The common obesity variant near \textit{MC4R} gene is associated with higher intakes of total energy and dietary fat, weight change and diabetes risk in women
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INTRODUCTION

Melanocortin-4 receptor (\textit{MC4R}) plays critical roles in regulating food intake and energy balance. Recent genome wide scans found common variants near \textit{MC4R} were related to obesity and insulin resistance. We examined the associations of the reported variants rs17782313 (T>C) and rs17700633 (G>A) with dietary intakes, weight change and diabetes risk in 5724 women (1533 with type 2 diabetes) from a prospective cohort. Under an additive inheritance model, SNP rs17782313 was significantly associated with high intakes of total energy ($P = 0.028$), total fat ($P = 0.008$) and protein ($P = 0.003$). Adjustment for age, BMI, diabetes status and other covariates did not appreciably change the associations. The SNP was also associated with significantly increasing trend of percentage of energy from total fat ($P$ for trend $= 0.037$). The associations between SNP rs17782313 and higher BMI ($P = 0.002$) were independent of dietary intakes. In addition, carriers of allele-C had 0.2 kg/m\textsuperscript{2} greater 10-year increase in BMI from cohort baseline 1976 to 1986 ($P = 0.028$) compared with the non-carriers. Moreover, per allele-C of rs17782313 was associated with 14% (2–32%) increased risk of type 2 diabetes, adjusting for BMI and other covariates. SNP rs1770833 was not significantly associated with either dietary intakes or obesity traits. In conclusion, the common SNP rs17782313 near \textit{MC4R} gene was significantly associated with higher intakes of total energy and dietary fat. In addition, the SNP was related to greater long-term weight change and increased risk of diabetes in women.

RESULTS

The minor allele frequencies of the two \textit{MC4R} SNPs rs17782313 and rs17700633 were 25 and 30%, respectively.
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Table 1. Clinic characteristics of the participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>n of participants</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Physical activity, MET hours/week</th>
<th>Alcohol consumption (g/day)</th>
<th>Current smoker (%)</th>
<th>Postmenopausal status (%)</th>
<th>Total energy (Kcal/day)</th>
<th>Total fat (g/day)</th>
<th>Saturated fat (g/day)</th>
<th>Polyunsaturated fat (g/day)</th>
<th>Carbohydrate (g/day)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5724</td>
<td>54.1 ± 6.7</td>
<td>15.7 ± 21.8</td>
<td>5.9 ± 10.4</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>85.9</td>
<td>1787 ± 524</td>
<td>65.5 ± 23.5</td>
<td>23.2 ± 9.0</td>
<td>12.4 ± 5.1</td>
<td>215 ± 73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data were derived from questionnaires of 1986.

in NHS cohort, comparable to the reported distribution in European Caucasians (6). The correlation ($r^2$) between the two SNPs was 0.14 and D’ was 0.44. Table 1 presents the characteristics of the participants ($N = 5724$) at 1986, when both BMI and waist circumference were measured. The mean age of the study sample was 54.1 years.

We examined the relation between the two SNPs and the intakes of total energy and energy-dense macronutrients including dietary fat, carbohydrate and protein. The dietary data were derived from the 1986 questionnaire. Significant associations were observed between rs17782313 and total energy intake under an additive model ($P$ for trend = 0.028) (Table 2). Among the energy-dense nutrients tested, rs17782313 was significantly associated with higher intakes of total fat ($P$ for trend = 0.008) and protein ($P$ for trend = 0.003), but was not related to carbohydrate intake. Women with CC genotype of rs17782313 had significantly higher intakes of total energy (84 kcal/day), total fat (4.6 g/day) and protein (4.4 g/day) compared with those carrying TT genotype ($P$-values for comparisons between the two genotypes were 0.009, 0.001 and 0.007, respectively). Among dietary fats, the SNP was associated with high intake of saturated fat ($P$ for trend = 0.007). Adjustment for age, BMI, diabetes status, smoking, alcohol consumption, physical activity and postmenopausal status did not appreciably change the associations. SNP rs17700633 was not significantly associated with the change in BMI and body weight, adjusting for baseline age and BMI (Table 4). The increase in BMI was greater in risk-allele carriers compared to the non-risk-allele homozygotes. The SNP was not associated with the change in adiposity at the later stage of follow-up through 2004.

