/−) using polyclonal anti-HDAC1 (H-51), anti-SLUG (H-140) or anti-c/EBPα (14AA) from Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc. Data show a differential HDAC recruitment to the PPARγ2 promoter in a tissue- and genetic background-dependent manner. The presence of the promoter DNA before immunoprecipitation was confirmed by PCR (Input). C/EBPα was used as a positive response element from PPARγ2 gene promoter. PCR products were resolved in 2% agarose gels containing ethidium bromide. (C) WAT ChIP from different mice (wt, Combi-SLUG and SLUG−/−) using polyclonal anti-HDAC1 (H-51), or anti-SLUG (H-140) from Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc. Data show no Slug recruitment to the PPARγ2 promoter using pairs of primers that are not around Slug DNA-binding sites. The presence of the promoter DNA before immunoprecipitation was confirmed by PCR (Input). PCR products were resolved in 2% agarose gels containing ethidium bromide. (D) WAT ChIP from different mice (wt, Combi-SLUG and SLUG−/−) using anti-acetyl histone H3 (Upstate Biotechnology) or anti-SLUG (H-140) from Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc. Data show a correlation between Slug expression and H3 acetylation at the PPARγ2 promoter. The presence of the promoter DNA before immunoprecipitation was confirmed by PCR (Input). PCR products were resolved in 2% agarose gels containing ethidium bromide. (E) C/EBPα and C/EBPβ ability to transactivate the PPARγ2 promoter in Slug-deficient cells. To directly assess the ability of C/EBPα and C/EBPβ to activate transcription from DNA sequences present in the PPARγ2 promoter in Slug-deficient cells, C/EBPα and C/EBPβ expression vectors were co-transfected into Slug−/− MEF along with the reporter vector containing the PPARγ2 promoter (pGL3-hPPARγ2p1000 vector) in the presence (+) and in the absence (−) of Slug. Luciferase reporter assays demonstrate an efficient responsiveness of the human PPARγ2 reporter to C/EBPα and C/EBPβ in the presence of Slug. These data are representative of three independent experiments.
this regulation, although Slug was not able to activate transcription from a reporter vector containing the PPARγ2 promoter. However, when we measured the histone acetylation status in WAT of Combi-Slug and Slug-deficient mice, we identified a correlation between Slug gene expression and histone acetylation status in adipose tissue. This observation, close to recent work implicating HDAC as mediators of the gene regulation modulated by Slug (20,21), prompted us to explore whether Slug is indeed recruited at the PPARγ2 gene promoter. Our ChIP experiments showed that Slug and HDAC1 were bound to the endogenous PPARγ2 promoter in intact chromatin in WAT and identified a differential HDAC recruitment to the PPARγ2 promoter in a tissue- and Slug-dependent manner. In agreement with these observations, the ChIP analysis confirmed a differential H3 acetylation at the PPARγ2 promoter in a Slug-dependent manner. Thus, the most straightforward model for theSlug requirement for PPARγ2 gene expression would be that lack ofSlug binding to the PPARγ2 gene results in the formation of a silencing complex that represses the expression of the gene by histone deacetylation. In contrast, HDAC1 is not recruited at the PPARγ2 promoter in WAT cells from Combi-Slug mice, in agreement with the abundance of acetylated H3 histones at WAT of Combi-Slug mice (Figs 12 and 13D). This, in turn, will increase the access of transcription factors to the target DNA and ultimately leads to PPARγ2 transcriptional activation (Fig. 13E). This could be a potentially relevant clinical issue, as HDAC inhibitors are drugs that have activity at doses that are well tolerated by patients in clinical trials (26). In agreement with this model, it has been shown that downregulation of HDACs stimulates adipocyte differentiation (27).

