PINK1 cleavage at position A103 by the mitochondrial protease PARL
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Mutations in PTEN-induced kinase 1 (PINK1) cause early onset autosomal recessive Parkinson’s disease (PD). PINK1 is a 63 kDa protein kinase, which exerts a neuroprotective function and is known to localize to mitochondria. Upon entry into the organelle, PINK1 is cleaved to produce a ∼53 kDa protein (ΔN-PINK1). In this paper, we show that PINK1 is cleaved between amino acids Ala-103 and Phe-104 to generate ΔN-PINK1. We demonstrate that a reduced ability to cleave PINK1, and the consequent accumulation of full-length protein, results in mitochondrial abnormalities reminiscent of those observed in PINK1 knockout cells, including disruption of the mitochondrial network and a reduction in mitochondrial mass. Notably, we assessed three N-terminal PD-associated PINK1 mutations located close to the cleavage site and, while these do not prevent PINK1 cleavage, they alter the ratio of full-length to ΔN-PINK1 protein in cells, resulting in an altered mitochondrial phenotype. Finally, we show that PINK1 interacts with the mitochondrial protease presenilin-associated rhomboid-like protein (PARL) and that loss of PARL results in aberrant PINK1 cleavage in mammalian cells. These combined results suggest that PINK1 cleavage is important for basal mitochondrial health and that PARL cleaves PINK1 to produce the ΔN-PINK1 fragment.

INTRODUCTION

Mutations in the (PTEN-induced kinase 1) Pink1 gene (PARK6) are an important cause of autosomal recessive Parkinson’s disease (PD) (1). PINK1 is synthesized as a 581 amino acid protein containing a mitochondrial localization sequence, a predicted transmembrane (TM) domain and a serine/threonine kinase domain (2). Mutations within the kinase domain and C-terminus of the protein reduce PINK1 kinase activity, and this loss of kinase function is thought to be responsible for PD pathogenesis (3). Consistent with this hypothesis, a truncated fragment of the PINK1 protein containing the active kinase domain was shown to be sufficient to provide cells with protection against MPTP toxicity, but this effect was abrogated by the presence of kinase-inactivating mutations (4). However, a series of PD-associated mutations lie within the N-terminal region of the PINK1 protein and it is mechanistically unclear how these mutations would account for loss of kinase function (5–7).

Interestingly, an accumulation of the cleaved ΔN-PINK1 protein, but not full-length PINK1 (FL-PINK1), has been reported in the brains of both idiopathic and heterozygous mutant PINK1 (Y431H, N451S, C575R) PD patients. The authors suggest that this accumulation is due to an upregulation of ΔN-PINK1 expression in response to PD-related stress (8). Given that truncated fragments of PINK1 can confer...
identification of the PINK1 cleavage site

To determine the cleavage site of PINK1, PINK1-3xHA was transiently expressed in HEK293T cells to enable recovery of both full-length and cleaved PINK1 (ΔN-PINK1) from lysates. Since ΔN-PINK1 is unstable and has a half-life of 30 min (11,13), transfected cells were treated with the proteasome inhibitor MG132 prior to lysis in order to increase the amount of cleaved protein in the final sample (8,11). Notably, this was the only experiment which utilized MG132 treatment to enhance ΔN-PINK1 in cells. PINK1-3xHA was then immunoprecipitated using anti-HA-agarose beads and the resulting products were assessed by SDS–PAGE, western blot (WB) analysis and Coomassie staining (Fig. 1A). The 53 kDa band was then analysed by Edman N-terminal sequencing. A full 10 amino acid read was obtained confirming the protein was ΔN-PINK1 and revealing that the PINK1 cleavage site lies within the TM domain between residues A103 and F104 (Fig. 1B). While the cleavage site sequence is not conserved in Drosophila or Caenorhabditis elegans, the site is highly conserved between mammals and zebrafish (Fig. 1C).

Mutational analysis of the PINK1 cleavage site

To confirm the cleavage site of PINK1, we conducted mutational analysis of residues at and surrounding the cleavage site. We identified one residue which, when mutated, appears to stabilize the ΔN-PINK1 product, leading to an accumulation of ΔN-PINK1 in cells, and one mutation which reduced PINK1 cleavage resulting in cells accumulating FL-PINK1.

Mutation of the F104 residue at the P′-position to either aspartic acid or alanine had a significant effect on the production of ΔN-PINK1. When residue F104 was converted to an aspartic acid (F104D), we observed an accumulation of both FL- and ΔN-PINK1 protein in cells compared with PINK1-wt (Fig. 2A, lane 4). In contrast, conversion of this residue to alanine (F104A) resulted in a decrease in FL-PINK1 protein expression combined with an accumulation of ΔN-PINK1 (Fig. 2A, lane 5). Subsequent mutagenesis of the area surrounding the cleavage site revealed that mutation of residue P95 to alanine (P95A) greatly reduced PINK1 cleavage, resulting in a significant accumulation of FL-PINK1 and a corresponding reduction in ΔN-PINK1 production (Fig. 2A, lane 3). To ensure that the mutations were affecting the processing of PINK1 rather than altering the subcellular localization of the protein, we investigated whether the reduced cleavage mutant PINK1-P95A and the enhanced cleavage mutant PINK1-F104A still localized to mitochondria.

