Calcium binding is essential for plastin 3 function in Smn-deficient motoneurons

Alison N. Lyon¹, Ricardo H. Pineda¹, Le Thi Hao¹, Elena Kudryashova², Dmitri S. Kudryashov² and Christine E. Beattie¹,*

¹Department of Neuroscience, The Ohio State University, 132 Rightmire Hall, 1060 Carmack Rd, Columbus, OH 43210, USA and ²Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, USA

Received July 22, 2013; Revised and Accepted November 21, 2013

The actin-binding and bundling protein, plastin 3 (PLS3), was identified as a protective modifier of spinal muscular atrophy (SMA) in some patient populations and as a disease modifier in animal models of SMA. How it functions in this process, however, is not known. Because PLS3 is an actin-binding/bundling protein, we hypothesized it would likely act via modification of the actin cytoskeleton in axons and neuromuscular junctions to protect motoneurons in SMA. To test this, we examined the ability of other known actin cytoskeleton organizing proteins to modify motor axon outgrowth phenotypes in an smn morphant zebrafish model of SMA. While PLS3 can fully compensate for low levels of smn, cofilin 1, profilin 2 and α-actinin 1 did not affect smn morphant motor axon outgrowth. To determine how PLS3 functions in SMA, we generated deletion constructs of conserved PLS3 structural domains. The EF hands were essential for PLS3 rescue of smn morphant phenotypes, and mutation of the Ca²⁺-binding residues within the EF hands resulted in a complete loss of PLS3 rescue. These results indicate that Ca²⁺ regulation is essential for the function of PLS3 in motor axons. Remarkably, PLS3 mutants lacking both actin-binding domains were still able to rescue motor axons in smn morphants, although not as well as full-length PLS3. Therefore, PLS3 function in this process may have an actin-independent component.

INTRODUCTION

Spinal muscular atrophy (SMA) is an autosomal recessive disorder resulting from low levels of the survival motor neuron (SMN) protein due to loss or mutation of the survival of motor neuron 1 (SMN1) gene (1). While one of ~40–60 people are carriers of mutations or deletions of SMN1, SMA affects 1 in 6000–10 000 live births and is, therefore, the leading genetic cause of infant mortality (2–8). SMA results in a progressive, selective degeneration of α-motoneurons in the anterior horn of the spinal cord leading to atrophy of proximal muscles. The severity of the disease is primarily determined by levels of SMN protein produced from the almost identical SMN2 gene (1,5–7,9). Owing to disruption of an exonic splice enhancer (1,10–14), only 10–15% of SMN transcripts produced from SMN2 are full length (10), whereas the rest of transcripts lack exon 7 and, therefore, are unstable.

SMN2 copy number generally correlates with disease classification, as type zero patients typically have a single copy of SMN2, type I have two copies, type II and III have three to four copies and type IV have four to eight copies (5,15,16). However, there are additional factors of heterogeneity within the SMA population, as some patients with only two copies of SMN2 have presented with a disproportionately mild type IIIB phenotype of SMA (17). Discordant families have also been described in which siblings have the same genetics, but present with different phenotypes, with some siblings affected and others unaffected (18–24). This suggests that modifiers other than SMN may exist.

Plastin 3 (PLS3), an actin-binding and bundling protein, was identified as a protective modifier of SMA in a transcriptome-wide differential expression screen from lymphoblastoid cell RNA obtained from discordant SMA family members (22). Enhanced expression of PLS3 in the blood was detected in female siblings that were protected from disease symptoms (22). Another group has confirmed this finding in post-pubertal females (23), while others have shown that PLS3 expression is not found in lymphoblasts from all protected siblings in discordant SMA families (24). Thus, additional phenotypic modifiers of the disease are likely.
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In both transient and genetic zebrafish models of SMA, motor axons are branched and truncated (25,26). PLS3 expression is able to rescue motor axon defects in both cultured neurons and in zebrafish embryos (22). In a genetic model of SMA in zebrafish, PLS3 was able to compensate for low levels of Smn in both synapse morphology and motor function (27). Furthermore, PLS3 expression has been shown to be protective in other SMA animal models (27–29). In mice, PLS3 expression increased the number of vesicles available in the readily releasable pool at the synapse, improved motor behavior and modestly rescued survival (29). While these data support PLS3 as a true modifier of SMA, the mechanism by which PLS3 achieves these outcomes remains unknown.

Plastins are evolutionarily conserved, versatile modulators of the actin cytoskeleton, which play an important role in cell migration, adhesion and endocytosis. The three family members, PLS1 (I-plastin), LCP1 (L-plastin or PLS2) and PLS3 (T-plastin), all have similar modular structural domains, but are differentially expressed. For clarity, these family members will be referred to as PLS1, PLS2 and PLS3. PLS1 is expressed in absorptive intestinal and kidney cells (30), PLS2 is predominantly found in hematopoetic and cancer cells (31–33), and PLS3 is expressed in solid tissues (33,34). All members of the plastin family have two N-terminal EF hand motifs and two C-terminal actin-binding domains (ABDs), each composed of two calponin homology (CH) domains. Bundling of actin requires binding of each ABD to an individual actin filament (35), and therefore, plastin lacking the C-terminal ABD (ABD2) cannot bundle actin (36). To examine the function of plastin that is responsible for the modifier phenotype in SMA, we investigated the ability of the full-length PLS3 and its individual domains to rescue motor axon defects in zebrafish smn morphants. Additionally, we examined whether other important actin-modifying proteins were able to affect axon outgrowth phenotypes. Surprisingly, our results support a mechanism for PLS3 in SMA beyond that of actin binding.

