Xpa deficiency reduces CAG trinucleotide repeat instability in neuronal tissues in a mouse model of SCA1
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Expansion of trinucleotide repeats (TNRs) is responsible for a number of human neurodegenerative disorders. The molecular mechanisms that underlie TNR instability in humans are not clear. Based on results from model systems, several mechanisms for instability have been proposed, all of which focus on the ability of TNRs to form alternative structures during normal DNA transactions, including replication, DNA repair and transcription. These abnormal structures are thought to trigger changes in TNR length. We have previously shown that transcription-induced TNR instability in cultured human cells depends on several genes known to be involved in transcription-coupled nucleotide excision repair (NER). We hypothesized that NER normally functions to destabilize expanded TNRs. To test this hypothesis, we bred an Xpa null allele, which eliminates NER, into the TNR mouse model for spinocerebellar ataxia type 1 (SCA1), which carries an expanded CAG repeat tract at the endogenous mouse Sca1 locus. We find that Xpa deficiency does not substantially affect TNR instability in either the male or female germline; however, it dramatically reduces CAG repeat instability in neuronal tissues—striatum, hippocampus and cerebral cortex—but does not alter CAG instability in kidney or liver. The tissue-specific effect of Xpa deficiency represents a novel finding; it suggests that tissue-to-tissue variation in CAG repeat instability arises, in part, by different underlying mechanisms. These results validate our original findings in cultured human cells and suggest that transcription may induce NER-dependent TNR instability in neuronal tissues in humans.

INTRODUCTION

Expansion of CAG•CTG repeats in specific human genes cause several neurodegenerative and neuromuscular diseases, including Huntington disease (HD), myotonic dystrophy type 1 (DM1) and several spinocerebellar ataxias (SCAs) (1–3). Long CAG repeat tracts in disease genes tend to be unstable in the germline, giving rise to progeny that carry either longer repeat tracts (expansions) or shorter ones (contractions). The typical bias toward expansion generally leads to a more debilitating disease phenotype in the affected offspring, with earlier onset and more severe symptoms (4). CAG repeat instability, however, is not confined to the germline. As individuals age, the ongoing expansion-biased instability in somatic tissues, especially in the pathologically important tissues of the brain, may hasten the onset of neuron dysfunction and death, exacerbating the disease phenotype (5,6). The extent, or rate, of instability often differs in the male and female germlines, and typically varies from tissue to tissue. The mechanisms of repeat instability in germline and somatic tissues have been the subject of intense investigation, but the underlying processes in humans remain uncertain.

Numerous studies in model systems, including bacteria, yeast, flies and human cells, have identified potential contributors to repeat instability in humans that encompass all the basic DNA transactions: replication, DNA repair, recombination and transcription (4,7,8). These processes expose single strands of repeats, which can form secondary structures such as hairpins and slipped-strand duplexes (9,10) that are thought to be the key intermediates that lead to repeat instability. In addition, epigenetic modifications, chromatin structure and local sequence effects also contribute to repeat instability.
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The diversity of mechanisms identified in model systems makes it difficult to be certain which ones account for the instability seen in human germline and somatic tissues. Relevance to humans is usually tested by experiments in mice, where effects in germline and somatic tissues can be assayed. This approach has shown, for example, that the major maintenance DNA methyltransferase (Dnmt1) specifically affects CAG repeat stability in the germline (13), that the glycosylase Ogg1 selectively affects CAG repeat instability in somatic tissues (14,15) and that the mismatch repair (MMR) proteins Msh2 and Msh3 affect CAG instability in both germline and somatic tissues (16–18).

