ALS mutant FUS disrupts nuclear localization and sequesters wild-type FUS within cytoplasmic stress granules
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Mutations in the gene encoding Fused in Sarcoma (FUS) cause amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS), a fatal neurodegenerative disorder. FUS is a predominantly nuclear DNA- and RNA-binding protein that is involved in RNA processing. Large FUS-immunoreactive inclusions fill the perikaryon of surviving motor neurons of ALS patients carrying mutations at post-mortem. This sequestration of FUS is predicted to disrupt RNA processing and initiate neurodegeneration. Here, we demonstrate that C-terminal ALS mutations disrupt the nuclear localizing signal (NLS) of FUS resulting in cytoplasmic accumulation in transfected cells and patient fibroblasts. FUS mislocalization is rescued by the addition of the wild-type FUS NLS to mutant proteins. We also show that oxidative stress recruits mutant FUS to cytoplasmic stress granules where it is able to bind and sequester wild-type FUS. While FUS interacts with itself directly by protein–protein interaction, the recruitment of FUS to stress granules and interaction with PABP are RNA dependent. These findings support a two-hit hypothesis, whereby cytoplasmic mislocalization of FUS protein, followed by cellular stress, contributes to the formation of cytoplasmic aggregates that may sequester FUS, disrupt RNA processing and initiate motor neuron degeneration.

INTRODUCTION

Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS, also known as motor neuron disease) is an adult-onset disorder characterized by progressive muscular weakness due to motor neuron degeneration. Death from respiratory failure occurs, on average, 3 years after symptom onset. In 5–10% of cases, there is a family history of ALS and/or frontotemporal lobar dementia (FTLD), usually with an autosomal-dominant pattern of inheritance (1). We, and others, have recently identified mutations in the gene encoding the RNA-binding protein Fused in Sarcoma (FUS) as a cause of familial ALS (2–16). Large FUS-immunoreactive inclusions were detected in the anterior...
horn of the spinal cord in FUS mutant patients at post-mortem. Cytoplasmic FUS inclusions are also detected in tau- and TDP-43-negative FTLD cases, but they are not associated with FUS mutations (17).

FUS is a ubiquitously expressed protein involved in DNA repair, the regulation of RNA transcription, splicing and export to the cytoplasm (18,19). It belongs to the FET (FUS, EWS and TAF15) family of proteins, which also includes Ewing’s sarcoma (EWS) and TATA box-binding protein (TBP)-associated factor (TAF15). FUS has 526 amino acids and contains an N-terminal serine, tyrosine, glycine and glutamine-rich domain, followed by an RNA-recognition motif (RRM) and a zinc finger domain. Three RGG-repeat regions are found interspersed between these domains. The RNA-binding properties are conferred by the RRM, zinc finger and the RGG domains (20).

In ALS, a cluster of non-synonymous mutations lie at the extreme C-terminus of FUS, with the R521C and R521H mutations being the most common (Fig. 1; 2–16,21–28). In cellular transfection studies, mutation of FUS increased the cytoplasmic localization of this predominantly nuclear protein (12,14).

Here, we demonstrate that (i) ALS-linked mutations in FUS disrupt the nuclear localizing signal (NLS), (ii) the addition of a wild-type C-terminus to a mutant FUS protein rescues mislocalization, (iii) mutant FUS is associated with stress granules in the cytoplasm and that this is downstream of FUS mislocalization, (iv) mutant FUS interacts with the wild-type and mislocalizes it to the cytoplasm, (v) the interaction between the stress granule protein PABP and FUS occurs in an RNA-dependent manner and (vi) the accumulation of FUS in stress granules is recapitulated in patient fibroblasts following oxidative stress. We propose that ALS-linked FUS mutations result in increased cytoplasmic localization. Following stress, FUS is recruited to stress granules which initiate aggregate formation and the sequestration of wild-type FUS.

