Unoperated ovarian endometriomas and responsiveness to hyperstimulation
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BACKGROUND: There is a growing consensus that ovarian reserve is reduced after surgical excision of ovarian endometriomas. However, it remains to be fully clarified whether this damage precedes or follows surgery. In order to further elucidate this aspect, we evaluated ovarian responsiveness to hyperstimulation in women selected for IVF with unilateral unoperated endometriomas. The main aim of this study was to compare the number of developing follicles in the affected ovary with that in the contralateral intact gonad as a control.

METHODS: Patients selected for IVF who were diagnosed with one or more monolateral endometriomas (diameter <4 cm) and who did not undergo previous ovarian surgery were retrospectively identified. We compared the number of follicles (diameter ≥11 mm) and the number of co-dominant follicles (diameter ≥15 mm) on the day of hCG administration in the affected and intact ovaries.

RESULTS: Among the 84 women selected, the median interquartile range (IQR) number of follicles ≥11 mm in the affected and intact ovaries was 5 (3–7) and 5 (3–8), respectively (P = 0.36). The median (IQR) number of co-dominant follicles in the affected and intact ovaries was 3 (2–4) and 3 (2–5), respectively (P = 0.48). The number of co-dominant follicles was lower in the affected ovary in 36 cases (43%, 95% confidence interval: 32–53%). We also failed to identify any statistically significant difference between the two ovaries when evaluating data according to the number of cysts, their dimension, the dose of gonadotrophins used or the number of oocytes retrieved.

CONCLUSIONS: In women selected for IVF, the presence of an endometrioma does not markedly affect responsiveness to hyperstimulation.
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Introduction
There is growing and consistent evidence demonstrating that ovarian reserve is affected following surgical excision of ovarian endometriomas (Garcia-Velasco and Somigliana, 2009). The rate of spontaneous ovulation is lower in operated ovaries (Loh et al., 1999; Candiani et al., 2005), serum levels of anti-mullerian hormone (AMH) decrease after surgery (Chang et al., 2010) and responsiveness to hyperstimulation is reduced (Gupta et al., 2006). In women selected for IVF who have undergone excision of unilateral endometriomas, the number of developing follicles and the number of oocytes retrieved in the operated gonad are halved compared with the contralateral intact ovary (Loh et al., 1999; Ho et al., 2002; Somigliana et al., 2003; Ragni et al., 2005; Alborzi et al., 2006; Duru et al., 2007; Almog et al., 2010). The few available data regarding women operated on for bilateral endometriomas are in line with this evidence. In these patients, IVF outcome is significantly impaired (Esinler et al., 2006; Somigliana et al., 2008) and, albeit rare, some cases of premature menopause following surgery have been reported (Busacca et al., 2006; Di Prospero and Micucci, 2009).

The debate on this point is now moving to the causes surrounding this damage. Of relevance here is that there is scant but important data supporting the view that the damage may in part precede the operation. Using pathological sections of the ovarian cortex surrounding ovarian benign neoplasms, Maneschi et al. (1993) found a reduced follicular number and activity antecedent to surgery in endometriomas when compared with teratomas or benign cystadenomas, suggesting that the disease per se may be detrimental to the ovary. Data on spontaneous ovulation in unoperated women with monolateral endometriomas tend to confirm these findings. Two recent independent papers showed that the rate of ovulation in the affected ovaries is significantly lower than in the contralateral ovaries (Horikawa et al., 2008; Benaglia et al., 2009). On the other hand, Almog et al. (2011) recently reviewed 81 unoperated women, with monolateral endometriomas,
who underwent IVF and they failed to document a reduced responsiveness in the affected ovary. This result is of utmost interest considering that ovarian responsiveness to hyperstimulation is currently considered the best surrogate tool to evaluate ovarian reserve. Of note, however, the data from (Almog et al., 2011) are in contrast with a similar paper published by our group in 2006 showing a 25% [95% confidence interval (CI): 6–44%] reduction in the number of developing follicles in the affected gonad (Somigliana et al., 2006).

For the present study, we deemed it important to further explore this topic. With this aim, we present an extension of our initial data (Somigliana et al., 2006) by reporting on a series of 84 unoperated women with unilateral endometriomas selected for IVF. The main aim of the study was to compare the number of developing follicles in the affected ovary using the contralateral intact gonad as a control.