RESULTS

Plastin 3 fully rescues smn morphant motor axon defects

We had previously demonstrated that decreasing Smn levels in zebrafish transiently resulted in motor axon outgrowth defects (25). Characterization of these defects revealed that motor axons were truncated and/or branched (37). This was confirmed in a genetic model of SMA in zebrafish thus validating these defects as a read-out of low Smn levels (26). PLS3 has been reported to rescue motor axon defects resulting from low SMN levels both in vitro and in vivo (22). We first sought to determine whether a given dose of PLS3 could rescue smn morphant motor axons at levels that were not statistically different than control morpholino levels. Previous results were obtained at 125 pg/nL (22), but in the present study all experiments were conducted at higher doses of 250 pg/nL, except where otherwise noted. Human PLS3 was cloned into pcDNA-DEST40, a vector with C-terminal V5 and 6xHis tags. PLS3 mRNA with V5 and 6xHis tags generated from this vector, as well as untagged PLS3 mRNA, was co-injected with smn MO. No differences between tagged and untagged PLS3 were observed (data not shown); 250 pg/nL was chosen because it was found to fully rescue axon defects so that the embryos were indistinguishable from controls (Fig. 1A and B).

Cofilin, profilin and α-actinin cannot rescue smn morphant axon defects

To determine whether PLS3 was unique among actin-modifying proteins in its ability to affect smn morphant axon defects, we examined whether other proteins known to bind and modify actin filaments would have any effect on smn morphant axon defects. We reasoned if PLS3’s ability to bind and bundle actin filaments was responsible for rescuing truncated and branched motor axons, other actin modifying proteins might influence motor axon degeneration in SMA as well. Because many dozens of actin-binding proteins are present in vivo, testing all or even a substantial fraction of them was impossible within one study. Therefore, we chose to examine profilin, cofilin and α-actinin, three essential actin modifiers both structurally similar and dissimilar to plastin, and outside of the plastin family of proteins (Fig. 2A). Cofilin and profilin are essential proteins that regulate actin filament turnover by promoting disassembly of old ADP-rich filaments, and recharge of actin monomers with ATP and new filament assembly, respectively (37–39). Similar to plastins, α-actinin1 (ACTN1) is an actin-bundling protein that contains tandem CH domains that form an actin-binding domain and a pair of EF hand motifs regulated by Ca2+ (Fig. 2A). Antiparallel dimers of α-actinin form rod-shaped structures by interaction between adjacent spectrin domains, while the flexible N-terminal actin-binding domains bind and cross-link adjacent actin filaments (40).

We first asked whether profilin, which promotes actin filament polymerization by adding monomeric G-actin onto the end of the growing actin filaments, could substitute for PLS3. We found that profilin was unable to rescue motor axon defects of smn morphants to any degree (Fig. 2B and C). Similarly, we found that cofilin, an actin filament severing and depolymerizing protein, did not alter smn morphant axons (Fig. 2B and C). Finally, we tested whether ACTN1 could rescue axon defects. In spite of...
substantial structural and functional similarity to PLS3, ACTN1 did not rescue smn morphant motor axons (Fig. 2B and C). Therefore, none of the actin modifying proteins tested was able to compensate for PLS3 function in smn morphant motor axons.

PLS2, but not PLS1, can substitute for PLS3

Three members of the plastin family of proteins have been identified: PLS3 (T-plastin), PLS2 (L-plastin, LCP1) and PLS1 (I-plastin). These proteins have \( \sim 73\% \) amino acid identity, share all conserved structural motifs and the ability to cross-link actin filaments into tight bundles. Yet, they are differentially expressed and demonstrate some functional differences (41–43). To determine whether PLS2 or PLS1 could substitute for PLS3 to rescue motor axon defects in smn morphants, we co-injected PLS2 or PLS1 mRNA with smn MO (Fig. 3A).

Intriguingly, PLS2 was able to substitute for PLS3, whereas PLS1 provided no significant improvement of motor axon defects. Because 250 pg of PLS1 was not sufficient to rescue motor axons, we tested an increased dose of 500 pg for both PLS2 and PLS1 (Fig. 3A and B). PLS1 still showed no significant ability to rescue motor axon outgrowth in smn morphants at an increased dosage, but PLS2 was now able to fully rescue normal axon morphology. Thus, PLS2 can rescue motor axons in smn morphants, albeit less efficiently than PLS3, while PLS1 showed no ability to rescue these defects.

Acting binding is not sufficient to rescue motor axon defects

To examine which of the conserved plastin motifs is critical for PLS3’s function in rescuing motor axon outgrowth in smn morphants, we generated several PLS3 deletion constructs (Fig 4).
PLS2 has been described to interact with the intermediate filament protein vimentin via a part of its ABD1 domain (44). To determine whether PLS3 function in smn morphant motor axons was also due to such an interaction, we generated a PLS3 construct lacking the putative vimentin interaction motif (Δvim). This construct was able to fully rescue axon defects similar to full-length PLS3 (Fig. 5A and C), suggesting that interaction of PLS3 with vimentin does not contribute to the mechanism by which PLS3 rescues smn morphant axons.

Because plastin has a well-described role in actin filament bundling, we next tested whether deletion of the actin-binding domains would affect PLS3-mediated rescue of smn morphant axons. PLS3 acts to cross-link actin filaments by binding separate filaments with each of its actin-binding domains, ABD1 and ABD2 (35). Thus, loss of ABD2 results in an inability to cross-link actin filaments (36). Therefore, we tested whether actin-bundling function of PLS3 was required for smn morphant motor axon outgrowth by co-injecting smn MO with ΔABD2 mRNA. Surprisingly, we observed a significant ability of ΔABD2 to rescue smn morphant motor axons (Fig. 5A and C). An increase in the number of fish exhibiting mild defects in comparison with smn MO alone reflects an improved axon morphology. While this
To determine whether PLS3 interaction with filamentous actin was necessary for rescue, we deleted half of ABD1 in addition to ABD2 (ΔCH2/ABD2) as well as the entirety of both actin-binding domains (ΔABD1/2), thus completely ablating not only bundling, but also binding of the constructs to actin. Surprisingly, both constructs were capable of rescuing axon morphology (Fig. 5A and C). Similar results were obtained with ΔABD2 and ΔCH2/ABD2 constructs, which lacked C-terminal tags (data not shown). Additionally, an increased dosage of
ΔABD1/2 was not sufficient to fully reproduce the effects of full-length PLS3 (Supplementary Material, Fig. S1). Thus, we observed significantly improved axon morphology with ΔABD1/2, albeit the defects were greater than those observed in the full-length PLS3 injected morphants. This observation suggests that PLS3’s function in smn morphant embryos might involve an additional mechanism unrelated to its interaction with actin.

