Dmpk gene deletion or antisense knockdown does not compromise cardiac or skeletal muscle function in mice
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Abstract

Myotonic dystrophy type 1 (DM1) is a genetic disorder in which dominant-active DM protein kinase (DMPK) transcripts accumulate in nuclear foci, leading to abnormal regulation of RNA processing. A leading approach to treat DM1 uses DMPK-targeting antisense oligonucleotides (ASOs) to reduce levels of toxic RNA. However, basal levels of DMPK protein are reduced by half in DM1 patients. This raises concern that intolerance for further DMPK loss may limit ASO therapy, especially since mice with Dmpk gene deletion reportedly show cardiac defects and skeletal myopathy. We re-examined cardiac and muscle function in mice with Dmpk gene deletion, and studied post-maturity knockdown using Dmpk-targeting ASOs in mice with heterozygous deletion. Contrary to previous reports, we found no effect of Dmpk gene deletion on cardiac or muscle function, and prenatal knockdown using ASOs reduced Dmpk expression in cardiac and skeletal muscle by >90%, yet survival, electrocardiogram intervals, cardiac ejection fraction and muscle strength remained normal. The imposition of cardiac stress by pressure overload, or muscle stress by myotonia, did not unmask a requirement for DMPK. Our results support the feasibility and safety of using ASOs for post-transcriptional silencing of DMPK in muscle and heart.

Introduction

Myotonic dystrophy type 1 (DM1) is an autosomal dominant disorder resulting from expansion of a CTG repeat in the 3' untranslated region of DMPK (1). While DM1 produces a wide spectrum of clinical signs, the main determinants of function and survival arise from cardiac, skeletal muscle and CNS effects. In skeletal muscle, DM1 causes progressive weakness, muscle wasting and repetitive action potentials (myotonia), culminating in respiratory failure [reviewed in (2)]. In the heart, DM1 causes disease of the cardiac conduction system (CCS) (3). Electrocardiograms (ECGs) show prolongation of the PR interval or QRS duration in up to 80% of patients (3–5). The CCS defects typically begin in the second to fourth decade and progress slowly over time, leading to increased risk of sudden death (5,6).

Transcripts from the mutant DMPK allele are retained in nuclear foci (7,8), causing a 50% reduction of DM kinase protein. While reduced DMPK protein may contribute to cardiac symptoms, as discussed below, the evidence suggests that DM1 mainly results from a deleterious gain-of-function of the
mutant RNA. The expression of RNA with expanded CUG repeats impacts nuclear regulation of gene expression through direct interaction with RNA binding proteins, such as Muscleblind-like (MBNL) 1 and 2, that have high affinity for CUG repeats (9–11). The resulting sequestration of MBNL protein affects several aspects of RNA processing, including alternative splicing, 3’ end formation, and maturation of mRNA (12–14). Expanded CUG repeats also activate signalling pathways (15), stabilize CELF1 protein (16,17), and may lead to repeat-associated non-ATG-dependent (RAN) translation (18).

Antisense oligonucleotides (ASOs) are in clinical use for post-transcriptional silencing of gene expression (19). The classical mechanism for ASO knockdown involves RNase H1, a ubiquitous enzyme that makes an endonucleytic cleavage in the RNA strand of an ASO:RNA heteroduplex (20). Were it not for the limited biodistribution of ASOs to striated muscle, this mechanism would seem ideally suited to DM1 because [1] mutant DMPK transcripts and RNase H1 are both localized to the nucleus (7,21,8); [2] ASO-directed cleavage activity is higher in the nucleus than in the cytoplasm (22); [3] elimination of RNA with expanded CUG repeats has been shown to restore MBNL activity (23); and [4] knockdown of mutant DMPK mRNA would not impact DM kinase expression, since nuclear mRNAs are not translated (24).

This limitation, however, is not insurmountable. While ASO uptake in heart and muscle is relatively low (25,26), causing failure of target knockdown in most studies [ref. (24) and citations therein], there are several strategies to overcome this barrier. For example, in some dystrophies there are sarcolemmal defects that permit greater access of ASOs to muscle fibres (27). However, this seems unlikely in DM1 where the muscle membrane is relatively intact. Alternatively, there has been significant progress in developing ASO formulations or chemical modifications that promote delivery to cardiac and skeletal muscle [reviewed in (28)]. Finally, we found that maximizing the potency of unformulated ASOs, by extensive optimization of targeting sequence and incorporation of 2’-4’-constrained ethyl nucleotides (29), can produce 50% knockdown of wild-type DMPK in heart and 46–79% knockdown in muscle, using weekly subcutaneous injections in non-human primates (30).