Using a selectable system for CAG repeat contraction in cultured human cells, we have shown that transcription promotes repeat contraction in a way that does not depend on DNA replication (19–21). A role for transcription in repeat instability has been confirmed in human cells for GAA repeats (22,23) and for very long CAG repeats, where transcription was shown to promote expansions as well as contractions (24). Transcription-induced CAG repeat instability is a complex process. It requires the MMR recognition complex formed by Msh2 and Msh3, which together can bind and stabilize CAG and CTG hairpins (25,26), but not the entire MMR pathway (27); it depends on genes involved in the transcription-coupled nucleotide excision repair (TC-NER) pathway, which can remove DNA structures that block RNA polymerase II (RNAPII) (28); it is enhanced by DNA–RNA hybrids (R-loops), which readily form in CAG repeat tracts (29–31); and it needs transcription factor TFIIS, which promotes backtracking of stalled RNAPII complexes (32,33), and the BRCA1/BARD1 E3 ligase and the proteasome complex, which may be responsible for the removal of stalled RNAPII complexes by ubiquitination and degradation (34–36). Interfering with any of these processes in our human cell assay decreases the frequency of transcription-induced CAG repeat contractions. Recently, we have shown that topoisomerase 1 (TOP1), tyrosyl DNA phosphodiesterase 1 (TDP1) and single-strand break repair (SSBR) collaborate to restrict transcription-induced CAG repeat instability; interference with the TOP1-TDP1-SSBR pathway increases contraction frequencies (37). Thus, the transcription-induced pathway for CAG repeat instability encompasses an extensive network of DNA repair and related processes.

Here, we sought to test whether transcription-induced CAG repeat instability might play a role in germline and somatic instability in a mouse model. If the pathway for transcription-induced CAG instability operates as we have shown in human cells, knocking out NER should alter repeat stability in germline or somatic tissues, or both. To test the involvement of NER, we chose to analyze Xpa-deficient spinocerebellar ataxia type 1 (SCA1) mice, which carry 145 CAG repeats at the Sca1 locus. We find that Xpa deficiency dramatically reduces the instability of CAG repeats in some somatic tissues, especially in the brain, but causes minimal effects on repeat instability in the germline. These results validate our original findings using an assay for CAG repeat contraction in human cells, and they suggest that transcription may induce NER-dependent CAG instability in specific somatic tissues in humans.

RESULTS

Effects of Xpa deficiency on germline repeat instability

To test for a role of NER in CAG repeat instability during germ-line transmission, we bred Xpa+/− mice with SCA1 mice, which carry an expanded CAG repeat in one Sca1 allele. From these crosses, we made use of Xpa+/−/− SCA1 and Xpa−/− SCA1 littermates. Since Xpa+/− SCA1 mice possess a normal capacity for NER, even though they express only 50% of normal levels of Xpa (38,39), we expected that Xpa+/− SCA1 mice would behave like wild-type SCA1 mice. To assess instability in both germlines, we bred males and females of each genotype to wild-type mice. Male and female Xpa+/− SCA1 and Xpa−/− SCA1 mice were bred repeatedly to assess the effects of Xpa deficiency on the age-dependent germline instability that occurs at the Sca1 locus (13,40).

We identified progeny that carried the expanded allele, and compared the length of the repeat tract in progeny tail DNA taken at weaning to that of the SCA1 donor parent. Progeny from all ages of parent (from 10 weeks to >30 weeks of age) are grouped together in Figure 1. The CAG instability we observed in the male and female germlines of Xpa+/− SCA1 mice was indistinguishable from that reported previously for Xpa+/− SCA1 mice (13,40). The distributions of tract length changes in the progeny of Xpa+/− SCA1 mice are similar to those observed in the progeny of Xpa−/− SCA1 mice (Fig. 1). Analysis using the nonparametric Mann–Whitney test indicates that the distributions are not significantly different for transmission through the male germline (P = 0.22) or the female germline (P = 0.27). From these studies, we conclude that Xpa deficiency does not significantly affect male or female germline instability of the expanded CAG repeat at the Sca1 locus.