**EF hands are necessary for PLS3 function in smn morphants**

To address what other PLS3 domains were important for motor axon development, we next examined the N-terminus of PLS3. Several important structural and regulatory motifs are found in the N-terminus of plastin isoforms. Phosphorylation of serines five and seven regulates PLS2 localization to active sites of actin filament assembly (45,46). Although a conserved serine residue is found at position seven of PLS3, no known role for phosphorylation of this site in PLS3 has been described. Additionally, a nuclear export signal (NES) overlaps the first EF hand motif in PLS3, promoting its cytoplasmic localization (47). The signal is weaker in PLS2, which shuttles between the nucleus and cytoplasm. Furthermore, the N-terminus also contains two EF hands, which are calcium-binding regulatory motifs (48). To determine whether any of these N-terminal motifs were necessary for PLS3 function in motor axon outgrowth in smn morphants, we generated two deletion constructs, ΔEF hands and ΔNES. ΔEF hands are a deletion of both EF hands and all amino acids to the N-terminus of these motifs (Fig. 4). ΔNES is a smaller deletion of only the NES and all the amino acids N-terminal to this motif (Fig. 4). Interestingly, co-injection of smn MO with ΔEF hands mRNA failed to show any significant differences from smn MO alone (Fig. 4B and C). Increased dose of ΔEF hands mRNA (Supplementary Material, Fig. S1) as well as the ΔEF hands construct lacking the C-terminal V5 and 6xHis tags (data not shown) did not demonstrate any significant ability to rescue axons. Therefore, we concluded that some part of the N-terminus was necessary for the function of PLS3 to rescue morphological axon defects in smn morphants. In striking contrast with ΔEF hands, ΔNES mRNA was able to completely rescue axon defects in smn morphant embryos (Fig. 5B and C). Therefore, the ability of PLS3 to rescue motor axon defects requires amino acids 27–82, encompassing half of the first EF hand and the entire second EF hand motif. Because ΔNES could completely rescue axon defects but ΔEF hands could not, we eliminated potential roles for serine 7 phosphorylation as well as the NES. Although the EF hand motifs are essential and alone result in partial rescue of the smn MO phenotype, the full-length PLS3 construct is able to produce a complete rescue. Thus, the EF hand motifs in the N-terminus of PLS3 are necessary, but not sufficient for PLS3 to function in motor axon morphology in smn morphant embryos.

To ensure that all of the RNA constructs could indeed generate protein in vivo, we performed western blots from embryos injected with RNA at the 1-cell stage (Fig. 5D). At 10 hpf, all forms were expressed although ΔNES at a lower level. At 24 hpf, protein was observed for all forms except ΔNES and Δvim. However, both of these RNAs rescued motor axons suggesting that the amount of protein generated was sufficient to rescue. The RNA that failed to rescue, ΔEF hands, was expressed at both time points.

**Subcellular localization of PLS3 deletion mutants**

PLS3 has functional roles in both the cytoplasm and the nucleus (49,50). Cellular localization of PLS3 is at least in part, controlled via its NES, which is removed in both the ΔNES and ΔEF hands constructs. This removal might result in their excessive accumulation in the nucleus and consequent depletion from the cytoplasm. Although the ΔNES construct is able to rescue motor axon outgrowth, the function of PLS3 necessary for motor axons could be a nuclear role. To test this, we transfected human embryonic kidney (HEK293) cells with V5-tagged forms of all constructs and performed immunofluorescent labeling against the V5 tags and filamentous actin (Fig 6). Upon transfection with PLS3, we did observe cellular rounding and some loss of cellular adherence, as has previously been reported (41). However, PLS3 was primarily located in the cytoplasm and co-localized with filamentous actin at the peripheral edges of the cells (Fig. 6C and D). Although all constructs were found in the cytoplasm, an increase in the amount of nuclear PLS3 was observed in ΔNES and ΔEF hands constructs lacking the NES (Fig. 6G–J). From this, we could rule out nuclear PLS3 functions as a requirement for rescue of motor axon outgrowth in smn morphants.

**Ca²⁺ binding is critical for PLS3 function in smn morphants**

Because EF hands are known to bind calcium to regulate protein function, we next examined whether PLS3 calcium binding was necessary for its ability to rescue motor axon outgrowth defects. We mutated each residue of both EF hands that contacts a calcium ion, as has previously been reported with α-actinin (51) (Fig. 7A). For the first Ca²⁺-binding site, we mutated D25A, N27A, N29A, F31A, C33A, E36A in the context of the full-length PLS3 CDNA to create the construct EF mut 1. The second binding domain was mutated to D65A, N67A, D69A, K71A, S73A, E76A to create the construct EF mut 2. Finally, we generated a construct with all of the previously described mutations, termed EF mut 1 + 2. Interestingly, none of these mutated Ca²⁺-binding EF hand constructs was able to significantly rescue smn morphant motor axon outgrowth defects (Fig. 7B and C). To verify these experiments, we injected the DNA constructs directly into one-cell stage zebrafish (as opposed to injecting RNA) and found that full-length PLS3, but not PLS3 with mutated Ca²⁺-binding EF hands, could rescue the motor axons (data not shown). Thus, calcium binding appears to be essential for PLS3 function in motoneuron axon outgrowth.