The expression of SLUG in human WAT tissue is of relevance in human obesity, particularly when the obesity observed in Combi-Slug mice is associated with adipose cell hypertrophy; the WAT size in Combi-Slug mice can be reverted by suppressing Slug expression and the WAT size is reduced in Slug-deficient mice. SLUG is overexpressed in other human diseases such as cancer (10,28,29). Although white fat is a non-malignant tissue, it has the capability to quickly proliferate and expand (30,31). Thus, Slug expression might therefore define a common pathway for cancer and obesity. However, the role conferred by Slug is reversible in obesity.

Slug has been shown to play roles similar to Snail in several systems, and, thus, other members of the Snail family of transcription factors could also be involved in biological functions similar to those described herein to Slug (32). However, it is not clear whether this functional equivalence also occurs during adipogenesis. Among previously identified Slug-regulated species, the related transcription factor Snail was reported as Slug induced in Xenopus (33). However, Snail does not influence the expression of Snail in MDCK cells (34) and in MEFs (21). Similarly, we did not detect a change in Snail expression associated with overexpression or deficiency of Slug in mice.

In summary, we report the identification of Slug as playing an essential role in adipose tissue development and differentiation. An analysis of its regulation in vivo could lead to a full understanding of regulation of adipogenesis. Our results connect adipogenesis with the requirement of a critical level of an epithelial–mesenchymal transition regulator in mammals. Because Slug modulates adipose tissue mass in mice and is also expressed in human white fat, these results will help to develop a strategy that would form the basis for improved antiobesity and antilipodystrophy therapies.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Mice**

Animals were housed under non-sterile conditions in a conventional animal facility. Slug-deficient and Combi-Slug mice have been described previously (35). Combi-Slug mice are analysed on a wild-type background unless otherwise specified. Combi-Slug × Slug −/− mice were bred to Combi-Slug transgenic mice to generate compound heterozygotes; F1 animals were crossed to obtain null Slug −/− mice heterozygous for Combi-Slug transgenic mice as described (10). The animals were maintained regular chow diet, unless otherwise specified. All experiments were performed according to the relevant regulatory standards.

**Histological analysis**

All tissue samples were closely examined under the dissecting microscope and processed into paraffin, sectioned and examined histologically. All tissue samples were taken from homogeneous and viable portions of the resected sample by the pathologist and fixed within 2–5 min of excision. Haematoxylin- and cosin-stained sections of each tissue were reviewed by a single pathologist (T.F.). For comparative studies, age-matched mice were used.

**Preparation of primary MEFs**

Heterozygous Slug +/− mice were crossed to obtain wild-type and null Slug −/− embryos. Primary embryonic fibroblasts were harvested from 13.5 d.p.c. embryos. Head and organs of day 13.5 embryos were dissected; foetal tissue was rinsed in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), minced and rinsed twice in PBS. Foetal tissue was treated with trypsin/EDTA and incubated for 30 min at 37°C and subsequently dissociated in medium. After removal of large tissue clamps, the remaining cells were plated out in a 175 cm² flask. After 48 h, confluent cultures were frozen down. These cells were considered as being passage 1 MEFs. For continuous culturing, MEF cultures were split 1:3. MEFs and the φNX ecotropic packaging cell line were grown at 37°C in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM; Boehringer Ingelheim) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated foetal bovine serum (FBS) (Boehringer Ingelheim). All the cells were negative for mycoplasma (Mycoplasma Detection Kit, Cambrex).

