A frameshift mutation in \textit{LRSAM1} is responsible for a dominant hereditary polyneuropathy
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Despite the high number of genes identified in hereditary polyneuropathies/Charcot–Marie–Tooth (CMT) disease, the genetic defect in many families is still unknown. Here we report the identification of a new gene for autosomal dominant axonal neuropathy in a large three-generation family. Linkage analysis identified a 5 Mb region on 9q33–34 with a LOD score of 5.12. Sequence capture and next-generation sequencing of the region of interest identified five previously unreported non-synonymous heterozygous single nucleotide changes or indels, four of which were confirmed by Sanger sequencing. Two sequence variants co-segregated with the disease, and one, a 2 bp insertion in the last exon of \textit{LRSAM1}, was also absent in 676 ethnicity-matched control chromosomes. This frameshift mutation (p.Leu708Argfx28) is located in the C-terminal RING finger motif of the encoded protein. Ubiquitin ligase activity in transfected cells with constructs carrying the patient mutation was affected as measured by a higher level of abundance of TSG101, the only reported target of \textit{LRSAM1}. Injects of morpholino oligonucleotides in zebrafish embryos directed against the ATG or last splice site of zebrafish \textit{Lrsam1} disturbed neurodevelopment, showing a less organized neural structure and, in addition, affected tail formation and movement. \textit{LRSAM1} is highly expressed in adult spinal cord motoneurons as well as in fetal spinal cord and muscle tissue. Recently, a homozygous mutation in \textit{LRSAM1} was proposed as a strong candidate for the disease in a family with recessive axonal polyneuropathy. Our data strongly support the hypothesis that \textit{LRSAM1} mutations can cause both dominant and recessive forms of CMT.

INTRODUCTION

Hereditary motor and sensory neuropathies (HMSN), also known as Charcot–Marie–Tooth (CMT) disease, consist of a group of common inherited peripheral neuropathies that are heterogeneous at the clinical and genetic levels. Clinically, they can be divided into demyelinating and axonal forms of the disease, primarily based on electrophysiological data. Demyelinating neuropathies show a marked decrease in median motor nerve conduction velocities (NCVs). The most frequent type of CMT with an autosomal dominant mode of inheritance, CMT1A, is most commonly caused by duplication of \textit{PMP22}, although mutations and copy number variants in \textit{PMP22} are also described (1–6).

CMT2 is an axonal type of CMT with reduced compound motor axon potentials but initially no reduction in NCVs. However, the clinical features of patients of both types can be quite similar. X-linked forms of CMT also exist as well.
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RESULTS

The clinical phenotype is associated with the chromosomal region 9q33–34

The index patient of Dutch descent presented at the age of 32 with progressive distal muscle weakness and mild sensory disturbances of both feet. Over decades, his symptoms were slowly progressive now also including weakness of hand muscles. He had relatively mild foot deformities with pes cavus and hammer toes and a marked atrophy of his lower legs with absent tendon reflexes. The vibration sense was mildly reduced, showing a proximal to distal gradient. His family history revealed various other family members with the same features (Fig. 1; Table 1). Nerve conduction studies and needle EMG showed a relatively severe axonal neuropathy with absent sural nerve sensory nerve action potentials, mildly reduced motor NCVs and severe distal neurogenic features on needle examination. Over two decades ago, a sural nerve biopsy was performed that showed severe axonal degeneration confirming the diagnosis of CMT2. The clinical phenotype can be summarized as a relatively mild, very slowly progressive axonal neuropathy with age of onset in the second or third decade of life. The pedigree of the family clearly showed an autosomal dominant inheritance of the disease.

Mutations in the coding regions of MFN2, MPZ, GJB1 and GARS, which are known to be associated with CMT2, were excluded. In view of the large number of CMT2-associated genes and the availability of many affected individuals in this family, we decided to locate the genetic defect by genome-wide linkage analysis using an Affymetrix 250K single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) array. Due to the large number of family members, the family was split into two smaller pedigrees for fast multipoint parametric analysis using the ALLEGRO (10) program with the assumption of autosomal dominant inheritance and full penetrance. Analysis showed a single peak on chromosome 9 with a maximum LOD score of 4.52 (Fig. 2). When confining the analysis to markers on chromosome 9 using SimWalk2 (11), multipoint LOD scores could be calculated for the family as a whole resulting in a maximum LOD score of 5.12. Therefore, the single critical interval on 9q33–34, previously unknown as a CMT locus, of approximately 7 cm must harbor the causative mutation for the disease. Consequent construction of haplotypes with ALLEGRO revealed a specific haplotype that showed perfect co-segregation with the disease (Fig. 3). The borders of this haplotype shared between all affected individuals were defined by two limiting SNPs (rs10081624 and rs2039463) which are 4.4 Mb apart.