Figure 2B illustrates that both the P95A and F104A PINK1 observed ΔN-PINK1 fragment is not produced. We demonstrate that PINK1 interacts with PARL and that re-expression of wt PARL, but not the catalytically inactive PARL mutant, can rescue abnormal PINK1 cleavage in PARL-deficient cells. These combined results suggest that PARL is responsible for PINK1 cleavage at position A103.
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Mitochondrial membrane potential (ΔΨₘ) is a marker of mitochondrial function, and PINK1 deficiency is known to be associated with a reduction in the ΔΨₘ (17–19). To assess whether the cleavage status of PINK1 would alter the ΔΨₘ, cells were transfected with PINK-wt, PINK1-F104A or PINK1-P95A in a pIRES-GFP vector and loaded with the cationic lipophilic dye, tetramethylrhodamine methyl ester (TMRM). The fluorescent intensity of the TMRM within the mitochondria is proportional to the ΔΨₘ, and the presence of the green fluorescent protein (GFP) marker permitted the identification of transfected cells. Cells expressing the F104A mutant, and thus expressing predominantly ΔN-PINK1, had significantly higher basal ΔΨₘ than cells expressing PINK1-wt (an increase in the basal ΔΨₘ to 123 ± 9.9% of wt, n = 48 cells, P < 0.05) (Fig. 2Di). In contrast, cells expressing the P95A mutant (predominantly FL-PINK1) were found to have consistently and significantly lower ΔΨₘ than PINK1-wt-expressing cells (a decrease in the basal ΔΨₘ to 71.7 ± 4.8% of wt, n = 39 cells, P < 0.001). These data demonstrate that altering the cleavage status of PINK1 induces significant changes in the ΔΨₘ and that reduced cleavage of PINK1 is associated with low basal ΔΨₘ.

PINK1 is known to be involved in protection from oxidative stress (17,25,26) and we have previously shown that PINK1 deficiency results in an increase in cytosolic and mitochondrial ROS production (18,19). Therefore, to assess the effect of PINK1 cleavage status on the redox balance of the cell, we measured ROS production in both the cytosol and mitochondrial matrix in SH-SY5Y cells stably expressing vector control, PINK1-wt, PINK1-F104A or PINK1-P95A. Cytosolic ROS production was assessed by measuring cytosolic hydroethidium (HEt) fluorescence while mitochondrial ROS production was assessed by measuring MitoSOX fluorescence (the mitochondrially targeted equivalent of HEt). Expression of the PINK1-P95A protein resulted in a significant increase in the basal cytosolic ROS production compared with PINK1-wt or vector (196.4 ± 10.52% compared with control, P < 0.01, n = 98 in wt cells; n = 67 in PINK1-P95A cells) (Fig. 2Dii). In addition, the mitochondrial ROS production was also significantly higher in cells expressing PINK1-P95A compared with PINK1-wt (185.4 ± 7.9% of control value, P < 0.01, n = 116 wt cells; n = 101 PINK1-P95A cells) (Fig. 2Dii). Notably, there was no significant difference in the basal ROS production in cells expressing either vector, PINK1-wt or PINK1-F104A in both the cytosol and mitochondria (Fig. 2Dii and iii). Previously, we demonstrated that the mechanism of mitochondrial ROS production in PINK1-deficient cells was due to the inhibition of complex I (18,19). We therefore examined whether the alteration in mitochondrial ROS production, associated with reduced PINK1 cleavage, was occurring via the same mechanism. As expected, inhibition of complex I by rotenone in cells expressing either PINK1-wt or PINK1-F104A resulted in activation of mitochondrial ROS production (197.8 ± 11.2% of basal rate; n = 98; P > 0.001; Fig. 2E). In contrast, application of rotenone failed to produce any further increase in mitochondrial ROS production in cells expressing PINK1-P95A, suggesting that ROS production is already maximally activated via this mechanism (Fig. 2E). These data show that reduction of PINK1 cleavage and the subsequent accumulation of full-length protein produces a...
Efficient PINK1 cleavage is required for mitochondrial health. (A) Cleavage status of the PINK1 cleavage mutants compared with PINK1-wt. Vector control, PINK1-wt-3xHA, PINK1-P95A-3xHA, PINK1-F104A and PINK1-F104D constructs were expressed in SH-SY5Ys. Lysates were then assessed by WB analysis using an anti-HA antibody. (B) PINK1 cleavage mutants still localize to mitochondria. Vector control, PINK1-wt-3xHA, PINK1-P95A-3xHA and PINK1-F104A constructs were expressed in SH-SY5Y cells. Cell lysates were fractionated to isolate cytoplasmic and crude mitochondria. The presence of the PINK1 cleavage mutants in cytosolic and mitochondrial fractions was assessed by WB analysis using an anti-HA antibody. The membrane was subsequently re-probed with anti-ApoTrack cocktail which demonstrates the purity of the fractions and equal loading. (C) Cleavage of the N-terminal PINK1 PD mutant proteins. SH-SY5Y lysates expressing PINK1-C92F, PINK1-Q115L and PINK1-R147H constructs were analysed by WB with an anti-HA antibody. (D) (i) The cleavage status of PINK1 has important effects on basal mitochondrial membrane potential. Failure to cleave the PINK1 protein efficiently, shown through expression of the PINK1-P95A mutant, induces a significant reduction in mitochondrial membrane potential and makes cells more susceptible to stress-mediated death. Vector-transfected control cells were taken to be 100%. *P < 0.05 and **P < 0.005. (ii) A reduced ability to cleave PINK1 results in a significant increase in basal cytoplasmic ROS production. Basal ROS production in vector-transfected control cells was taken as 100%. *P < 0.005. (iii) Impaired PINK1 processing additionally results in a significant increase in basal mitochondrial ROS production. Basal ROS production in vector control-transfected cells was taken as 100%. **P < 0.005. (E) Assessment of ROS production in the PINK1-P95A and PINK1-F104A cleavage mutants compared with PINK1-wt. Basal ROS production increases over time in cells expressing the PINK1-P95A mutant without any stimulus. Stimulation of ROS production using rotenone to block complex I function has no effect on ROS production in PINK1-P95A cells but dramatically increases ROS production in both PINK1-wt and PINK1-F104A cells.
pathophysiological phenotype similar to PINK1 deficiency in our system.