RESULTS

C-terminal ALS FUS mutants form cytoplasmic inclusions due to the disruption of the NLS, an effect abolished by the addition of a wild-type NLS to mutant proteins

We generated N-terminally GFP-tagged full-length wild-type and ALS mutant FUS (GFP-FUS WT, GFP-FUS R521C, GFP-FUS R521H and GFP-FUS R514G) and a C-terminal truncated form (unpublished ALS mutant, GFP-FUS K510X), deleting the C-terminal 17 amino acids after the last RGG region (Fig. 2A). In transiently transfected CV-1 cells, the GFP-FUS WT protein was predominantly nuclear, while the ALS mutants (GFP-FUS R521C, GFP-FUS R521H and GFP-FUS R514G) showed increased cytoplasmic localization in a high percentage of cells (Fig. 2B and C). The truncated FUS protein GFP-FUS K510X showed almost exclusive cytoplasmic localization, confirming that the C-terminal region contains the dominant NLS for FUS (Fig. 2B and C).

In order to determine whether the ALS-linked mutants were mislocalized due to a specific disruption of the NLS, we added the 17 C-terminal amino acids containing the wild-type NLS to the C-terminus of the three familial ALS mutants (GFP-FUS R521C, GFP-FUS R521H and GFP-FUS R514G) and to wild-type FUS (GFP-FUS WT) as a control (Fig. 2A). Following the addition of the wild-type NLS to the wild-type protein FUS remained in the nucleus while its addition to each of the ALS mutant proteins (mutant + NLS) restored their nuclear localization (one-way ANOVA, P < 0.001; Bonferroni post-hoc, all P < 0.001). This supports the hypothesis...
that the ALS-linked mutations directly disrupt the NLS resulting in mislocalization and accumulation in the cytoplasm (Fig. 2B and C).

Cytoplasmic mislocalized FUS associates with stress granule markers

Because FUS is involved in regulating RNA transcription, splicing and export, and the appearance of the cytoplasmic FUS was granular and has previously been shown to be associated with stress granules, we sought to determine whether cytoplasmic mutant FUS was associated with all types of RNA granules. CV-1 cells were transfected with GFP-FUSWT, GFP-FUSR514G and GFP-FUSK510X and immunostained for a range of RNA granule markers. As previously shown in Fig. 2B, GFP-FUSR514G and GFP-FUSK510X formed cytoplasmic granules. Antibodies to the proteins XRN1 (a marker for P bodies), Staufen (RNA transport granules) and SC-35 (nuclear speckles) did not co-localize with these granules (Supplementary Material, Fig. S1A, B and C). However, striking co-localization was seen for GFP-FUS mutants and two stress granule markers, poly A-binding protein 1 (PABP) and T-cell-restricted intracellular antigen-1 (TIA-1), in the cytoplasm of CV-1 cells (Fig. 3A and B) and rat cortical neurons (Fig. 3C and D and Supplementary Material, Fig. S2). In contrast, GFP-FUSWT and GFP-FUSR514G+NLS remained nuclear, and did not form either PABP or TIA-1-positive cytoplasmic granules.

While this incorporation into stress granules has previously been reported, there has been some conflicting data as to whether this is a spontaneous event or requires an additional cellular stress for it to occur. This led us to try to establish whether the formation of stress granules in these cells was a natural consequence of mutant FUS localizing to the cytoplasm, or whether stress granule formation could be linked to the protein overexpression associated with transient transfections. To explore this question and to also rule out any aggregation effect mediated by the large GFP epitope (29), we generated stable SH-SY5Y cells expressing doxycycline (DOX)-inducible FUS, where the N-terminal GFP tag was replaced by a HA tag. Following induction of expression of HA-FUS with DOX, both immunocytochemistry (ICC) and biochemical fractionation showed a significant increase in cytoplasmic localization of the HA-FUSR514G and HA-FUSK510X compared with HA-FUSWT (one-way ANOVA, HA-FUSR514G (P < 0.05) and HA-FUSK510X (P < 0.005), Fig. 4A, C and D). This amount of HA-FUSWT in the cytoplasmic FUS was similar to that seen when endogenous HEK293T cells were fractionated (Supplementary Material, Fig. S3). However, there was no formation of stress granules, as measured by ICC, and PABP
remained diffuse throughout the cytoplasm, indicating that in this cellular model mutant FUS in the cytoplasm does not directly induce, or become recruited to, stress granules.