Materials and Methods

Data from IVF-ICSI cycles performed at the Infertility Unit of the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology of the Fondazione Ca’ Granda, Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico between January 2000 and July 2009 were retrospectively reviewed. The study focused on women with unoperated monolateral endometriomas, and it aimed at comparing ovarian responsiveness in the affected and contralateral intact ovaries. The outcome chosen was the number of developing follicles. With this aim, we included patients who were diagnosed with one or more monolateral endometrioma(s) and who did not undergo previous ovarian surgery. Specifically, inclusion criteria were as follows: (i) women selected for IVF-ICSI cycles who underwent ovarian hyperstimulation, (ii) age ≤40 years, (iii) eco

Results

There were 84 women fulfilling our inclusion and exclusion criteria. The mean ± SD age, BMI, duration of infertility and Day 3 serum FSH were 35.0 ± 3.8 years, 21.5 ± 3.0 kg/m², 3.7 ± 2.4 years and 7.8 ± 2.6 IU/ml, respectively. Of the 84 women, 12 (14%) had a previous pregnancy. The indication to IVF was endometriosis in 36 (43%) women, whereas in the remaining 48 (57%) there was also a concomitant male factor. A single endometrioma was documented in 74 (88%) women. The remaining 10 (12%) had two or more cysts. Endometriomas were located in the right and left side in 30 (36%) and 54 (64%) cases, respectively. The mean ± SD diameter of these lesions was 21 ± 8 mm. Following the documented IVF cycle, 30 women underwent laparoscopic removal of the cysts in our Unit. The diagnosis of endometriosis was confirmed in all of them. IVF data was as follows: 59 (70%) women were treated with a long protocol of stimulation (daily GnRH agonists initiated in the mid-luteal phase, 2–3 weeks before starting the gonadotrophins), whereas 25 (30%) received gonadotrophins from the third day of the cycle and then added daily GnRH antagonists to prevent spontaneous ovulation after the detection of the leading follicle with a mean diameter of 13–14 mm. None received pre-IVF treatment with oral contraceptives or progestins. The mean ± SD total dose of recombinant FSH administered, the duration of stimulation and the number of oocytes retrieved were 2714 ± 1292 IU, 11.0 ± 2.1 days and 7.2 ± 4.8, respectively. The median concentration of spermatozoa in couples retrieving oocytes was 62 × 10⁶/ml (IQR: 29–101 × 10⁶/ml). The median fertilization rate was 100% (IQR: 52–100%). No embryos were available for transfer in five (6%) cases. In women undergoing embryo transfer, the mean ± SD number of embryos transferred was 2.2 ± 0.8. There were 24 (29%) women who became pregnant. The implantation rate was 23%.

The median (IQR) of the total number of follicles (diameter ≥11 mm) in the affected and intact ovaries was 5 (3–7) and 5 (3–8), respectively (P = 0.46). The total number of follicles was lower in the affected ovary in 42 cases (50%, 95% CI: 39–61%). When specifically considering the co-dominant follicles (diameter >15 mm), the median (IQR) number in the affected and intact ovaries was 3 (2–4) and 3 (2–5), respectively (P = 0.048). The median number of co-dominant follicles was lower in the affected ovary in 36 cases (43%, 95% CI: 32–53%). These results are illustrated in Figure 1. There was an absence of follicular growth (silent ovaries)
in two affected ovaries (2.4%) and three contralateral intact gonads (3.6%) \( (P = 1.00) \).

Data were also analysed according to the characteristics of the endometrioma(s) and the responsiveness to ovarian hyperstimulation (Table I). We failed to document any statistically significant impact when evaluating separately the affected and intact ovaries for the number of cysts, their dimension, the dose of gonadotrophins used or the number of oocytes retrieved. The analysis was also repeated considering separately women who received GnRH agonists and those who received GnRH antagonists but no substantial difference emerged (data not shown).

**Discussion**

In the present study, we failed to document a statistically significant difference in ovarian responsiveness to hyperstimulation in ovaries with endometriomas. The total number of follicles and the number of co-dominant follicles were similar in affected and intact gonads. This result is inline with the recent paper of Almog et al. (2011) but in contrast with our initial data (Somigliana et al., 2006). There are at least two explanations for this incongruence. Firstly, the relatively small sample size of our initial study (56 IVF cycles performed in 36 women) exposed our results to a type I error. Secondly, in our initial study, the statistically significant difference between affected and intact ovaries emerged only when applying an analysis per cycle.

Indeed, when focussing exclusively on the first IVF cycle (analysis per patient), there was a trend towards a lower response, but the difference did not reach statistical significance \( (P = 0.09) \). This is an important point since, in fact, we cannot exclude that the inclusion of more than one cycle per patient may have led us to overestimate the detrimental effects of endometriomas by selecting women with a worse prognosis. It is noteworthy that in the present study, we included only the first treatment cycle per patient.