**PLS3 function in actin binding and bundling**

To define whether actin-binding and bundling functions of PLS3 are affected by Ca²⁺, we examined the ability of full-length PLS3 and PLS3 mutants to bind and bundle actin in vitro using light scattering and a co-sedimentation assay across a range of free Ca²⁺ concentrations (pCa 8.5–3.8). PLS3 binding was assessed by its appearance in the pellet fractions together with filamentous actin after a high-speed centrifugation (200 000g). As expected, binding of ΔEF hands and EF mut 1 + 2 constructs to actin was not affected by Ca²⁺ (Fig. 8A and B). However, binding of full-length PLS3 to actin was also unaffected across
the entire range of Ca$^{2+}$ concentrations examined, suggesting that interaction of at least one of the PLS3 ABDs with actin (ABD1 or ABD2) is not affected by Ca$^{2+}$ (Fig. 8A and B). Full-length PLS3 has notably lower affinity to actin than both EF hand mutants, presumably due to a partial inhibitory effect of EF hands at any concentration of Ca$^{2+}$ tested.

Bundling of actin filaments can be efficiently monitored by an increase in light scattering upon formation of large filament assemblies. Addition of PLS3 to pre-formed actin filaments caused an immediate increase in light scattering that took approximately an hour to fully develop (Fig. 8C). A subsequent addition of a high Ca$^{2+}$ concentration (pCa 4.0) caused a prompt drop of light scattering back to the initial level indicating that PLS3 bundling activity was abolished (Fig. 8C). These results were further confirmed using low-speed centrifugation (17 000 g) of actin bundles formed in the presence of PLS3 constructs. In this assay, single filaments of actin remained in the supernatant, while actin bundles were found in the pellet fraction. Bundling of actin by full-length PLS3 was dependent upon Ca$^{2+}$ concentration, whereas bundling by ΔEF hands and EF mut 1 + 2 proteins were insensitive to Ca$^{2+}$ (Fig. 8D and E). At low Ca$^{2+}$ concentration (pCa 8.5–5.3), PLS3 was able to bundle actin filaments, but at higher Ca$^{2+}$ concentration (pCa < 5.0), PLS3 failed to bundle actin. Therefore, the inability of both constructs with altered/deleted EF hands to rescue the smn MO axon phenotype may directly relate to their inability to be regulated by Ca$^{2+}$.

Since ΔABD1/2 was able to partially rescue motor axons of smn morphants (Fig. 5A and C), we examined whether this construct retains a residual activity toward actin. Without actin-binding domains, we predicted that this construct would be unable to interact with actin. Indeed, we found that ΔABD1/2 was unable to bind or bundle actin under any conditions (Fig. 8A and D).

**Figure 6.** Immunofluorescence of PLS3 colocalization with filamentous actin in HEK293 cells. PLS3 constructs are stained with antibody to C-terminal V5 tag (red). Filamentous actin is labeled with AlexaFluor488-phalloidin conjugate (green). DAPI (blue) labels the nucleus. (A and B) empty pDEST40 vector, (C and D) FL PLS3, (E and F) FL PLS3 pDEST40 (labeled only with secondary antibody, control for staining specificity), (G and H) ΔNES, (I and J) ΔEF hands, (K and L) Δvimentin interact, (M and N) ΔABD2, and (O and P) ΔABD1/2. All imaging was performed with the same laser intensity.
Thus, we have demonstrated that PLS3 actin bundling is inhibited by a free Ca$^{2+}$ concentration $>$ 10 μM ($\text{pCa} < 5.0$). Our data support a mechanism by which Ca$^{2+}$ binding directly to PLS3 via its EF hand motifs regulates actin bundling (Fig. 9).

**DISCUSSION**

Motor axon outgrowth is a key component of nervous system development. We have shown in both transient and genetic models of SMA that motor axon outgrowth is affected (25,26), and that this is a reflection of aberrant motoneuron development (26). To gain insight into how SMN is affecting motoneuron development, we have examined the protein PLS3, which has been shown in animal models of SMA and in some human studies to be a modifier of SMA phenotypes, especially those involved in motor function (22,26,29). Previously, we had shown that PLS3 expression could rescue the motor axon defects caused by transient knockdown of Smn (22) and driving PLS3 in motoneurons in a genetic model of SMA could rescue neuromuscular junctions and movement defects (27). The goal of this current study was to dissect the functional requirements that are needed for PLS3 to rescue the motor axon defects using the smn morphant model.

PLS3's best-described function has been as an actin-bundling protein (52), but other functions have also been ascribed to PLS3 and other plastin family members (41,42,49,50,53 – 57). However, very little is known about PLS3 function in the developing nervous system. From our structure-function data using PLS3 deletion constructs, we definitively showed that PLS3 EF hand motifs are required for PLS3 function in developing motoneurons. By mutating all residues that interact with Ca$^{2+}$ ions within the EF hands, we determined that Ca$^{2+}$ binding to the EF hand motifs is essential for PLS3 function in motoneuron development. Thus, these data define a novel role for Ca$^{2+}$ regulation in PLS3 function in motor neuron development. Calcium dysregulation has previously been implicated in SMA. Analysis of different muscles in SMA mice has revealed that in some muscles (e.g., TVA, TA) neurotransmission is decreased and the kinetics of the post-synaptic response is slowed (58,59). Interestingly, there is also altered calcium homeostasis at affected NMJs in these mice (59). Analysis of cultured motor axonal growth cones from SMA mice also revealed reduced local calcium influx and reduced voltage-gated calcium channels [Cav2.2, (60)]. These studies suggest that calcium dysregulation in the growth cone during motor axon outgrowth is altered in SMA. Glial cells may also have calcium defects, as iPS cells from SMA mice induced to become glial cells displayed altered basal calcium levels (61). Calcium transients occur locally at the tips of extending axon branches and growth cones in cultured neurons and are critical for filopodial dynamics and axonal growth (62). We have previously shown that filopodial dynamics are affected in vivo in smn mutant zebrafish (26). One possibility is that calcium

