Rab11 modulates α-synuclein-mediated defects in synaptic transmission and behaviour
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A central pathological hallmark of Parkinson’s disease (PD) is the presence of proteinaceous depositions known as Lewy bodies, which consist largely of the protein α-synuclein (αSyn). Mutations, multiplications and polymorphisms in the gene encoding αSyn are associated with familial forms of PD and susceptibility to idiopathic PD. Alterations in αSyn impair neuronal vesicle formation/transport, and likely contribute to PD pathogenesis by neuronal dysfunction and degeneration. αSyn is functionally associated with several Rab family GTPases, which perform various roles in vesicle trafficking. Here, we explore the role of the endosomal recycling factor Rab11 in the pathogenesis of PD using Drosophila models of αSyn toxicity. We find that αSyn induces synaptic potentiation at the larval neuromuscular junction by increasing synaptic vesicle (SV) size, and that these alterations are reversed by Rab11 overexpression. Furthermore, Rab11 decreases αSyn aggregation and ameliorates several αSyn-dependent phenotypes in both larvae and adult fruit flies, including locomotor activity, degeneration of dopaminergic neurons and shortened lifespan. This work emphasizes the importance of Rab11 in the modulation of SV size and consequent enhancement of synaptic function. Our results suggest that targeting Rab11 activity could have a therapeutic value in PD.

INTRODUCTION

Parkinson’s disease (PD) is the second most common neurodegenerative disorder and affects ~4% of the population over 80 years of age (1,2). Neuropathologically, this disorder is characterized by the presence of Lewy bodies (LBs) and Lewy neurites in dopaminergic neurons located in the substantia nigra pars compacta, and the loss of neurons in this region (3). The main protein component of LBs is α-synuclein (αSyn) (4). Point mutations and multiplications in the gene encoding αSyn lead to autosomal dominant versions of PD. In addition, genome-wide association studies suggest that polymorphisms in this gene increase susceptibility to sporadic forms of this disease (5). Although the precise cellular role of αSyn is still ambiguous, αSyn is located at the pre-synaptic termini (6) and has been implicated in modulating neurotransmitter release (7). Moreover, in pathological conditions, αSyn has been suggested to cause defects in several vesicle trafficking pathways (8).

Rab proteins are highly conserved small GTPases that orchestrate vesicle trafficking within a cell—with vesicle formation, movement, tethering and targeting controlled by specific Rabs (9). These proteins fluctuate between their target membranes and the cytosol dependent on their GTP-bound (active) or GDP-bound (inactive) status (10). Mutations in Rab genes have been associated with diverse neurological diseases (11). αSyn has been found to interact with several Rabs, including Rab1, Rab3A and Rab8A.
(13–15). On the other hand, Rab11 has not been previously implicated in PD, although it has been linked to other neurodegenerative disorders (16–18). Rab11 is involved in the trafficking of vesicles between the recycling endosome and plasma membrane (19) and the trans-Golgi network (20). Rab11 also sequesters plasma membrane receptors and directs them to the recycling pathway, which plays a role in cell migration (21) and long-term potentiation (22).

The importance of Rab11 in the context of neurodegenerative disorders is emphasized by studies of Huntington’s (HD) and Alzheimer’s (AD) diseases. Indeed, Rab11 is functionally perturbed in several models of HD (23–26), and inhibition of Rab11 activity impairs vesicle formation from recycling endosomes in HD patient fibroblasts (24). Rab11 abrogates loss of dendritic spines in a primary neuronal model of HD, suggesting that Rab11 may play a critical early role in the synaptic dysfunction observed in HD (26). Rab11 overexpression also ameliorates synaptic dysfunction and neurodegeneration in a Drosophila model of HD (26,27). Regarding AD, direct interactions to investigate the mechanistic role and therapeutic analyses—to investigate the mechanistic role and therapeutic potential of Rab11 in PD. In a related recent study, we also demonstrated that Rab11 interacts with and modulates aSyn aggregation and secretion (31).