Identification of heterozygous changes associated with the clinical phenotype

We designed a custom sequence capture array covering the entire linkage region (chr9: 127624486–132419012 hg18/NCBI36). Genomic DNA of an affected individual (subject 2.2) was enriched by sequence capture for this region, sequenced on a FLX Titanium sequencer (Roche) and mapped to the human consensus genome (hg18) with Newbler software. The captured region contains 78 annotated genes, 10 loci encoding predicted proteins and 2 microRNAs. Over 94% of all exons were sequenced with coverage of more than 10 times. Analysis yielded 5827 reliable nucleotide changes which is within the estimated range of nucleotide changes to be expected for a 5 Mb region. Since the mode of inheritance is autosomal dominant, the expected disease-causing mutation would be a heterozygous change. Within
annotated genes, we found 330 nucleotide variations, 51 of these were heterozygous changes within exons or within 20 nucleotides of the exon–intron boundaries. The majority of sequence variants were already known as polymorphisms in the public databases. Five heterozygous changes were not known as polymorphisms and were located in the coding region of the corresponding genes, two of which were frameshift mutations, the other three being missense mutations (Table 2; Supplementary Material, Table S2). The sequence variants in GOLGA2, SLC27A4, WDR34 and LRSAM1 were all confirmed by Sanger sequencing, whereas the frameshift in ST6GALNAc6 represented a pyrosequencing artifact due to the presence of homopolymer stretches.

**LRSAM1 is a candidate gene for CMT2**

For segregation analysis, the presence of the four validated changes was determined by direct sequencing in seven additional family members (five affected and three non-affected persons). The missense mutation in WDR34 and the frameshift mutation in LRSAM1 both segregated with the disease. The other two missense mutations in GOLGA2 and SLC27A4 were variations located on the non-disease-associated allele. They were both found in two of three healthy individuals and, in addition, were absent in four of five affected individuals and should therefore be regarded as rare polymorphisms not related to the disease. Prediction programs (Polyphen, SIFT) indicated that the change in WDR34 was not expected to be harmful to the protein. Moreover, we identified two heterozygotes in 352 ethnicity-matched controls. In contrast, the 2 bp insertion in LRSAM1 was absent in 676 control chromosomes. Screening of all available family members, 11 affected and 8 non-affected individuals, showed complete co-segregation with the disease. The 2 bp insertion leads to a replacement of a leucine by an arginine residue near the predicted C-terminal RING finger domain and a concomitant frameshift with a stop codon 28 codons downstream (p.Leu708Argfx28). This would make the mutant protein slightly longer than the wild-type one, since normal translation would terminate only 16 amino acids downstream of this position. Thus, LRSAM1 is a very strong candidate gene for the neuropathy in this family. In addition, it was published recently that another mutation in LRSAM1 in a Canadian family was thought to be the cause for a recessive form of CMT2 (12). To determine if the found mutation of the Dutch family would possibly occur more frequently in Dutch CMT2 pedigrees, 11 patients as representatives of 11 additional CMT2 pedigrees in which no genetic aberration had been identified as well as 32 unrelated CMT1 patients without known mutations were screened for this specific insertion but we could not identify other Dutch families carrying the identical mutation.

**LRSAM1 is highly expressed in the fetal and adult nervous system**

We examined LRSAM1 expression by using locked nucleic acid (LNA) probes in RNA in situ experiments in neural tissue on sections of a 6–8-week-old human fetus and adult spinal cord. Motoneurons in the human adult spinal cord
showed high LRSAM1 expression (Fig. 4) and a clear signal was also seen in some intermediate neurons (data not shown). Expression in a human fetus was found to be high in the fetal spinal cord, sensory ganglia and all developing muscles but was also observed in some other tissues such as the esophageal endothelium, epithelium, Meckel’s cartilage and at a location that probably contains osteoblasts in the developing pharyngeal arches. Although expression is not restricted to neural tissue, a high LRSAM1 expression is present in all tissues that are affected by the disease (Fig. 4).