Sodium arsenite (hereafter referred to as arsenite) is widely used to model oxidative stress in cell culture, and has been shown to induce PABP-positive stress granules (30). Oxidative stress has previously been implicated in the pathogenesis of ALS (21). Addition of arsenite to HA-FUS-expressing cells resulted in the formation of PABP-positive stress granules within an hour of exposure (Fig. 4B). In cells expressing HA-FUS$^{R514G}$ and HA-FUS$^{K510X}$, HA-FUS was recruited to PABP-positive stress granules in the cytoplasm. However, there was no alteration in the distribution or appearance of HA-FUS$^{WT}$ following arsenite treatment, despite the formation of PABP-positive stress granules.

To further characterize the interaction between FUS and PABP, we used the proximity ligation assay (PLA). The PLA uses antibodies directed against the two proteins of interest, in combination with DNA probe-linked secondary antibodies, to determine whether the two proteins lie within 40 nm from one another. Through polymerase amplification of the DNA probes, this method allows detection of proximity and co-localization that is often below the detection level of ICC. Using the inducible SH-SY5Y cells, this assay showed that HA-FUS$^{WT}$ and PABP

**Figure 3.** Mutant FUS co-localizes with stress granule markers in the cytoplasm. Immunofluorescent staining of CV-1 cells (A and B) and rat primary neurons (C and D). CV1 cells and primary neurons were transfected with GFP-FUS$^{WT}$, GFP-FUS$^{R514G}$, GFP-FUS$^{K510X}$ and GFP-FUS$^{R514G+NLS}$ (green) and immunostained for the stress granule markers, PABP (red, A and C) and TIA-1 (red, B and D). GFP-FUS$^{R514G}$ and GFP-FUS$^{K510X}$ co-localized with PABP and TIA-1 in the cytoplasm, while GFP-FUS$^{WT}$ and GFP-FUS$^{R514G+NLS}$ remained in the nucleus and did not affect the subcellular distribution of the stress granule markers. Nuclei are stained blue with DAPI in the merged images (right hand panel). Scale bar 25 μm (A and B), 50 μm (C and D).
were located close to each other in the cytoplasm under basal conditions (Fig. 5A and C). This is consistent with the fact that FUS is known to shuttle between the nucleus and the cytoplasm and indeed a small proportion of wild-type FUS was seen in the cytoplasmic fraction by western blot (Fig. 4C and D). This interaction between HA-FUS and PABP was slightly increased in HA-FUSR514G and significantly increased in HA-FUSK510X-expressing cells (two-way ANOVA, $P < 0.05$, Fig. 4C). Treatment with arsenite resulted in a significant increase in the proportion of cytoplasmic HA-FUS with the R514G mutation ($^\ast P < 0.05$) and the truncation mutation ($^{**} P < 0.005$; $n = 3$). GAPDH is used to mark the cytoplasmic fraction, while Lamin B1 is used to mark the nuclear fraction. Scale bar 25 μm.

**Figure 4.** Mutant FUS is mislocalized in stable cell lines but requires oxidative stress to form cytoplasmic granules. (A) Immunofluorescence of SH-SY5Y cell lines stably transfected with HA-FUSWT, HA-FUSR514G or HA-FUSK510X. Staining for HA (green) shows HA-FUSWT localized entirely to the nucleus, while HA-FUSR514G is predominantly nuclear but also partially diffuse in the cytoplasm, and HA-FUSK510X has much more diffuse FUS in the cytoplasm. PABP (red) remained diffuse in the cytoplasm in all three cell lines. Nuclei are stained blue in the merged image. (B) Immunofluorescence following treatment with 1 mM arsenite showing that the PABP (red) has formed distinct granules in the cytoplasm. HA-FUS (green) remains entirely nuclear in the wild-type cell line, while cytoplasmic HA-FUS in the mutant cell lines formed granules that co-localize with the PABP signal. Nuclei are stained blue in the merged image. (C and D) Subcellular fractionation of cell lines expressing HA-FUSWT, HA-FUSR514G or HA-FUSK510X showing a significant increase in the proportion of cytoplasmic HA-FUS with the R514G mutation ($^\ast P < 0.05$) and the truncation mutation ($^{**} P < 0.005$; $n = 3$). GAPDH is used to mark the cytoplasmic fraction, while Lamin B1 is used to mark the nuclear fraction. Scale bar 25 μm.