**RESULTS**

**Rab11 normalizes aSyn-dependent potentiation of synaptic transmission at the Drosophila larval neuromuscular junction**

Expression of aSyn in flies yields several PD-relevant phenotypes, including formation of LBs, dopaminergic neuron loss and locomotor impairments (32). Here, we employed the GAL4/UAS system (33) to drive aSyn expression in specific tissues using two independent fly models carrying UAS-aSyn transgenes [Model 1 from (34) and Model 2 from (35); see Materials and Methods]. As we previously established that aSyn oligomers enhance basal synaptic transmission in rat hippocampal slices (36), we assessed whether the electrophysiological parameters of the neuromuscular junction (NMJ) in aSyn-expressing larvae mirrored these effects. Indeed, pan-neuronal expression of aSyn via the elavGAL4 driver (elav> aSyn) in Model 1 strongly increased miniature excitatory junction potential (mEJP) amplitudes (mEJP) from 1.17 ± 0.05 to 1.45 ± 0.09 mV (P < 0.05; Fig. 1A), with a similar pattern observed in Model 2, though this failed to reach statistical significance using ANOVA (Fig. 1B). More subtle effects on mEJP amplitudes in both models became apparent when analyzing mEJP distributions with the more sensitive Kolmogorov–Smirnov test (KS test; Fig. 1C and D; Model 1—aSyn versus aSyn D = 0.2783, P < 0.0001; Model 2—aSyn versus aSyn D = 0.1478, P < 0.0001). Notably, co-expression of Rab11 with aSyn normalized these electrophysiological changes in both models and returned the mEJP amplitudes/distributions back to control values [(Fig. 1A; Model 1—P < 0.01, ANOVA) and (Fig. 1C and D; Model 1—aSyn versus Rab11 + aSyn D = 0.2729, P < 0.0001; Model 2—aSyn versus Rab11 + aSyn D = 0.2264, P < 0.0001, KS test)].

We also assessed evoked EJPs (eEJPs) with aSyn expression in these lines, and noted robust potentiation in Model 2 (P < 0.01; Fig. 1F). Model 1 larvae, on the other hand, exhibited no changes in eEJPs (Fig. 1E). We next analyzed the quantal content (QC) and found that QC was specifically increased in Model 2 flies, providing a rationale for the larger eEJPs observed (P < 0.05, Fig. 1F). Co-expression of Rab11 with aSyn led to a reduction and normalization of eEJP amplitudes and QC in these animals (P < 0.001 and <0.05, respectively; Fig. 1F), reiterating a modulatory role of Rab11 in aSyn-dependent potentiation of synaptic transmission.

**Rab11 ameliorates aSyn synaptic defects by restoration of synaptic vesicle size**

To investigate the mechanism(s) underlying Rab11 modulation of aSyn-induced electrophysiological abnormalities at the NMJ, we next explored localization of Rab11 and aSyn in larval NMJs using immunocytochemistry. We found endogenous Rab11 to be highly expressed in segmental axonal termini, synaptic boutons and muscle tissues (Supplementary Material, Fig. S1A). Model 1 flies, elav> aSyn, exhibited robust aSyn levels at the NMJ (Fig. 2A). Significant co-localization between aSyn and cysteine-string protein (CSP)—an endogenous marker of synaptic vesicles (SVs) (37)—was observed in both bouton types (1s and 1b) found at the Drosophila NMJ [Fig. 2B, Pearson’s coefficient = 0.852, intensity correlation quotient (ICQ) = 0.353]. Furthermore, using immunocytochemistry, we found aSyn enrichment in elav> aSyn expressing NMJs co-stained with the active zone containing scaffolding protein, bruchpilot (BRP) (38) (Supplementary Material, Fig. S1B). These data suggest that aSyn is associated with SVs and is localized to the NMJ (Fig. 2C). Here, we employed the trans-Golgi network, thereby decreasing β-amyloid (Aβ) generation by promoting the budding of amyloid precursor protein-containing vesicles (29), while blockage of Aβ trafficking through Rab11 recycling vesicles enhances cellular Aβ accumulation (30).