**Adipocyte differentiation**

3T3-L1 pre-adipocytes were cultured as described previously (24). Wild-type, Combi-slug and slug −/− MEFs were cultured
at 37°C in standard D-MEM:F12 medium (Gibco) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated FBS (HyClone), 100 U/ml penicillin (Biowhittaker) and 100 μg/ml streptomycin (Biowhittaker). About 10⁶ cells of each genotype were plated to 10 cm plastic dishes and propagated to confluence. Two days after confluence, the adipocyte differentiation programme was induced by feeding the cells with standard medium supplemented with 0.5 mM 3-isobutyl-1-Methylxantine (Sigma), 1 μM dexamethasone (Sigma) and 5 μg/ml insulin (Sigma) for 2 days, and then, with standard medium supplemented with 5 μg/ml insulin for 6 days. This medium was renewed every 2 days. Troglitazone (Calbiochem), or vehicle, was used at 10 μM during the 8 days of differentiation when required. After 8 days, the appearance of cytoplasmic lipid accumulation was observed by Oil-Red-O staining. Lipid accumulation was defined as percentage of cells that were Oil-Red-O positive by counting approximately 700 cells in at least three independent replicates for each experiment. Briefly, cells were washed with PBS, and then fixed with 3.7% formaldehyde for 2 min. After a wash with water, cells were stained with 60% filtered Oil-Red-O stock solution [0.5 g of Oil-Red-O (Sigma) in 100 ml of isopropanol] for 1 h at room temperature. Finally, cells were washed twice in water and photographed. To prepare RNA for northern blotting and proteins for western blotting, cells were harvested at days 0, 2, 4 and 8 of differentiation.

RNA extraction

Total RNA was isolated in two steps using TRIzol (Life Technologies, Inc., Grand Island, NY, USA), followed by Rneasy Mini-Kit (Qiagen Inc., Valencia, CA, USA) purification following manufacturers’ RNA Clean-up protocol with the optional On-column DNase treatment. The integrity and the quality of RNA were verified by electrophoresis and its concentration measured.

Reverse transcription–PCR

Human WAT samples were obtained from Zen-bio [hWAT#1 is Cat. number RNA-T10-1 with a body mass index (BMI) of 21.23; hWAT#2 is Cat. number RNA-T10-2 with a BMI of 27.27 and hWAT#3 is Cat. number RNA-T10-3 with a BMI of 32.55]. To analyse expression of CombiTA-Slug and endogenous Slug in mouse cell lines and mice, RT was performed according to manufacturer’s protocol in a 20 μl reaction containing 50 ng of random hexamers, 3 μg of total RNA and 200 U of Superscript II RNase H+ RT (Gibco/BRL). The sequences of the specific primers were as follows: Combi-polyA-B1: 5'-TTAGTGGCATCATTGTTGTTG-3'; mSlugF: 5'-GTTTCCAGTGAACCTGCAA-3' and mSlugB: 5'-TTA-TACATACTATTTGTGTG-3'. To analyse expression of human SLUG, the thermocycling parameters for the PCR reactions and the sequences of the specific primers were as follows: 30 cycles at 94°C for 1 min, 56°C for 1 min and 72°C for 2 min; sense primer 5'-GCCTCCAAAAAGCAAAACTA-3' and antisense primer 5'-CAGGTGATGGGCCGCTATG-3'. The PCR products were confirmed by hybridization with specific probes. Amplification of α2-36B4 served as a control to assess the adipose tissue and the quality of each RNA sample, respectively.

Real-time PCR quantification

Real-time quantitative PCR was developed and carried out in human WAT samples obtained from Zen-bio (hWAT#1, hWAT#2 and hWAT#3) for the detection and quantitation of the SLUG expression. The PCRs were set up in a reaction volume of 50 μl using the TaqMan PCR Core Reagent kit (PE Biosystems). PCR primers were synthesized by Isogen. Each reaction contained 5 μl of 10× buffer; 300 nM each amplification primer; 200 μM each dNTP and 1.25 U AmpliTaq Gold, 2 mM MgCl₂ and 10 ng cDNA. cDNA amplifications were carried out in a 96-well reaction plate in a PE Applied Biosystems 5700 Sequence Detector. Thermal cycling was initiated with a first denaturation step of 10 min at 95°C. The subsequent thermal profile was 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 s, 55°C for 30 s and 72°C for 1 min. Multiple negative water blanks were tested and a calibration curve determined in parallel with each analysis. Although equal amounts of cDNA were used, a β-actin endogenous control was included to relate SLUG expression to total cDNA in each sample.