We assessed the modification effects of dietary intakes (total energy and macronutrients) and body size (height) on the associations of $MC4R$ variant. A significant interaction ($P = 0.03$) was observed between rs17782313 and height in relation to BMI (Fig. 2). The differences in BMI between the carriers of allele-C and the non-carriers were 0.9 ($P < 0.001$), 0.6 ($P = 0.06$) and 0.2 kg/m² ($P = 0.66$, respectively), in women with the height of greater than 165 cm, 160–164.9 cm, and less than 160 cm. No significant interactions were found between dietary intakes and the genotype in determining BMI.

We found that rs17782313 genotypes TC and CC were significantly associated with increased risk of type 2 diabetes (OR = 1.24, 95% CI 1.10–1.40; and 1.32, 95% CI 1.03–1.70, respectively) compared with TT genotype (Fig. 3). Adjustment for BMI, waist circumference and other covariates slightly attenuated the associations (OR = 1.15, 95% CI 1.00–1.32; and 1.20, 95% CI 0.89–1.61, respectively). Under an additive model, per allele-C was significantly associated with 14% (2–27%; $P = 0.02$) increased diabetes risk, after adjustment for the covariates. We performed a meta-analysis combining our data with data from DIAGRAM consortium including Diabetes Genetics Initiative (DGI), Wellcome Trust Case Control Consortium (WTCCC) and Finland-United States Investigation of NIDDM Genetics (FUSION) studies (9). In total, 6082 diabetes cases and 9770 controls were included. The summary OR (additive model) was 1.07 (95% CI 1.01–1.14; fixed effect; $P$ for heterogeneity test = 0.07).

DISCUSSION

The melanocortin-4 receptor ($MC4R$) is expressed in brain and is part of the melanocortin pathway controlling food intake and energy homeostasis (3). $MC4R^{−/−}$ mice display...
For the first time, we found that the common obesity associated MC4R variant rs17782313 was associated with high intake of total energy, and elevated intakes of dietary fat and protein. These findings are in line with the biological function of MC4R in regulating food intake (3) and the previous observations that rare mutations in MC4R gene were related to binge-eating disorder, hyperphagia and energy intakes in humans (2,4,11). SNP rs17700633, which showed a low correlation with rs17782313 \( (r^2 = 0.14) \), was not related to dietary intakes. It is likely that the observed genetic effect may be driven by rs17782313 or a causal variant in LD with it.

We observed that the MC4R variant was associated with higher intake of energy and also higher percentage of energy from diet fat. These findings are in concord with the experimental data that MC4-R play a role in controlling fat preference (12). MC4R knockout mice were not hyperphagic when they were fed low-fat diets but became hyperphagia after the introduction of high-fat diets. In addition, it was observed that the treatment by selective MC4-R agonist specifically reduced the intake of a high-fat diet (97% energy was from fat), but did not affect the intakes of a high-protein diet (93% energy was from protein) or a high-carbohydrate diet (100% energy was from carbohydrates). This effect was absent in MC4R2/2 mice (12). Likewise, agouti-related protein, an inverse agonist of MC4-R, was found to specifically enhance the intake of high fat diets in rats (13).

A wealth of evidence has shown that dietary intake is affected by genetic components (14,15). Similarly, genetic variants may also determine other behaviors such as physical activity, alcohol consumption and smoking (16–18). All these behavioral or environmental factors, which are closely related to human health, are modifiable. Therefore, research on identifying genetic determinants for the behavioral factors would have important implications for improving interventions to reduce unhealthy behaviors especially in high-risk populations with a specific genetic background.