Due to these many links between Rab11 and neurodegenerative disease, we hypothesized that Rab11 could play a role in modulation of PD pathogenesis. Here, we employ Drosophila melanogaster models of aSyn toxicity—and a panel of electrophysiological, immunohistochemical, genetic and behavioural analyses—to investigate the mechanistic role and therapeutic potential of Rab11 in PD. In a related recent study, we also demonstrated that Rab11 interacts with and modulates aSyn aggregation and secretion (31).
Figure 2. aSyn localizes to CSP in larval NMJ boutons. (A) Representative third instar larval NMJs of aSyn and Rab11 + aSyn individuals (elavGAL4 driver with Model 1 line) immunostained with aSyn and CSP antibodies. Scale bar = 8 μm. (B) The spatial distribution of aSyn and CSP overlaps as indicated by Pearson’s and ICQ coefficients. Mean ± SEM. ANOVA with Newman–Keuls post hoc tests. **P < 0.01.
Rab11 ameliorates aSyn-dependent larval crawling impairments

Third instar larvae display characteristic crawling behaviour comprising forward movements in a specific direction followed by pauses during which the surrounding area is sensed (40). We have previously observed defects in this crawling behaviour due to aSyn expression (15), and thus here employed this metric to monitor if the Rab11-dependent improvements in synaptic transmission at the NMJ led to consequent amelioration of locomotor impairments in larvae. Expression of aSyn in motor neurons using the c164GAL4 driver (41) resulted in reduced distance travelled during a 2-min period relative to controls (P < 0.001; Fig. 4A, B and D). Strikingly, when Rab11 was co-expressed in aSyn larvae, crawling distance returned to control levels (P < 0.001; Fig. 4A, B and D). Larvae expressing aSyn in dopaminergic neurons (using the pleGAL4 driver) also exhibited reduced crawling distance (P < 0.001; Fig. 4C and E), which was reversed by Rab11 co-expression (P < 0.001; Fig. 4C and E).

To further explore whether active Rab11 is required for the protection observed above, we also investigated the effect of the GDP-bound, dominant-negative Rab11 mutant (Rab11 S25N) (42) on the crawling behaviour of aSyn animals. We found that Rab11S25N expression did not rescue aSyn-dependent crawling defects (P = ns, Supplementary Material, Fig. S3A), and indeed, in wild type (WT), larvae caused a significant reduction in the distance crawled (P < 0.001; Supplementary Material, Fig. S3A). These data support the notion that active Rab11 is required for protection in aSyn flies.

Rab11 reverses the loss of dopaminergic neurons in adult Drosophila brains

Having found that Rab11 ameliorates PD-relevant phenotypes during development, we next sought to extend these findings into adult flies. Previous studies have shown that expression of aSyn causes the degeneration of tyrosine hydroxylase (TH)-expressing dopaminergic neurons in aged adult flies (34,43). We thus analyzed the protocerebral posterior inferior-lateral (PPL1) subset of TH neurons located in the adult Drosophila posterior brain, which is particularly sensitive to aSyn expression (Fig. 5A) (15). We found that pan-neuronal expression of aSyn led to loss of these neurons (P < 0.001; Fig. 5B and Supplementary Material, Fig. S4A), which was reversed by overexpression of Rab11 (P < 0.001; Fig. 5B and Supplementary Material, Fig. S4A). Similarly, a progressive loss of dopaminergic neurons was observed when aSyn expression was limited to TH-positive neurons using the pleGAL4 driver, with 30-day-old flies displaying marked neuronal loss (P < 0.001; Fig. 5C), which again was ameliorated upon Rab11 co-expression (P < 0.001; Fig. 5C).

To support our immunocytochemical data, we employed a luminescence-based assay in which luciferase was co-expressed with aSyn as a further readout for neuronal dysfunction/death in these flies. A reduction in luciferase signal was found in flies expressing aSyn both pan-neuronally (P < 0.05, Supplementary Material, Fig. S4B) and specifically in the TH-positive neurons (P < 0.01, Fig. 5D). The overexpression of Rab11 in both conditions increased the luciferase signal (P < 0.05 for both drivers; Fig. 5D and Supplementary Material, Fig. S4B), indicating neuronal rescue. Taken together, these results suggest that increased Rab11 expression protects dopaminergic neurons in the Drosophila central nervous system from aSyn toxicity.