**PINK1 cleavage status affects mitochondrial network and mass**

More recently, suppression of PINK1 cleavage through treatment of cells with uncoupling agents has been extensively shown to induce the removal of mitochondria from cells via mitophagy (27,28). Given our observation that the PINK1-P95A mutant protein induces an accumulation of FL-PINK1 protein and that its expression alone is sufficient to reduce the basal $\Delta\psi_m$, we examined whether expression of the PINK1-P95A mutant protein had an effect on the mitochondrial network and/or mitochondrial mass within cells. In addition, due to the altered ratio of FL-PINK1 to $\Delta N$-PINK1 in cells expressing the N-terminal PD-associated mutations C92F, Q115L and R147H, we included the PINK1-C92F mutant protein in our analysis.

Utilizing the TMRM dye to visualize mitochondria in non-transfected cells, or those expressing either pIRES vector, PINK1-wt or PINK1-F104A, the mitochondria form an intricate interconnected network that is distributed evenly throughout the cell (Fig. 3Ai, ii, iii and Supplementary Material, Movies S1–S3). In contrast, when cells express the PINK1-P95A protein, the mitochondria are aggregated in one part of the cell, and no clear network is visible (Fig. 3Av and Supplementary Material, Video S4). Strikingly, cells expressing the PD-associated mutant PINK1-C92F protein also display abnormally distributed and aggregated mitochondria (Fig. 3Av and Supplementary Material, Video S5). However, retention of the TMRM dye is dependent on $\Delta\psi_m$. As exogenous PINK1-P95A expression induces a reduction in $\Delta\psi_m$, we repeated these experiments using a membrane potential-independent method. To this end, the PINK1 pIRES constructs were transiently co-transfected with DsRed-Mito to confirm the alteration in mitochondrial distribution. Again we observed the same alterations in the mitochondrial network in cells expressing either PINK1-P95A or PINK1-C92F (data not shown). In order to clarify the basis of this appearance, we subsequently assessed whether there were any differences in mitochondrial mass between the cells using live cell imaging as previously described (29,30). Utilizing the DsRed-Mito and pIRES-GFP constructs, this approach quantifies the degree of co-localization of the mitochondrial (DsRed-Mito) signal with the cytosolic (GFP) signal in z-stacks of cells. This enables a measure of the relative volume of the cell occupied by the mitochondria and reflects the mitochondrial mass within a cell (30). The degree of co-localization for cells expressing vector control was taken as 100%. As shown in Figure 3B, expression of either vector control, PINK1-wt or PINK1-F104A showed no significant alteration in co-localization index. In contrast, the expression of PINK1-P95A resulted in a marked reduction in co-localization (from 100 to 66.4%, $n = 4$ experiments, $P < 0.001$) suggesting a reduction in mitochondrial mass. Notably, expression of the PD mutant, PINK1-C92F, was also associated with a 12% reduction in the co-localization index. It is noteworthy that cells expressing the N-terminal PD PINK1 mutation are still capable of producing the $\Delta N$-PINK1 protein at levels comparable with PINK1-wt (Fig. 2C). Therefore, our results suggest that the ratio of FL-PINK1 to $\Delta N$-PINK1 is important for mitochondrial function and maintenance of the mitochondrial population. These data complement the reported findings that the accumulation of FL-PINK1 induces mitochondrial removal via mitophagy (9,16,28). Therefore, to assess whether the reduction in mitochondrial mass, caused by expression of our PINK1-P95A mutant protein, was due to activation of macroautophagy/mitophagy, we assessed the basal and CCCP-induced levels of LC3-II cleavage in cells stably expressing vector, PINK1-wt, PINK1-P95A and PINK1-F104A. Surprisingly, despite the accumulation of FL-PINK1, reduction in $\Delta\psi_m$ and reduction in mitochondrial mass, we did not detect an increase in LC3-II cleavage at the basal level in PINK1-P95A cells compared with cells expressing vector, PINK1-wt or PINK1-F104A (Fig. 3C). Treatment of these cell lines with the mitochondrial uncoupler CCCP suppressed PINK1 cleavage in all stable cell lines and additionally resulted in an increase in LC3-II cleavage (Fig. 3C). Our results therefore suggest that an accumulation of FL-PINK1 is sufficient to reduce the basal $\Delta\psi_m$ significantly and can induce a reduction in mitochondrial mass independently of autophagy/mitophagy activation.