We further confirmed significant associations between SNP rs17782313 and higher BMI and waist circumference and greater obesity risk. The effect size was comparable to that

### Table 2. Association of MC4R variants with intakes of total energy, fat, carbohydrates and protein

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dietary intakes</th>
<th>rs17782313</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TT (N = 2790)</td>
<td>TC (N = 1849)</td>
<td>CC (N = 284)</td>
<td>Beta</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>P-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total energy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absolute intake (kcal/day)</td>
<td>1777 (1758–1796)</td>
<td>1787 (1763–1811)</td>
<td>1861 (1800–1922)</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total fat</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absolute intake (g/day)</td>
<td>64.8 (63.9–65.7)</td>
<td>65.6 (64.5–66.7)</td>
<td>69.4 (66.7–72.1)</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of energy (%)</td>
<td>32.5 (32.3–32.7)</td>
<td>32.9 (32.6–33.2)</td>
<td>33.2 (32.6–33.8)</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absolute intake (g/day)</td>
<td>215 (212.3–217.7)</td>
<td>215 (211.7–218.3)</td>
<td>222 (213.6–230.4)</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of energy (%)</td>
<td>48.3 (48.0–48.6)</td>
<td>48.0 (47.7–48.3)</td>
<td>47.9 (47.1–48.9)</td>
<td>–0.29</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absolute intake (g/day)</td>
<td>82.8 (81.8–83.8)</td>
<td>84.3 (83.1–85.5)</td>
<td>87.2 (84.2–90.2)</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of energy (%)</td>
<td>18.9 (18.8–19.0)</td>
<td>19.1 (18.9–19.3)</td>
<td>18.9 (18.5–19.3)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Analyses were adjusted for age, BMI and diabetes status; Beta, beta coefficient; SE, standard error.

### Table 3. Association of MC4R variant rs17782313 with height, BMI and waist circumference

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>rs17782313</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TT (N = 5314)</td>
<td>TC (N = 5138)</td>
<td>CC (N = 5387)</td>
<td>Model 1</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>P-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, age 18 (kg/m²)</td>
<td>21.5 (21.4–21.6)</td>
<td>21.8 (21.7–21.9)</td>
<td>21.9 (21.5–22.3)</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m², N = 5138)</td>
<td>26.2 (26.0–26.4)</td>
<td>26.7 (26.5–26.9)</td>
<td>26.6 (26.0–27.2)</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist (cm, N = 3837)</td>
<td>81.3 (80.8–81.8)</td>
<td>82.5 (81.9–83.1)</td>
<td>81.6 (80.1–83.1)</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm, N = 5588)</td>
<td>163.9 (163.6–164.2)</td>
<td>163.7 (163.4–164.0)</td>
<td>163.8 (163.0–164.6)</td>
<td>–0.11</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Model 1: Analyses were adjusted for age and diabetes status; Model 2: analyses were further adjusted for total energy (in quartiles), dietary fat (in quartiles), smoking status, alcohol consumption, physical activity and postmenopausal status.

Figure 1. The geometric means (± standard errors) of BMI (at 1986) by the genotypes of SNP rs17782313 in different age groups among women, <45, 45–49.5, 50–54.9, 55–59.5, 60–64.9, 65–69.9 and ≥70 years old. The analyses were adjusted for diabetes status. *P < 0.05.
from a previous study (6). SNP rs17700633 was not significantly associated with adiposity measures in NHS. The reason for the discrepancy between our study and the previous study is not clear but may be partly due to the difference in the population characteristics, including age, gender composition and environmental exposures.

Moreover, we found that SNP rs17782313 was significantly associated with long-term change in BMI at middle age. Our data indicate that the genetic effect increases with age at least before early middle age (<55 years). In the study of Loos et al. (6), this SNP was associated with a greater difference of BMI in children than in adults, suggesting a decreasing trend of the genetic effect with age. Of note, the genetic associations observed among adults in Loos’ study varied significantly across different populations. We suspect the discrepancy between our observation and Loos’ data may be partly due to population variation in the genetic effect. The lack of association between MC4R SNP and BMI change in the later stage of follow-up may be partly explained by cessation of weight gain in older participants. In addition, environmental influences and co-morbidities accumulate with age and likely exert stronger influences on later BMI.