Similarly, a real-time quantitative PCR was developed and carried out in control, Slug−/− and Combi-Slug WAT samples for the detection and quantitation of the PPARγ² expression. Thermocycling was carried out for 40 cycles in triplicate. Each cycle consisted of 94°C for 15 s, 56°C for 30 s and 72°C for 30 s. PPARγ² primers were HMPPARg2-F: 5'-atggtgaaacttgggag-3' and HMPPARg2-B: 5'-cctgcattcttcaaga-3'.

Northern blot analysis

Total cytoplasmic RNA (10 μg) of 3T3-L1 cells harvested at days 0, 2, 4 and 8 of differentiation was glyoxylated and fractionated in 1.4% agarose gels in 10 mM Na₂HPO₄ buffer (pH 7.0). After electrophoresis, the gel was blotted onto Hybond-N (Amersham), UV-crosslinked and hybridized to ³²P-labelled mouse Slug and ap2 probes, respectively. Loading was monitored by reprobing the filter with a mouse 36B4 probe.

Retroviral infection

Slug-deficient MEFs were infected with high-titres retrovirus stocks produced by transient transfection of dBX cells. The efficiency of infection was always >80% (data not shown). The day before the infection, cells were plated at 2×10⁶ cells per 10 cm dish. Infected MEFs were selected for 3 days with 2.5 μg/ml of puromycin (Sigma) and replated for the corresponding assay. The mouse Slug cDNA was subcloned in the pQCXIP retrovirus (obtained from T. Jacks, Massachusetts Institute of Technology), as described previously (21).
Western blot analysis

Western blot analysis of different cells and tissues was carried out essentially as described (36). Extracts were normalized for protein content by Bradford analysis (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc., Melville, NY, USA) and Coomassie blue gel staining. Lysates were run on a 10% SDS–PAGE gel and transferred to a polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membrane. After blocking, the membrane was probed with the following primary antibodies: Slug (G-18, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), PPAR-gamma (H-100 and E-8, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), RXRalph (D-20, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), C/EBPbeta (C-19, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), C/EBPdelta (M-17, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), C/EPAlph (14AA, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), FABP4 (aP2) (no. 10004944, Cayman Chemical), Glut4 (Cell Signalling, no. 2229), adipin (USBiological, A0890-05), adiponectin (Chemicon International, no. MAB3608) and actin (I-19, Santa Cruz Biotechnology). Reacti bands were detected with an enhanced chemiluminescence system (ECL) system (Amersham).

Luciferase assays

The reporter containing the proximal part of the hPPARγ2 promoter cloned in front of the luciferase gene (pGL3-hPPAR2p1000 vector) was kindly provided by Johan Auwerx (19). The ratC/EBPα (H-51), SLUG (H-140) and c/EBPα (14AA) from Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc. (Santa Cruz, CA, USA) or antiacetylated histone H3 from Upstate Biotechnology at 4°C overnight. The complexes were immunoprecipitated with protein G–Sepharose beads 2 h at 4°C. The beads were washed once with IP dilution buffer, twice with wash buffer (20 mM Tris–HCl (pH 8.0), 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1% SDS and a protease inhibitor cocktail), once with final wash buffer (20 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8.0, 500 mM NaCl, 2 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1% SDS and a protease inhibitor cocktail) and twice with TE buffer. Immune complexes were eluted from the beads in the elution buffer (1% SDS; 100 mM NaHCO3) for 15 min. The proteins were removed from DNA by digesting with proteinase K and RNase A (500 mg/ml each) at 37°C for 1 h. The sample DNAs were then extracted with phenol–chloroform–isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1), precipitated with cold-ethanol and resuspended in TE buffer. Similarly, purified DNA fragments from the chromatin extracts (input) were used as a control for PCR reactions. Precipitated DNAs were analysed by PCR of 30 cycles using primers: m-PPARγ2-ChIP-1F 5’-tgtacagt-cagccctctc-3’; m-PPARγ2-ChIP-1R 5’-ttggaggattggaata-3’; m-PPARγ2-ChIP-2F 5’-cagggaattttgcatactg-3’ and m-PPARγ2-ChIP-2R 5’-ggcaaagttggtctag-3’; m-PPARγ2-ChIP-3F 5’-ctttgtaaatataacct-3’; m-PPARγ2-ChIP-3R 5’-cagggttttaaataagaa-3’; covering 205, 212 and 219 bp, respectively, from PPARγ2 promoter. PCR products were separated on a 2% agarose gel and stained with ethidium bromide.