**Interference with Lrsam1 in zebrafish embryos results in a neurodevelopmental phenotype**

To study the role of LRSAM1 in neurodevelopment, we injected zebrafish embryos with morpholino oligonucleotides (MOs) to target the Lrsam1 gene (ENSDARG00000060683). We used MOs directed against the ATG to obtain a knockdown phenotype. We designed a splice site MO targeting the last exon, thereby mimicking the situation as found in our patients as closely as possible. MOs directed against splice sites usually lead to exon skipping but we expected that cryptic splice acceptor sites present at a short distance from the original site would be activated, resulting in a protein that is slightly different at the C-terminal end but not prone to nonsense-mediated decay similar to the situation in the patients. RT–PCR followed by sequence analysis on zebrafish embryos indeed showed that an alternative acceptor splice site at 39 nucleotides upstream of the normal acceptor site was used (data not shown) leading to a frameshift followed by a stop codon after 13 codons, replacing the last 43 codons of the wild-type transcript.

Several injection experiments were performed to obtain the optimal concentration for the MO used. After 6 h post-fertilization (hpf), a delay in development was readily observed for the ATG morphants and to a lesser extent for the splice MO-injected embryos, whereas it was hardly present if at all for the control MO-injected embryos (Fig. 5). After 24 hpf, death had occurred in a relatively high percentage and most of the surviving ATG and splice morphants were clearly affected. Only a low percentage of death and abnormalities was seen for the wild-type non-injected or control injected embryos. The phenotype at 2–3 days post-fertilization (dpf) varied from near-normal with a slightly smaller head, a slightly shorter body axis, slightly less pigmentation and bent tail tips to completely curled up and smaller embryos with bent tails with little pigmentation, smaller eyes, abnormal brain development and a less organized structure of the somites. The morphology of all MO-injected embryos at 54 hpf is given in Figure 5, typically affecting about 70–80% of the embryos with about one-third severely affected. The data for six independent experiments are given in Table 3. Despite the observed variation in the severity of the phenotype, the injections reproducibly gave similar results with a phenotype that was comparable between ATG and splice morphants. When allowed to develop for a longer time (experiment 1), the majority of morphants would not hatch. When excluding the most severely affected morphants which obviously would also show abnormal swimming behavior, we observed quite a high percentage with swimming abnormalities which was expected for the ones with curly tails but was also seen in the morphants that looked (near) normal. While wobbly swimming behaviour may partially be related to a developmental delay, some embryos showed no normal response at all when repeatedly touched using a tip of a pipette.

The abnormal phenotype in zebrafish is associated with abnormal motoneuron development

As CMT2 is an axonal neuropathy, the observed phenotype in zebrafish morphants would probably originate in neural
abnormalities. To explore this possibility, we used an antibody, znpi, that detects caudal and middle motoneurons and Rohon Beard primary neurons. Immunohistochemical staining of embryos with a slightly to moderately affected phenotype of 30 hpf to 4 dpf showed several abnormalities. Severely affected embryos displayed a very weak staining due to a...
completely disorganized structure. In less affected embryos, staining seems reduced for both ATG and splice morphants and, in addition, showed a more irregular structure in contrast to the wild-type staining (Fig. 6). Motoneurons normally migrate halfway over the midline of the somite and then develop more extensive arborizations. This structure is less organized in the morphants. When examining older embryos at 4 dpf, a time point where the effects of the MOs are likely to have worn off, staining intensity increases to a level comparable to the controls, but the neural structure remains less well organized (Fig. 6, bottom panels). The observed neuronal aberrations probably explain at least a large part of the phenotype also affecting movement and swimming behavior.