We next assessed whether Rab11 protects against aSyn-dependent neurodegeneration more generally. For these experiments, we drove expression of aSyn with the eye-specific gmrGAL4 driver and evaluated degeneration of photoreceptor neurons using the pseudopod assay, a widely used readout in several fly neurodegeneration models (34,44,45). We found that aSyn expression led to a ∼62% reduction in rhabdomeres in 30-day-old flies (P < 0.001, Fig. 5E), and that co-expression of Rab11 restored rhabdomere number to approximately WT levels (P < 0.001 versus aSyn; Fig. 5E). Thus, Rab11 is able to generally reverse aSyn-dependent neurodegeneration, regardless of neuronal population targeted.

Finally, in order to elucidate potential protective mechanisms underlying Rab11 protection, we assessed the level of soluble/insoluble aSyn in adult heads at Day 30 post-eclosion as a measure of aSyn aggregation. Importantly, we found that pan-neuronal expression of Rab11 significantly decreased levels of insoluble aSyn (P < 0.05, Fig. 5F).

Rab11 ameliorates disease-relevant phenotypes in adult flies expressing aSyn

We next analyzed locomotor impairments due to pan-neuronal and dopaminergic expression of aSyn by assessing negative
Figure 3. Increased SV size in aSyn larvae is rescued by Rab11 overexpression. Representative images of 1b (A) and 1s (B) boutons from WT NMJs. Average vesicle diameter in 1b (C) and 1s (D) boutons for UAS control, aSyn and Rab11 + aSyn larvae (Model 1 line). Increased vesicle size was observed upon expression of aSyn, which is fully reversed to control levels by Rab11 overexpression. Relative cumulative frequency plots and histograms and of 1b (E and G) and 1s (F and H) boutons; a right shift is observed in aSyn larvae in comparison with controls. SV size is rescued by overexpression of Rab11 in both boutons (1b boutons, \( N = 2737–3190 \) and 1s boutons, \( N = 804–1019 \)). Data are mean ± SEM. ANOVA with Newman–Keuls post hoc tests. *** \( P < 0.001 \).
geotaxis (climbing) in aged flies (Fig. 6A and B, and Supplementary Material, Fig. S5), as locomotor activity is linked to dopaminergic neurons (46). We found that pan-neuronal expression of aSyn led to a significant decrease in climbing relative to controls at all post-eclosion ages tested ($P < 0.001$; Fig. 6A and B). The overexpression of Rab11 rescued this impairment at all ages ($P < 0.001$). A similar deficiency in climbing was displayed by flies in which aSyn was selectively driven in dopaminergic neurons, with a decrease in climbing observed at Day 20 and 30 ($P < 0.001$, Supplementary Material, Fig. S5), and an improvement in behaviour observed upon overexpression of Rab11. We also evaluated the climbing phenotypes of Rab11S25N + aSyn flies, and found that overexpression of Rab11 S25N significantly decreased climbing of aSyn flies at all ages tested ($P < 0.001$; Supplementary Material, Fig. S6).

Finally, we assessed if Rab11 overexpression could ameliorate the shortened lifespan exhibited by aSyn flies. We found that pan-neuronal Rab11 expression reversed the reduced survival observed in both aSyn models ($P < 0.001$; Fig. 6C and D), extending median lifespan from 70 to 79 days (Fig. 6C), and from 48 to 68 days, respectively (Fig. 6D).

**DISCUSSION**

Although the exact function of aSyn is not fully understood, some studies suggest that it is linked to synaptic transmission. This is emphasized by its localization to pre-synaptic termini (47) and its involvement in several steps of the neurotransmission machinery. The overexpression of aSyn in murine...
dopaminergic neurons leads to inhibition of TH activity with a consequent reduction in dopamine synthesis (48,49). Moreover, aSyn association with the distal reserve pool of SVs and the SNARE complex indicate a physiological role of aSyn in the recycling of SVs and their fusion with the plasma membrane (6,50). aSyn has also been found to interact with several Rab GTPase proteins, which are highly conserved regulators of intracellular membrane trafficking between organelles (9). aSyn associates with Rab3a, Rab5 and Rab8 in dementia with LB patients and mouse models (12,51), and an interaction between endocytosed aSyn and Rab11a has been observed in mammalian cells (52). Notably, Rab1 and Rab8a overexpression reverses aSyn-dependent impairment of ER–Golgi transport in yeast, fruit flies and Caenorhabditis elegans (13–15). Synaptic dysfunction occurs in several human neurodegenerative diseases prior to neuronal loss and the manifestation of deficient behaviours (53). For this reason, we first investigated the effect of aSyn toxicity in Drosophila larvae. We found that synaptic transmission was maintained through to adults, as evidenced by decreased climbing at all post-eclosion ages tested. Finally, the survival of flies expressing pan-neuronal aSyn was diminished compared with the controls. Strikingly, for all these aSyn-mediated abnormalities, overexpression of Drosophila Rab11 significantly ameliorated these mutant phenotypes.