Mutant FUS binds to wild-type FUS and both are recruited to cytoplasmic stress granules

In spinal cord tissue from an ALS patient with a FUSR521H mutation, we observed that in motor neurons containing cytoplasmic inclusions there is a near absence of nuclear FUS (Fig. 6A). This suggests that both mutant and wild-type FUS can be sequestered within cytoplasmic inclusions and that
levels of nuclear FUS are greatly reduced, as is observed with TDP-43 inclusions in FTLD (31). To explore this experimentally, we co-transfected CV-1 cells with N-terminally Myc-tagged wild-type FUS (Myc-FUSWT) with an N-terminally GFP-tagged ALS mutant (GFP-FUSR514G), the truncation mutation (GFP-FUSK510X) or a wild-type control (GFP-FUS WT). We observed that when wild-type FUS was co-transfected with mutant or truncated FUS, the wild-type protein was now localized to both the nucleus and the cytoplasm (Fig. 6B). Thus, the accumulation of mutant FUS in the cytoplasm contributes to the mislocalization of wild-type FUS and may later result in inclusion formation.

**FUS interactions with PABP are RNA dependent while FUS self-interaction is non-RNA dependent**

In order to establish whether the recruitment of wild-type FUS into the cytoplasmic granules with the mutant FUS was due to the two proteins directly interacting, we performed a series of immunoprecipitation experiments. First, Myc-tagged wild-type FUS (Myc-FUSWT) was co-transfected with HA-FUSWT, HA-FUSR514G or HA-FUSK510X, and Myc-FUS WT protein immunoprecipitated using an anti-Myc antibody. For each co-transfection, a proportion of the HA-FUS was co-immunoprecipitated (Fig. 7A). To determine whether the FUS proteins also interacted with stress granule proteins, the blots were
probed for the presence of PABP. This showed that an endogenous PABP protein was also co-immunoprecipitated, suggesting that all three proteins form a complex. Immunoprecipitation using an anti-HA antibody resulted in a similar pull down of the Myc-FUS protein and PABP (Supplementary Material, Fig. S5).

Given that both FUS and PABP bind RNA, we next sought to determine whether the interaction between these proteins was RNA dependent. Treatment with RNase completely abolished co-immunoprecipitation of PABP by Myc-FUS, demonstrating that this interaction is RNA binding dependent. Immunoprecipitation using an anti-HA antibody resulted in a similar pull down of the Myc-FUS protein and PABP (Supplementary Material, Fig. S5).

Findings demonstrate that wild-type FUS interacts with itself and with mutant FUS and both can be recruited to PABP-positive stress granules via their binding to RNA.

**FUS is recruited into stress granules from the cytoplasmic pool of FUS which is increased in ALS patients carrying FUS mutations**

In order to determine whether mutant FUS is mislocalized in a more physiological context, we explored the effects of arsenite.
We found that FUS mutant fibroblasts have significantly greater levels of cytoplasmic FUS than control fibroblasts under basal culture conditions (Fig. 8A and C) (one-way ANOVA, \( P < 0.01 \), Dunnett’s T3, both \( P < 0.05 \)). Stress granules (as measured by PABP granule formation) were almost absent from mutant and control fibroblasts under these conditions. Following treatment with 1 mM arsenite, the mean number of stress granules per cell was significantly increased in both mutant and control fibroblasts compared with untreated cells (Fig. 8B and D, repeated measures ANOVA with a Greenhouse-Geisser correction, \( P < 0.001 \)). A striking difference in the distribution of FUS was observed only in mutant fibroblasts treated with arsenite, as cytoplasmic FUS was recruited to stress granules (Fig. 8B and E). The mean number of FUS-positive cytoplasmic granules per cell was significantly increased following arsenite treatment only in mutant fibroblasts [ANOVA, with a Greenhouse-Geisser correction, \( P < 0.001 \), Dunnett’s T3 post-hoc tests for FUS\(^{R521C} \) \( P < 0.01 \) and FUS\(^{R514G} \) \( P < 0.01 \)]. These experiments demonstrate that endogenous levels of cytoplasmic FUS are increased in mutant fibroblasts compared with controls, and that only the cytoplasmic pool of FUS is recruited into stress granules following oxidative stress.

