I begin this discussion with a quote from Peter Osborne’s book on Conceptual Art, where he notes how:

At its best, “Conceptual Art was never quite sure where the work was;” because it was never just in one place, or even one kind of place... Making this apparent, in opposition to the monistic materialism of Greenberg’s late modernist criticism, was the most critically productive use of written language in the art of the 1960s... However, this does not mean that the visual dimension of linguistic inscription is irrelevant, even when it is the function of such inscription to negate the intrinsic significance of visual form. On the contrary, it is precisely its “unmarked” or neutral visual quality that performs the negation. In... many [artworks] of the period, this was achieved via design decisions associated with “publishing,” rather than with “art.”

This quote is useful, not only because it introduces the emergence of text-based art works within this art historical period and situates them against the shifting critical discourse surrounding art at that time, but also because of the way in which it draws particular attention to typographic language and the activity of publishing as key factors in the ability of these works to, as Osborne puts it, “negate the intrinsic significance of visual form.”

Retrospective critical accounts of Conceptual Art are numerous and include comprehensive discussion of the motivations behind the adoption of published typographic formats as a means of producing and disseminating art. However, what has surprised me in my own consideration of the works is how these accounts are often supported by poor quality or misleading reproductions, or a failure to cross-reference examples to each other. What this becomes then is a general failure to adequately demonstrate the precise nature and evolution of these works, either by failing to provide a full picture of their operation within this activity of publishing or through not giving a clear impression of the various

---

different typographic configurations they employed, which would allow them to be understood collectively. Indeed, without these things, it can be hard to fathom exactly how these works can be understood as pieces of Conceptual Art and to appreciate the importance of their published typographic form to the achievement of this status.

As such, this discussion aims to present some key text-based artworks from this period and to describe more precisely how they operated typographically within the activity of publishing. This will not only add something to the art historical account of the works but will also serve to demonstrate their relevance to specific areas within typographic discourse, which are difficult to resolve within strict disciplinary boundaries.

The Shift to Text in/as Art
As noted by Osborne, these text-based works are situated against the backdrop of a very particular art historical period, which began in the mid-1960s and ended in the mid-1970s. Indeed, what is important in considering the works is that this period is generally characterized by a shift from the notion of art as an object toward the notion of art as an idea and, in particular, how this manifested itself in experiments with the ways in which the idea could be implemented conceptually through language rather than perceptually through vision.

The shift from object to idea is of course, as Osborne also states, connected to the collapse of Clement Greenberg’s notion of medium-specific modernism and the emergence of a new art critical position, which moved away from the idea of the passive spectator looking at a pre-existing artwork toward the idea of an active spectator engaged somehow in the physical and/or conceptual creation of the work. So, in the case of these text works, what was presented—via typography and the publication of printed matter—was a context in which the spectator could conceivably encounter the artwork through the active process of reading rather than through any particular form of visual contemplation. In fact, this shift was specifically articulated by the artist Robert Smithson in his 1967 press release, “Language to be Looked At and/or Things to be Read” and by Terry Atkinson in his 1968 essay, “Concerning the Article: ‘The Dematerialization of Art.’”

It is also relevant that what is described as “the turn to language” in the art of this period connects these text works to the philosophical shift through structuralism and semiotics, as described in Osborne’s essay, “Conceptual Art and/as Philosophy.” And it is interesting that accounts of this shift refer to much earlier text-based experiments in literature and poetry including, for instance, Laurence Sterne’s novel *Tristram Shandy*, which was published in the mid-18th century and Stéphane Mallarmé’s poem
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A Roll of the Dice, which was published in the late 19th century, as well as French experimental writings of the 1950s from those like Michel Butor and Alain Robbe-Grillet, and the work of post-War American poets like Jackson MacLow and John Ashbery.

Indeed, what these earlier experiments demonstrate, alongside the style of their writing, is the use of specific textual arrangements and page layout to disrupt what has subsequently been described as a “readerly” immersion in the text. This is an idea that, by the late 1960s, had been articulated through the theoretical writings of Roland Barthes through, for example, his depictions of “the death of the author” and the “writerly text.”