**The Rhomboid protease PARL interacts with and cleaves PINK1**

As mentioned in the introduction, the Rhomboid-7 protease is reported to cleave dPINK1 in *Drosophila* (21). PARL, the Rhomboid-7 human homologue, belongs to a family of proteins, the Rhomboids, with a reported preference for cleaving within TM domains (23). It is, therefore, interesting that the cleavage site of PINK1 lies within its predicted TM domain. However, since there was no published observation of an interaction between PINK1 and PARL in mammals, we initially assessed their ability to interact in HEK293T cells. We find that PINK1 interacts with both wild-type PARL (PARL-wt) and the catalytically inactive PARL-S277G mutant (Fig. 4A). In addition, PARL was able to interact with both the full-length and cleaved PINK1 products, suggesting that amino acids 104–581 of PINK1 are sufficient for the interaction to occur (Fig. 4A). To confirm this exogenous interaction, we assessed whether endogenous PINK1 interacted with PARL in SH-SY5Y cells by mass spectrometry. To control for non-specific interactors, PARL complexes were purified in the presence or absence of peptide competition and all isolated proteins were assessed. In agreement with our exogenous interaction, endogenous PINK1 was readily detected as a PARL interactor (see Supplementary Material, Fig. S2). Consequently, our results indicate that at least two IMM proteases, PARL and HtrA2 (20), can interact with PINK1 in mammalian cells. We therefore examined the cleavage status of the PINK1 protein in mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) derived from PARL and HtrA2 knockout (KO) mice (31,32). PINK1 was cleaved normally in the absence of HtrA2 (Fig. 4Bi), indicating that HtrA2 is not required for PINK1 cleavage. However, in the absence of PARL, PINK1 was aberrantly cleaved and the 53 kDa...
The ΔN-PINK1 protein was not generated (Fig. 4Bii), strongly suggesting that PARL cleaves PINK1 to produce ΔN-PINK1. However, while the 53 kDa ΔN-PINK1 cleavage product is absent in PARL KO MEFs, two alternative and previously unreported cleavage products are produced. Importantly, normal PINK1 cleavage could be restored in the PARL KO MEFs upon co-transfection with PARL-wt, but not with the inactive PARL-S277G mutant, further demonstrating the specificity of PINK1 cleavage by PARL to generate ΔN-PINK1 (Fig. 4C). Notably production of the ΔN2-PINK1 cleavage product was similar in the PARL KO and WT MEFs, suggesting that PARL is dispensable for this second cleavage event. To confirm the cleavage of PINK1 by PARL, we assessed the cleavage status of our PINK1-P95A and PINK1-F104A mutants in PARL WT and KO MEFs. Figure 5A demonstrates that while the mutant proteins still show reduced and enhanced PINK1 cleavage, respectively, in the WT MEFs both mutant proteins are processed the same as PINK1-wt in the absence of PARL. These results strengthen the specificity of PINK1 cleavage by PARL and suggest that PARL may be the default protease required for ΔN-PINK1 production. While we endeavoured to assess endogenous PINK1 cleavage in the PARL MEFs, the endogenous ΔN-PINK1 protein could not be detected with the antibodies currently available (Supplementary Material, Fig. S3).

Notably, the cleavage recognition site for PARL remains unknown. However, since the PINK1-P95A mutant protein demonstrates reduced cleavage in WT cells, despite the fact that the cleavage site remains intact, we hypothesized that
either the proline residue could be important for the PINK1–PARL interaction (by being required for the correct folding of the PINK1 protein) or this residue may form part of a substrate recognition system for PARL. Interestingly, the presence of a helix-breaking residue, such as proline, has been reported as a requirement for substrate recognition with additional rhomboid proteins (33).

