Decline in semen concentration and morphology in a sample of 26,609 men close to general population between 1989 and 2005 in France
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STUDY QUESTION: Are temporal trends and values of semen quality parameters in France identifiable in partners of totally infertile women?

SUMMARY ANSWER: Among a sample of 26,609 partners of totally infertile women undergoing an assisted reproductive technology (ART) procedures in the whole of France over a 17-year period, there was a continuous decrease in semen concentration of about 1.9% per year and a significant decrease in the percentage with morphologically normal forms but no global trend for motility.

WHAT IS KNOWN ALREADY: A global decrease in human sperm quality is still debated as geographical differences have been shown, and many criticisms have risen concerning studies with small and biased study populations or inappropriate statistical methodology. However, growing biological, toxicological, experimental and human exposure data support the endocrine disruptors’ hypothesis assuming that fetal exposure to endocrine disruptors could impair reproductive outcomes.

STUDY DESIGN, SIZE, DURATION: This was a retrospective and descriptive study using data registered by Fivnat, the professional association in charge of statistics for ART in France during the 1989–2005 study period. Data were provided by 126 main ART centres over the whole metropolitan territory. The source population included 154,712 men, aged 18–70, who were partners of couples undergoing their first ART cycle and for whom semen quality indicators (concentration, total motility and percentage of morphologically normal forms), measured on fresh ejaculated semen, were available.

PARTICIPANTS/MATERIALS, SETTING, METHODS: The study population was 26,609 partners of women who had both tubes either absent or blocked. The temporal trends for each indicator of semen quality were modelled using a generalized additive model that allowed for nonlinear relationships between variables and were adjusted for season and age. In-depth sensitivity analyses included the reiteration of the analysis on data from a second spermiogram available for each man and on another subsample of men diagnosed as fertile. Variables such as centre, technique (standard in vitro fertilization or intra-cytoplasmic sperm injection) and an interaction factor between technique and time were also included in the model.

MAIN RESULTS AND THE ROLE OF CHANCE: There was a significant and continuous decrease in sperm concentration of 32.2% [26.3–36.3] during the study period. Projections indicate that concentration for a 35-year-old man went from an average of 73.6 million/ml [69.0–78.4] in 1989 to 49.9 million/ml [43.5–54.7] in 2005. A significant, but not quantifiable, decrease in the percentage of sperm with morphologically normal forms along the 17-year period was also observed. There was no global trend but a slight, significant increase in total motility between 1994 and 1998 was observed. The results were robust after sensitivity analysis.

LIMITATIONS, REASONS FOR CAUTION: Socioeconomic status could not be controlled for. Despite universal access to medical services in France, couples undergoing ART are expected to have a higher educational level on average compared with those of the general population. Therefore, the real values in the general population could be slightly lower than those presented and the decrease possibly stronger, as the population study is less likely to smoke or be overweight, two factors known to impair semen quality.

† Joint first authorship.
Introduction

The debate around temporal trends in sperm parameters has been a hot topic since the 1990s. Meta-analyses of the studies conducted since the 1950s report a decrease in sperm concentration in industrialized countries (Carlsen et al., 1992; Swan et al., 1997). Low environmental exposures to endocrine disruptors, especially during fetal growth, are suspected to explain such damage as well as effects on other reproductive outcomes such as testicular cancer and male urogenital malformations (cryptorchidism, hypospadias and possibly micropenis) (Skakkebaek et al., 2001; Gaspari et al., 2011).

However, a global decline of human sperm quality remains a matter of debate (Fisch, 2008; Bonde et al., 2011). Among all the factors that might explain such controversies, selection biases and poor data representativeness are the main methodological criticisms (Fisch, 2008). Indeed the studied samples were rarely randomly selected in the general population due to obvious technical difficulties. Moreover, semen quality studies in the general population are not a gold standard, as participation rates are under 30% (Bonde et al., 2011; Jorgensen et al., 2011). Most of the time, men are selected among semen donors or infertile couples undergoing assisted reproductive technology (ART). According to Fisch (2008), semen samples from couples attending infertility clinics, in which the female partner is later determined to be the source of the infertility, would be the sample whose characteristics in terms of sperm quality would be the closest to the general population since their inclusion for testing is unrelated to the semen provider’s potential fertility. The inherent inter- and intra-subject variation in semen parameters and their wide and unpredictable geographic variations are a second source of potential error (Fisch, 2008). Among the known sources of intra-subject variations, age, abstinence time, scrotal temperature, season, smoking and drug use are common confounding factors.