These findings raise a second question, addressed in the current study, about the requirement of DM kinase for normal function of cardiac and skeletal muscle. Although the precise function and physiological substrates of DMPK are unknown, this kinase is expressed more highly in cardiac, skeletal, and smooth muscle. Mice with heterozygous Dmpk gene deletion exhibited abnormal cardiac conduction (31,32), and homozygous deletion also produced skeletal myopathy and muscle weakness (33), suggesting that [1] the conduction system is sensitive to DMPK dose; [2] partial loss of DM kinase may contribute to the cardiac features of DM1; and [3] further knockdown in DM1 patients may carry risks of aggravating cardiac phenotypes, skeletal myopathy, or both. While it is possible that ASOs may preferentially target the mutant DMPK transcripts, because they are held in the nucleus where RNase H1 is localized, the extent of this allelic selectivity, if any, is presently unknown. Furthermore, studies in wild-type mice and monkeys have clearly shown that high-dose ASO regimens are capable of targeting normal DMPK transcripts in muscle and heart. Therefore, we have reassessed the physiological consequences of DMPK loss by examining cardiac and muscle function in knockout mice and mice with ASO-induced Dmpk knockdown.

Results

Transcriptional and post-transcriptional silencing of Dmpk in hearts

We used mice with heterozygous Dmpk deletion (+/-) to model DMPK reductions in human DM1. To study the influence of genetic background, we bred Dmpk knockout mice (34) onto C57Bl6 and FVB inbred strains. To study the combined effects of constitutive and post-developmental knockdown, we treated adult Dmpk +/- mice with ASO 486178, a 16-nt gapmer that targets a conserved region in the human and mouse 3’ UTR [Supplementary Material, Fig. S1A, reference (30)]. ASO 486178 was administered by weekly subcutaneous injection of 50 mg/kg for 6 weeks, then twice monthly until sacrifice.

Consistent with previous reports (33,34), immunoblot analysis showed that DMPK protein was absent in hearts of homozygous knockout (-/-) mice. DMPK protein in +/- mice was 49% of wild-type (WT) controls (Fig. 1A), showing no dosage compensation at this locus. On the FVB background, 6 weeks of ASO administration to Dmpk +/- mice reduced Dmpk mRNA by 82 ± 1% and DMPK protein by 92 ± 2% in cardiac muscle, compared to saline-injected +/- littermates (Fig. 1A and Supplementary Material, Fig. S1B). Similarly, cardiomyocytes isolated from ASO-treated mice showed an 89 ± 2% reduction of DMPK protein (Fig. 1C). The knockdown efficiency was somewhat lower on the C57Bl6 background. DMPK protein levels in ASO-treated Dmpk +/- C57Bl6 mice were 50 ± 4% of saline-injected +/- controls (Fig. 1B).

Dmpk silencing was sustained during long-term ASO administration. After 8–10 months of injections, cardiac Dmpk mRNA levels were reduced by 84 ± 3% and 68 ± 2% in FVB and C57Bl6 mice, respectively (Supplementary Material, Fig. S1C and D), and protein levels were reduced by 98 ± 2% and 78 ± 4% (Fig. 1D and E), as compared to saline-injected Dmpk +/- controls. Long-term administration of ASO 486178 had no effect on survival or body weight of Dmpk +/- mice (Supplementary Material, Fig. S2).

No effect of Dmpk silencing on cardiac conduction

Previous work showed that PR intervals are prolonged in mice with heterozygous deletion of Dmpk, suggesting that Dmpk is haploinsufficient in the CCS (31,32). We examined cardiac conduction in mice with constitutive and post-developmental Dmpk loss. Signal-averaged ECGs were performed under light inhalation anaesthesia at age 6 months, and repeated at age 10 months. We compared conduction intervals in wild-type, Dmpk +/-, saline-injected Dmpk +/- and ASO-injected Dmpk +/- mice. On both FVB and C57Bl6 backgrounds, we saw no effect of Dmpk gene deletion or ASO knockdown on heart rate, PR interval, or QRS duration (Fig. 2A–F). Analysis was continued for 18 months of age, and still no effects on ECG intervals were observed (Supplementary Material, Fig. S3).