So, in relation to the turn to language and text in the art of this period, it is worth noting that “The Death of the Author” was first published in 1967 in a printed booklet within the art magazine Aspen alongside another identical booklet that contained an important typographic work by the artist Dan Graham: “Poem, March 1966” (see Figure 1). As with Barthes’s essay, this work by Graham is discussed in relation to a conceptual shift away from the idea of artistic authorship and the autonomous work through the way in which it is typographically constructed, not by the artist but via an editor’s response to questions about a document’s editorial and design specification (see Figure 2).

What is therefore essential with regard to these text-based Conceptual artworks is to see how they, in fact, emerged out of the intersecting of a number of historical and theoretical factors. These include the aforementioned philosophical territory and the obvious art historical lineage back to the nomination and staging of Duchamp’s Readymades, but also incorporate other contextual features surrounding the visual arts at that time, such as the breakdown of the critical discourse of medium-specific modernism and links to experimental poetry and literature. What is more, this also

---

4 The Life and Opinions of Tristram Shandy, Gentleman was originally published in nine small separate volumes between 1759 and 1767; Un Coup de Dés Jamais n’Abolira le Hazard [A Roll of the Dice Will Never Abolish Chance], was first published as a 20-page poem in 1897.

5 See Barthes’s essay, “The Death of the Author” (1967) and his distinction in S/Z between the “Readerly text,” which is transitive, acting purely as a conveyor of meaning, and the “Writerly text,” which is intransitive, aiming not to take the reader beyond but to draw attention to the activity of writing itself (1973).
extends to the particular social and economic climate at that time in the 1960s, which had provided new possibilities for the positioning of art within published contexts, ranging from short-run office-printed documents to mass-circulation illustrated magazines.

Indeed, what these new publishing contexts specifically helped to create was a different kind of location for art, as well as a different kind of audience—one that would be receptive (whether knowingly or not) to the positioning of works within these kinds of printed matter. As Dan Graham put it:

I didn't go to art school or college, but I read a lot of magazines like *Esquire* ... Not to be academic about it but I think the mid-60s was magazine culture. I really liked *Esquire*, which had writing and also photographs by famous photographers, often about the suburbs.¹

The Published Text as Conceptual Artwork

Within their own niche, examples of text-based Conceptual Art appropriate a diverse array of typographic and publishing genres. However, rather than attempting to present a survey of “types,” my intention is to consider how it was the act of positioning these works within this context of publishing that specifically allowed them to undermine traditional ideas of form, authorship, and the “Institution,” which had dominated pre-1960s art.

The works I present here are therefore not those involving one-off painted or stenciled texts, as for example produced by artists like On Kawara or Ed Ruscha, which are in some ways easier to describe and exhibit through conventional author/object-based criteria. Instead, I specifically focus on those works that were typeset and distributed through the channel of mainstream, print-based, publishing and, as such, can be identified as much with the activity of publishing as with their particular material form as printed matter.
Take, for example, the work “Homes for America” by Dan Graham (see Figure 3), which is held up as one of the seminal pieces of text-based Conceptual Art: The usual account of this work is that it began as a proposal for an illustrated magazine article, with the content and arrangement of the text and images referring back to the serial logic of Minimalist Art via a descriptive, reportage-style account of suburban tract housing in a New Jersey suburb (see Figure 4). In fact, from its outset, Graham had in mind an intention to place this work within a mainstream published context through his production of a pasted-up page layout intended for submission to a magazine editor.

As the story goes, Graham was initially thinking of a mass-circulation magazine like *Esquire* but, possibly because his friend Robert Smithson was a contributing editor at *Arts Magazine*, he prepared and presented it instead to that publication. And it was at this point—the point at which it was accepted by *Arts Magazine*—that the work made its shift from a static (conventionally object-like) paste-up (see Figure 3) into the active context of publishing, whereby the editors changed the layout to follow the magazine’s house style and replaced some of Graham’s photographs with alternative imagery (see Figure 5).