According to these critical guidelines, we conducted a study on a population sample provided by the French ART database, Fivnat. This database recorded the ART attempts of couples from the whole French metropolitan territory (126 main ART centres across France contributing to the database) between 1989 and 2005. This sample therefore covered a rather long and continuous time period, and covered the geographical diversity of metropolitan France. More than 440 000 attempts were recorded. The results of two semen analyses for each man were provided, ensuring a control for intra-individual variation. Among these records, we selected results corresponding to the first assisted reproduction attempt of male partners of totally sterile women. This amounted to data for more than 26 000 men.

Based on this dataset, the purpose of our study was to bring the previous French semen quality trends from the 1990s up to date, while expanding the results to include a general population over the whole country.

Materials and Methods

Source population

The source population of the study included all male partners in couples involved in a standard in vitro fertilization (IVF) or intra-cytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI) programme in metropolitan France, registered in the Fivnat database, between the 1 January 1989 and the 31 December 2005, and meeting the following criteria: spermiogram available; couple’s ART first cycle (to prevent selection bias linked to previous failures); use of freshly ejaculated semen (to avoid quality alteration bias due to conservation techniques); and available information on the man’s age and the ART technique (IVF or ICSI) and date of oocyte retrieval.

As men with azoospermia usually undergo ART with sperm from a donor, they were excluded from the study.

For each individual, two spermiograms were available, one carried out during a fertility check-up (the ‘check-up’ spermiogram) in a specialized laboratory, within 6 months prior to the IVF attempt, and a second one carried out at the ART centre the day of oocyte retrieval (the ‘attempt’ spermiogram). The exact date was available only for the ‘attempt’ spermiogram. For this reason, results from the ‘attempt’ spermiogram were studied for the main analysis and those from the ‘check-up’ spermiogram were used for the sensitivity analysis.

Study population

The study population was selected from the source population as male partners of women who had both tubes noted as absent or blocked and thus were definitely infertile.

Measurement methods

The data were extracted from the Fivnat database. Three main indicators of semen quality were registered in the database: sperm concentration (millions of sperm per millilitre), total motility (%) and morphology (percentage of morphologically normal forms).

For morphology, the majority of the French laboratories used David’s classification method and still do (Blanchard et al., 2011). Very few labora-
Statistical analysis

In the study sample, we analysed the temporal trends between 1989 and 2005 of sperm concentration, total motility and morphology.

The three indicators were regressed on time, controlling for men’s age. In order to consider possible non-linear relationships between the indicators and the explanatory variables, generalized additive models were used (Wood, 2006). A penalized spline function was used to model seasonality and long-term temporal trends. The age variable was introduced in the model as a b-spline to take into account a possible non-linear relation (De Boor, 1978).

For the residuals of the model to be normally distributed, a Box–Cox Transform (Box and Cox, 1964) was applied to sperm concentration. The two other indicators were binomially distributed. According to the central limit theorem, they could be considered Gaussian due to large sample size.

Models were validated with graphical tools: residuals’ plot, comparison of predicted versus observed values and shape of the partial effect of each factor. The trends for each indicator were computed in percentage of variation since 1 January 1989 and projected for an average age, a 35-year-old man. This unit has the advantage of being common to all three indicators, enabling direct comparison of the trends and their amplitude. The values in their respective units (million per millilitre for concentration and percentage for total motility and normal forms) were also provided for each indicator.

An increase or a decrease between 1 January 1989 and 31 December 2005 was significant when the 95% confidence interval did not include a variation of 0%.

All models were developed using the GAM procedure implemented in the mgcv package (Wood, 2006) of the R software (R Development Core Team, 2004). Adjustment for the ART centre was only included in the sensitivity analysis to confirm that no particular centre impacted the global trends. The analyses were reiterated on the ‘check-up’ spermogram, which was most often produced outside of the ART centres, to further test the impact of laboratory practice diversity on the trends and the impact of intra-individual variability.