We subsequently assessed whether the presence of the P95A mutation abrogated the interaction between PINK1 and PARL. Our results demonstrate that the P95A mutation does not affect the ability of PINK1 to interact with PARL (Fig. 5B), strongly suggesting that the protein is folded correctly. Proline is a conformationally restricted amino acid and is therefore predicted to induce a ‘kink’ in the α-helical structure of the PINK1 TM domain. Structural modelling of the wt and P95A mutated TM domain using PyMoL (DeLano, W.L. The PyMoL Molecular Graphics System 2002, http://www.pymol.org) suggested that the P95A mutation removes this ‘kink’ and results in PINK1 displaying a conventional α-helix (Fig. 5Ci and ii). We therefore hypothesize that cleavage of PINK1 by PARL may be dependent upon structural recognition of a ‘kinked’ α-helix within the membrane rather than amino acid sequence recognition alone.

Given our observation that PARL is necessary for PINK1 cleavage to generate ΔN-PINK1, we reasoned that if reduced PINK1 cleavage impairs mitochondrial function...
then cells expressing PINK1-wt in a PARL KO background should also demonstrate increased ROS production. We find that in a PARL RNA interference (RNAi) background, SH-SY5Y cells expressing PINK1-wt or vector control show a significant increase in ROS production compared with scrambled RNAi control cells expressing the same constructs (2.1-fold increase for vector control, \( n = 4 \) experiments, \( P < 0.001; 2.2 \)-fold increase for PINK1-wt, \( n = 4 \) experiments, \( P < 0.001 \)) (Fig. 5D). An increase in ROS production in cells expressing the PINK1-P95A protein in a PARL knockout background was also observed (2.1-fold increase, \( n = 4 \) experiments, \( P < 0.001 \)). These combined results therefore strengthen our finding that PINK1 cleavage is important for basal mitochondrial health.

**DISCUSSION**

In PD, mutations within the \( PINK1 \) gene have been extensively shown to be responsible for early onset autosomal recessive disease. PINK1 is a mitochondrial protein (22) and increasing evidence suggests that mitochondrial dysfunction is a key player in PD (18,19). The aim of this study was to gain insight into the biological function of the PINK1 protein by determining the N-terminal cleavage site to generate \( \Delta N \)-PINK1, assessing the cellular consequences of impaired PINK1 cleavage and identifying the protease responsible for cleavage at this site.

In this study, we show that PINK1 is cleaved between Ala-103 and Phe-104 to generate the 53 kDa \( \Delta N \)-PINK1.
protein. Through the study of PINK1 cleavage mutants, our results show that a reduced ability to cleave PINK1 results in a significant reduction in basal $\Delta \Psi_m$ and a significant increase in both cytoplasmic and mitochondrial ROS production—a phenotype similar to that described in PINK1 KO cells (17,18). Furthermore, the predominant expression of full-length protein by the PINK1-P95A mutant appears to negate any protective effect provided by the presence of both the combined endogenous and low-level exogenous $\Delta N$-PINK1 product in cells. This observation suggests that accumulation of FL-PINK1 might have a dominant negative effect on PINK1 protein function. Notably, as cells expressing the PINK1-C92F N-terminal PD mutation display an increased ratio of FL- to $\Delta N$-PINK1, in combination with an intermediate mitochondrial phenotype, these results may offer some explanation for the mechanism by which N-terminal mutations contribute towards pathogenesis. In support of this, a similar intermediate mitochondrial phenotype has been reported in fibroblasts of a patient carrying a heterozygous N-terminal mutation (17). These combined data suggest that the ratio of FL-PINK1 to $\Delta N$-PINK1 protein is critical for mitochondrial health.

Our finding that $\Delta N$-PINK1 appears to be the ‘mature’ form of PINK1 responsible for conferring protection against oxidative stress to cells correlates with the previous findings of Haque et al. (4) who demonstrated that a fragment of PINK1 (amino acids 112–581) can rescue cells from toxin-mediated death in vivo. Moreover, our current study demonstrates that overexpression of $\Delta N$-PINK1 in human neuronal cells is not detrimental to mitochondrial function. Evidence that expressing more $\Delta N$-PINK1 may be beneficial is supported by the finding that an increased deposition of $\Delta N$-PINK1 protein has been observed in the surviving neurons in PD brain samples (in both idiopathic and heterozygous mutant PINK1 PD cases) (8). Therefore, finding a means to modulate the PINK1 cleavage process at the endogenous level could prove interesting for future therapeutic applications. Unfortunately, we were unable to assess whether the N-terminal PD mutations C92F, Q115L or R147H cause accumulation of FL-PINK1 in patient tissue due to the absence of material.

It has previously been established that PINK1 is cleaved to produce a secondary, minor product of 45 kDa ($\Delta N_2$-PINK1) (11). To date, however, the biological significance of this product has not been assessed. Since production of the $\Delta N_2$-PINK1 fragment is unaffected in our PINK1 cleavage mutant cells, our data demonstrate that the $\Delta N_2$-PINK1 cannot compensate for the loss of $\Delta N$-PINK1 because mitochondrial function is still impaired in its presence. Consequently, our study suggests that $\Delta N_2$-PINK1 is not required for PINK1’s reported protective effect in neuronal cells. Interestingly, two previous studies have proposed a role for the Hsp90/cdc37 complex in PD pathogenesis (as both FL-PINK1 and the $\Delta N_2$-PINK1 cleavage product are stabilized through association with this complex) (12,34). While our data show that the $\Delta N_2$-PINK1 product does not confer protection to cells, it is possible that through stabilization of the FL-PINK1 precursor protein, the Hsp90/cdc37 complex may help regulate the ratio of FL-PINK1 to $\Delta N$-PINK1 protein, which we have shown is crucial for mitochondrial health.