The mutation in LRSAM1 affects its function

LRSAM1 encodes a multidomain protein and has been shown to possess E3-ubiquitin ligase activity owing to the C-terminal RING finger domain (13). E3-ubiquitin ligases constitute a large family of enzymes that can modify their target proteins through covalent attachment of ubiquitin molecules, which will promote their proteosomal degradation. The product of tumor suppressor gene 101, TSG101, is the only LRSAM1 target reported to date. Since the CMT2-associated mutation is located at the border of the C-terminal RING finger domain, it could perturb its E3-ligase activity. To test this hypothesis, HEK293T cells were co-transfected with LRSAM1 and TSG101 expression constructs. The introduction of a RING-inactivating mutation in LRSAM1 which completely abolishes its E3-ligase activity (p.C675A) (13) resulted in an increased abundance of exogenously introduced TSG101, despite equal transfection efficiencies (Fig. 7). Similarly, the introduction of the patient LRSAM1 mutation increased the abundance of the tagged TSG101 to a level even higher than that observed with the C675A mutant, thus supporting the notion that E3-ligase function of the CMT2-associated mutated LRSAM1 is affected.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GENE</th>
<th>Pos. chr9 (hg18)</th>
<th>Change</th>
<th>NT</th>
<th>Amino acid</th>
<th>Exon</th>
<th>Coverage</th>
<th>% mutant reads</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GOLGA2</td>
<td>130059259</td>
<td>G&gt;A</td>
<td>c.2881C&gt;T</td>
<td>p.Pro961Ser</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>34 reads</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLC27A4</td>
<td>130155189</td>
<td>A&gt;G</td>
<td>c.1052A&gt;G</td>
<td>p.Asn351Ser</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>62 reads</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WDR34</td>
<td>130435956</td>
<td>G&gt;A</td>
<td>c.1499G&gt;A</td>
<td>p.Ala590Val</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>50 reads</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST6GALNAC6</td>
<td>129692883–884</td>
<td>CG&gt;-</td>
<td>c.557_558delGC</td>
<td>p.Pro186Gln fsX38</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>63 reads</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRSAM1</td>
<td>129304950–951</td>
<td>-&gt;GC</td>
<td>c.2121_2122insGC</td>
<td>p.Leu708Arg fsX28</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>20 reads</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The position (pos.) on the chromosome is given, as well as the consequence for the gene involved at the nucleotide level (NT) and the protein level.

Figure 4. LRSAM1 shows a high expression in neural and muscle tissue. RNA in situ hybridization using LNA probes on (A) adult spinal cord (10× magnification), (B) negative control on spinal cord; (C–H): cross-sections of human fetus 6–8 weeks, approximately at a level between the heart and the brain. (C–E) Overlapping pictures at 1.6× magnification, (F) negative control on the fetal spinal cord and (G and H) 4×10 magnification of specific parts of (E). Detection of RNA was visualized by the blue color, and counterstaining was performed with fast Nuclear red. M = muscle, V = developing vertebra, O = oesophagus, SC = spinal cord, SG = sensory ganglia.
DISCUSSION

We identified a mutation in *LRSAM1*, c.2121_2122insGC, p.Leu708ArgfsX28, causative for CMT in a large three-generation family with axonal neuropathy. The 2 bp insertion in the last exon of this gene results in the exchange of the last leucine by an arginine at the border of the C-terminal RING finger motif (amino acids 674–713). In addition, it leads to a

![Figure 5. Phenotype of MO-injected zebrafish embryos at 6 and 54 hpf. (A) Wt embryos at 6 hpf and embryos injected with a control MO (B), ATG MO (C) or splice MO (D). (E–H) Wt embryos at 54 hpf (e) and (F–H) embryos injected with a control MO (f), ATG MO (g) or splice MO (h) at 54 hpf. Magnification used was 0.8 x. (I–L) A further magnification of the same ATG (I and J) and splice (K and L) MO-injected embryos where the black bar indicates the size of a wild-type embryo.](https://academic.oup.com/hmg/article-abstract/21/2/358/663275)
frameshift predicted to result in a protein that is 12 amino acids longer than the normal protein. Since the mutation is located in the last exon, the mutant mRNA would not be prone to degradation by nonsense-mediated decay, which may explain the dominant nature of the phenotype. As expected, both mRNAs were found to be present at comparable levels in patient fibroblasts, as determined by RT–PCR where a null mutation and the other one blocking splicing at the 3′ end of the messenger, thereby mimicking the patient mutation described here. When screening the sequence of the used messengers against other zebrafish transcripts, no other effect was observed. It is important to mention that the morphological effects and consequences on zebrafish embryos in experiment (exp.) 1 were not dechorionated, but scored for the percentage of hatching and sacrificed at 4 dpf, embryos in exp. 2–4 were dechorionated at 48 hpf and sacrificed at 54 hpf, embryos in exp. 5 and 6 were dechorionated at 72 hpf if necessary and sacrificed at 78 hpf. For staining purposes, six embryos were removed at 30 hpf (splice), 6–16 (ATG), 6–12 embryos (como) and 10–16 (wt) (exp. 2 and 3). Removed embryos and embryos damaged by dechorionation were excluded from final counts. 750a: Volumes of 1 nl were injected except for exp. 2 in which the estimated injection size was 1.15 nl which equals 1 nl of a solution of 750 μM. ABN, abnormal; NN, near normal; A, clearly affected; SA, severely affected. †, Death.