A major strength of our present study is the relatively large sample size which, in fact, allows us to limit the possibility of a relevant type II error. Of additional interest here is that subgroup analyses focusing on the dimension, the number of the cysts and the ovarian responsiveness failed to identify subgroups of cases with significant damage. The lack of any trend for a gradient effect with the dimension and number of the endometriomas is particularly enlightening. The demonstration of a biological gradient over and above that of the dichotomous response is considered under the criterion of strength for establishing causality (Vigano et al., 2007). Overall, despite being inevitably exposed to a more important type II error, our subgroups analyses further supports our general conclusions.

Considering possible limitations, it has first to be recognized that a histological confirmation of the diagnosis of endometriosis is missing in the majority of cases. Only a subset of the patients successively underwent surgical excision of the cyst. On the other hand, due to their characteristic echogenic appearance, endometriomas can usually be easily distinguished from other ovarian cysts. Sensitivity and specificity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>No. of cycles</th>
<th>Number of follicles ≥11 mm</th>
<th>Number of follicles &gt;15 mm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Affected ovary</td>
<td>Intact ovary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of cysts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \geq 2 )</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>4 (3–7)</td>
<td>5 (3–8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( &gt; 2 )</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6 (5–8)</td>
<td>6 (2–10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter of the cystsa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( &lt; 20 ) mm</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>5 (3–7)</td>
<td>4 (4–8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( &gt; 20 ) mm</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4 (2–7)</td>
<td>5 (3–7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total IU of rFSH used</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( &lt; 2500 )</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>5 (4–7)</td>
<td>6 (4–9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \geq 2500 )</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>4 (2–7)</td>
<td>4 (2–6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number oocytes retrieved</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \leq 5 )</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>4 (2–6)</td>
<td>4 (2–6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( &gt; 5 )</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>6 (4–8)</td>
<td>6 (4–9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\*Data are reported as median (IQR).
\*Women with more than one cyst were excluded.
of transvaginal ultrasound have been reported to be 84–100% and 90–100%, respectively (Mais et al., 1993; Kurjak and Kupesic, 1994; Eskenazi et al., 2001; Garcia-Velasco et al., 2004). Moreover, the decision to include only cysts which were documented on at least two occasions and at least two menstrual cycles apart should have eliminated the possibility of enrolling women with haemorrhagic cysts. Finally, it is noteworthy that a diagnosis of endometriosis was confirmed in all operated cases, supporting the reliability of the sonographic diagnosis.

A second possible limitation of the study is that we selectively recruited women with an indication for IVF: these women may not properly reflect the whole population of women with ovarian endometriomas. The dimension of the endometriomas in our study was small, with a mean diameter of 21 mm, and pain symptoms were generally modest or null (severe pain symptoms in patients with an endometrioma was an indication to surgery). The main advantage of recruiting women selected for IVF was to obtain data from ovarian endometriomas. To date, no studies have indeed been published on this point. Given that ovarian responsiveness remains the best surrogate tool to measure ovarian reserve (Broekmans et al., 2006). One may however argue that, even if less accurate, the use of alternative markers of ovarian reserve in an unselected population would have been more informative. In fact, serum levels of FSH, inhibin B or AMH and the number of ovarian antral follicles count (AFC) are commonly recognized as reliable tools to assess ovarian reserve. However, these markers have some limits. The serum dosages do not provide independent information for the two gonads. In women with monolateral disease, the intact gonad may properly supply hormones despite the altered function of the affected gonad (Garcia-Velasco and Somigliana, 2009). In order to provide conclusive hormonal information, one should thus aim at exclusively recruiting women with bilateral endometriomas. Again, however, these women would represent a highly selected group. AFC appears to be a more attractive option since it allows the collection of data independently for the two ovaries. As in the present study, it offers the precious possibility of focusing on women with monolateral disease, thus allowing us to control for inter-patient variability. In our view, interesting insights may be provided by future studies using this study design in unselected women. One possible concern in this context is, however, the accuracy of AFC in the presence of an ovarian endometrioma. To date, no studies have indeed been published on this point. Given that ovarian responsiveness remains the best surrogate tool to measure ovarian reserve (Broekmans et al., 2006), one may argue that the use of other markers of ovarian responsiveness such as the number of oocytes retrieved or the number developing embryos may be more suitable than follicle count. Unfortunately, these two variables were not recorded separately per ovary in our unit. They are thus not available. In this regard, it has to be pointed out, however, that the number of oocytes retrieved and the number of developing embryos may also not properly reflect ovarian reserve since they may be influenced by other independent variables. Of particular relevance here is that the presence of the endometriomas may be a mechanical obstacle to the pick-up and, consequently, the number of oocytes retrieved or developing embryos may be lower in affected gonads, but this would not be consequent to an altered ovarian responsiveness.