The relation between MC4R SNP and dietary intakes did not account for the associations with adiposity. It has been documented that MC4Rs on different neurons may influence both food intakes and energy expenditure through distinct pathways (19). We suspect that MC4R genetic variants may affect not only dietary intakes but also energy expenditure. In addition, underreporting of dietary intakes that are commonly observed in obese subjects (20) may affect our ability to accurately adjust for these variables in the model. We found that body size (height) significantly modified the relation between rs17782313 and BMI. The association was stronger in women with greater height (≥165 cm) than those who were shorter. The mechanisms underlying these observations need to be further elucidated.

We found a significant association between rs17782313 and increased diabetes risk. The association was independent of adiposity (BMI and waist circumference). Our results were in line with the observation that MC4R SNP was significantly related to insulin resistance in the study of Chambers et al. (5). SNP rs17782313 was also related to increased diabetes risk in the study of Loos et al. (6), albeit the association became marginally significant after adjusting for BMI. Our data suggest that the association of MC4R variant and diabetes may be more evident in women.

Table 4. Change in BMI according to the presence of C-allele of SNP rs17782313

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period of change</th>
<th>Mean age (years)</th>
<th>Adiposity measures</th>
<th>Without C-allele</th>
<th>With C-allele</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1976–1986</td>
<td>44–54</td>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>1.62 (1.52, 1.72)</td>
<td>1.79 (1.67, 1.91)</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>4.35 (4.08–4.62)</td>
<td>4.84 (4.54–5.14)</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1986–1996</td>
<td>54–64</td>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>0.97 (0.87, 1.07)</td>
<td>0.97 (0.85, 1.09)</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>2.62 (2.35–2.88)</td>
<td>2.63 (2.32–2.94)</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996–2004</td>
<td>64–72</td>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>−0.28 (−0.38, −0.18)</td>
<td>−0.22 (−0.34, −0.10)</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>−0.77 (−1.06, −0.49)</td>
<td>−0.59 (−0.92, −0.26)</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Analyses were adjusted for age and body mass index at the start point of each period examined.

Figure 2. The geometric means (standard errors) of BMI (at 1986) of carriers and non-carriers of the risk allele-C of MC4R SNP rs17782313 by height (<160, 160–149 and ≥165 cm). The analyses were adjusted for age and diabetes status. P for interaction between the genotype and height is 0.04.

Figure 3. Odds ratios (P-values) of type 2 diabetes associated with rs17782313 genotypes. Multivariable analysis adjusted for age, BMI, waist circumference, smoking, alcohol consumption, physical activity and menopausal status. Error bars denote 95 percent confidence intervals.
self-reported in our cohorts. However, self-reported information has been reliably validated with a high correlation with technician-measured measures (21,22). In addition, previous analyses in our cohorts have demonstrated that self-reported BMI and waist circumference strongly predicted various chronic diseases (23–25). The findings of the present study were restricted to women and need to be replicated in other populations.

In summary, we found a common SNP rs17782313 near MC4R gene was associated with high intakes of total energy especially from dietary fat. We demonstrated that the SNP was significantly related to long-term changes in adiposity at middle age. Our data suggest that the association between MC4R and obesity risk is not entirely explained by the changes in dietary intakes. In addition, we found that SNP rs17782313 was associated with increased diabetes risk in women. Comprehensive re-sequencing, fine-mapping and functional test are warranted to identify the causal variant(s) for the observed associations and elucidate the underlying molecular changes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study population

The Nurses’ Health Study was established in 1976 when 121,700 female registered nurses aged 30–55 years and residing in 11 large US states completed a mailed questionnaire on their medical history and lifestyle (26). The lifestyle factors, including smoking, menopausal status and postmenopausal hormone therapy, and body weight, have been updated by validated questionnaires every 2 years. A total of 32,826 women provided blood samples between 1989 and 1990. Information about health and disease is assessed biennially. Samples for the present study were selected from a subcohort of 32,826 women who provided a blood sample between 1989 and 1990 (27,28). We included in total 5724 women. Among them, 2265 non-diabetic women were included in the paper by Loos et al. (6). In the present analysis (primarily on the associations with dietary intakes, BMI changes and diabetes risk), we additionally included 3459 women, 1533 of those were diabetic patients. Diabetes cases were defined as self-reported diabetes confirmed by a validated supplementary questionnaire. For cases before 1998, diagnosis was made using criteria consistent with those proposed by the National Diabetes Data Group (NDDG) (29). We used the American Diabetes Association diagnostic criteria for diagnosis of diabetes cases after 1998 (30). All participants were Caucasians of European ancestry.