DISCUSSION

The majority of FUS mutations linked to ALS result in single amino acid substitutions in the extreme C-terminus of the protein (12) (14). In this study, we observed that mutation and truncation of this region lead to FUS mislocalization, confirming data previously published in which the C-terminus has been shown to contain an NLS (14,32–37). Here, we demonstrate that this mislocalization of FUS is abolished by the addition of the wild-type C-terminus to the mutant protein, confirming that it is purely the disruption to the NLS and not a secondary gain of function effect of the amino acid change that causes the mislocalization. The C-terminus of FUS shows strong homology to another FET family...
member, EWS, which has an NLS in the same region (38,39). This type of domain is known as a PY (proline–tyrosine) NLS and is found in other RNA-binding proteins such as HNRPA1, which are known to be imported into the nucleus by transportins (40,41). Consistent with this observation, FUS has been shown to bind to transportins 1 and 2 (40,41) and knockdown of both inhibits the import of FUS into the nucleus (35). The interaction between FUS and transportin 1 has been shown to range from amino acid 508 to the very end of FUS indicating that the actual NLS extends further than previously thought (42).

These results help us to explain discrepancies in the literature about whether the presence of FUS in the cytoplasm is sufficient to cause stress granules or whether this is an artefact of transient transfection. Consistent with other work, the transient transfection of mutant FUS resulted in cytoplasmic stress granules (33,34), while we have also shown that cytoplasmic mutant FUS is incorporated into PABP-positive stress granules only following cellular stress when stably transfected (35). Of most importance, is that it has been possible to validate the findings from cell lines in fibroblasts from ALS patients who carry a single mutant and a single wild-type copy of the FUS gene. In particular, the data show that the stable cell lines are the best representation of the patients’ cells. In these patients, there is a significantly higher incorporation of FUS into the stress granules compared with fibroblasts from patients with two wild-type copies.

Importantly, we have shown that FUS interacts with itself in a non-RNA dependent fashion. The clearing of nuclear FUS in motor neurons containing large cytoplasmic inclusions in FUS-ALS cases suggests that mutant FUS can act in a dominant-negative fashion to sequester the wild-type protein. Our data are consistent with histology data from ALS and FTLD cases with FUS pathology, where cytoplasmic inclusions of FUS are observed with a commensurate loss of FUS from the nucleus (5,17,43,44). A recent in vitro binding study has found that EWS interacts with itself via its RGG domains, so it is possible that a similar mechanism may mediate FUS self-interaction (45). This while this means that wild-type FUS might act on the mutant protein to import it into the nucleus, which might explain why a portion of the truncated FUS is found in the nucleus, it is unable to fully compensate for the effect of the NLS disruption.

We have shown that FUS and the stress granule protein PABP are in close proximity to each other in the cytoplasm under basal conditions. This interaction occurs in an RNA-dependent manner, which suggests that they are both involved in the same cellular processes, such as the shuttling of RNA between the nucleus and the cytoplasm. The presence of an increased level of cytoplasmic FUS due to C-terminal mutations, as seen in the patient fibroblasts, leads to an increased amount of FUS bound to PABP that is then sequestered into stress granules.