So the operation of this work as a piece of Conceptual Art lies in this shift away from the autonomous art object; away from the hand of the author; away from the Institution; and into the work existing within the activity of mainstream publishing via its...
As I have said though, it can be hard to get your head around this properly when faced with the available reproductions of “Homes for America,” which fail to give the full picture of this evolution since they usually depict just one of these versions of the work. What is more, whichever the version depicted, the individual reproductions all appear captioned with the same overall title—“Homes for America”—without sufficient visual explanation of their individual position as one of the various stages in this potentially on-going progression.
So despite the undisputed quality of the critical accounts of the piece—by Graham himself, but also by Jeff Wall, David Campany, Thomas Crow, Benjamin Buchloh, and others—the importance of actually seeing this progression proved to be key to my full understanding of the operation of this work. And, for me, this seeing could only be accomplished through a process of gathering and then comparing every different reproduction I came across (see Figure 8).

Indeed, when placed together, what these different reproductions demonstrate is a much clearer account of the precise evolution of “Homes for America” from its initial conception as a set of photographic images; to the integration of these images and an associated text in a page-layout; to the insertion of this layout into the context of mainstream publishing (which introduced the first editorial alteration of the piece); and on to a series of further revised layouts, either through revisions to the paste-up by the artist himself, or through subsequently reformatted versions in further publications of the piece. And, importantly, what a clear understanding of this evolution demonstrates is how the work...
Figure 8
All courtesy the artist and Lisson Gallery.

(a) 1966 “Homes for America” began with Graham’s Kodak Instamatic photographs of New Jersey suburban houses and diners, which he started in the early 1960s and, in 1966, projected as slides in the exhibition “Projected Art” at the Finch College Museum of Art in New York.

(b) 1966 However, in 1966, Graham also wrote and produced his pasted-up page layout for the piece as an illustrated magazine article, which included some of the original photographs alongside a written account of the particular style of the suburban tract housing in this suburb. He submitted this to Arts Magazine.

(c) 1966–1967 Arts Magazine ran the piece in the “Critique” section of their December 1966 – January 1967 issue, although they altered the page layout so that the article would run on from the previous article and into the next. The editor also dropped most of Graham’s photographs and substituted instead a photograph by the established photographer Walker Evans whose images were popular in socio-logical journalism at that time.

(d) 1970 And then, in 1970, Graham produced another paste-up of “Homes for America”, which, although re-presented as a double page spread, differs again in format from both his original paste-up and the Arts Magazine version and returns to the inclusion of Graham’s original photographs. This version also often appears alongside critical accounts of “Homes for America” and, like the Arts Magazine version, is accepted and referred to as the work.

(e) 1972 In 1972, a booklet was produced by Lisson Gallery in London (who represent Graham) and Koenig publishing – and here again a new layout for the piece was published, again returning to Graham’s original photographs rather than the ones used by Arts Magazine.

(f) 1975 And, in 1975, a booklet entitled For Publication, was produced by the Otis Art Institute in Los Angeles – with yet another published layout and set of images for the piece.
“Homes for America” can in fact be understood equally as any single one of these instances, or as all of them collectively as a number of constituent parts of an open-ended work.

The Typographic Layout as Conceptual Artwork

I have noted how accounts of “Homes or America” often refer to the ways in which the content and layout of the article’s text and imagery connect back to the serial logic of Minimalist Art. These highlight Graham’s focus on the pre-fabricated modular units of tract housing developments and his echoing of this arranged modularity through the typographic groupings and picture placements within the article (see Figure 4). In this sense, “Homes for America” can be considered alongside the text works of other artists like Carl Andre and Vito Acconci, who were engaged with similar interrogations of typographic layout during this period of the 1960s. In fact, in much the same vein, these artists applied classic Minimalist strategies, such as interruption, accumulation, fragmentation, and repetition to reduce and isolate texts into independent units.