To ensure that an observed decrease in sperm quality was not due to the inclusion in the sample of infertile men made eligible for ART following the introduction of the ICSI technique, we adjusted for technique, and added an interaction between technique and time in the model. This enabled us to take into account and visualize the evolution in ART techniques and the evolution of IVF and ICSI prescription over time.

To test the robustness of our results, we did the same analyses on another subsample of the source population of men diagnosed as fertile at the time of the fertility check-up. This other study population is also another subsample of the source population of men diagnosed as fertile was stable during the whole study period (not shown).

This confirms that there was no major increase in infertile men in our study population over time.

The various semen parameters were weakly correlated (correlation coefficient < 0.50), which confirmed the relevance of analysing them separately.

Trends

Concentration

There was a significant 32.2% [26.3–36.3] decrease in sperm concentration over the whole 17-year study period. The projected concentration for a 35-year-old man went from 73.6 million/ml [69.0–78.4] in January 1989 to 49.9 million/ml [43.5–54.7] in December 2005 (Figure 2). The average decrease for concentration was 1.4 million/ml per year (1.9%).

Motility

Following a period of stability between 1989 and 1994 (projected values of 49.5% motile spermatozoa [48.2–50.8] and 49.6% [49.2–50.1], respectively), the motility percentage increased to 52.4% [51.9–52.9] in 1998 (Figure 3). Then the motility percentage was stabilized until 2005 at 53.6% [52.0–55.2].

Morphology

There was a significant 33.4% [29.7–37.2] decrease in the mean percentage of sperm with normal forms over the period. The percentage decreased significantly from 60.9% [58.8–62.9] in 1989 to 52.8% [52.0–53.5] in 1995, i.e. an average decrease of 1.3% normal forms per year. Following a stable period of 3 years, another decrease in the percentage with normal forms was observed between 1998 and 2005 (52.8% [52.0–53.6] to 39.2% [36.3–42.1]), which is an average decrease of 1.7% normal forms per year (Figure 4).

Sensitivity analysis

Adjusting for technique and interaction between time and technique

Adjusted trends (Supplementary Figure S3) showed that the observed decreases in concentration and morphology were not due to an arrival...
of infertile men brought by ICSI. Indeed, the decreases are still observed despite the changes in practise, in particular the gradual widening of ICSI to more fertile men.

Check-up spermiogram

Results in trends and values obtained on the ‘check-up’ spermiogram (Supplement Figures S4–S6) were similar to those obtained on the ‘attempt’ spermiogram for the three indicators of sperm quality.

For concentration, there was a significant 32.1% [25.4–38.4] decrease from 71.4 [66.6–76.3] million/ml in 1989 to 48.8 [44.8–53.3] million/ml in 2005. There was no decrease in total motility, but a decrease in percent normal sperm from 58.4% [56.8–60.0] normally shaped spermatozoa in 1989 to 40.6% [38.8–42.5] in 2005.

Adjusting for centre

The trend shapes and values did not change when adjusting for a centre effect.

Men under 50

The trends were also identical when restricted to men under the age of 50.

Subsample of fertile men

Trends were similar for the subsample of fertile men (Supplementary Figures S7–S9). For concentration, there was a significant 29.8% [25.3–34.2] decrease from 81.2 [77.2–85.3] million/ml in 1989 to 56.9 [53.4–60.0] million/ml in 2005. There was no decrease in

**Table 1** Number of men in the source and study population, for each sperm parameter analysis and each ART technique, with age distribution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Source populationa (N = 154 712)</th>
<th>Study populationb (N = 26 609)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indicator</td>
<td>Concentration</td>
<td>Motility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete attempts</td>
<td>121 702</td>
<td>120 635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVF (%)</td>
<td>73.9</td>
<td>74.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICSI (%)</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>25.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age average; percentile 25; median; percentile 75</td>
<td>35.2; 31; 34; 38</td>
<td>35.2; 31; 34; 39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*aMen involved in couples undergoing their first ART cycle, registered in the Fivnat database, using freshly ejaculated semen.
*bpartners of women with both tubes absent or blocked.
*aEge, technique, date and infertility factor completed.