Our findings suggest that there are distinct parallels and important differences between FUS and another RNA processing protein, TDP-43, which is detected within cytoplasmic inclusions in a majority of frontotemporal lobar degeneration (FTLD-TDP) and ALS cases [for review see (46)]. Like FUS, TDP-43 normally resides in the nucleus but in FTLD and ALS it forms large aggregates in the cytoplasm with a corresponding loss of TDP-43 from the nucleus (31,47). TDP-43 has also been shown to exit the nucleus and co-localize with stress granule markers after axotomy of the mouse sciatic nerve (48) and in NSC34 cell lines under conditions of oxidative stress (49). However, the majority of ALS-linked TDP-43 mutations lie within the C-terminal glycine rich domain, which is distant from the dominant N-terminal NLS, and the mechanisms responsible for TDP-43 mislocalization are unknown (50–56). Recently, FTLD-related changes in the nuclear transport process have been implicated in the misaccumulation of TDP-43 (57). The two pathogenic processes appear to be independent, however, as TDP-43 is not present in FUS inclusions (58,59).

Our results confirm that ALS-linked mutations disrupt the NLS leading to increased cytoplasmic levels of FUS, and that cytoplasmic FUS coalesces with granules induced by oxidative stress. Moreover, we show that mutant FUS binds to wild-type FUS, which may result in the nuclear depletion and cytoplasmic sequestration of wild-type FUS, disrupt RNA processing and lead to neurodegeneration.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Generation of constructs

The untagged or HA-tagged cDNA for the wild-type and mutant constructs was amplified by PCR from HA-tagged constructs generated previously (14), and sub-cloned into pDEST-30 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) to generate stable cell lines, or pEGFP-C1 (Clontech) to generate N-terminally tagged fusion proteins. Direct sequencing of the constructs confirmed successful cloning. Generation of the truncated construct was achieved by mutagenesis using the Quickchange II site-directed mutagenesis kit (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA, USA).

Cell lines

CV-1 fibroblasts, HEK 293T and patient and control fibroblasts obtained from biopsies were maintained in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum, 2 mM l-glutamine, 100 U/ml penicillin and 100 µg/ml streptomycin (all from Invitrogen). Stable inducible SH-SY5Y cell lines were maintained in DMEM:F12 (1:1), 10% tetracycline-free FBS, 2 mM l-glutamine, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 5 µg/ml blasticidin and 650 µg/ml Geneticin (all from Invitrogen). Stable cell lines were generated by Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) transfection of the pcDNA6/TR Tet-repressor plasmid (Invitrogen) into early-passage SH-SY5Y human neuroblastoma cells, followed by selection using 5 µg/ml blasticidin and clonal isolation. The Tet-repressor stable cell line was then transfected with N-terminally HA-tagged FUS cDNAs subcloned into pDEST-30. FUS stable cell lines were selected using 650 µg/ml Geneticin and clonally isolated. FUS expression was induced by the addition of 0.5 µg/ml DOX (Sigma-Aldrich, Dorset, UK) 48 h prior to assay.
Transfection and imaging

CV-1 cells were transfected using FuGene HD (Roche, Burgess Hill, UK) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Rat cortical neurons were transfected at DIV5 using Lipofectamine 2000 according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Cells were plated on 13 mm diameter glass coverslips in 24-well dishes and transfected with 0.25 μg (CV-1) or 1 μg (cortical neurons) plasmid DNA. Stably transfected SH-SY5Y cells were induced by the addition of 0.5 μg/ml DOX 24 h after plating. All cells were used for experiments 24–48 h after transfection or induction. 1 mm arsenite was added in the final 1 h of incubation as required. The cells were washed once with PBS and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS (15 min; RT). Following washing with PBS, the cells were permeabilized with 0.2% Triton X-100 in PBS for 10 min and blocked with PBS + 1% goat serum (Dako UK Ltd., Ely, UK) + 0.2% Triton X-100 for 30 min. The cells were incubated overnight at 4°C with primary antibody followed by washing with PBS and a 1 h incubation with Alexa Fluor 568 anti-mouse IgG, Alexa Fluor 568 anti-rabbit IgG or Alexa Fluor 488 anti-mouse IgG as appropriate (all Invitrogen; 1:400 in PBS + 0.2% Triton X-100 + 1% goat serum). After washing with PBS, the cells were stained with DAPI, washed again and coverslips mounted using fluorescent mounting medium (Dako UK Ltd). Primary antibodies used were: mouse anti-HA (1:1000; Cell Signalling Technology, Beverley, MA, USA); mouse anti-PABP (1:1000; Sigma); rabbit anti-P-PABP (1:500; AbCam, Cambridge, UK); rabbit anti-TIA1 (1:100; AbCam); rabbit anti-XRN1 (1:100; Bethyl Laboratories, Montgomery, TX, USA); mouse anti-SC-35 (1:500; Sigma); rabbit anti-Staufen (1:250; AbCam); mouse anti-FUS (1:100; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc., Heidelberg, Germany) and rabbit anti-FUS (1:500; Novus Biologicals, Littleton, CO, USA). The cells were observed using a Zeiss 510 meta confocal laser scanning microscope equipped with a 63× 1.4NA Plan-Apochromat objective (Carl Zeiss Ltd., Hertfordshire, UK).