Effects of Xpa deficiency on repeat instability in somatic tissues

To test whether Xpa deficiency affects repeat instability in somatic tissues, we initially carried out small-pool PCR analysis of three tissues—cerebellum, kidney and striatum, which, respectively, display low, moderate and high instability of the CAG repeat tract in SCA1 mice (40). We analyzed tissue DNA from 45–50-week-old Xpa+/−/− SCA1 and Xpa+/−/− SCA1 mice that had served as parents in the germline instability assay described above. For all somatic tissues analyzed in this study, Xpa+/− SCA1 mice yielded CAG repeat instabilities that were indistinguishable from those previously reported for tissues from Xpa+/−/− SCA1 mice (13,40). We initially analyzed old mice because of the age-dependence of somatic instability at the Sca1 locus (13,40). Small-pool PCR analysis of cerebellar DNA from both Xpa+/− SCA1 and Xpa−/− SCA1 mice revealed that CAG instability was too low to be informative (data not shown) (13,40). In contrast, repeat instability was clearly evident in the kidney and striatal samples from two different Xpa+/−/− SCA1 mice (Fig. 2). Remarkably, in samples from two Xpa−/−/− SCA1 mice, repeat instability in the striatum was virtually eliminated, whereas the instability in kidney samples was indistinguishable from that in Xpa+/−/− SCA1 mice (Fig. 2).
We eliminated the trivial possibility that cells with expansions were dead and gone in these old \( Xpa^{+/+} \) SCA1 mice by examining kidney and striatal samples from two 30-week-old mice, which showed the same dramatic difference as their older counterparts (Fig. 3). Thus, these results indicate that \( Xpa \) deficiency alters CAG repeat instability in some, but not all, somatic tissues.

To extend these observations, we examined three additional tissues—liver, hippocampus and cerebral cortex—from two additional 45–50-week-old \( Xpa^{+/+} \) SCA1 and \( Xpa^{-/-} \) SCA1 mice.
SCA1 mice. These tissues display moderate levels of CAG repeat instability (40). As shown in Figure 4, a similar tissue-specific difference was observed. CAG instability was dramatically reduced in the hippocampus and cerebral cortex from Xpa−/− SCA1 mice, but was not noticeably affected in the liver. Collectively, these results indicate that CAG repeat instability at the Sca1 locus is strikingly affected by Xpa deficiency in three brain tissues—striatum, hippocampus and cerebral cortex—but not in kidney or liver. To our knowledge, this is the first example of a genetic deficiency that differentially affects CAG repeat instability in different somatic tissues.

Expression of Sca1 and Xpa in different somatic tissues

We originally chose to test the effect of Xpa deficiency on repeat instability in mice because we had linked transcription-dependent CAG repeat contraction to the activities of several genes known to be involved in TC-NER in human cells. In principle, the differential effects of Xpa deficiency on repeat instability in brain tissues versus kidney and liver could arise as a consequence of natural tissue-specific differences in sense or antisense transcription through the CAG repeat tract at the Sca1 locus or in the expression levels of the Xpa gene. To test these possibilities, we analyzed RNA from the Sca1 and Xpa genes in normal mouse tissues. As can be seen in Table 1, there is no obvious correlation between the expression levels of these genes and the tissues in which repeat instability is affected by Xpa deficiency. It is possible that the long CAG repeat could alter the natural proportions of the sense and antisense transcripts at the Sca1 locus. It is known, for example, that similar-length CAG repeats at the mouse HD locus decrease sense transcription ∼30% (41,42).

However, much more extensive studies in mice with normal and expanded CAG repeats at the HD locus have demonstrated a similar lack of correlation between the level of stable transcripts from the Huntingtin gene, or from a variety of DNA repair genes, and the levels of tissue-specific CAG instability (43).

DISCUSSION

Here, we have tested the hypothesis that NER modulates CAG repeat instability in a mouse model of SCA1. Our rationale for this study derives from two observations. First, we showed that several genes involved in the TC-NER pathway were required for the transcription-dependent contraction of CAG repeats in a selection assay in human cells (19,20). Second, Drosophila lines mutant for Mus201, the homolog of the NER Xpg gene, showed significantly reduced transcription-dependent CAG repeat instability in the fly germline (44). We chose to test the effects of Xpa deficiency because it is an essential component of NER and it does not have recognized activities outside that pathway (45). We show here that the expanded CAG repeat tract in Xpa−/− SCA1 mice displays dramatically reduced instability in several regions of the brain, but not in the kidney or liver. In contrast, expanded CAG repeats exhibit normal instability in both the male and female germlines of Xpa-deficient mice. Although individual genetic deficiencies have been found to differentially affect germline and somatic CAG repeat instability—for example, Dnmt1 deficiency affects only germline instability (13) and Ogg1 deficiency is specific for somatic tissues (14,15)—Xpa is the first example of a gene that modulates CAG instability in a selected set of somatic tissues.