We recently demonstrated that Rab11 similarly rectifies several phenotypes in a fruit fly model of HD, including compromised spontaneous miniature and evoked transmission and SV size (27), although these effects were in the inverse direction to those observed in the current study with aSyn flies. The ability of Rab11 to normalize SV size in both experimental paradigms indicates that it plays a critical role in homeostasis of SV size. Indeed, several Rab (-interacting) proteins have been suggested to act as mediators of synaptic homeostasis (54,55). Supporting our observations here, aSyn overexpression in primary mouse neurons causes an enlargement in SVs (56). We further found that the increased amplitude of miniature events correlated with larger SV diameters.

How does aSyn expression lead to SV enlargement? A possible explanation can be found in the propensity of aSyn to interact with Rab5 (57). Rab5 plays a key role preventing the fusion of SVs with each other—known as homotypic fusions (57). Therefore, by sequestering or negatively interacting with Rab5, aSyn may lead to increased fusion between SVs resulting in their enlargement (Fig. 7). Alternatively, aSyn may function as a scaffold protein attracting and promoting the fusion between multiple vesicles. This is in agreement with the observation that the expression of aSyn in yeast causes vesicle accumulation (58). aSyn has also been shown to interact with clathrin signalling (59,60) and clathrin together with its adaptor proteins is involved in regulating vesicular size. This could point towards a possible regulation of synaptic transmission via aSyn. The possibility that the aSyn-dependent increases of SVs, miniature events and evoked release occur by promoting the assembly of the SNARE complex, with its role in fusion mechanisms with the plasma membrane (50), should also be considered.

Interestingly, we observed differences in eEJPs between aSyn models—while Model 2 aSyn larvae exhibited potentiation of these amplitudes, Model 1 larvae displayed no change. This could be a consequence of homeostatic and compensatory down-regulation of evoked transmission in response to the greater miniature events observed in the Model 1 aSyn animals. Similar mechanisms of homeostasis have been shown as an upregulation of QC following genetic or pharmacological reduction in postsynaptic quantal responses (61,62).

It is clear from our work that aSyn perturbs—and that simultaneous Rab11 overexpression restores—vesicle trafficking. In this regard, Rab3—a GTPase localized to SVs—has been implicated in synaptic homeostasis (54). Given the ubiquitous expression of Rab11 and its localization to synaptic boutons (63), it is possible that Rab11 performs a similar function at the synapse. In this scenario, aSyn would perturb the fine balance in synaptic transmission by interfering directly with Rab11 or with some effectors that regulate its function (Fig. 7). The overexpression of Rab11 would thereby compensate this dysfunction, shifting the system to a more ‘normal’ state. Recent work indicates that aSyn interacts with the GTP/GDP-binding pocket of Rab8a, abrogating its function (15). It is thus possible that aSyn may have a similar negative impact on the inactive–active state of Rab11. Enhanced Rab11 activity could also modulate the endosomal recycling rate in aSyn flies and thereby alter delivery of vesicle-required proteins to the membrane. Notably, Rab11 has been shown to interact with the ε subunit of the vacuolar type H⁺-ATPase (64), and a possible enhanced interaction with the vesicular H⁺-ATPase could alter vesicular parameters and rescue aSyn-dependent defects.

aSyn present in vesicles has an increased propensity to aggregate compared with its cytosolic counterpart (65). Thus, aSyn inclusion formation due to accumulation of defective vesicles may serve as an initial signal for enhancing further aggregation processes. This aSyn cluster may then sequester several