A further limit is the assumption that, in unaffected women, ovarian responsiveness is similar in the two ovaries. This may not be entirely true. A recent paper suggests that the response to hyperstimulation is mildly superior in the right ovary (Lan et al., 2010). However, we do not estimate that this may have influenced our results considering that endometriomas are mostly located in the left ovary. This would be expected to overestimate the impact of the endometrioma. In contrast, here, we failed to detect any difference.

Finally, one may hypothesize that ovarian endometriomas are not the unique form of endometriosis that can impair ovarian responsiveness. Other forms of the disease such as adhesions or ovarian superficial implants may also be detrimental. In fact, the presence of these lesions cannot be reliably documented in the context of the present study since only sonographic findings were used. All women would have to undergo a diagnostic laparoscopy before the IVF cycle to have reliable information on adhesions or ovarian superficial implants and this is not ethically acceptable. Given, however, the lack of differences between the two ovaries, this possible limitation loses relevance. It is noteworthy that adhesions and superficial implants are expected to be more frequent in the ovary with the endometrioma. It cannot be reasonably argued that the lack of difference between the two gonads would be due to a bilateral impairment with the endometrioma acting on one ovary and adhesions and superficial lesions acting on the contralateral gonad.

Our data are partly in contrast with the scant available evidence. Using pathological sections of the ovarian cortex surrounding ovarian benign neoplasms, Maneschi et al. (1993) showed that, in the case of endometriotic cysts, the damage may in part precede surgery. These authors documented morphologic patterns similar to those of the normal ovarian cortex in the cortical tissue surrounding mature teratomas, benign cystomas and endometriomas in 92, 77 and 19% (P < 0.01) of specimens, respectively. In a recent study from our group focusing on an unselected population of women with unilateral endometriomas, we observed that spontaneous ovulation occurs less frequently in the affected gonad. Specifically, this event was documented in about one-third of cases (22 out of 70 cases corresponding to 31%; 95% CI: 22–43%) (Benaglia et al., 2009). Horikawa et al. (2008) reported a similar result. On the other hand, our present results are in line with those reported by Almog et al. (2011). These authors reported that the number of antral follicles and oocytes retrieved in the endometrioma-containing ovary (6.0 ± 0.4 and 7.7 ± 1.0, respectively) and in the opposite ovary (6.1 ± 0.5 and 8.5 ± 0.9, respectively) were similar. Despite an apparent incongruence, these findings may not be in contrast. The observation that follicles surrounding the capsule of the endometrioma are reduced may correspond to a mild insult to the overall reserve of primordial follicles of that ovary. Similarly, it cannot be excluded that a mild reduction in ovarian reserve may determine a marked reduction in the rate of ovulation. Contrary to the previous evidence, our study design allows us to define a more precise estimate of the magnitude of the effect of the presence of an endometrioma and overall supports the view that the effect is, if any, mild. However, further studies are warranted in unselected women with endometriomas to draw definite conclusions. In fact, our considerations are limited to a selected population of patients undergoing IVF and may be not generalized to all women with unilateral endometriomas. Finally, a further concern is the temporality of exposure and outcome. One may argue that the injury to ovarian reserve may take place progressively over time. Albeit theoretical, this may represent a
source of confounding. We cannot rule out the possibility that the
time period between development of the endometrioma and assess-
ment may differ in women selected for IVF and in those operated for
endometriomas.

Even though our study supports the idea that the presence of an
endometrioma is unremarkable in terms of ovarian responsiveness,
inferences regarding oocyte quality cannot be drawn. This is a critical
point as follicular development and oocyte quality may be impaired by
the proximity of an endometrioma. Although the pregnancy rate in
our case series was similar to the rate of success observed for
other indications during the same study period, our data do not defi-
nitely clarify this aspect. The only available randomized controlled trial
on this topic tends to support the notion that ovarian endometriomas
have no effect on oocyte quality. Indeed, the fertilization rate and
the pregnancy rate were not affected in the unoperated cases when
compared with those who underwent surgery prior to IVF (Demiroi et al.,
2006). Further evidence is, however, required to definitely clarify the
impact of ovarian endometriomas on oocyte quality. In particular, pro-
spective studies comparing morphological characteristics and the rate
of fertilization of oocytes retrieved from affected and contralateral
intact ovaries would be of interest in this regard. Alternatively, and
as previously mentioned, efforts should aim at recruiting women
with bilateral unoperated endometriomas. Evaluation of serum levels
of AMH in this group may be informative since this has been shown to
reflect oocyte quality (Nelson et al., 2009) while removing the com-
ponent of the health of the ovary.

In conclusion, the presence of small endometriomas (<4 cm) at the
time of IVF does not markedly affect responsiveness to hyperstimula-
tion, thus suggesting a mild, if any, impact on ovarian reserve. The
impact of larger or symptomatic cysts remains to be clarified along
with the possible effects on oocyte quality.
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