Previous work has shown that anaesthetic agents, administered by inhalation or parenteral injection, may affect cardiac electrophysiology in mice (35,36). To test the possibility that inhalation anaesthesia was masking subtle effects of Dmpk loss on cardiac conduction, we recorded ECGs using radiotelemetry. Recording devices were implanted in 11–12-month-old FVB mice. Signal-averaged ECG recordings were obtained from conscious unrestrained mice, beginning 8 days or more after device implantation. We analyzed ECGs during periods of high and low heart rate, reflecting normal diurnal fluctuations of mouse activity (Fig. 2G–H). Once again we found no effect of Dmpk gene
ties in cardiac tissue (31,32), but detailed assessments of LV contractile function have not been reported. We used echocardiography at ages 6 and 10 months to examine contractile function in relation to Dmpk expression level. On both FVB and C57Bl6 backgrounds there were no differences of ejection fraction, myocardial strain, LV mass, or other echocardiographic metrics among wild-type, Dmpk +/−, saline-injected Dmpk +/- and ASO-injected Dmpk +/- mice (Fig. 3A and Table 1). Additionally, heart weights were not altered by Dmpk silencing (Fig. 3B) and cardiac histology did not show fibrosis (Fig. 3C-F). The only between-group difference was that the estimated cardiac output was slightly increased in Dmpk +/- and ASO-treated +/- FVB mice at age 6 months (nominal P = 0.035, ANOVA). However, there was no significant difference at 10 months of age, and there were no parallel effects on the C57BL6 background.

Minor role of Dmpk in the cardiac response to pressure overload stress

Having found no cardiac requirement for DM kinase in sedentary mice, we postulated that Dmpk may have a role in cardiac compensation to overload stress. Pressure overload was imposed by placing a constricting band on the transverse aorta. This procedure often induces heart failure in mice within 8–10 weeks. The frequency of decompensated heart failure depends on strain background and severity of the aortic stenosis (38,39). We studied transverse aortic constriction (TAC) using FVB mice, a strain that previously displayed robust compensation to pressure overload (39). ASO 486178 or saline injections were initiated in Dmpk +/- and +/- mice at 2 months of age. One month later we determined baseline ejection fraction and then applied the TAC band. Age-matched WT littermates were also subjected to the TAC procedure. The post-operative mortality was low and similar across experimental groups (data not shown). The estimated trans-stenotic pressure gradient, as assessed by pulsed-wave Doppler, was around 120 mmHg, and similar across groups (Supplementary Material, Fig. S4A). Cardiac function was monitored by serial echocardiography (Fig. 4A). All experimental groups demonstrated a similar amount of left ventricular hypertrophy (Fig. 4B). At 3 weeks following TAC, the Dmpk +/- and ASO-treated +/- mice showed slight reduction of ejection fraction, as compared to WT controls (mean ejection fractions of 70% ± 1, 59% ± 2 and 62% ± 1; for WT, ASO-injected Dmpk +/- and Dmpk -/-, respectively, P = 0.0009, ANOVA). At 5-6 weeks following TAC the mean ejection fraction in ASO- and saline-treated Dmpk +/- mice was slightly reduced compared to WT controls (mean ejection fractions of 70% ± 1, 56% ± 1, 62% ± 1; for WT, ASO-injected Dmpk +/-, saline-injected Dmpk +/-, respectively, P = 0.0006, ANOVA). However, at 8 weeks following TAC banding there were no differences of ejection fraction between groups (P = 0.056, ANOVA) (Fig. 4A). Also, there was no difference of mean ejection fraction in ASO- versus saline-injected Dmpk +/- mice at any time point. Importantly, the frequency of decompensated heart failure, defined by the ratio of lung to body weight (LW/BW > 8 mg/g), was similar across groups (Fig. 4C). The mean heart and lung weights, normalized to tibia length, were also similar across groups (Supplementary Material, Fig. S4B and C).