![Figure 7. Ca$^{2+}$ binding to EF hands is required for PLS3 function in smn-depleted motor axons. (A) Schematic depicting PLS3 constructs containing point mutations for Ca$^{2+}$ binding residues in the EF hands of PLS3. EF mut 1 contains the following point mutations: D25A, N27A, N29A, F31A, C33A, E36A. EF mut 2 contains D65A, N67A, D69A, K71A, S73A, E76A. EF mut 1 + 2 contains all of the mutations mentioned. (B) Motor axon defects observed in smn morphants with 250 pg mRNA injection of EF hand point mutants: EF mut 1, EF mut 2 and EF mut 1 + 2. (C) Statistical table depicting significance between injection groups as determined by Mann–Whitney analysis.](https://academic.oup.com/hmg/article-abstract/23/8/1990/590238)
dysregulation in the growth cone or in the environment around the growth cone could affect axon outgrowth through a calcium-dependent PLS3 function.

Because Ca^{2+} is known to regulate PLS2’s binding and bundling activity toward filamentous actin (63) and Ca^{2+} regulation of PLS3 had not been definitively determined (36,43), we examined whether the actin-binding domains of PLS3 were necessary for PLS3 activity in axons. Our results show that PLS3 lacking actin-binding domains, ΔABD1/2, still retains some function within motor axons. We confirmed that this construct does indeed lack any ability to bind actin via a co-sedimentation assay. This retained function within motor axons, in spite of a lack of actin binding, suggests that PLS3 function in smn morphant axons may be independent of its actin activity. This result was rather surprising since the best-characterized activity of PLS3 is binding and bundling actin (52) and actin filament dynamics are crucial for axon outgrowth (64). Interestingly, none of the other actin-modifying proteins (Pfn2, CFL1 and α-ACTN1) that we

**Figure 8.** Ca^{2+} inhibits actin-bundling activity of PLS3, but has no effect on actin binding. (A) Binding of 2 μM of PLS3, EF mut 1+2, ΔEF hands and 30 μM of ΔABD1/2 to 5 μM of F-actin in the presence of different concentrations of free Ca^{2+} was assessed by the high speed co-sedimentation assay (200 000g for 30 min) followed by SDS–PAGE analysis of pellet and supernatant fractions. Pellets (p) contain F-actin and bound PLS proteins; supernatants (s) contain unbound PLS. Sedimentation of PLS3 and its mutants in the absence of actin is shown as a control on the left. The positions of wild-type PLS3, EF mut 1 + 2, and ΔEF hands and actin are marked on the right. The experiment was conducted under different pCa^{2+} 8.5, 7.7, 6.4, 5.3, 5.0, 4.6 and 3.8; but only pCa^{2+} 6.4–4.6 range is presented in (A). (B) The amounts of PLS3 proteins bound to actin from three independent experiments were expressed as the per cent of the corresponding total PLS3 protein (combined PLS3 in the supernatant and pellet) and shown on the graph. Error bars, SEM. (C) Time course of change in light scattering intensity of 5 μM F-actin solution was recorded by spectrophotometer with emission and excitation wavelengths set at 330 nm. Addition of PLS3 (2 μM) to F-actin increased light scattering of the sample reflecting bundling of actin filaments by PLS3. Subsequent addition of CaCl₂ (0.1 mM free Ca^{2+}, pCa²⁺ 4.0) reduced the signal to a nearly initial level. (D) Bundling of F-actin (5 μM) by PLS3, EF mut 1+2, ΔEF hands, and ΔABD (2, 2, 2, and 30 μM, respectively) in buffers with various concentrations of Ca^{2+} was assessed by low-speed sedimentation (17 000g for 15 min) followed by the SDS–PAGE analysis. Under these sedimentation conditions, F-actin bundles were found in the pellet fraction (p), whereas unbound actin filaments remained in the supernatant (s). Sedimentation of F-actin alone is shown on the left as a control for unbound actin. (E) Amount of bundled F-actin in pellet was expressed as a per cent of total actin (combined supernatant and pellet) and shown on the graph. Error bars, SEM (n = 3).
examined were able to modulate the phenotype of smn morphant axons suggesting that PLS3 has unique properties that may be independent of actin binding. Even though other actin modifiers have been implicated to play roles in SMA (28,38,65,66), only PLS2 was able to compensate for PLS3 in this assay. Interestingly, PLS1, which also is able to bind and bundle actin filaments, could not rescue axon defects. A detailed analysis of PLS1’s calcium sensitivity has not been determined and could lend insight into its inability to rescue. Alternatively, because PLS1 can bind and bundle actin, it also supports an actin-independent role for PLS3 in motor axons.

Though all of our data support an actin-independent role for PLS3 in motor axon outgrowth in smn-deficient neurons, we cannot eliminate the possibility that an interaction with actin plays some partial role for PLS3 in motor axon outgrowth of smn morphant embryos. Because we were unable to see a complete rescue of motor axons with the PLS3 construct lacking actin-binding domains (ΔABD1/2), it is possible that ΔABD1/2 is unable to fully compensate for full-length PLS3 function because it needs to associate with actin in some capacity. Increasing the dosage of ΔABD1/2 did not result in an increased ability to rescue axon defects, indicating that insufficient protein production was not to blame for a lack of complete phenotypic rescue. While ΔABD1/2 may be lacking some important sequence or domain necessary to function in a way comparable with full-length PLS3, this could also indicate that ΔABD1/2 conformation is compromised. Without full knowledge of the mechanism of PLS3 in motor axon outgrowth, it is not possible to distinguish between these possibilities.