Figure 5. Dopaminergic neuron loss is reversed by Rab11 overexpression. Representative adult (Day 30) Drosophila posterior inferiorlateral protocerebrum confocal images (PPL1, circled) of control (left panel) and Model 1 flies expressing GFP + aSyn (middle panel) or Rab11 + aSyn (right panel), driven by elav GAL4 and immunostained with anti-TH antibody. Scale bar = 40 μm. (A) Numbers of PPL1 neurons in flies at Day 1 and Day 30 (elav GAL4 in B and pde GAL4 in C). The expression of aSyn and GFP + aSyn by both drivers decreases the number of PPL1 cells, which is restored to normal levels by the overexpression of Rab11 (N = 19–65 hemispheres). Luciferase intensity measurement in Model 1 flies expressing aSyn in dopaminergic neurons (D). The reduction in luciferase signal is restored by the overexpression of Rab11 (N = 4). Quantification of average rhabdomeres per ommatidium in aSyn flies with and without photoreceptor Rab11 overexpression at Day 30 (E). Expression of aSyn via gmr GAL4 leads to a reduction in the number of photoreceptors in Model 1 flies, whereas Rab11 overexpression prevents this neurodegeneration (N = 60–136 ommatidia). (F) aSyn levels in elav-driven aSyn and Rab11 + aSyn fly heads. Representative immunoblot of aSyn soluble and insoluble fractions (left panel). The graphs represent immunoblot quantification of aSyn in the soluble (middle panel) and insoluble (right panel) fractions. The overexpression of Rab11 reduces the level of aSyn in the insoluble fraction, whereas no changes are found in the soluble fraction (N = 4). Data are mean ± SEM. ANOVA with Newman–Keuls post hoc tests. *P < 0.05, ***P < 0.001 and ns = not significant.
proteins—including Rab11—depleting cellular factors involved in the diverse physiological processes. Interaction between αSyn and Rab11 has been demonstrated in parallel work conducted in a human cell model of PD by co-immunoprecipitation and co-localization studies (31). Importantly, Rab11 expression was found to decrease the number of αSyn inclusions and cellular toxicity, likely due to enhanced αSyn secretion from the cells (Fig. 7), which may explain the alterations in αSyn localization observed at the larval NMJ due to Rab11 overexpression. Our results from aged Drosophila heads support this role for Rab11 in the clearance of toxic insoluble αSyn, and further show that its protective properties depend on its activity, as the Rab11 dominant-negative variant failed to rescue any of the phenotypes assessed. Although the mechanisms are not fully understood, αSyn can actively be secreted from neurons via the endocytic pathway, exosomes and the ER/Golgi-to-plasma membrane secretory pathway (65,66).

This study shows for the first time that Rab11 can modulate αSyn-dependent synaptic dysfunction and neurodegeneration. We have found that Rab11 robustly ameliorates PD-related phenotypes induced by αSyn overexpression. Thus, small molecules which increase Rab11 activity may have therapeutic relevance for PD (67). Moreover, as Rab11 has been implicated in both Huntington’s and Alzheimer’s diseases, such potential drug strategies may have a general clinical value for neurodegenerative diseases.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Drosophila genetics**

Fruit flies were maintained on standard maize food at 25°C in a light/dark cycle of 12:12. The elavGAL4 [e155], w; +; pleGAL4 (8848), w; UASRab11-GFP; + (8506), w; +; UASαSyn (8146), w; UASGFP; + (5431) and y; UASRab11-YFP-S25N; + (23261) fly stocks were obtained from the Bloomington Stock Center (Bloomington, Indiana). The UASluc line was a gift from Norbert Perrimon (Harvard Medical School).
The c164GAL4 driver line was a gift from Juan Botas (Baylor College of Medicine). The UASlacZ and UASaSyn φC31 transgenic lines were kindly provided by Alf Herzig (Max-Planck-Institut für Biophysikalische Chemie). We employed two transgenic models for aSyn expression. The first model (Model 1) exploits a transgene encoding WT aSyn, which is inserted randomly into the genome using P-element-based transgenesis (34), whereas the second model (Model 2) harbours a transgene encoding WT aSyn targeted to the attP landing site in the 3R-86Fb genomic region using the φC31-based site-specific recombination system (35). Flies carrying UASlacZ targeted to the same location were used as controls.