**Figure 1** Evolution of the frequency and percentage of IVF and ICSI over the study period for (A) the source population and (B) the study population.
total motility, but a significant decrease in percent normal sperm from 64.4% [62.9–66.0] normally shaped spermatozoa in 1989 to 44.1% [42.2–46.0].

Morphology technique measurement

Morphology results were not changed by removal of data collected in the centres that used the Krüger classification at least once over the study period. We could not simply adjust for this variable since it had too many missing values (97.5%).

Discussion

In a large sample of over 26,000 men, aged 18–70, who were partners of totally infertile women undergoing their first ART treatment over the whole of France, our results show a significant and continuous decrease in average sperm concentration and a significant and almost continuous decrease in the percentage of morphologically normal forms between 1989 and 2005. For motility, there was no global trend, with a slight but significant increase between 1994 and 1998.

There are three main sources of bias when using men from couples undergoing ART for semen quality studies. They are less fertile and older on average than the general population, and it has been shown they are more likely to have a higher level of education, even in France, in the context of national coverage for infertility services (Moreau et al., 2010).

For infertile couples where the woman is later determined to be totally sterile, there is no reason for the partner to have different semen quality from the population average, which means that our study population of non-azoospermia partners of totally infertile women can be considered unbiased regarding fertility parameters.

As we included the age variable in the model, and because the trends remained identical when excluding men over 50, we argue that there was no bias due to the slight increase in age of the study population over the period or due to it being higher average than the general population.

Finally, we unfortunately could not adjust for socio-economic status due to a high percentage of missing values for variables such as professional activity and tobacco consumption. In France, more highly educated men are less likely to smoke tobacco and be overweight, which are two known factors of semen quality impairment (Sharpe, 2010). In addition, in the study period, the percentage of men who were overweight increased less and tobacco consumption decreased.
more among French higher educated men compared with men with
less education (Sasco et al., 1994; de Saint Pol, 2009). Therefore,
the real values for sperm parameters in the general population
could be slightly lower than those that we present and the decreases
could possibly be stronger.

Finally, the prevalence of azoospermia in the general population has
rarely been studied but seems to be very scarce, around 0.4% (Itoh et al., 2001) which leads us to think that the exclusion of these men
does not noticeably modify the profile of our sample. It would be
interesting however to monitor azoospermia as a specific indicator
of male fertility with appropriate data and methods.

Regarding the measurement methods for concentration and motil-
ity, experts have confirmed that the methods have not changed
noticeably during the study period.

For morphology, there have been several changes in the definition
of morphologically normal forms and a general improvement of obser-
ver accuracy. For this reason, no values in the general population were
given for this indicator. First, the introduction of Kruger’s classification
in some centres may explain a small part of the observed decrease,
even if the sensitivity analysis for this showed no impact. Most import-
antly, a general improvement of observer accuracy, particularly after
1999 when stricter criteria were introduced in the WHO manual
(Menkveld et al., 2011), may also be partly responsible for the
observed decrease in normal sperm morphology. Abnormal forms
have been more strictly detected over time, which would result in a
decrease in the percentage of normal forms over time (Prisant et al., 2011). This phenomenon has already been documented and
confirmed by a reanalysis of old smears but was ruled out as being
the sole origin of the observed decrease (Menkveld, 2010).

In our study, the observed decrease in morphology was highly sig-
nificant. It is therefore likely that independent of the improvement in
observer accuracy, there has been a real deterioration of sperm
morphology in France.

Regarding the diversity of practices between laboratories, we could
not check for quality control but there is no reason to think that they
did not follow national and WHO recommendations. The sensitivity
analysis confirmed that variations in practice did not impact the
global trends as the values and trends were similar for the
‘check-up’ and the ‘attempt’ spermiograms, which are most often
done in different laboratories, and they did not change when including
the centre variable in the statistical model.

Among the three semen parameter indicators, the experts consider
that sperm concentration is the most reliable.