While our work points toward an actin-independent role for PLS3, we further wanted to define how PLS3 interacted with actin and what role calcium played in this interaction. We examined the ability of full-length PLS3, the EF hand deletion (ΔEF hands) and the Ca²⁺-binding mutant (EF mut 1+2) constructs to interact with actin filaments across a range of Ca²⁺ concentrations. Data from both a light scattering assay and a co-sedimentation assay conclusively demonstrated that PLS3 bundling activity of filamentous actin is negatively regulated by Ca²⁺. These data were particularly intriguing as it only applied to PLS3 bundling and not binding to actin, which was not affected by calcium. In fact, actin filament stabilization by PLS3 was shown to be independent of Ca²⁺ concentration in vitro (36). However, another group had demonstrated that Ca²⁺ does exert tertiary structural changes to PLS3’s EF hands (43) and actin-crosslinking by PLS3 has been demonstrated to be Ca²⁺ dependent (67). While we do demonstrate the ability of PLS3 actin-bundling function to be negatively regulated by Ca²⁺, we cannot draw conclusions solely from these data in regard to smn morphant axon outgrowth. However, we convincingly demonstrate that both axon outgrowth and actin-bundling activity are regulated by Ca²⁺ interaction with PLS3. Because PLS3 constructs which retain the ability to bind and bundle actin filaments (ΔEF hands and EF mut 1+2) are unable to rescue smn morphant axons and PLS3 lacking actin-binding domains (ΔABD1/2) still retain ability to do so, our data in sum are in support of a role for PLS3 beyond solely that of interacting with actin.

Because our data define a novel Ca²⁺-dependent function for PLS3 in smn morphant axon outgrowth, potential mechanisms for PLS3 function must meet these requirements. Several functions have been ascribed to PLS3 that could meet such qualifications. Because Ca²⁺ binding to the EF hands of PLS3 leads to conformational changes (43), it is possible that such structural changes could be important for PLS3 functions beyond interacting with actin. Furthermore, PLS2 is able to perform the same role as PLS3 in motor axons, while PLS1 cannot. In looking at reported functions of PLS2 and PLS3, both are reported to interact with Rab5 (57), a marker of early endosomes. While overexpression of PLS3 in vitro stimulated Rab5-mediated endocytosis, it has not been determined whether PLS3 is important for endocytosis in vivo. Interestingly, the yeast ortholog of PLS3, SAC6p, is required for endocytosis, as yeast deficient in SAC6p have abnormal morphology and defects in endocytosis (53,54). In addition, we have previously shown that PLS3 can rescue synaptic SV2 defects in smn mutant zebrafish (27). Furthermore, another infantile/juvenile onset motoneuron disorder, an atypical form of ALS, ALS2 is caused by mutations in alsin, a guanine nucleotide exchange factor for the small GTPase, Rab5 (68). Thus, disruptions to the endocytic pathway can lead to early onset motoneuron disease. Perhaps modulation of this pathway in SMA is ameliorated by the disease-modifying effect of PLS3. Indeed, PLS3 expression is able to restore synaptic vesicle number in NMs in an SMA mouse model (29). In sum, these data suggest a potential role for PLS3 in synaptic vesicle endocytosis. Given that a genetic model of SMA in zebrafish also has neuromuscular junction defects (69) and that PLS3 expression is able to rescue these

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>construct</th>
<th>rescues smn MOR axon defects</th>
<th>binds actin</th>
<th>bundles actin</th>
<th>ability to bind Ca²⁺</th>
<th>actin bundling negatively regulated by Ca²⁺</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PLS3</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔABD1/2</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔEF hands</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EF mut 1+2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 9. Summary of PLS3 functional activity. Full-length PLS3, ΔABD1/2, ΔEF hands, and EF mut 1 + 2 are described in terms of their ability to rescue of smn morphant motor axon defects, bind and bundle actin, bind Ca²⁺, and whether actin-bundling activity is Ca²⁺ regulated.
observed defects (27), we would predict that PLS3 interaction with Rab5 may be an important mechanism to investigate in future work.

Since both PLS2 and PLS3 are able to rescue axon outgrowth phenotypes of smn morphant embryos, and both are known to be regulated by calcium, additional potential mechanisms can be proposed from knowledge of known PLS2-binding partners and function in signaling pathways. Interaction of PLS2 with grancalcin is regulated by calcium concentration (55). Additionally, PLS2 has been shown to interact with β-integrin (56), but it was not determined whether this interaction was calcium dependent. Also, ataxin2, the gene implicated in the polyglutamine expansion disorder spinocerebellar ataxis 2, has been shown to co-immunoprecipitate with both PLS2 and PLS3 in mouse brain lysate (70). Intriguingly, ATX2, which has known roles in RNA metabolism (70–73) may also regulate PLS3 expression levels (70). ATX2 with the polyglutamine expansion caused strong cytoplasmic accumulation of PLS3 in mammalian cells (70). Furthermore, ATX2 also interacts with endophilin-A3 and A1 proteins, which have known roles in clathrin-mediated endocytosis (74–77). Investigation of these mechanisms of PLS2 interaction may lead further insight into the mode of action of PLS3 in motor axon outgrowth.

Understanding the mechanisms by which genetic modifiers modulate the course of SMA will be of importance in understanding the mechanisms of disease pathogenesis. While PLS3 is certainly not the only disease modifier, as it is not expressed by all discordant families (22,24) and different strains of SMA model mice display different disease time courses (29), it does seem to provide some protection in both humans and animal models of disease (22,27,29). Because other actin modifying proteins have been implicated to play roles in SMA (38,65) and homologs of both PLS3 (plst-1, Fim) and ACTN1 (atn-1, Actinin) were identified in modifier screens in invertebrates (28), we reasoned that PLS3 likely was modifying SMA phenotypes by modulating the actin cytoskeleton. We were surprised to discover that PLS3’s role in SMA extends beyond that of modulation of actin, and that Ca²⁺ regulation of this process is necessary. Further investigation of PLS3’s actin independent, Ca²⁺-regulated function in motor axon outgrowth may lend insight into not only the mechanism of pathogenesis of SMA, but also motoneuron development in general.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Zebrafish maintenance**

Adult and larval zebrafish (Danio rerio) were maintained at The Ohio State University fish facility at 28.5°C and bred according to established procedures (78). Animal protocols were approved by the Ohio State University Committee on Use and Care of Animals.