The typographic arrangements of Andre demonstrate, for example, the potential for words to operate as a form of sculptural unit in the manner of the units (e.g., bricks, blocks, bales, and tiles) that he employed for his more conventional Minimalist sculptural works:

```
arc
bath
bench
chrome
brine
bulb
cog
```

Indeed, for Andre, these arrangements of basic word-units act as an extension to his particular interrogation of the relationship between “the part (the ‘cut’)” and the overall sculptural unit.10 He acknowledged the link between his sculpture and these text works as follows:

> My interest in elements or particles in sculpture is paralleled by my interest in words as particles of language... [I] write poetry in which the sentence is not the dominant form but the word is the dominant form.11

Robert Smithson describes this feature of Andre’s text works in his 1968 article, “A Museum of Language in the Vicinity of Art,” stating how “Each poem is a ‘grave,’ so to speak, for his metaphors.
Semantics are driven out of his language in order to avoid meaning…. [H]is ‘poems’ and ‘sculpture’ have no mental or secondary qualities; they are to him solidly ‘material.’” However, in a further elaboration, Smithson articulates his own interest beyond what he calls “the physical properties of both language and material,” and he states “they are both physical entities, but they have different properties, and within these properties you have these mental experiences, and it’s not simply empirical facts.”

So, whereas Minimalist Art had by this time come to be seen as outdated and confined by its focus on the object, for Smithson, the important point about these text works was that, while initially focusing on the word as a material unit, they also demonstrated a shift into a more specifically language-centered interrogation. Thus, as constructions of text, they had the subsequent potential to expand away entirely from the confines of the object. In other words, although at first glance text-works like Andre’s might appear to connect to concrete poetry (where text would be arranged semi-pictorially to evoke the poem’s subject), what differentiates them is precisely the ways in which they echoed the shifting theoretical paradigms of visual art at that time by moving the object into a solely language-based context.

This shift is demonstrated well by the text-works of Acconci. Indeed, these specifically illustrate this evolution of the text from something material and static (which could still, to some extent, be passively looked at like an object) toward something much more shifting and dynamic, demanding a more conceptually orientated activity of reading from the spectator. As Acconci himself put it:

13 Ibid.
14 Many of which were published in the magazine 0 to 9, which Acconci produced with the poet Bernadette Meyer between 1967 and 1969. See Gwen Allen, Artists’ Magazines: An Alternative Space for Art (Massachusetts and London: The MIT Press, 2011).
A poem was already too much like a painting (most poems could be seen at a glance, on a single page). We wanted to move, from number to number, from word to word, from line to line, from page to page.

What Acconci’s works particularly engaged with then was the interplay between reading and navigating around the self-enclosed pages of a document. As such, his text works were often situated across different copies or across several pages of the magazine (see Figures 9 and 10) and/or were dependent upon their relationship to the adjacent texts of other contributors (see Figure 10). Accounts of the operations of Acconci’s text works, including his own 1972 essay “Early Work: Movement Over a Page,” often therefore center around notions of temporality because of their disconnection from the perceived traditional or linear temporal mode and their move toward something more contingent and shifting.

And what these texts also introduced was a much more conceptually focused relationship between the work and the reader, which was increasingly achieved through a connection between the words on the page and the space in which the document was read. As Acconci himself described, he used the page as the beginning, or boundary, of an event that eventually left the page and occurred in some outside space.

Text as Readymade

In many ways, the “Statement” texts of the artist, Lawrence Weiner (see Figure 11) establish a similar kind of conceptual text/reader relationship to the works by Acconci. Indeed, although Weiner,
like Andre, was interested in the idea of language as a kind of sculptural material, his texts operate much more in the manner of Acconci’s, gaining their sculptural qualities through the translation of material content into the mind of the “spectator” via the act of reading, rather than from looking at any particular form on a page. As Osborne puts it:

The “pieces” that Weiner states... are linguistically determinate. There is no question of their having existence as “idea” until they are formulated as statements; and no question of them being “pieces” until these formulations are actualized in some system of communication, in this case writing.\(^\text{17}\)

In fact, although many of Weiner’s Statements appear as hand-painted or stenciled lettering on walls, when considering the operation of these works against the notion of texts to be read rather than looked at, one should primarily consider the examples he positioned as typeset texts in published documents and, in particular, examples that were presented in a purposefully utilitarian style:

Take [the book] STATEMENTS: There is a design factor to make it look like a $1.95 book that you would buy. The type-face and the decision to use a typewriter and everything else was a design choice.\(^\text{18}\)

Thus, as with Graham’s “Homes for America,” it is the specifically neutral appearance of these published Statements that helps these examples to shift away from notions of authorship and objecthood. And their particularly utilitarian typographic style also serves to connect them back to earlier examples of typewritten text works.
such as John Cage’s score for the work “4’33’,” which as Liz Kotz discusses, had similarly rejected the fetishization of the hand-drawn mark and moved toward “vernacular signs so repeatable and translatable that no original appears to exist.”