Quantification of cytoplasmic GFP-FUS in CV-1 cells (untreated) was performed blinded by counting of cells with cytoplasmic staining by eye on at least 200 cells on three coverslips, each of which was from a different transfection experiment, for each construct. Quantification of diffuse cytoplasmic FUS and cytoplasmic FUS and PABP granules in fibroblasts was performed blinded, using Metamorph software (v. 7.5, Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA) on a representative dataset (n = 3) comprising eight images per patient (or control), 3–19 cells per image. In order to examine the cytoplasmic compartment only, a binarized mask of the nuclear image was subtracted from FUS or PABP images. PABP granules were quantified using the Count Nuclei application, optimized for granule size and intensity above the local background using three randomly sampled images. FUS granules were also quantified using the Count Nuclei application, however, due to the strong fluorescent halo of nuclear FUS, this quantification was performed on images from which a dilated version of the binarized nuclear image was subtracted. The mean intensity of cytoplasmic FUS was quantified from non-arsenite-treated FUS images modified in the same manner, which had then been segmented by thresholding.

Co-immunoprecipitation

HEK 293T cells were grown in 10 cm dishes and then transfected using FuGene HD (Roche) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. After 24 h, the cells were washed once with cold PBS and scraped into 500 μl ice cold Triton X-100 buffer (50 mM Tris pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, Roche Complete protease inhibitors). After 15 min on ice, the lysed cells were spun at 21 000g for 30 s at 4°C and the supernatant removed. 5 μl of an RNase A/T1 mix (Fermentas, Yorkshire, UK) or RNasin Plus RNase inhibitor (Promega, Southampton, UK) was added to the tubes and incubated at 37°C for 5 min, then placed back on ice. Total lysate samples were taken at this point. Mouse anti-Myc (Cell Signalling Technology, 1:100), rabbit anti-HA (Cell Signalling Technology, 1:100) or mouse anti-FUS (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc., 1:100) was added to the lysates as appropriate followed by the addition of 20 μl of protein A beads (Invitrogen Dynabeads). After rotation at 4°C for 4 h, the beads were washed three times with 500 μl of Triton X-100 buffer and then resuspended in 2× SDS buffer (0.35 M Tris-Cl pH 6.8, 30% glycerol, 0.6 M DTT, 10% SDS, 0.0001% bromophenol blue) and boiled for 10 min.

Cellular fractionation

Stably transfected SH-SY5Y cells were grown to confluence in 3 cm dishes 48 h after induction of HA-FUS expression and were washed with ice-cold PBS and then collected in 150 μl ice-cold hypotonic lysis buffer (10 mM HEPES pH7.9, 10 mM KCl, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, Roche Complete protease inhibitors). Cells were lysed by Dounce homogenization using 20 strokes of the tight pestle. Nuclei were pelleted by centrifugation at 800 g for 5 min at 4°C. The supernatant was further clarified by centrifugation at 18 000g and extraction of the supernatant with 0.25 vol of 5× RIPA buffer (0.5% SDS, 0.75 mM NaCl, 50 mM NaPO4 pH 7.2, 10 mM EDTA, 5% sodium deoxycholate, 5% NP-40) was used to generate the cytosolic fraction. Nuclei were then purified by resuspension in 100 μl isosmotic sucrose buffer (10 mM HEPES pH 7.9, 0.25 M sucrose, 10 mM KCl, 10 mM MgCl2, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, Roche Complete protease inhibitors), layering over a cushion of 0.88 M sucrose, and centrifugation at 6000g for 10 min at 4°C. Nuclear proteins were extracted using 1× RIPA buffer. Samples were denatured and reduced by the addition of 6× Laemmli SDS buffer (0.33 M Tris-Cl pH 6.8, 30% glycerol, 0.6 M DTT, 10% SDS, 0.0001% bromophenol blue) and boiling for 10 min.