**Western blots**

One-cell stage embryos were injected with RNA generated from different PLS3 constructs. At 10 and 24 hpf, 15 embryos from each injection were dechorionated, and resuspended in 45 µL homogenizing buffer (63 mM Tris pH 6.8, 5 mM EDTA, 10% SDS) with protease inhibitor cocktail. Tissue was boiled and centrifuged at 12 000g for 5 min at 4°C. Samples were added to 45 µL of 2 X SDS sample buffer (100 mM Tris pH 6.8, 0.2% bromophenol blue, 20% glycerol, 200 mM dithiothreitol) and boiled for 5 min before loading to gels. For western blots 12 µL of protein lysates (equal to ~2 embryos) were separated on 7.5% or 15% SDS–polyacrylamide gels depending on the size of the protein and transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (Immobilon P, Millipore). Blots were blocked for 1 h with 5% milk and 1% BSA in PBS with 0.1% Tween20 (PBST) and probed with mouse anti-β-actin (1:1000, C4, SantaCruz) and mouse anti-V5 (1:5000, Life Technologies) in 1% milk, 0.2% BSA/PBST overnight (ON) at 4°C. Following four washes with PBST for 5 min each, blots were incubated for 1 h at room temperature with the goat anti-mouse IgG-HRP, 1:5000 (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, Inc.) in 1% milk, 0.2% BSA/PBST. After five washes with PBST for 5 min each, signal was detected by chemiluminescence (Amersham Western Blotting Detection Reagents, GE Healthcare) on film. β-Actin was used as a protein loading control and was detected on the same western blots as the PLS3 forms after stripping and re-probing with the anti-β-actin antibody.

**DNA constructs**

Full-length human PLS3 cDNA (NCBI: NM_005032) in pcDNA3.1 was a gift from Brunhilde Wirth (22). Other clones information is as follows: Human cofillin-1 (NCBI: BC012265) ThermoScientific Bio (MGC 9272, human 3862540 pcMV-SPORT6.1); zebrafish profilin 2 (NCBI: BC067152.1) Life Technologies, (MGC: 77530, zebrafish 6702689 pcMV-SPORT6); human α-actinin-1 (NCBI: BC015766) ThermoScientificBio (MGC: 23191, human 4856734 pOTB7); human PLS3 (NCBI: BC031083.1) ATCC: MGC-33811 (human 5297758 pBluescriptR); human PLS2 (LCPI, L-plastin, NCBI: BC007673.1) ATCC: MGC-831 (human 3143290 pOTB7).

Amplification of PLS3, PLS3 deletions, human cofillin, zebrafish profilin-2 and human α-actinin1 was performed by PCR amplification of cDNA without the stop codon using primers as specified in Supplementary Material, Table S1. PCR products were cloned into pCR8/GW/TOPO vector according to manufacturer’s directions (Life Technologies). Automated sequencing was performed to verify constructs. Directional cloning into pcDNA-DEST40, containing C-terminal V5 and 6xHis tags, was performed using LR Clonase II (Life Technologies). Mutagenesis of EF hand mutant clones was obtained by sequential mutagenesis of full-length PLS3 using the following primers: EF mut1-1 F 5’-AGGACTTCATTAGCTGACT-3’, EF mut1-1 R 5’-AGTCACAACTTGGCGAGAAAGTCCATTGGTTGACT-3’, EF mut1-2 R 5’-AGTCGACAAATGGCGAGAGACAAGTGGTTGACT-3’, EF mut2-1 F 5’-TGGCGACGCGGAGCCATTGAGCAGAAAGTGTTGACT-3’, EF mut2-1 R 5’-AGTCGGATGATTGCGAGCCATTGAGCAGAAAGTGTTGACT-3’, EF mut2-2 F 5’-AGGGCGTTTGGCGAGCCATTGAGCAGAAAGTGTTGACT-3’, EF mut2-2 R 5’-AGTCGGATGATTGCGAGCCATTGAGCAGAAAGTGTTGACT-3’, EF mut1-1 F 5’-AGACTTATTTTCCAGGATTAGTGGCTGCGAAGTGGTTGACT-3’, EF mut1-1 R 5’-AGACTTATTTTCCAGGATTAGTGGCTGCGAAGTGGTTGACT-3’.
pools were generated using plasmids and RNA injections, followed by mutagenesis with EF mut 1-2 primers against

MO solutions (4–10 ng/nL) were prepared by dilution of 2 mM between 4.5 and 9.0 ng depending on batch efficacy. Working defects is observed with the calibrated dose of Smn protein. Under these conditions, the same range of

Cell culture and transfections

HEK293 cells were grown in Dulbecco’s modification of Eagle’s medium (Cellgro), supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Cellgro) and 1% penicillin-streptomycin (Cellgro) at 37°C with 5% CO₂. HEK293 cells were seeded and plated at 1.0 × 10⁶ cells/well in six-well plates 24 h preceding transfection. Cells were transfected with Lipofectamine 2000 (Life Technologies) according to manufacturer’s directions. Reduced media: 75% Opti-MEM I (Gibco), 25% cell culture growth media, (2.5% serum total); 4.0 μg of plasmid DNA was transfected; 24 h after transfection, cells were reseeded and plated on coverslips (ChemGlass Life Sciences LLC) in 24-well plates in quadruplicate; 24 h after cells were replated, they were fixed by drop-wise addition of 4% PFA directly to cell culture media.

mRNA transcription

PLS3 pcDNA3.1 vector and all pDEST40 vectors were linearized using AvrII. Full-length PLS3 synthetic capped mRNA from pcDNA3.1 was produced using the mMESSAGE mACHINE T7 kit according to manufacturer’s directions. All other synthetic capped mRNA from pCS2+ and pDEST40 were produced using the mMESSAGE mACHINE SP6 kit. Capped mRNA was suspended in nuclease-free dH₂O and concentration was determined by spectrophotometry (Nanodrop 2000c, Thermo Scientific). Quality was checked by running 1 μL of RNA on a 2% agarose /SB (10 m M NaOH, H₃BO₃ to pH 8.5) gel at 200 V for 15 min. Samples were stored at −80°C. Expression of V5-tagged constructs was verified by western blot at 28 hpf.