**Figure 7.** Model suggesting how aSyn may alter normal synaptic function of Rab GTPases. Left panel: normal synaptic transmission. Right panel: aSyn expression causes an enlargement of SVs by sequestering Rab5, which is normally involved in preventing homotypic fusion between SVs. Alternatively, aSyn may function as a scaffold protein attracting and promoting the fusion between multiple vesicles. Either mechanism could lead to an increase in synaptic transmission. aSyn interaction with Rab11 reduces its activity and the vesicle trafficking associated with it. The overexpression of Rab11 reverses these defects, by reducing aSyn aggregation and enhancing its secretion, therefore restoring normal vesicle trafficking.

**Behavioural assays**

For larval crawling experiments, crosses were set up on standard maize fruit fly food mixed with 0.05% Bromophenol Blue (FisherBiotech) as described previously (27). Young, deep blue-coloured third instar wandering larvae were used for the crawling assay. Each larva was washed in distilled water and placed in the middle of a 145 mm petri dish where 0.8% agarose was previously poured and set. The distance covered by the larva in 2 min was manually tracked on a transparent paper placed on the top of the petri dish lid. The tracks were scanned and the distance calculated using ImageJ (68).
Negative geotaxis assays were performed as described (69). Briefly, flies carrying the desired genotype were placed in a cylinder consisting of two empty tubes taped together along the centre (with a diameter of 2.3 cm and a total length of 18.4 cm). An 8 cm line was drawn from one end identifying the threshold that flies must reach in order to pass. Before the experiment, flies were left to acclimate for 1 min. For each trial, the tubes were tapped gently in order to send all the flies to the bottom. The flies were then allowed to fly or scale the sides of the tube for 10 s and the number of flies which passed the threshold recorded. The same cohort of flies was restested 10 times. In between climbing trials, the flies were allowed to rest for 1 min. This process was repeated on Day 10, 20 and 30 post-eclosion.

For longevity, females carrying the desired genotype were collected and kept in groups of 10 in separate vials. Vials were inspected and changed every 2–3 days, and the number of flies remaining alive was scored.

**Electrophysiology**

Current clamp recordings using sharp electrodes [using pClamp 10, an Axoclamp 700B amplifier and Digidata 1321A (Molecular Devices, USA)] were made from ventral longitudinal muscle 6 in abdominal segments 2 and 3 of third instar wandering larvae in haemolymph-like (HL-3) solution containing 1.5 mM Ca$^{2+}$ as described previously (70). Recording electrodes (10–20 MΩ) were filled with 3 M KCl. All eEJP/mEJP were recorded from muscles with resting potentials more negative than −60 mV and at 22°C as differences in recording temperature cause changes in glutamate receptor kinetics and amplitudes (71). All eEJP amplitudes were corrected for non-linear summation (72). Larval rearing temperatures were 25°C to avoid temperature-dependent fluctuations of NMJ morphology and evoked responses (73). QC was calculated by dividing the mean eEJP amplitudes by the mean mEJP amplitude of a given cell. mEJPs and eEJPs were low-pass filtered at 1 kHz. Data were collected from averaged multiple eEJPs and 60 s of mEJP recordings per muscle.

**Determination of SV size**

Preparation of NMJ tissues for electron microscope imaging was performed as previously described (27). About 1000 SV diameters were evaluated using the ImageJ software from four larvae for each genotype and analyzing at least six independent boutons per animal.

**Immunocytochemistry**

For larval immunostaining, third instar larvae were dissected and immunostained as described (74). Briefly, larvae were collected and dissected in ice-cold 1× PBS before being fixed for 20 min in 4% formaldehyde–PBS. Samples were washed twice for 15 min in 0.1% PBST (1× PBS + 0.1% Triton-X), followed by 2× 30 min washes with 0.2% BSA in 0.1% PBST (PBST) and 2× 15 min washes with 2% goat serum in PBST (PBTN). Samples were incubated overnight at 4°C with primary antibody. CSP (mouse, 1:100, Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank), nc-82 (mouse, 1:100, Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank), aSyn (rabbit, 1:100, Millipore) and HRP (rabbit, 1:100, Jackson ImmunoResearch) were used. Larvae were then washed 2×15 min with PBTB and incubated for 30 min with PBTN. Rabbit Cy2 and mouse Cy5 (1:200, Abcam) were used as secondary antibodies and incubated at room temperature for 2 h. Finally, samples were washed 2×15 min with PBTB and mounted in 3% n-propylgallate + 80% glycerol PBS solution.