The variation in CAG repeat instability from tissue to tissue remains one of the most puzzling features of CAG repeat instability in mouse models and human patients (46). We do not know the basis for this variability, whether the same or distinct mechanisms operate in different tissues, or whether the mechanisms of instability are the same at different repeat loci. A survey of tissue-specific instabilities reported for mouse models of DM1, HD, SCAs 1 and 7 and dentatorubral-pallidoluysian atrophy concluded that the patterns of tissue-specific repeat instability across diseases are similar (46). The kidney and liver typically show moderate instability, whereas repeats in muscle, heart and blood are fairly stable. Likewise, the striatum typically exhibits very high repeat instability, whereas the cerebral cortex and hippocampus display intermediate instability, and the cerebellum, very
low instability. Within this overall similarity, specific differences exist; for example, in HD, CAG repeats in liver are more unstable than they are in the kidney, whereas in SCA1 the opposite is true (40,43). Nevertheless, the general similarity in the patterns of instability suggests that key elements of CAG repeat instability may be tissue-specific, rather than disease-locus-specific.

The striking tissue-specific differences in patterns of CAG repeat instability fostered a number of studies to identify the underlying cause. Studies on repeat instability in bacteria and yeast revealed a prominent role for replication (4,7,47), but in mouse models there is no obvious correlation between cell proliferation and CAG repeat instability: it is high in non-proliferating striatal neurons (48–51), does not correlate with tissue-specific cell proliferation rates (52–54) and occurs in meiotically arrested oocytes (55). Studies in bacteria and mammalian cells have shown that transcription through repeat tracts strongly destabilizes them (19–21,24,56–58). Nevertheless, tissue-specific measurements of mRNA from the affected genes in DM1 (52), HD (43) and SCA1 (Table 1) show that stable mRNA levels do not correlate with tissue-specific CAG repeat instability. Previous studies of CAG repeat instability in mouse somatic tissues have shown a critical dependence on the DNA repair genes Msh2, Msh3, Pms2 and Ogg1 (15,16,18,59–63), and it has been proposed that instability might depend on expression levels of particular DNA repair genes (40). Comparisons of tissue-specific gene expression and repeat instability in HD mouse models, however, revealed no correlation between expression of particular DNA repair genes and repeat instability (43).

Indeed, extensive pathway analysis of gene expression data suggested that multiple tissue factors combine to give the observed levels of somatic instability in different tissues (43). Our analysis of the effects of Xpa deficiency in a mouse model of SCA1 provides genetic support for the concept that multiple mechanisms contribute to the tissue-specific CAG repeat instability in mice, and by extension in patients. Consistent with our results, a recent study in a DM1 mouse model indicates that mechanistic diversity likely extends to the germline, as well; Ligl deficiency reduced CAG expansions in the female germline, but did not alter instability in the male germline (64).

Since the only known function of Xpa is as a component of the NER pathway (45), we conclude that NER is critical for CAG repeat instability in specific brain tissues in the SCA1 mouse model. Given our previous demonstration that transcription-dependent CAG repeat contraction in human cells depends on several genes involved in TC-NER (19,20), these results suggest that CAG repeat instability in these tissues may be induced by transcription at the Sca1 locus in a TC-NER dependent pathway. It was previously shown that

### Table 1. Expression of Sca1 and Xpa in various mouse tissues

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tissue</th>
<th>Sca1 sense (× 10^2)</th>
<th>Sca1 antisense (× 10^2)</th>
<th>Xpa sense (× 10^2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Whole brain</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebellum</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Striatum</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hippocampus</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebral cortex</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Levels of Sca1 and Xpa RNA were measured relative to β-actin RNA. Values are multiplied by 100 for ease of comparison. The primers used for antisense detection gave a defined product in the presence of reverse transcriptase, but not in its absence. In addition, the detected level of antisense was ∼5-fold above the detection limit of the real-time RT–PCR assay.*
deficiency of Xpc, a gene specific for global genome repair (a subpathway of NER that does not depend on transcription to trigger repair), did not affect germline transmission or instability in the striatum in an HD mouse model that carried 102 CAG repeats at the mouse homolog of the HD gene (63). These results are consistent with our observations in human cells, which showed that XPC knockdown did not affect transcription-dependent CAG repeat contraction (20).