No effect of Dmpk silencing on limb muscle strength

Previous studies of homozygous Dmpk knockout mice showed 40% reduction of force generation in sternomastoid muscle at 7-11 months of age (33). We examined muscle strength in
homozgyous Dmpk knockout mice and heterozygous mice under treatment with ASO 486178. Four weeks after initiating ASO injections, the level of Dmpk mRNA in quadriceps muscle of Dmpk +/− mice was decreased by 91.61%, as compared to saline-injected +/− controls (Supplementary Material, Fig. S5A, FVB background). These results were consistent with our previous finding that knockdown efficiency in muscle is greater than in the heart (30). The Dmpk knockdown greater than 90% was sustained in muscle during long-term dosing on both FVB and C57Bl6 backgrounds (Supplementary Material, Fig. S5B and C).

Notably, the finger flexor (grip) muscles are among the earliest and most-severely-affected muscles in human DM1. In contrast, in vivo grip strength in 8-9 month old ASO- and saline-injected Dmpk +/− mice was similar to Dmpk −/− and wild-type littermates (Fig. 5A). We also examined the ex-vivo contractile function of the extensor digitorum longus (EDL), a hindlimb muscle. At ages 10-11 months, there were no differences in peak tetanic specific force or force-frequency response in Dmpk −/−, saline-injected Dmpk −/−, ASO-injected Dmpk −/−, or wild-type mice (Fig. 5B and C, C57Bl6 background). At 18 months we found no dystrophic changes of limb muscle histology in Dmpk −/−, saline-injected Dmpk −/−, or ASO-injected Dmpk −/− mice (Fig. 5D–G).

Muscle fibres in DM1 are subjected to excessive calcium release due to involuntary runs of high frequency action potentials (myotonic discharges). As DMPK is implicated in calcium homeostasis (40) we postulated that Dmpk deficiency may predispose to muscle deterioration under stress of severe myotonia. To test this possibility we crossed Dmpk knockout mice with chloride channel 1 (Clcn1) null mice that display recessive generalized myotonia (adr mice (41), FVB background). The adr mice have severe myotonia by age 3 weeks that limits survival beyond 12 weeks (42). However, when examined at age 8-10 weeks, the hindlimb muscles did not show dystrophic pathology in Dmpk −/−Clcn1−/− double knockout mice, as compared to littermates with single Clcn1−/− knockout (Supplementary Material, Fig. S6).

Discussion

The enzyme responsible for ASO-directed RNA cleavage, RNase H1, is predominantly nuclear (20,21). This localization may explain the observations that nuclear-retained RNAs, including CUG-expanded transcripts, seem particularly sensitive to ASO knockdown (23,43), and that rates of ASO cleavage in the

Figure 2. No alterations of ECG intervals with Dmpk loss. (A–C) Shown are surface ECG measurements of (A) heart rate; (B) PR interval; and (C) QRS duration in 6- and 10-month old FVB +/− mice given saline or ASO, with WT and −/− littermate controls (n = 9–11 per group; 6 males and 3–5 females per group). (D–F) Similar to A–C, except on C57Bl6 background (n = 11–12 per group; 5–6 males and 6 females per group). (G) Representative plot of heart rate from an implantable telemeter recording. Grey bars denote 15 min high- and low-activity segments chosen for signal averaging and conduction interval analysis. (H) Representative ECG traces from surface (top) and implantable telemetry (bottom). Scale bars are 120 msec. (I–K) Measurements from implantable telemetry of (I) heart rate; (J) PR interval; and (K) QRS duration in 11–12-month-old FVB Dmpk mice (n = 4–6 per group). ANOVA P-value is shown above each data set.
nucleus are higher than in the cytoplasm (22). While these findings raise the possibility that ASOs may preferentially target mutant versus wild-type DMPK mRNA, no studies have directly compared their relative susceptibilities. Similarly, while other methods for allele-selective knockdown may exist, their utility for DM1 is uncertain. For example, in dominant disorders the most common approach is to design ASOs or siRNAs targeting sequence variants that distinguish mutant from wild-type transcripts (44,45). However, the application of this approach to DM1 would be limited because there are few exonic polymorphisms in DMPK and none have a minor allele frequency above 15%.

Furthermore, targeting a polymorphism would limit the pool of candidate ASOs, thereby undermining efforts to optimize drug potency. Alternatively, viral-expressed antisense RNAs (46) or drugs that selectively inhibit transcription of expanded repeats (47) may provide other avenues for allele-selective silencing. However, these await further characterization at the level of delivery, safety and efficacy.