Assessment of adiposity

At baseline, participants were asked to report their height and current body weight; weight was then updated during the biennial follow-up. To assess the adiposity in early adulthood, the 1980 NHS questionnaire asked about weight at 18 years of age. We calculated BMI as weight in kilograms divided by height squared in meters. In 1986–1987, participants reported direct measurements of their waists (at the umbilicus) and hips (at the largest circumference) to the nearest quarter of an inch, using a paper tape and detailed measuring directions. The validity of self-reported adiposity measures were assessed in a random sample living in the greater Boston area, with high correlation with measured weight ($r \geq 0.96$) and waist ($r = 0.95$) (21,22). We defined obesity as BMI $\geq 30$ kg/m$^2$.

Assessment of dietary intakes

Detailed dietary information was obtained through the use of semi-quantitative food-frequency questionnaires (FFQ), which included 116 food items with specified serving sizes that were described by using natural portions or standard weight and volume measures of the servings commonly consumed in this study population. Participants were asked to report their average frequency of consumption of selected foods and beverages with a specified commonly used unit or portion size during the previous year. The reproducibility and validity of the dietary questionnaires were previously evaluated by comparing with the multiple 1-week dietary records. High correlation coefficients were observed for dietary fats and carbohydrate-rich food items and foods (31,32). When corrected for week-to-week variations in diet records that were used to assess validity, the correlations between the FFQ and two 1-week diet records were 0.67, 0.65, 0.67, 0.70 and 0.56 for total energy, carbohydrate, total fat, saturated fat and protein, respectively (32). We used the dietary intakes from 1986 questionnaire, when both BMI and waist circumference were measured, in the primary analysis. The SNPs showed similar associations with dietary intakes from questionnaires of other years.

Genotype determination

DNA was extracted from the buffy coat fraction of centrifuged blood using the QIAmp Blood Kit (Qiagen, Chatsworth, CA, USA). Two reported SNPs near MC4R gene, rs17782313 and rs17700633 (6), were genotyped using the OpenArray™ SNP Genotyping System (BioTrove, Woburn, MA, USA). Another reported SNP rs12970134 (5) was in strong LD with rs17782313 ($D' = 0.96$ and $r^2 = 0.81$; HapMap CEU) and therefore was not genotyped. Replicate quality control samples (10%) were included and genotyped with >99% concordance. The call rate was higher than 95% and genotype distribution was in Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium ($P > 0.05$).

Statistical analyses

The geometric means of dietary factors were compared among the genotypes using general linear models. In the multivariable analyses, we adjusted for age, BMI, diabetes status, physical activity (quintiles), smoking (never, past and current), alcohol intake [non-drinker and drinker (0.1–4.9, 5–10, or >10 g/day)], and menopausal status [pre- or postmenopausal (never, past or current hormone use)]. When adiposity measures were examined, we also adjusted for intake of total energy (in quartiles). In the longitudinal analysis, changes in BMI were defined as the difference between BMI assessed at different time points (1976–1986; 1986–1996; and 1996–2004). We adjusted for age and BMI at each start point in general linear model. Interaction was examined by...
introducing the cross-product term of the variables tested in the regression model. Associations between genotype and dichotomous outcomes (obesity and diabetes risk) were tested using unconditional logistic regression, adjusting for covariates. The SAS statistical package was used for the analyses (SAS, Version 8.2 for UNIX). Statistical significance was set at the 0.05 level. The replication tests for the effects of MC4R variants on adiposity were one-tailed (higher adiposity associated with the risk alleles) and other tests were two-tailed.

We performed a meta-analysis on the genetic association (additive model) with diabetes risk, by combining our data with data from DIAGRAM consortium (available at http://www.well.ox.ac.uk/DIAGRAM/) (9). Chi-square test was set at the 0.05 level. The replication tests for the effects of MC4R from individual studies, weighted by the OR derived from fixed-effect model, in which the indicated there was no significant between-study heterogeneity.
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