A recent paper investigated the role of Cockayne syndrome B (Csb) protein, which is a component of NER that is specific for TC-NER (14). Using the transgenic R6/1 HD mouse model (50), which carries 130 – 140 CAG repeats in a randomly integrated 1.9 kb fragment of the human HD gene, the authors report that Csb−/− R6/1 mice display significantly increased repeat expansion in the germline, but no significant change in somatic instability in the whole brain: results contrary to the ones reported here. The basis for the difference is unclear. Knockdowns of XPA and CSB in a human cell system have given identical results in assays of CAG repeat contraction (19,37). The different results in mice could relate to differences in the systems— Sca1 knock-in versus R6/1 transgene, or SCA1 disease versus HD—or in the specific experimental approaches. For example, the authors’ conclusions about the germline effects of Csb deficiency are based on results with 10 progeny from Csb−/− R6/1 mice versus 8 progeny from Csb+/− R6/1 mice, which constitutes a small study that tests only the male germline. In contrast, the results for Xpa deficiency compare 63 progeny from Xpa−/− SCA1 mice with 78 progeny from Xpa+/− SCA1 mice, with 52 coming through the male germline and 90 through the female germline. On the other hand, the differences could arise because Csb, unlike Xpa, has additional functions beyond its involvement in NER. As the authors point out, Csb possesses chromatin remodeling activity (65), functions beyond its involvement in NER. As the authors point out, Csb possesses chromatin remodeling activity (65), binds to active transcription sites (67) and associates with RNA polymerase complexes (68–70). Resolution of the basis for the differences between results with Xpa and Csb awaits future studies.

Based on results with siRNA knockdowns of DNA repair components in human cells, we initially proposed that transcription-induced CAG repeat instability arose via stalling of RNAPII at repeat slip-outs, which then triggered TC-NER (19,20). Although our human cell system specifically assays for CAG contractions, we proposed a pathway that could also generate the expansions typically observed in somatic tissues (8,19). Transcription-dependent expansions were recently shown to occur at very long CAG repeat tracts in human fibroblasts (24). Elegant in vitro studies using transcription of slip-out substrates in HeLa nuclear extracts have now confirmed the central tenant of our model—that RNAPII can stall at repeat slip-outs (71). Moreover, the authors demonstrate that stall-outs on either the transcribed strand or the nontranscribed strand are effective in stalling RNAPII (71). They propose that either kind of stalling event could trigger TC-NER, and suggest that stall-outs on the nontranscribed strand may lead to repair-induced expansions, whereas those on the transcribed strand may lead to no change or to repair-induced contractions (71). Biochemical confirmation that TC-NER is induced by slip-outs, and that it can remove trinucleotide repeat (TNR) hairpins and restore transcription, would greatly enhance our mechanistic understanding of TNR instability. Potentially, the different consequences of TC-NER-stimulated slip-out repair on the transcribed and nontranscribed strands could underlie the NER-dependent, expansion-biased CAG repeat instability that we have documented here in the brain tissue of a mouse model of SCA1.

In summary, we have shown that Xpa deficiency substantially reduces the age-dependent CAG repeat instability at the mouse Sca1 locus in several tissues of the brain, but does not affect instability in the kidney or liver. Why neuronal tissues should be more sensitive to Xpa deficiency is not clear, but it may indicate that the transcription-dependent pathway for CAG repeat instability, which is dependent on genes involved in TC-NER (19,20), is the key pathway for CAG instability in neurons. In any case, these studies constitute the first example of a genetic defect that affects CAG repeat instability in a selected set of somatic tissues, and they support the notion that CAG instability in somatic tissues arises by multiple mechanisms (43). If ongoing expansion-biased CAG instability in the somatic tissues responsible for disease pathology hastens the onset of neuron dysfunction (5,6,72), then genes such as Xpa might be productive targets for therapeutic approaches to prevent CAG expansions in target tissues. XPA might be an especially relevant target in diseases such as HD that arise due to dysfunction in the striatum, a region of the brain that is highly susceptible to age-dependent Xpa-dependent repeat expansion (5,6).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Mice