Since ASOs that are carefully optimized are fully capable of targeting wild-type DMPK in heart and muscle (30), we returned to the question of whether reduced translation of DMPK may contribute to symptoms of DM1, and whether additional knockdown may further compromise cardiac rhythm or muscle function. The latter is important because abnormal cardiac rhythm is an overriding concern in drug development. We expected to define a safety threshold for DM kinase reduction, and hopefully to establish useful physiological markers of DMPK insufficiency. We focused on the CCS because sudden death remains an important clinical problem in DM1 (5,6,48) and because previous work had indicated that the CCS is sensitive to changes of Dmpk expression (31,32).

Contrary to expectations, the major finding of our study was that constitutive or acquired DMPK loss had no effect on functional measures of cardiac or skeletal muscle in sedentary mice. Our key finding was that ECG recordings remained normal despite ASO administration for up to 16 months, producing sustained Dmpk reduction to levels that were nearly undetectable. The only exception was that cardiac output was slightly increased in FVB (but not C57Bl6) mice with Dmpk silencing. The mechanism for this effect is unknown, but vascular changes leading to reduced systemic resistance are possible. It is also evident that absence of DMPK was compatible with complex physiological adaptations to cardiac pressure overload. However, cardiac ejection fraction after TAC banding was slightly lower with Dmpk knockdown, suggesting that DM kinase has a minor role in the cardiac stress response.

Differences between our results and previous studies may relate to technical factors, strain background, or knockout alleles. In terms of technical factors, the previous studies were carried out before it was widely recognized that conditions for anaesthesia have major effects on mouse ECGs. The previous studies used injectable agents (ketamine and pentobarbital) and deep anesthesia (judging from reported heart rates), and procedures to maintain body temperature were not reported (31,32). Subsequently, it became clear that injectable anaesthetics and low body temperatures have major effects on ECG recordings in mice (35,36). We avoided these confounders by using light inhalation anesthesia, maintaining body temperature and diotelemetry recordings. We also used signal averaging to improve the precision of the ECG waveform analysis.

Alternatively, it is possible that differences of strain background or environmental conditions may have played a role. To minimize intersubject variance, we studied congenic knockout mice on two different genetic backgrounds (FVB and C57Bl6), whereas previous studies were performed on a mixed 129/C57Bl6 background.

A third possibility, which we tend to favour, is that cardiac conduction defects in knockout mice may arise through effects on flanking genes, rather than loss of DMPK itself. The conduction defects that were previously reported were observed in one founder line of Dmpk knockout mice (33). However, we had access to the other founder line (34), whose cardiac phenotype was not previously reported. Remarkably, the breakpoints for creating the Dmpk deletion were identical in both knockout lines. In
both cases the targeted allele has replacement of the proximal Dmpk promoter, transcription start site and first 7 of 15 coding exons, eliminating all kinase homology domains (33,34). Genetic and expression analysis confirmed correct targeting and absence of Dmpk mRNA and protein for both founder lines (33,34). Genetic selection cassettes for gene replacement were different, and remain overlapping.

Our study used an aggressive high-dose ASO regimen to produce an extreme target reduction within weeks (<10% of wild-type) and then sustain it for up to 16 months. In contrast, the extent of DMPK knockdown required to obtain a clinical benefit in DM1 is unknown. In this regard, it is noteworthy that a 50% expression level of Mbnl1, the major Mbnl protein in skeletal muscle, is sufficient to sustain normal muscle function and splicing regulation in mice (13). Also, muscle function is preserved in pre-manifest DM1, despite the presence of conspicuous MBNL sequestration and corresponding changes of splicing regulation in mice (57). Furthermore, the induction of severe myopathy in mice by Mbnl ablation required combinatorial deletion of 3 or more Mbnl alleles (i.e., Mbnl1−/− plus Mbnl2−/−) (58). Finally, in mouse models we observed a reversal of DM1 phenotypes with partial knockdown of toxic RNA and incomplete release of Mbnl1 protein (23). Taken together, these findings suggest that our study produced greater Dmpk silencing than would be necessary to mitigate the RNA toxicity in DM1 patients, yet cardiac and muscle function remained normal. Accordingly, our results lessen concern that DMPK-targeting ASOs may produce significant cardiac or muscle toxicity in DM1 patients.