Table 3. Injection experiments of zebrafish embryos.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exp.</th>
<th>MO</th>
<th>Conc. (μM)</th>
<th>#</th>
<th>%† 24 hpf</th>
<th>%† final</th>
<th>morphology and hatching</th>
<th>swimming</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>ATG</td>
<td>750a</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2 NN, 9 ABN (5 SA)</td>
<td>1/2 NN and all ABN swim abnormal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>ATG</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>6/13 non-hatched and SA</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>ATG</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>6 NN, 15 ABN (5 SA)</td>
<td>2 N swimmers rest ABN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>ATG</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>13 NN, 35 ABN (10 SA)</td>
<td>4 N swimmers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>ATG</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>30 NN, 6 ABN (2 SA)</td>
<td>14 ABN swimmers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>ATG</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>All N except 7 ABN (3 SA)</td>
<td>10 ABN swimmers, rest N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>ATG</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>All N, 2/27 w abnormal tails</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>splice</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>All dead</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>splice</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1 N, 1 curvy tail, rest ABN</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>splice</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>1 N, 11 ABN (5SA, 2 weak)</td>
<td>1 N one swims abnormal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>splice</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>6 NN, 21 ABN (12 SA)</td>
<td>2 N swimmers, rest ABN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>splice</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>4 NN 21 ABN (8 SA)</td>
<td>3 N swimmers, rest ABN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>splice</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>1 N, 12 ABN</td>
<td>ABN ones ABN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>splice</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>30 NN, 54 ABN (15 SA)</td>
<td>2–3 swim N, rest wobbly to ABN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>splice</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>44 NN, 24 ABN (3 SA)</td>
<td>16 ABN swimmers, 3 N, rest wobbly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>como</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>All N</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>como</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>All N except one with curvy tip of tail</td>
<td>ABN one swims ABN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>como</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>All N except 1</td>
<td>5 ABN swimmers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>como</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>All N except 2 with pigtails</td>
<td>2 ABN ones move a bit do not swim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>como</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>All N except 2 (curly, 1 curvy tail tip)</td>
<td>ABN one swims ABN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>como</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>All N except 1 with slightly curvy tailtip</td>
<td>ABN one swims ABN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>– (wt)</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>All N</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>– (wt)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>All N</td>
<td>All swim N</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>– (wt)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>All N</td>
<td>All swim N</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>– (wt)</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>All N except 1 with curvy tail</td>
<td>Curly one swims ABN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>– (wt)</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>All N except 1 withh curvy tail</td>
<td>3–4 ABN swimmers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>– (wt)</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>All N except 1</td>
<td>ABN one swims ABN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Embryos in experiment (exp.) 1 were not dechorionated, but scored for the percentage of hatching and sacrificed at 4 dpf, embryos in exp. 2–4 were dechorionated at 48 hpf and sacrificed at 54 hpf, embryos in exp. 5 and 6 were dechorionated at 72 hpf if necessary and sacrificed at 78 hpf. For staining purposes, six embryos were removed at 30 hpf (splice), 6–16 (ATG), 6–12 embryos (como) and 10–16 (wt) (exp. 2 and 3). Removed embryos and embryos damaged by dechorionation were excluded from final counts. 750a: Volumes of 1 nl were injected except for exp. 2 in which the estimated injection size was 1.15 nl which equals 1 nl of a solution of 750 μM. ABN, abnormal; NN, near normal; A, clearly affected; SA, severely affected. †, Death.
was initially implicated in PC12 cells in E-cadherin-mediated cell adhesion and WNT signaling. Subsequent studies showed that it controls sorting of ubiquitinylated cargo and viral budding, which is attributed to ubiquitinylation of TSG101, a component of the ESCRT-I (endosomal-sorting complexes required for transport) sorting machinery (20). Ubiquitinylation by LRSAM1 or Mahogunin RING finger 1 (MGRN1), another RING finger E3-ubiquitin ligase (21), is an important post-translational determinant for expression of TSG101. The found CMT2-associated mutation that is located in the C-terminal RING domain of LRSAM1 required for ubiquitinylation indeed affects TSG101 abundance in co-transfected cells akin to what we observed when using the RING finger dead LRSAM1 mutant C675A (13) which acts as a non-functional allele in this assay. Although it is currently unknown if the readout for LRSAM1 function used here is the (most) relevant aspect ultimately leading to the disease, we can conclude from Figure 7 that the introduction of a high expression of wild-type LRSAM1 does not significantly change the level of exogenously introduced TSG101 when comparing it with the sample in which no exogenous LRSAM1 was introduced, whereas mutant LRSAM1, similar to the C675A loss-of-function mutant, does affect these levels. We propose that the found mutation is a loss-of-function mutation that exerts a downstream dominant effect, and therefore should be regarded as a dominant negative mutation. However, we cannot exclude that mutant LRSAM1 could have acquired some additional characteristic of a dominant nature which we cannot measure using this assay. Based on these results and the expression of both mutant and wild-type miRNAs in patient fibroblasts, haploinsufficiency can be excluded as the mechanism leading to the disease as is the case for the carriers of the Canadian recessive mutation, who have the wild-type allele in addition to a null allele and are not affected. Due to the presence of a sterile alpha motif domain that attributes to oligomerization processes (22), LRSAM1 may act as a homodimer, like other RING finger E3-ubiquitin ligases, or be part of a multimeric ligase complex, but be defective in evoking the normal response, probably by a change in ubiquitinylation signaling. Consequent downstream effects such as dysregulation of expression levels of TSG101, (wild-type) LRSAM1 or other targets or changes in dimerization capacity and/or binding affinity may explain the dominant outcome of the mutation with clinical features similar to having no LRSAM1 protein at all.