All animal procedures were carried according to protocols approved by the Baylor College of Medicine Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Xpa-deficient mice were generated by gene targeting in embryonic stem (ES) cells derived from the F1 hybrid of a CBA by C57BL/6 cross, and then outcrossed to CD-1 mice (38). The Xpa null allele in these mice carries a neomycin cassette in exon 4 (38). The knock-in allele of Sca1 with a long CAG repeat tract was generated from AB2.2 ES cells (derived from the 129Sv/Ev mouse strain) and backcrossed to C57BL/6 females for more than 10 generations before crossing to Xpa-deficient mice (73). Males heterozygous for the knock-in allele of Sca1 with 145 CAG repeats were crossed to Xpa−/− mice to generate Xpa+/− Sca1+/145Q. We refer to Sca1+/145Q mice as SCA1 mice. Xpa+/− SCA1 male mice were crossed to Xpa−/− mice and the progeny were genotyped to identify Xpa+/− SCA1 and Xpa−/− SCA1 mice. Male and female Xpa+/− SCA1 and Xpa−/− SCA1 mice were then crossed to C57BL/6 mice to assess intergenerational repeat stability. Progeny SCA1 mice were obtained from parental mice at a variety of ages from 10 weeks to >30 weeks and were fairly evenly distributed with respect to the age of the parents. For female Xpa+/− SCA1 donors, 13 progeny came from donors <15 weeks, 20 from donors between 15 and 25 weeks and 22 from donors >25 weeks. For female Xpa−/− SCA1 donors, 9 progeny came from donors <15 weeks, 14 from donors between 15 and 25 weeks and 11 from donors...
> 25 weeks. For male Xpa\(^{+/−}\) SCA1 donors, 5 progeny came from donors < 15 weeks, 12 from donors between 15 and 25 weeks and 6 from donors > 25 weeks. For male Xpa\(^{−/−}\) SCA1 donors, 7 progeny came from donors < 15 weeks, 12 from donors between 15 and 25 weeks and 10 from donors > 25 weeks. The repeat tract lengths in the parents and offspring were determined by sequencing tail DNA at weaning. The repeat tract lengths in Xpa\(^{+/−}\) SCA1 male donors (n = 8) ranged from 140 to 146; in Xpa\(^{−/−}\) SCA1 female donors (n = 12), from 140 to 148; in Xpa\(^{−/−}\) SCA1 male donors (n = 14), from 139 to 149; and in Xpa\(^{−/−}\) SCA1 female donors (n = 14), from 142 to 145.

Six parental Xpa\(^{+/−}\) SCA1 and six parental Xpa\(^{−/−}\) SCA1 mice were ultimately sacrificed to measure repeat tract instability in various somatic tissues. Two of each genotype (one male and one female) were sacrificed at 30 weeks to examine CAG repeat instability in the striatum and kidney. Two of each genotype (one male and one female) were sacrificed at 45–50 weeks to examine instability in the striatum, cerebellum, kidney and liver. In all cases, the results were comparable with those shown in Figures 2–4.

**PCR primers and sequencing**

The Xpa deficient mice were genotyped by PCR of tail DNA. Two primers were used: Xp3 (5′-tta atc ttc cag aga tgc tga) and Xp4 (5′-gcc ctt act aga cca cgg tga). Genotyping primers for the Sca1 locus were oVIN-24F (5′-aag atg ggc aat cgg cag) and oVIN-24R (5′-aag cct gct gag gtt cgg ctg cgg). To determine repeat size at weaning, we amplified the repeat tract and sequenced it, as previously described (13). The CAG repeat was amplified with oVIN-106F (5′-cgt gta ccc tcc tcc tca gt) and oVIN-24R, and the PCR products were sequenced directly using oVIN-95F (5′-gcc cac tcc atc aca gc). Sequencing was performed by the Baylor College of Medicine Sequencing Core.