These examples by Weiner become useful then, alongside those of Graham, in demonstrating the retrospective art historical connection that has been drawn between text-based Conceptual Art and Duchamp’s concept of the Readymade, which in 1913 had already used language to propose a shift in the assignment of meaning from non-art to art.

Moreover, what works like these serve to illustrate is Graham’s proposed extension to the Readymade idea, as articulated in his 1985 essay, “My Works for Magazine Pages: ‘A History of Conceptual Art.’” Here, he claims that, through its particularly neutral appearance and ubiquitous nature, the published text work has the potential to possess a dual (or oscillating) status as both non-art and art, existing simultaneously both within and outside the Institution. In fact, Anne Rorimer makes this same point in her discussion of the artist Joseph Kosuth’s investigations of “art as an analytical proposition,” describing, for instance, the way in which his appropriated dictionary definitions (see Figure 12) operate “by virtue of the capacity of [typographic] language to be what it is and what it is about simultaneously.”

The Undifferentiated Continuous Text as Conceptual Artwork

The further interesting shift in Kosuth’s use of text came, however, when his investigations dispensed with photocopied dictionary definitions, and moved instead toward the publishing of definitions of possible art information directly into non-art channels of communication. Indeed, for Kosuth, this not only got around the potential for the works to fall into any kind of pictorial illusionism, but more importantly, it also allowed him to shift his focus entirely
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20 As articulated for example, in Joseph Kosuth’s essay “Art after Philosophy” (1969) where he depicts Duchamp’s work as key to a revision of art history whereby the Readymade, rather than the evolution to and beyond formalist abstract painting, is posited as central to the critical collapse of medium-specific modernism.
22 Here, for example, Kosuth published thesaurus texts directly into the advertisement sections of newspapers or onto billboard-sized street advertisements.
toward the staging of art as pure information. His final investigations therefore moved toward the idea of art existing more as a kind of dynamic theoretical and critical commentary that might involve the navigation through (or the origination of) a series of extended and typographically undifferentiated continuous texts.

In “The Information Room,” for example, various forms of printed continuous text were exhibited on reading tables in an exhibition space that was described as “a venue for knowledge rather than... a container for objects.” Here the work, although “physically contained” in the room, was—through its very textual form—able to take the reader conceptually beyond the physical confines of the space (see Figure 13).

This use of text to position art within the intellectual space of theoretical and critical commentary was most fully elaborated, however, by the group, Art & Language. This group used its practice in the late 1960s and early 1970s to consider whether theoretical analysis itself could constitute a “method for... making art.” And, although they used a number of overlapping contexts for their inquiry, their use of typographic language was a key aspect of this process.

Of particular importance was the group’s exploration of the editorial/essay as artwork, which they undertook through their production of typeset continuous texts, situated within the bound format of a published journal (see Figures 14 and 15). Here, the neutral typographic design of the journal with its white cover and pages of un-illustrated text, echoes again the attempts by others to divest their works of any authorial/artistic voice, so that the reader engages with these texts through a process of reading, without any question that they should perhaps be looking at the journal as a visual object. However, in the introduction to the first issue, the group advanced a new hypothesis that elaborated more

23 Anne Rorimer, New Art in the 60s and 70s: Redefining Reality (London: Thames & Hudson Ltd., 2001), 100.
24 Which included Kosuth for a time amongst its shifting membership.
26 These “overlapping contexts” included conversations within the group and active involvements within art education.
specifically on the particular need for this completely neutral textual appearance: That the content of the editorial “is [itself] held out as a ‘conceptual art’ work” through its critical or theoretical content alone. An excerpt from the introduction thus reads as follows (see Figure 16):