In recent years, the application of Hsp90 inhibitors as a PD therapeutic has been proposed based on their ability to clear disease-associated $\alpha$-synuclein aggregates from cells and through destabilizing the PD-mutated LRRK2 kinase (35–37). If modulation of Hsp90 levels can additionally destabilize the FL-PINK1 protein and subsequently alter the ratio of FL- to $\Delta N$-PINK1 in vivo, it is plausible that this treatment could promote neuronal survival by tipping the balance in favour of $\Delta N$-PINK1.

Notably, a role for PINK1 in mitochondrial fission/fusion dynamics has been demonstrated in HeLa cells and Drosophila model systems (17,38,39). Current evidence suggests that PINK1 promotes fission in Drosophila and therefore the loss of PINK1 results in mitochondrial aggregates and swollen mitochondria (17,18,38,39). In mammalian cells, loss of PINK1 function results in fragmented mitochondria (17). More recently, a series of studies have reported that accumulation of FL-PINK1 is required to recruit parkin to the mitochondria and drive mitophagy (10,16). In these studies, mitophagy is induced by exposing cells to uncoupling agents such as CCCP, which reduce the $\Delta \Psi_m$, and this prevents PINK1 cleavage (10,16) presumably by blocking mitochondrial import of PINK1 (15). In this study, we have demonstrated that the cleavage status of PINK1 and therefore the ratio of FL-PINK1 to $\Delta N$-PINK1 affects the mitochondrial network within the cell. Moreover, expression of the reduced cleavage PINK1-P95A mutant, in the absence of any uncoupling agents, was consistently observed to reduce the basal $\Delta \Psi_m$ significantly and induce a reduction in mitochondrial mass. In addition, expression of the PINK1-C92F PD mutant protein, which results in more FL-PINK1 expression, also induced a reduction in $\Delta \Psi_m$ and mitochondrial mass. It is therefore possible that N-terminal PD mutations within the PINK1 protein distort the cell’s natural balance between full-length and cleaved PINK1 protein. This in turn could impose an effect on the basal rates of mitophagy and mitochondrial biogenesis and contribute to pathogenesis. However, while these findings are in agreement with the recent observations on PINK1’s role in mitophagy (28), we did not observe an increase in macroautophagy activation when assessed with LC3I-II cleavage. This result is somewhat surprising, given that mitophagy is currently the only identified mechanism by which mitochondria are removed from the cell. However, reports examining autophagy in healthy neurons have suggested the possibility of an alternative autophagic pathway in these cells (40). Whether this hypothesized pathway would permit the specific removal of whole organelles such as mitochondria is currently unknown. At present, therefore, we are unable to explain the mechanism behind the mitochondrial loss in our model, but it is intriguing that damaged mitochondria could be removed from neuronal cells via an as yet unidentified pathway.

Notably, the reduction in the mitochondrial mass of cells predominantly expressing the FL-PINK1 protein may underlie the differences observed in the mitochondrial network. While it remains a possibility that the altered mitochondrial appearances are linked to the dynamic processes of fission/fusion, loss of mitochondrial mass has never been reported through their alteration (41).
The accumulation of FL-PINK1, and reduction of ΔN-PINK1, results in mitochondrial dysfunction, characterized by reduced mitochondrial membrane potential, increased oxidative stress and abnormal mitochondrial appearances. Such effects may render neurons susceptible to stress, and are known to contribute to the reduced neuronal viability seen in PINK1 knockdown/KO mammalian neurons (18). Therefore, a reduced ability to cleave PINK1 produces a phenotype similar to PINK1 deficiency.