MO and RNA injections

ėRNA translation blocking morpholino (5′-CGACATCTTCTGACCACTATGGC-3′) and a standard control morpholino (5′-CCTTCTACCTAAGTTAATTTATA-3′) were synthesized (Gene-Tools, LLC) and injected at 1 nl/embryo into one to two cell stage Tg(mx1:0.6hsp70:EGFP)os26 embryos as previously described (22,25). Stock MO solutions (2 mM) were prepared by resuspending the oligonucleotide in sterile filtered water heated to 65°C and aliquots were stored at RT. Each batch of Smn MO was calibrated to produce −80% reduction of Smn protein. Under these conditions, the same range of defects is observed with the calibrated dose of Smn MO, between 4.5 and 9.0 ng depending on batch efficacy. Working MO solutions (4–10 ng/nL) were prepared by dilution of 2 mM stock MO with sterile water containing 1% phenol red. Following injection, embryos were placed in filtered fish water with penicillin–streptomycin solution (Life Technologies) on a warm plate (28°C) to recover. Four hours following injections, embryos that did not contain phenol red in cells were discarded.

Motor axon scoring

At ~28 hpf, embryos with normal morphology (~80–90% of those injected) were fixed with 4% PFA for 24 h. The ventrally projecting caudal primary motoneurons were scored for morphological defects. Tg(mx1:0.6hsp70:EGFP)os26 embryos strongly express EGFP in primary motor axons (79). Eight axons on each side of the embryo (16 total for each embryo) were scored for defects in morphology and classified as severe, moderate, mild or non-defective. The classification of axons was utilized to give each fish an overall classification using criteria described in Supplementary Material, Table S2. Approximately 25 embryos per injection were scored for each condition with at least three injections per condition. Mann–Whitney analysis was performed to compare groups. Axons were imaged on a Zeiss axioptan microscope at ×40.

Immunofluorescence on cultured cells

Eight per cent PFA/PBS was gently added to culture media (4% final concentration of PFA) for 20 min to fix cells. Cells were washed twice with PBS and permeabilized with 0.1% TritonX-100/PBS (Fisher Scientific) for 10 min. Cells were washed twice with PBS. Immunofluorescent staining was performed in a humidity chamber (10-cm plate containing four pieces of wet paper towel covered by parafilm). Cells were placed cell-side down onto 35 mm in thick-

Bacterial protein expression and purification

PLS3 full-length protein and its mutants (EF mut 1 + 2, ΔEF hands, and ΔABD1/2) were subcloned into pColdI vector (Takara) in-frame with the N-terminal 6xHis tag using the Insertion HD Enzyme cloning kit (Clontech). All proteins were expressed in Rosetta(DE3)pLysS E. coli strain (Novagen) at 15°C ON in the presence of 1 mM IPTG. Protein purification was carried out using HisPur Cobalt Resin (Thermo Scientific) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The expressed
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proteins were stepwise eluted with 20, 50 and 250 mM imidazole and fractions containing uncontaminated proteins (>90% purity) revealed by SDS–PAGE analysis were pooled together and dialyzed against buffer containing 20 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl, 0.1 mM PMSF.

**Actin preparation**

Rabbit skeletal muscle actin was prepared from acetone powder (Pel-Freez Biologicals) as described in (8) and stored in G-buffer (5.0 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 0.2 mM Ca2+-ATP, 5.0 mM β-mercaptoethanol). Before polymerization G-actin was treated with 0.1 mM MgCl2 and 0.2 mM EGTA to exchange Ca2+ ions bound to actin to Mg2+. G-actin was polymerized by adding MgCl2 and KCl to final concentrations of 1 and 30 mM, respectively, and incubating for 1 h in the presence or absence of phalloidin at 4°C.

**Light scattering measurement of actin bundle formation by PLS3**

Light scattering analysis was performed at an angle of 90° to the incident light at the excitation and emission wavelengths set at 330 nm in a FluoroMax-3 spectrophuorometer (Jobin Yvon Horiba). Final concentrations of filamentous Mg2+-F-actin and PLS3 proteins were 5 and 2 μM, respectively, in buffer A containing 20 mM Hepes, pH 7.5, 0.1 mM ATP, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM MgCl2, 30 mM KCl, 0.1 mM EGTA.

**Actin-binding and bundling sedimentation assays**

About 5 μM of Mg2+-F-actin and 2 μM of PLS3 or its mutants were mixed in buffer A supplemented with different concentrations of CaCl2 to get the desired concentrations of free Ca2+ calculated using the Maxchelator software program for determining free metal concentrations (http://www.stanford.edu/~cppton/CaMgATPEGTA-NIST-Plot.htm; last accessed on 30 November 2013). After ON incubation at 4°C, the samples were split and centrifuged either at high speed [200,000g for 30 min in TL-100 ultracentrifuge (Beckman)] to assess binding of PLS3 proteins to F-actin or at low speed (17,000g for 15 min) to estimate bundling abilities of these proteins. Supernatant and pellet fractions from both sedimentation assays were analyzed on SDS-polyacrylamide gels, stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue, and quantified using the ImageJ software (Rasband, W.S., ImageJ, U. S. National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA, http://imagej.nih.gov/ij/, 1997–2012, last accessed on 30 November 2013). Similar results were obtained using F-actin polymerized in the presence or absence of phalloidin.

**SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL**

Supplementary Material is available at HMG online.
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