Recently, LRSAM1 was also reported as a novel candidate modifier for Huntington’s disease, with a role in the regulation of localization and clearance of the protein (23). The upregulation of LRSAM1 led to the amelioration of the Htt phenotype in mice and prevented the loss of NeuN-positive cell bodies in primary striatum cultures, concomitant with a reduction in nuclear aggregates. Conversely, the loss of normal LRSAM1 expression or function in the case of a homozygous recessive mutation or a dominant negative mutation would result in the loss of this neuroprotective effect. Except for TSG101 and Huntington protein, more proteins may be regulated by LRSAM1 activity and affect CNS neurons as well. In this respect, it is remarkable that two of our CMT2 patients with the LRSAM1 mutation also developed Parkinson’s disease. Another report described LRSAM1 as a potential...
component of the antibacterial autophagic response. This would be regulated by its leucine-rich repeats which are typically involved in the detection of pathogen-associated molecular patterns by the innate immune system (24) that is known to be relevant for neural regeneration (25). Clearly, LRSAM1 may affect several pathways and the mechanism how this will lead to a neuropathy will be the subject for further study.

Based on the number of expressed sequence tags and other information in publicly available databases, LRSAM1 appears to be widely expressed, although it was also reported to be higher in tissues with a high energy demand such as the brain, heart, skeletal muscle, liver and kidney. Although we did find a high expression in the tissues that are affected in CMT, it was not restricted to these tissues. Many CMT-associated genes are widely expressed and have been implied in the protein machinery, ranging from amino-acyl transferases to transport. As such, a mutation in LRSAM1 with a potential role in protein clearance would fit into this picture. It is currently unclear whether the large distances in and energy requirement of neural cells make these cells more vulnerable to these mutations or whether the proteins involved in CMT have an additional neural-specific function, as for the case of LRSAM1 the outcome of the phenotype in the zebrafish model could suggest. Our data indicate that LRSAM1 function is relevant for neural development and functioning, and although not neural-specific, it is highly expressed in tissues relevant for CMT both at the fetal level and at the adult level. The identified mutation in the C-terminal part of the protein affects its function to such an extent that the consequent effect is of a dominant nature. In summary, we can conclude that the LRSAM1 mutation we identified in this family is causative for a dominant form of CMT2 enabling further genetic testing for next generations in this family. The absence of LRSAM1 in zebrafish which would represent a null mutation results in a phenotype comparable to the mimicked patient mutation in this model. This provides evidence that the homozygous recessive LRSAM1 mutation that was reported is indeed the causative mutation. We have shown that LRSAM1 mutations can cause both dominant and recessive forms of CMT2 and have also provided a new CMT2 model for inherited neuropathies.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients and DNA

Patients were all seen by an experienced neurologist and subjected to detailed examination. The index person of the family was a Dutch male presenting with the disease at 32 years of age (subject 2.5). There was no medical history of note. Detailed clinical information for all affected family members is given in Table 1. In addition, two brothers of the index patient developed Parkinson’s disease at the age of 64 (subject 2.2) and 52 (subject 2.4), respectively. Both showed slowly progressive extrapyramidal features with an asymmetrical onset, a relatively good response to levodopa and a slowly progressive course. Extrapyramidal features were not observed in the younger family members. Clinical data and blood samples were collected as part of the diagnostic procedure for hereditary neuropathies for which all patients gave their consent. Genomic DNA was isolated from blood by proteinase K/SDS treatment followed by phenol/chloroform extractions and ethanol precipitation. Tissue samples for expression analysis were obtained from the department of pathology.