Western blotting

Following SDS–PAGE, proteins were transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane using the iBlot system, blocked using 5% non-fat milk for 30 min at room temperature and then incubated with primary antibodies as required: rabbit anti-Myc, (Cell Signalling Technology 1:1000), mouse anti-HA (Cell Signalling Technology 1:1000) and mouse anti-PABP (Sigma 1:2000); or mouse anti-Myc (Cell Signalling Technology 1:1000), rabbit anti-HA (Cell Signalling Technology 1:1000) and mouse anti-PABP.
Brain tissue collection and neuropathological assessment

Ten percent formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissue blocks were available from the MRC London Neurodegenerative Diseases Brain Bank (Institute of Psychiatry, King’s College London, UK). Consent for autopsy, neuropathological assessment and research was obtained from all subjects in accordance with local and national Research Ethics Committee-approved donation. Block-taking for histological and immunohistochemical studies and neuropathological assessment of motor neuron disease and control cases was performed in accordance with the published guidelines.

Histological staining and immunohistochemistry

Sections of 7 μm thickness were cut from the formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded spinal cord blocks, and immunohistochemical reactions were performed. The tissue sections were processed for FUS immunohistochemistry as described previously (60). In brief, the sections were deparaffinized in xylene, endogenous peroxidase was blocked by H2O2 in methanol and immunohistochemistry performed with an overnight incubation of rabbit anti-FUS antibody at 4°C (Novus Biologicals NB100-2599, 1:50). To enhance antigen retrieval, the sections were kept in citrate buffer for 10 min following microwave treatment. The sections were counterstained with haematoxylin and immunostaining was analysed using an Olympus microscope (Olympus, Southend-on-Sea, UK).

Proximity ligation assay

The PLA was performed in the stably transfected SH-SY5Y cell lines 24 h after induction with 0.5 μg/ml doxycycline. Following fixing and blocking, the cells were incubated with rabbit anti-HA tag (Cell Signalling Technology 1:100) and mouse anti-PABP antibodies (Sigma 1:1000). The PLA assay was further performed using the Duolink™ kit (Olink) according to the manufacturer’s protocol.

Quantification of the co-localization of FUS and PABP by the PLA was performed using Metamorph software on a representative data set (n = 3) comprising 8 images per condition per mutant (or control), 3–19 cells per image. A minimum of 70 nuclei per condition were counted. PLA-positive granules, representing areas of co-localization of FUS and PABP, were quantified using the Granularity application. Size and intensity thresholds defining both granules and nuclei were kept consistent for all conditions and mutants. PLA positivity is a function of both the number of granules and the average integrated intensity (average pixel area × average pixel intensity) of the granules, because individual PLA events cannot always be resolved to individual points of interaction and thus appear as brighter and/or larger granules. PLA was thus calculated as the number of PLA-positive granules per cell multiplied by the average integrated intensity of each granule.

Statistical analyses

Differences between multiple groups were analysed using one-way ANOVA. Where measurements were taken from multiple groups before and after cellular treatment, a two-way repeated measures ANOVA was used. Homogeneity of variance within groups was assessed using Levene’s statistic. Where the assumption of homogeneity of variance was not upheld (Levene’s statistic not significant at the 0.05 threshold), Bonferroni post-hoc tests were used to assess which groups were significantly different (0.05 threshold). Where there was significant heterogeneity of variance, Dunnett’s T3 post-hoc test was used. Sphericity of data within subjects was tested using Mauchly’s test and where this assumption was not upheld, the Greenhouse-Geisser correction was applied. Data were analysed using SPSS v. 15.0 (SPSS Inc.).

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Supplementary Material is available at HMG online.
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