Using non-linear models enabled us to control for seasonal varia-
tions (Levine, 1999; Kunzle et al., 2004) and to observe a non-linear
relationship between semen parameters and time, which to our
knowledge, had not been done in previous semen quality studies.
On the other hand, we could not adjust for abstinence time, which
according to Fisch (2008) is one of the main weaknesses of many
studies, since concentration increases with abstinence time (Schwartz
et al., 1979). However, all men received the same instructions (to
abstain for 3–5 days) and there is no reason to suspect changes in
compliance over time as a potential source of bias.

By using a Box–Cox Transformation for concentration, we allowed
for a better normalization of our model’s residuals than with log or
square root transformations. Finally, by not selecting a sample of
men with concentration greater than a minimum threshold (Zom
et al., 1999; Sripada et al., 2007; Mukhopadhyay et al., 2010), we
were not subject to bias created by truncating the concentration prob-
ability density.

The sensitivity analysis also showed that observed trends were inde-
pendent of intra-individual variability as the trends were identical for
the two different spermiograms. It was also confirmed that infectious
origin of tubal sterility was not a source of bias as the trends for the
subsample of fertile men were unchanged.

Homogeneity of the sample over time is needed to study time
trends. This difficulty is often overshadowed or not accounted for in
studies involving infertile men. During the study period, men with a
gradually lower sperm quality could perform ART in France due to
several changes in AR techniques and AR technique prescriptions, par-
icularly the emergence of ICSI in 1994. ICSI was aimed at men with
very poor semen quality who could not be treated by standard IVF
before, and it made new infertile men eligible to ART. A sensitivity
analysis, with adjustment for technique and interaction of time and
technique, confirmed that, by selecting only the partners of totally in-
fertile women, the study population was not impacted by this evolu-
tion in technique.

Interpretation of the results
Average concentration
Three previous studies have shown a decline in sperm concentration
in France: in semen donors from the Paris area from 1973 to 1992
(Auger et al., 1995), among 7714 fertile male partners of sterile
women (de Mouzon et al., 1996); and recently in Tours from 1976
to 2009 among 1114 semen donors (Splingart et al., 2012).
However, a study carried out in Toulouse showed no decrease
between 1977 and 1992 among 302 semen donors (Bujan et al.,
1996), and a further study confirmed discrepancies in time trends
observed in samples of sperm donors across eight French towns
(Auger and Jourannet, 1997).

The magnitude of the average annual 1.9% decrease observed in the
present study is consistent with the 2.1% per year decrease observed
among the semen donors in Paris in 1995 (Auger et al., 1995). Even
though the two study populations were different, this could mean
that the decline in semen concentration in France has not slowed
down since the 1970s.

The global decrease in concentration observed in the present study is
consistent with past meta-analyses of the international literature
(Carlsen et al., 1992; Swan et al., 1997). More recent studies on con-
centration trends also show a decrease in previously unstudied coun-
tries such as Israel (Almagor et al., 2003), India (Adiga and Jayaraman,
2008), New Zealand (Shine et al., 2008) and Tunisia (Feki et al., 2009),
with the exception of Sweden (Axelsson et al., 2011), where no signifi-
cant trend was observed. Even in Finland, where sperm concentration
was shown to remain unchanged across past study periods (Jorgensen
et al., 2001, 2002), a more recent study showed a decline between
1998 and 2006 (Jorgensen et al., 2011). Thus, even though the exist-
ence of a global decrease is still debated (Wilcox, 2011), our results
seem consistent with this hypothesis and the generally observed de-
crease in sperm concentration.

We argued that our study sample is close enough to the general
population to generalize our results; the main source of uncontrolled
bias is the socio-economic status which we argued makes our values
for sperm concentration maybe a little higher than those in general population. Thus, the average sperm concentration in France in 2005 for a 35-year-old man is expected to be close to 49.9 million/ml [43.5–54.7]. This is consistent with some recent studies (Shine et al., 2008), but higher than that observed in Denmark among young military draftees (Bonde et al., 2011).

Although the decrease in concentration since the beginning of the study period is rather important, the average concentration in 2005 is much higher than the WHO reference value of 15 million/ml (Cooper et al., 2010a) and the 20 million/ml threshold that is expected to impair natural male fertility, but it is lower than the 55 million/ml threshold below which sperm concentration could influence the time to pregnancy (Slama et al., 2002).