### Materials and Methods

#### Animal Care and Antisense Dosing

Mice were housed in a facility accredited by the Association for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care. Dmpk knockout mice were obtained from Be Wieringa (34), and

### Table 1 Echocardiographic function in Dmpk mice.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Heart Rate (bpm)</th>
<th>Ejection Fraction (%)</th>
<th>Cardiac Output (mL/min)</th>
<th>LV Mass (mg)</th>
<th>Short Axis Strain (%)</th>
<th>Long Axis Strain (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>545±10</td>
<td>78.0±1.0</td>
<td>21.6±1.1</td>
<td>65.1±4.2</td>
<td>39.8±1.0</td>
<td>37.2±1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saline</td>
<td>539±7</td>
<td>74.9±1.7</td>
<td>21.7±1.1</td>
<td>74.2±5.3</td>
<td>42.4±1.4</td>
<td>38.1±2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KO</td>
<td>555±8</td>
<td>73.3±2.1</td>
<td>25.9±1.3</td>
<td>75.2±5.3</td>
<td>40.3±1.1</td>
<td>37.2±2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANOVA P-value</td>
<td>0.589</td>
<td>0.221</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.208</td>
<td>0.482</td>
<td>0.982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>557±7</td>
<td>74.2±1.6</td>
<td>22.9±2.0</td>
<td>86.2±5.5</td>
<td>39.3±1.4</td>
<td>36.6±1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saline</td>
<td>563±10</td>
<td>76.1±2.7</td>
<td>21.8±1.4</td>
<td>83.1±5.9</td>
<td>41.7±1.8</td>
<td>37.5±1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASO</td>
<td>557±7</td>
<td>75.6±2.2</td>
<td>24.0±1.1</td>
<td>84.1±5.6</td>
<td>40.2±1.2</td>
<td>36.4±1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KO</td>
<td>554±8</td>
<td>71.1±2.5</td>
<td>24.9±1.3</td>
<td>89.8±5.7</td>
<td>40.0±1.1</td>
<td>38.0±1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANOVA P-value</td>
<td>0.878</td>
<td>0.413</td>
<td>0.431</td>
<td>0.841</td>
<td>0.699</td>
<td>0.829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>565±8</td>
<td>76.3±1.7</td>
<td>19.2±0.9</td>
<td>51.9±2.5</td>
<td>42.2±0.8</td>
<td>40.6±1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saline</td>
<td>571±7</td>
<td>73.8±1.7</td>
<td>20.4±1.2</td>
<td>51.2±3.1</td>
<td>42.5±1.3</td>
<td>39.6±1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASO</td>
<td>570±11</td>
<td>71.9±1.3</td>
<td>19.2±1.2</td>
<td>48.6±2.4</td>
<td>41.3±0.9</td>
<td>37.4±1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KO</td>
<td>561±14</td>
<td>71.3±2.9</td>
<td>18.0±1.1</td>
<td>50.5±3.1</td>
<td>40.7±1.2</td>
<td>38.3±1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANOVA P-value</td>
<td>0.865</td>
<td>0.286</td>
<td>0.503</td>
<td>0.917</td>
<td>0.626</td>
<td>0.179</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 1 Echocardiographic function in Dmpk mice.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Heart Rate (bpm)</th>
<th>Ejection Fraction (%)</th>
<th>Cardiac Output (mL/min)</th>
<th>LV Mass (mg)</th>
<th>Short Axis Strain (%)</th>
<th>Long Axis Strain (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>565±9</td>
<td>77.8±1.3</td>
<td>20.4±1.3</td>
<td>57.6±3.2</td>
<td>42.6±0.9</td>
<td>38.5±1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saline</td>
<td>584±9</td>
<td>76.3±1.9</td>
<td>20.8±1.4</td>
<td>56.1±3.5</td>
<td>43.5±1.5</td>
<td>38.1±1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASO</td>
<td>580±12</td>
<td>76.2±1.5</td>
<td>21.9±1.0</td>
<td>52.8±2.6</td>
<td>43.7±1.0</td>
<td>36.5±1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KO</td>
<td>563±14</td>
<td>72.0±3.4</td>
<td>19.7±1.7</td>
<td>55.1±4.1</td>
<td>38.8±2.1</td>
<td>34.6±1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANOVA P-value</td>
<td>0.455</td>
<td>0.266</td>
<td>0.699</td>
<td>0.779</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>0.168</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
backcrossed to FVB/n and C57Bl6/n background, guided by genomic SNP markers and confirmed to be >99.7% congenic (Charles River, Troy, NY). The \textit{adr-mto2J} mice, having a frameshift deletion in exon 18 of \textit{Clcn1} \cite{42}, were obtained from Jackson Laboratories (Bar Harbor, MA) and backcrossed seven generations on to the FVB/n background.