Histone acetylation status

Surgical tissues removed from control, Combi-Slug and Slug-deficient mice were washed twice in ice-cold PBS supplemented with 5 mM sodium butyrate to retain levels of histone acetylation and homogenized in cold-TEB [PBS containing 0.5% Triton X-100 (v/v), 2 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride and 0.02% (v/v) NaN3], placed on ice for 10 min with gentle stirring, centrifuged and washed in cold TEB. Pellet was resuspended in 0.2N HCl and histones were extracted overnight at 4°C. Supernatant recovered acidic extraction was subjected to SDS–PAGE, transferred onto a PVDF 22 μm pore size (Immobilon PSQ; Millipore) and immunoblotted with anti-acetylated histone H3 (Upstate Biotechnology, Lake Placid, NY, USA). Core histones loading control was performed with a classical Coomassie staining of acidic proteins extract. The signal was detected with ECL (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, UK limited), according to the protocols recommended by the manufacturer.

ChIP assay

Mouse tissues (WAT and liver) were removed surgically from different mice (wt, Combi-SLUG and SLUG→−→), homogenized and disaggregated in 2 mg/ml of Collagenase (Sigma, Type I) ON at 37°C. Cells were fixed in vivo at room temperature for 15 min by the addition of crosslinking mix (1% formaldehyde; 100 mM NaCl; 0.5 mM EDTA; 50 mM HEPES, pH 8.0) at a final concentration of 1% directly onto the tissue disaggregating media. Fixation was quenched by addition of glycine with a 0.125 M final concentration and the incubation was continued for a further 5 min. The cells were washed twice using ice-cold PBS and collected. The cells pellets were washed and dissolved with cell lysis buffer [50 mM Tris–HCl (pH 8.0), 10 mM EDTA, pH 8.0; 1% SDS and a protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche)] and remained on ice for 10 min. The cell lysates were sonicated to shear chromosomal DNA with an average length of 500–1000 bp. After centrifugation to remove insoluble materials, the chromatin solution was diluted in a mixture of nine parts dilution buffer [1% Triton X-100; 150 mM NaCl; 2 mM EDTA, pH 8.0; 20 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8.0 and a protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma)] 1 part lysis buffer, and the diluted solution was pre-cleared with protein G–Sepharose beads on a rotating wheel at 4°C for 1 h. Beads were removed by centrifugation and the supernatants were incubated with 2 mg of antibodies to HDAC (H-51), SLUG (H-140) and C/EBPα (14AA) from Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc. (Santa Cruz, CA, USA) or antiacetylated histone H3 from Upstate Biotechnology at 4°C overnight. The complexes were immunoprecipitated with protein G–Sepharose beads 2 h at 4°C. The beads were washed once with IP dilution buffer, twice with wash buffer (20 mM Tris–HCl (pH 8.0), 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1% SDS and a protease inhibitor cocktail), once with final wash buffer (20 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8.0, 500 mM NaCl, 2 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1% SDS and a protease inhibitor cocktail) and twice with TE buffer. Immune complexes were eluted from the beads in the elution buffer (1% SDS; 100 mM NaHCO3) for 15 min. The proteins were removed from DNA by digesting with proteinase K and RNase A (500 μg/ml each) at 37°C for 1 h. The ssDNA was then transferred onto a PVDF membrane (Immobilon PSQ; Millipore) and immunoblotted with anti-acetylated histone H3 (Upstate Biotechnology, Lake Placid, NY, USA). Core histones loading control was performed with a classical Coomassie staining of acidic proteins extract. The signal was detected with ECL (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, UK limited), according to the protocols recommended by the manufacturer.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Supplementary Material is available at HMG Online.
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