Average motility
Contrary to the previous study in Paris (Auger et al., 1995) that showed a decrease in average total motility between 1973 and 1992, we did not observe any such decrease during the study period. On the contrary, we observed an increase between 1994 and 1998. More generally, the results of studies on total motility are contrasted. Many studies do not find any trend (Zorn et al., 1999; Seo et al., 2000; Lackner et al., 2005; Sripada et al., 2007; Fisch, 2008; Feki et al., 2009), some observe a decrease (Auger et al., 1995; Zorn et al., 1999; Almagor et al., 2003; Mukhopadhyay et al., 2010) and a few observe an increase (Andolz et al., 1999; Chen et al., 2003) in motility. These results, combined with the ones observed in the present study, suggest that there is no global trend in total sperm motility. However, total motility reflects two types of motility (progressive and non-progressive), and it is not excluded that progressive motility, not recorded in the Fivnat database, could show a decline. Further studies would be needed to test this hypothesis.

With the same arguments as those developed above for sperm concentrations, the average sperm total motility in France in 2005 for a 35-year-old man is expected to be close to 53.6% [52.0–55.2], which is not considered to impact fertility (Cooper et al., 2010b). It is close to that observed in 1999–2001 among similarly aged partners of pregnant women in four US cities (Swan et al., 2003), but is 10% lower than that observed in the 1973–1993 period in France among sperm donors (Auger et al., 1995) of the same age.

Average morphology
A decrease in the percentage of sperm with morphologically normal forms was also shown in the previous Paris study (Auger et al., 1995). This trend is coherent with other recent studies (Zheng et al., 1997; Andolz et al., 1999; Almagor et al., 2003; Chen et al., 2003; Feki et al., 2009; Jorgensen et al., 2011). However, a few studies have reported no trend (Zorn et al., 1999; Seo et al., 2000; Lackner et al., 2005; Sripada et al., 2007), and one (Berling and Wolner-Hanssen, 1997) has reported an increase in the percentage of sperm with normal forms.

While quantifying the alteration in sperm morphology remains difficult, morphology seems to be more and more considered as a specific indicator of male fertility (Menkveld, 2010; Slama et al., 2002) and might be considered as a valuable indicator of the impact of environmental factors at the level of the testis (Auger et al., 2001; Auger, 2010).

Links with possible factors
These observed trends in sperm parameters might be linked to the effects of environmental factors, including endocrine disruptors. They might also be linked to other known semen altering factors that would have changed over the study period, like an increase in body mass index (BMI), stress, nutrition or infections. The fact that we did not find a decrease in motility seems however less consistent with a major role of BMI or stress (Sharpe, 2010; Li et al., 2011). To support an environmental link, it could be interesting to complete the study with an age–period–cohort design.

These trends could also be the late stage expression of a longer process of decline (Joffe, 2010) more difficult to bring out. Additionally to direct effects of endocrine disruptors, trans-generational epigenetic effects at low doses during in utero gonadic development seem to be responsible for such effects in animals (Manikkam et al., 2012). This could account, together with differences in exposure over time, for the nonsynchronous decrease in semen quality in different countries or areas. Our results emphasize the need to sustain research efforts on this issue.

Conclusion
In conclusion, we observed, among a sample over 26,000 men of reproductive age from the whole of France, partners of totally infertile women, a continuous decrease in semen concentration between 1989 and 2005, of about 1.9% per year. We argue that this sample is close to the general population and is by far the most important sample of men studied in France until now; and, to our knowledge, it is one of the largest studied samples in the world. We also observed in this sample a decrease in the percentage of sperm with morphologically normal sperm. These results indicate a severe and generalized decrease in semen quality in France, possibly since the 1970s, which constitutes a serious public health warning.

Besides fertility outcomes, semen quality is a sentinel indicator of gamete deterioration and thus should be considered as a biomarker of the resulting development outcomes (Joffe, 2010). This issue could be a growing cause of concern for the next generation’s health. Furthermore, semen quality was surprisingly correlated to life expectancy in a recent report (Jensen et al., 2009). All these aspects strengthen the need to implement gamete quality monitoring systems, for which infertility clinic data and the specific methods we employed might be considered valuable tools.

Supplementary data
Supplementary data are available at http://humrep.oxfordjournals.org/.
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