In our study, we additionally show that PARL is a novel PINK1-interacting protein in mammals by co-immunoprecipitation and mass spectrometry and reveal that PARL is responsible for cleavage of PINK1 at position A103 to generate ΔN-PINK1. However, in the absence of PARL, PINK1 is aberrantly cleaved by a secondary, as yet unidentified protease, to generate two previously unreported cleavage products. The observation that these aberrant cleavage products are not normally seen under physiological conditions (8) suggests that in the absence of PARL, compensation mechanisms are initiated to ensure FL-PINK1 cleavage. Notably, these compensation mechanisms are absent in Drosophila because deletion of the PARL homologue, Rhomboid-7, is sufficient to completely block dPINK1 cleavage. Further studies to reveal the compensatory protease(s) responsible for PINK1 cleavage in the absence of PARL in mammals are therefore required. PARL is a serine protease located within the IMM that has been reported to perform an anti-apoptotic role (32). We have shown that the proline residue within the PINK1 TM domain appears to be required for optimal recognition of PINK1 by PARL as a substrate. While the necessity for a helix-breaking residue, such as proline, for substrate recognition is not unprecedented with rhomboid proteins (33), this is the first observation that PARL may utilize this mechanism. While we were able to confirm that endogenous PINK1 interacts with PARL in human neuroblastoma cells by mass spectrometry, we are currently unable to assess aberrant PINK1 cleavage in the PARL KO MEFs due to the absence of reliable antibodies capable of detecting both full-length and cleaved PINK1 at the endogenous level (42,43). The inability to examine PINK1 cleavage events using the current PINK1 antibodies is highlighted by the study of Narendra et al. (10). In this study, the group investigated PINK1 cleavage in PARL WT and KO MEFs using an anti-PINK1 antibody, which recognizes only FL-PINK1. The group subsequently reported that failure of PARL shRNA to augment FL-PINK1 accumulation indicated that PARL was not required for PINK1 processing. While the conclusion drawn by Narendra et al. is not incorrect—PINK1 is indeed still processed in PARL’s absence—our results demonstrate that PINK1 is aberrantly cleaved resulting in the loss of the ΔN-PINK1 fragment. Nevertheless, these findings prompted us to sequence the PARL gene in our familial PD cases. Upon sequence analysis of the entire coding region of PARL in 82 unrelated familial PD cases, no mutations were identified. Consequently, there is currently no evidence to suggest that PARL directly contributes to Mendelian forms of PD.

We therefore propose that the ΔN-PINK1 product is the functionally active form of the protein conferring cell protection against stress. Moreover, Haque et al. (4) have shown that this protective effect is achieved through its kinase activity. With the combination of these results, we postulate that the full-length precursor protein has weak intrinsic kinase activity and that cleavage of PINK1 may stimulate this activity. If this is the case, it may explain why previous attempts to identify PINK1 kinase substrates, using predominantly the full-length protein, have been hindered. It will be important therefore to assess whether utilizing the ΔN-PINK1 product, or the PINK1-F104A mutant, one will be able to observe a more robust kinase activity of PINK1, thus facilitating the identification of bona fide PINK1 kinase substrates and potential new therapeutic targets for PD.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Antibodies

Anti-HA monoclonal antibody was obtained from Roche. Anti-HA agarose affinity gel, M2 anti-FLAG antibody and M2 anti-FLAG agarose affinity gel were obtained from Sigma. Anti-LC3 antibody was obtained from Cell Signalling Technology, while the anti-ApoTrack cocktail was obtained from Mitosciences. Anti-mouse, anti-rabbit and anti-rat secondary antibodies coupled with horseradish peroxidase were from Santa Cruz. All WBs were visualized on X-ray film using ECL reagent (PIERCE) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Mammalian constructs

Mammalian expression constructs encoding PARL-wt-FLAG and PARL-S277G-FLAG were obtained from Dr L. Pellegrini through the Addgene site (Addgene plasmids 13639 and 13615, respectively). The PINK1-3xHA construct was generated by subcloning human PINK1 cDNA into the pcDNA3-3xHA vector (this vector is under an MTA to the Ashworth Laboratory, Breakthrough Breast Cancer Research Center, ICR, London, UK). PINK1 cleavage and selected PD mutants were all generated by site-directed mutagenesis of the PINK1-wt-3xHA construct using the QuickChange site-directed mutagenesis kit (Stratagene) and confirmed by DNA sequencing. For mitochondrial membrane, ROS production and mitochondrial co-localization assessments, PINK1-wt and PINK1 mutants were isolated from pcDNA3 with the triple HA tag attached and inserted into pIRE2-EGFP to enable simultaneous GFP labelling of all PINK1-transfected cells for analysis. All constructs are available subject to MTA. The pIRE2-EGFP and DsRed-Mito constructs were purchased from Clontech.

PARL siRNA

PARL (PSARL siGENOME SMARTpool) and control scrambled (siGENOME Non-Targeting siRNA Pool 1) siRNAs were purchased from Dharmacon and used as per the manufacturer’s instructions.

Cell culture

SH-SY5Y, HEK293T cells (ECACC) and MEF lines were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium supplemented...
with 10% fetal bovine serum gold (PAA Laboratories) and 1× penicillin/streptomycin (Sigma). MEFs derived from WT or HtrA2 KO mice were obtained through collaboration with Dr L. M. Martins (31). MEFs derived from WT and PARL KO mice were obtained through collaboration with Dr B. De Strooper (32).

HEK293T, SH-SY5Y cells and MEFs were transiently transfected using Effectene (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s guidelines. Stable SH-SY5Y cell lines were generated by selecting transfected cells with 700 μg/ml G418 24 h post-transfection.