**Small-pool PCR**

Small-pool PCR was carried out as described previously (13). Briefly, genomic DNA was digested with BanII to fragment it and to cleave the wild-type allele, which carries an intact BanII site at the point where the expanded CAG repeat was inserted in the knock-in allele (73). Initial reactions were set up using 100, 50, 25 and 12.5 pg of genomic DNA from each tissue sample to determine the amount of DNA that would give two to five amplifiable genomes per reaction. For each tissue sample, 30 small-pool PCR reactions were carried out and the products were analyzed by gel electrophoresis. Representative sections of those gels are shown in Figure 2–4. Samples were amplified in 10 μl reactions with 5% DMSO, using ChromaTaq (Denville Scientific) and primers oVIN-25F (5′-gtc acc agt gca gta gcc tca g) and oVIN-25R (5′-atg tac tgg ttc tgc tgt gtt), which primed 111 bp upstream and 51 bp downstream of the repeat, respectively. The PCR program was 94°C for 2 min for initial denaturation, followed by 30 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 60°C for 30 s and 72°C for 1 min. The PCR products were separated by electrophoresis on a 2% agarose TAE gel at 80 V for 16 h, transferred to a nylon membrane and hybridized with a 5′ \(^{32}P\)-labeled (CAG)\(_{10}\) probe. The resulting blots were analyzed by phosphorimaging. Tail DNA from the same mouse as the tissue sample was included on either side of each set of reactions to serve as a marker against which to judge expansion and contraction.

**Real-time RT–PCR**

A panel of tissue total RNA from 30-week-old C57BL/6 mice was purchased from Zyagen (San Diego, CA, USA). To measure the level of Sca1 sense transcript, we first synthesized cDNA from the sense transcript, using reverse transcriptase and primer mSca1-4SSP (CGATGCTTGGACAGCGTCT CTGGGTTGAAGTTCGTG), which links the Sca1 sense-strand-specific sequence (CCTCTGGGGTGAACT TCTCG) to the SSP universal primer (CGATGCTTGGAGAGCCCGT), whose sequence is not present in the genome. Reverse transcriptase was then inactivated by incubation at 95°C for 10 min. The cDNA was amplified using primer mSca1-3 (TATGCTGGTGTCTGCC) and the SSP universal primer, which together amplify a 170 bp segment of Sca1 exon 7, just downstream of the position of the CAG repeats. The amplified fragment was quantified by real-time PCR. For the measurement of antisense transcripts, we synthesized cDNA from the antisense transcript, using reverse transcriptase and the primer mSca1-3SSP (CGATGCTTGGACAGCGTCT GTGGTCTGCC), in which the universal SSP primer is linked to the Sca1 antisense-strand-specific sequence (TATGCTGG TGTTGTCTGC). The antisense cDNA was then amplified using a mixture of the mSca1-4 (CCTCTGGGGTGAA GTTCTCG) primer and the universal SSP primer, which amplifies the same 170 bp segment of the Sca1 mRNA, and quantified by real-time PCR. For the antisense-strand-specific assays, we showed that amplification was not observed if reverse transcriptase was omitted from the reactions, indicating a lack of significant DNA contamination. An ethidium bromide-stained gel of the products of extensive amplification of the antisense transcript from various tissues is shown in Supplementary Material, Figure S1. To measure Xpa transcript levels, we used primers mXPA-1 (ACTGTGCTGGCGGAG) and mXPA-2 (CATGAACAAATGTTCACACCCAGT), which yield a 165 bp fragment. In all cases, results were normalized to the concentration of β-actin RNA, which was determined the same way using primers mActin3 (ATTGTACCAACTGGG GACGA) and mActin4 (ATCTGGGTGATCTTTTGAGC), which yield a 142 bp fragment. Conditions for real-time PCR were 95°C for 15 min, followed by 45 cycles of 94°C for 15 s, 50°C for 30 s and 72°C for 30 s. Double-stranded DNA was detected with SYBR green chemistry, using a BioRad C1000 Thermal Cycler.

**Statistics**

Distributions of tract-length changes during germline transmission were compared using the non-parametric Mann–Whitney test, which does not assume a normal distribution.
SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Supplementary Material is available at HMG online.
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