Linkage analysis

DNA samples from 11 affected and 8 unaffected members of the family were genotyped using the Affymetrix GeneChip® Human Mapping 250K Sty Array as described before (26). The gender of samples was verified by counting heterozygous SNPs on the X chromosome. Relationship errors were evaluated with the help of the program graphical representation of relationships (27). Linkage analysis was performed assuming autosomal dominant inheritance, full penetrance and a disease gene frequency of 0.0001. Multipoint LOD scores were calculated using the programs ALLEGR (10) and SimWalk2 (11). The latter program is much slower but allows for the analysis of large families without splitting them. Haplotypes were constructed with ALLEGR and presented graphically with Haploview (28). All data handling was performed using the graphical interface ALOHOMORA (29).

Next-generation and Sanger sequencing

Sequence capture was performed using a custom design 385K capture array (chr9: 12762486–132419012 hg18/NCBI36) according to the protocol supplied by the manufacturer (Nimblegen, Roche). Basically, a representing library was made of the patient’s DNA sheared to an average length of 500–800 bp by cavitation (Covaris). After hybridization, DNA enriched for target sequences was eluted, amplified, quantified (Qubit) and analyzed on a BioAnalyzer DNA 7500 chip (Agilent) followed by pyrosequencing on an FLX titanium sequencer. Analysis was performed with the Newbler program (v2.3, Roche). About 1 × 10^6 reads were obtained from 1.4 × 10^6 key passed sequences. Of the 6.5 × 10^2 filter passed reads, 96% could be mapped, and 50% of the mapped reads were uniquely mapped to the target region and were scanned for differences with the human consensus sequence using the Roche software. All high-quality differences were analyzed for their heterozygous or homozygous state, position within coding exons or distance of at most 20 nucleotides from exon–intron boundaries, known occurrence as polymorphisms and potential effect on the protein.

Direct Sanger sequencing was performed on amplified exons containing the heterozygous nucleotide changes. Amplification was performed with exon-specific M13-tagged primers using 20 ng of genomic DNA, 4 mM MgCl2, Hotfire polymerase (Solys Biodyne) and a touchdown PCR program. Primer sequences are provided in Supplementary Material, Table S1. The resulting PCR products were treated with shrimp alkaline phosphatase and exonuclease I prior to sequencing using the ABI Big Dye Terminator cycle sequencing kit and an ABI3730xl sequencer (Applied Biosystems).

LNA in situ hybridizations

Fluorescein-labeled LNA/2′-O-Me probes (locked nucleic acid/2′-O-methyl RNA) were synthesized by Ribotask ApS (Odense, Denmark) and hybridized according to Budde et al. (26) followed
by anti-fluorescein-labeled alkaline phosphatase-coupled Fab fragment (Roche, 1:200) incubation as a secondary step. After several washes with a decrease salt concentration to 0.2× SSC, a final wash was done at ambient temperature and the SK5300 alkaline phosphatase detection kit (Vector Laboratories, Burlingham, CA, USA) was used for visualization. Pretreatment conditions were slightly different for adult and fetal sections. Fetal tissue sections were pretreated using 20 μg/ml protK, followed by post-fixation in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA), and denaturation of proteins in adult sections was achieved by a 10 min incubation in a microwave in 0.1 M citric acid/0.1 M trisodium citrate (pH = 6). Probe concentrations were 0.25–1 μM. Secondary antibody fragment dilutions were 1:200 and 1:1000, respectively. Counterstaining was performed using fast Nuclear red. Pictures were taken on an Olympus BX41 microscope using CellD software. As a control, samples were taken where the probe was omitted from the hybridization mixture. The sequence of the LNA probe used was: 5′-FAM-UcuGgcUccUgaGccG3′ where capital letters represent LNA, normal letters are 2-O-methyl oligos.