**Antisense Oligonucleotide (ASO) Treatment**

ASO treatment was initiated at 2 months of age by subcutaneous injection in the interscapular region. ISIS 486178, a 16-mer gapmer targeting human and murine \textit{DMPK} \cite{30}, was previously described. The injection frequency was 50 mg/kg/wk for the first 6 weeks, then 50 mg/kg bi-weekly.

**Western Blotting**

Apical heart tissue or cardiac myocytes were homogenized in buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl, 1% IGEPAL CA630, 0.25% sodium deoxycholate, at pH 7.4. 5 mM EDTA and Halt protease and phosphatase inhibitor cocktail (Thermo-Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). Lysates were cleared by centrifugation at 10,000 g for 20 min at 4 °C. The supernatants were diluted in loading buffer (LPS buffer, Li-cor, Lincoln, NE), heated to 95 °C for 5 min, separated on 10% polyacrylamide gels (Novex, Thermo-Fisher), and transferred onto PVDF membranes. Affinity-purified polyclonal rabbit anti-DMPK (1:200, Thermo-Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) and monoclonal mouse anti-GAPDH antibody (1:10,000, Thermo-Fisher Scientific) were used to probe membranes. Secondary antisera were detected using Li-cor LAS4000 (Li-cor).

**Figure 4.** Effect of \textit{Dmpk} silencing on cardiac response to pressure overload stress. FVB \textit{+/+} (Het) and \textit{+-/-} (KO) mice were given saline or ASO at 50 mpk/wk starting at 2 months of age. Baseline measurements of (A) ejection fraction and (B) estimated LV mass were taken at age 3 months, along with age-matched WT and uninjected KO controls. Transverse aortic constriction (TAC) banding was performed after baseline measurements. Ejection fraction and LV mass were monitored at 3, 5-6, and 8 weeks following TAC banding \((n = 17-19\) for WT, Het+Saline, and Het+ASO at baseline to 5-6 weeks. \(n = 8-11\) for KO, KO+Saline, and KO+ASO and for all groups at 8 weeks.) ANOVA \(P\)-value as shown above each data set. * \(t\)-test \(P < 0.003\), ** \(t\)-test \(P < 0.00005\). (C) Lung weight normalized to body weight (LW/BW mg/g) in FVB \textit{Dmpk} mice 9 weeks post-TAC, with age-matched non-TAC controls. Dotted line denotes threshold for decompenated heart failure (LW/BW > 8 mg/g). Fisher’s Exact Test showed no significant increase of lung weight in any group compared to WT controls.

**Figure 5.** \textit{Dmpk} silencing does not affect force generation or induce dystrophic changes in limb muscle. (A) Four-limb grip strength measurements of peak force from 8-9-month-old FVB \textit{Dmpk} mice \((n = 8-12)\), and 8-9-month-old C57Bl6 \textit{Dmpk} mice \((n = 11-12)\). ASO injections were initiated at age 2 months. (B) \textit{Ex vivo} measurements of isometric, specific tetanic force and (C) force-frequency response of extensor digitorum longus (EDL) muscles from 10 to 11-month-old C57Bl6 \textit{Dmpk} mice \((n = 3-4\) mice, or 5-8 muscles). ANOVA \(P\)-value above each data set. (D-G) Representative images of H&E from quadriceps muscle in 18-month old FVB WT, saline-injected \textit{Dmpk} \textit{+/+}, ASO-injected \textit{Dmpk} \textit{+-/-}, and \textit{Dmpk} \textit{-/-} littermates, respectively. Scale bar is 25 μm.
rabbit and anti-mouse IgG antibodies were used at 1:5000 for fluorescent detection (Odyssey, Li-cor, Lincoln, NE). Bands were quantified by densitometry using image J (NIH).

**Cardiac Myocyte Isolation**

Isolation was performed as previously described (59).

**qRT-PCR**

RNA was isolated from apical heart tissue or quadriceps muscle using Tri-Reagent. Measurement of Dmpk mRNA (Thermo-Fisher, Mm00446270_m1) was performed as previously described (60). GAPDH was used for normalization (Thermo-Fisher, Mm99999915_g1).