For immunocytochemistry of adult brains, 15 virgin females were collected at a specific age and fixed overnight in 4% formaldehyde–PBS. Brains were dissected in ice-cold PBS and permeabilized via 3×20 minute washes with 1% PBST followed by a wash in a blocking solution made of 10% goat serum in 0.5% PBTB for at least 1 h. Samples were incubated with mouse TH antibody (1:100, Immunostar) overnight at 4°C. Unbound antibody was removed by 3×20 min washes in 0.5% PBTB before being incubated for 2 h at room temperature with secondary antibody (Anti-mouse Cy5, 1:500). After 3×20 min washes with 0.5% PBTB, brains were mounted in 3% n-propylgallate + 80% glycerol PBS solution.

Rhabdomere immunostaining was performed by removing fly heads and fixing overnight at 4°C in 4% formaldehyde–PBS. Heads were washed 3×5 min in PBS prior to dissection. Eyes were removed from the brain and washed 3×20 min in 1% PBST (Triton-X). Samples were incubated for 30 min in 10% goat serum in 0.5% PBTB for at least 1 h followed by a 4°C overnight incubation with Alexa Fluor® 488 Phalloidin (1:200, Molecular Probes). Eyes were then washed 3×20 min with 0.5% PBTB and mounted in 3% n-propylgallate + 80% glycerol PBS solution. All samples were visualized on an Olympus FV1000 confocal microscope and processed with an Olympus software.

**Luciferase assays**

Aged flies were collected in quadruplicate groups of 10 virgin females and frozen in liquid nitrogen. Proteins were extracted following manufacturer’s instructions (Luciferase Assay System, Promega). Briefly, flies were placed in 200 μl of cell lysis buffer and homogenized in a 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tube. Samples were subsequently subjected to three cycles of freezing in dry ice and thawing at 37°C, followed by centrifugation at 9300 g for 10 min and collection of lysis supernatants. Protein concentrations were determined by Bradford’s Reagent (Sigma-Aldrich) and used for normalization. To quantify luciferase activity, 20 μl of each fly sample was distributed in a 96-well plate and 100 μl of Luciferase System reagent was injected in each. Luminescence was detected using a Fluorostar Omega microplate reader (BMG Labtech).

**Immunoblotting**

Insoluble aSyn was prepared as described in ref. (75) with minor modifications. Hundred heads of 30-day-old flies were collected and homogenized using a sterile plastic pestle in TNE buffer (10 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl and 5 mM EDTA) containing protease inhibitors (Complete Mini; Roche) and detergents (0.5% Nonidet P-40). The homogenate was centrifuged (30 min at 100 000g at 4°C), and the resulting pellet (P1) and supernatant (S1, soluble) fractions were collected. The P1 was resuspended in the same TNE/NP-40 buffer followed by centrifugation at 100 000 g for 1 h at 4°C. The pellet was taken...
as the insoluble fraction and solubilized in TNE buffer containing 1% SDS. S1 and P2 samples were quantified using Bradford’s Reagent (Sigma-Aldrich) and normalized. Proteins were loaded on SDS–PAGE gels, transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes (Whatman), blocked in 5% powdered milk and incubated with the primary antibody, either mouse anti-aSyn (1: 1000, BD transduction Laboratories) or mouse anti-tubulin (1: 10 000, Sigma). Horseradish peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-mouse (1: 10 000; Vector Labs) was used as the secondary antibody and signals were visualized using SuperSignal® West Dura Extended duration Substrate (Thermo Scientific).

Statistical analysis
Statistical analysis was performed using Prism 6 (GraphPad Software). In general, analysis was carried out using ANOVA with the Newman–Keuls a posteriori test. mEJP amplitude distributions were analyzed using the KS test in Prism 6 (GraphPad Software). For longevity, survival curves were generated and distributions were analyzed using the KS test in Prism 6 (GraphPad Software). In general, analysis was carried out using ANOVA with the Newman–Keuls test. mEJP amplitude distributions were analyzed using the KS test in Prism 6 (GraphPad Software). In general, analysis was carried out using ANOVA with the Newman–Keuls test. mEJP amplitude distributions were analyzed using the KS test in Prism 6 (GraphPad Software).
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