**Morpholino experiments in zebrafish**

All zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) procedures complied with standard animal care guidelines according to Dutch Animal Ethics Committee guidelines. Breeding and maintenance of all zebrafish stocks were performed as described by others (30). Wild-type TL zebrafish outbred strains were crossed to generate embryos for MO injections. MO antisense 25mers were designed against the sequences surrounding the ATG and the last splice acceptor site by the manufacturing company (Genetools; ATG MO: GAACAAAAACATCGTGAGGCTTAGC; splice MO: TTAGACTACACAAAGCAGAACAAGA). A range of 1.7–6.4 ng of MO (1 nl of a 200–750 μM MO solution) was injected into the yolk sac of one to two cell-stage embryos using fine glass needles and a microinjector (World Precision Instruments). Standard control MOs (Genetools) and non-injected wild-types were taken as controls (31). The developing embryos were allowed to recover in E3 medium and incubated at 28°C. Morphology was monitored over time and images were taken using a Leica MZFLIII equipped with a Retiga 2000R Fast1394 Q imaging camera and the QCapture Pro program. Zebrafish were dechorionated in all experiments at 2–3 dpf, at least 6 h before final pictures were taken except for one experiment where the percentage of hatching was examined. Swimming behavior was examined by the response upon repeatedly touching with a pipette tip. Surviving zebrafish were fixed in 4% PFA after which they were kept in PBS prior to immunohistochemistry (IHC) or stored at −20°C in methanol.

**Wholomount IHC zebrafish**

Zebrafish older than 30 hpf were bleached for 3–4 min using a bleaching solution containing 5% formamide, 0.5× SSC and 9% H2O2. After several washes in PBST, the embryos were permeabilized with 2.5 mg/ml trypsin in PBST for 3–4 min on ice, followed by three PBST washes and incubation with the primary antibody in a 1:400–1:2000 dilution in blocking buffer at 4°C for 16–48 h under gentle agitation. Similarly, secondary antibody detections were performed overnight at 4°C in a 1:1000–2000 dilution (Southern Biotechnology, AP-coupled goat anti-mouse IgG) followed by extensive washing prior to detection using the SK5300 (Vector Laboratories) alkaline phosphatase detection kit. Images were captured using a Leica M125 stereomicroscope equipped with a DFC425 camera and LASv3.7 software.

**Transfections and western blot analysis**

HEK 293T cells were obtained from the American Tissue Culture Collection and maintained in DMEM (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% FBS at 37°C and 5% CO2. Cells were transfected with Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen). Full-length wild-type *LRSAM1* cDNA was amplified by PCR from IMAGE clone ID 5852; cat#OHS1770-9382089 (Thermo Scientific) using oligonucleotides flanking the coding part of *LRSAM1* and recombined into the gateway plasmid pDONR221 (Invitrogen). Site-directed mutagenesis was used to introduce the C675A mutation in the RING domain of LRSAM1 with the QuickChange site mutagenesis kit (Stratagene). Full-length *LRSAM1* carrying the c.2121_2122dupGC mutation was cloned with a two-step PCR strategy. Briefly, genomic DNA from fibroblasts of an individual carrying this mutation was used to amplify exon 26 of *LRSAM1*. Subsequently, the obtained PCR fragment was used to amplify the full-length *LRSAM1* cDNA in combination with the forward primer that was used to clone full-length wt *LRSAM1*. The pCAGGS-hTSG101-HA vector was a kind gift from Dr R. Harty (University of Pennsylvania) (32). To generate mammalian expression plasmids for *LRSAM1*, we used LR recombination between *LRSAM1*-containing pDONR221 and pDEST-FLAG. Transfection efficiency was monitored by co-transfection of an expression plasmid for GFP and was consistently >90%.

Total cell lysates were prepared in RIPA buffer (150 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40, 0.1% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, 100 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.4) supplemented with protease inhibitors (Roche Molecular Biochemicals). Lysates were cleared by centrifugation at 4°C for 10 min at 10 000 g. Protein concentration was determined using the Bradford assay (Biorad) with BSA as reference. Samples (10–40 μg) were separated on NuPAGE Bis–Tris gels (Invitrogen) and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes. Membranes were probed with the following antibodies: HA (Covance, clone HA.11, 1:4000–10000), tubulin (Calbiochem, clone CP06, 1:10000), FLAG-HRP (Sigma, clone M2, 1:8000), GFP (affinity purified rabbit polyclonal anti-GFP) was a gift from Dr Mireille Riedinger, UCLA, 1:10000). Secondary HRP-conjugated antibodies (Zymed) were used and visualized with chemiluminescence on a Fuji LAS4000 (GE Healthcare). The immunoblots were representative of at least three independent experiments.
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