**Surface Electrocardiography (ECG)**

Anaesthesia was induced using 3% isoflurane and then maintained at 1.5-2%, delivered by nosecone. Body temperature was maintained using a heated water pad. Subcutaneous leads (Powerlab, AD Instruments, Sydney, Australia) were inserted in a lead II configuration. ECGs were recorded for approximately 5 min. Several minutes of stable recording were analyzed offline using ECG analysis software, as previously described (61). In brief, the software identifies all R peaks as a reference point to align each sinus cycle. RR, PR and QRS intervals were measured in the averaged waveform.

**Implantable Electrocardiography**

ETA-F10 telemeters (Data Science International (DSI), St. Paul, MN) were implanted as previously described (62). Leads were sutured to the body wall in a lead II configuration. Mice were allowed to recover for at least 8 days. ECGs were recorded in a quiet, isolated room over a 24-hour period using Ponemah software (DSI). Heart rates were then examined to assess circadian cycling. About 15-min recordings from intervals with sustained high or low heart rates were analyzed by signal averaging, and conduction intervals were determined.

**Surface Echocardiography**

Mice were examined under isoflurane anaesthesia as described above. Following hair removal, 2D-guided M-mode echocardiography was performed at the mid-papillary level (Visualsonics Vevo 2100) to measure left ventricular posterior and anterior wall thickness and internal diameter during systole and diastole. These values were then used to calculate left ventricular volume, ejection fraction, cardiac output and mass. Additionally, 2D echoes were obtained and speckle tracking analysis (Vevostrain) was performed to measure myocardial strain.

**Grip Strength**

Grip strength was determined as described previously (63). In brief, mice were pulled at a constant velocity by the tail across a metal wire grate attached to a force transducer (Dillon GTX). Peak force was recorded from three trials, and the highest peak force was chosen from each mouse for analysis.

**Ex vivo Muscle Contraction**

Ex vivo muscle strength was measured using a horizontal muscle contraction system (Aurora Scientific, Inc. Aurora Scientific, ON, Canada, Model 8098) equipped with a 300C-LR dual mode force transducer and a 701C stimulator, as described previously (64). In brief, extensor digitorum longus (EDL) muscles were isolated from anaesthetized mice (100mg/kg ketamine, 10mg/kg xylazine and 2mg/kg acepromazine), and suture was tied at both the proximal and distal tendons to mount the muscle between two platinum electrodes in a bath of oxygenated Tyrode solution. Muscle optimal length ($L_o$) and stimulus intensity were determined using a series of 1 Hz stimulations. Muscles were equilibrated with three 500 ms, 150 Hz tetanic stimulations separated by 1-min intervals. The final stimulation was used to determine tetanic specific force. The force-frequency protocol was a single twitch or 500 ms stimulation at the indicated frequencies. Muscle force was recorded using Dynamic Muscle Control software (Aurora Scientific, Inc. v5.415) and analyzed using Dynamic Muscle Analysis software (Aurora Scientific, Inc. v5.200). Muscle cross-sectional area and specific force were calculated as described previously (64).

**Transverse Aortic Constriction (TAC) Banding**

TAC banding was performed as previously described (65). Pulsed-wave Doppler measurements were taken 3 weeks post-TAC to determine the peak velocity of flow at the aortic stenosis, using the modified Bernoulli equation to calculate stenosis pressure gradient (38). Serial echocardiographic measurements were performed at 3, 5–6 and 8 weeks post-procedure.

**Histological Analysis**

Cryosections of quadriceps, gastrocnemius, and heart were cut at 8μm. Haematoxylin and eosin on skeletal muscle sections, and picrosirius red stain on heart sections, were performed as previously described (66,67).

**Study Approval**

All mouse procedures and treatments were reviewed and approved by the institutional Animal Resource committee at the University of Rochester.

**Statistical Analysis**

Data are expressed as mean ± standard error of the mean. Statistical significance was determined by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Multiple comparisons were performed using the Bonferroni-corrected t-test. A Log-rank test was performed for survival analysis in Supplementary Material, Fig. S2. Fisher’s Exact test was used to compare groups in Fig. 4C.

**Supplementary Material**

Supplementary Material is available at HMG online.
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