**Protein biochemistry**

*General cell lysis.* All cell lines were lysed on ice in 50 mM Tris–HCl pH 8, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5% NP40 (v/v) and 1× protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche). Lysates were homogenized using 30 passes of a hand-held homogenizer in liquid nitrogen. All lysates were homogenized and frozen three times before the insoluble material was pelleted by centrifugation. The resulting supernatants were retained and their protein concentrations were assayed using the BIORAD DC Protein Assay Kit. Unless otherwise stated, 100 μg of protein was loaded for each sample.

*Co-purifications.* Cells were lysed as described above except the supernatants were not snap frozen. Control (whole-cell lysate) samples of 100 μl were taken from each lysate to confirm protein expression prior to the purification and to determine total protein concentration to enable equal loading of proteins on two purification columns. The remaining lysate was split equally between the purification columns, one containing FLAG agarose and the other containing FLAG agarose pre-incubated with FLAG peptide to prevent FLAG-conjugated proteins from binding to the resin. The lysates were incubated with the antibody-coupled agarose beads ± peptide competition for 1 h, washed in wash buffer three times and then complexes were eluted with FLAG peptide. Samples were then concentrated using viva-spin columns to 25 μl samples and prepared for mass spectrometry analysis by SDS–PAGE and trypic digest. Samples were assessed by LC–MS–MS and scored using emPAI. All proteins present within the eluted samples were analysed.

To assess basal and induced macroautophagy levels, stable cell lines expressing either 3xHA vector control, PINK1-wt-3xHA, PINK1-P95A-3xHA or PINK1-F104A-3xHA were seeded into six-well plates and either treated with DMSO control or 10 μM CCCP for 12 h. Cells were then harvested and protein expression was assessed by WB.

Mitochondrial frations were performed as described previously (44).

**Structural modelling of the PINK1 TM domain**

The PINK1 TM domain was modellled using PyMoL and the automated building software using the wt as well as the PINK1-P95A sequence as input.

**Mitochondrial membrane potential (ΔΨm) assessment**

For measurements of ΔΨm, SH-SY5Y cells were loaded with 25 nM TMRM for 30 min at room temperature and the dye was present during the experiment. In these experiments, TMRM is used in the redistribution mode to assess ΔΨm and therefore a reduction in TMRM fluorescence represents mitochondrial depolarization. Confocal images were obtained using a Zeiss 510 uv-vis CLSM equipped with a META detection system and a 40× oil immersion objective. Illumination intensity was kept to a minimum (at 0.1–0.2% of laser output) to avoid phototoxicity and the pinhole set to give an optical slice of ~2 μm. TMRM was excited using the 543 nm laser line and fluorescence measured using a 560 nm longpass filter. Z-stacks of 20–30 cells per cover slip were acquired, and the mean maximal fluorescence intensity was measured for each cell group. The differences in ΔΨm are expressed as a percentage compared with cells expressing PINK1-wt (taken as 100%). Three independent experiments were performed on transiently transfected SH-SY5Y cells. The results were further confirmed in triplicate using SH-SY5Y cell lines that stably express the PINK1-wt or PINK1-P95A pIREs constructs.

**Assessment of ROS production**

For measurement of mitochondrial ROS production, cells were pre-incubated with MitoSOX (5 μM, Molecular Probes,
Eugene, OR, USA) for 10 min at room temperature. For measurement of cytosolic ROS production, dihydroethidium (2 μM) was present in the solution during the experiment. No pre-incubation (‘loading’) was used for dihydroethidium to limit the intracellular accumulation of oxidized products. Both HEt and MitoSOX were excited using the 543 and 360 nm laser lines and a ratiometric measurement was used.

Mitochondrial network and mass assessment
Mitochondrial localization was assessed by two methods. (i) Cells were transiently transfected with vector, PINK1-wt, PINK1-P95A or the PD mutation PINK1-C92F. Transfected cells were loaded with 25 nM TMRM for 30 min at room temperature. High-resolution z-stacks were obtained of ~10 transfected cells. (ii) Cells were co-transfected with either vector, PINK1-wt or PINK1-P95A together with DsRed-Mito. Doubly transfected cells were easily identified by the presence of green cytosolic fluorescence and red mitochondrial fluorescence. High-resolution z-stacks were acquired for ~10 cells per group. Both methods enabled adequate visualization of the mitochondrial network. However, we wanted to ensure that the alterations in mitochondrial localization measured were independent of any alterations in ΔΨm. In order to quantify the changes in the mitochondrial network, the percentage co-localization of the green (cytosolic) signal and the red (mitochondrial) signal was attained. This ratio represents the volume of the cell that is occupied by mitochondria. The co-localization of these signals was set as 100% in vector-transfected cells, to enable a comparison to be made between different cell groups. The histogram reflects the co-localization attained through both methods in independent experiments.

Statistical analysis
Statistical analysis and exponential curve fitting were performed using Origin 7 (Microcal Software Inc., Northampton, MA, USA) software. Data were analysed by parametric Student’s t-tests and significance is expressed as follows: *P < 0.05, **P < 0.005, unless otherwise stated. For all graphs bars represent mean ± SEM.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL
Supplementary Material is available at HMG online.
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