Suppose an artist exhibits an essay in an art exhibition (like a print might be exhibited). The pages are simply laid out flat in reading order behind glass within a frame. The spectator is intended to read the essay “straight,” like a notice might be read, but because the essay is mounted in an art ambience it is implied that the object (paper with print upon it) carries conventional visual art content.
The spectator, being puzzled at not really being able to grasp any direct visual-art-read-out-meaning, starts to read it (as a notice might be read). It goes as follows:

"On why this is an essay"

The appearance of this essay is unimportant in any strong sense of visual-art appearance criteria. The prime requirement in regard of this essay’s appearance is that it is reasonably legible. Any decisions apart from this have been taken with a view to what it should not look like as a point of emphasis over what it should look like. These secondary decisions are aimed at eliminating as many appearance similarities to established art-objects as possible.27

Art & Language used their introduction to further describe the absence of any defined exhibition instruction and to articulate how “the art-gallery component had to be specified... [by the editorial] as a theoretical rather than a concrete component.”28 However, what is interesting here is how the group subsequently moved on from this idea by repositioning the printed pages of the journal back into a more conventional exhibition environment as part of their work “Index 01” (see Figure 17), a process through which they were able to undertake further more controlled interrogations of the reading of these texts.

Indeed, by using this context to force a particular kind of cross-referencing reading strategy, what this exhibit conveyed was how the content of the individual journal texts could be extended in any number of ways through their endless potential for reference to other similar texts. This cross-referencing was used to demonstrate how “the kinds of decisions we make about the relations between one text and another can have implications for our picture of [how one might conceive of] an entire conversational, or ideological world.”29

---

28 Ibid., 6–7.
The Integrated Text as Conceptual Artwork

I turn now to the layout of a published article by Robert Smithson, which not only seems to fit in here, around the point I have reached with the journal pages of *Art-Language*, but also—because of its existence as a magazine article, and the year in which it was published—connects back to my earlier consideration of Graham’s “Homes for America.”

Smithson’s article, “Quasi-Infinities and the Waning of Space,” was published in the November 1966 issue of *Arts Magazine* just prior to the magazine’s publication of Graham’s “Homes for America” (see Figure 18). And since Smithson, unlike Graham, had clearly had the opportunity to specify his own page layout, he used this as a key component of the work. His method was thus to break the text into separate blocks so that the main commentary could be surrounded by textual and visual marginalia, which would integrate with the main body of the document to facilitate a cross-referencing style of reading.

In her book *Chronophobia*, Pamela Lee describes this use of page layout in relation to Smithson’s interest in a constellation of meaning. She points to the inclusion, in Smithson’s marginalia, of references to the writings of the anthropologist and architectural historian George Kubler and the cyberneticist Norbert Weiner, whose preoccupations included non-linear and metaphorical representations of past historical time and future communication systems.


For many artists the universe is expanding; for some it is contracting.

By ROBERT SMITHSON


(see Figure 15), Smithson’s use of both subject matter and layout seems rooted in a similar territory to that of the infinite possibilities for cross referencing content, as presented by Art & Language in their later work “Index 01” (see Figure 17).

And it seems apt then to refer to a 1970 text by Art & Language’s Ian Burn and Mel Ramsden. This text seems to present not only an end point for this discussion but also perhaps a starting point for thinking about subsequent post-Conceptual engagements, which have seen a shift from the distribution of text-based artworks through print toward practices that are situated entirely within the interactive and networked channels of digital publishing:

Since an art object by now “might conceivably be anything on the face of this planet,” then “it would be dumb to insist on nominating an analytic art construct (i.e., this paper) as an ‘artwork.’”

Indeed, as Osborne observes with reference to this text:

Conceptual artists [had] turned their concern not to “the proliferation of designated signifieds” but to the “semiotic mosaic” from which meaning was derived… [This was the new question:] How was the “continuum,” the system, the structure-as-a-whole itself to be made the content of the work?"
What Relevance to Typographic Discourse?

One can look in a number of directions to see connections between these examples of text-based Conceptual Art and the more general discourse of typographic design: These art engagements of the 1960s and 1970s can, for example, be considered against experimental typographic practices, which emerged in the United States between the 1970s and the 1990s where, as Richard Hollis notes, designers were becoming “more aware of the need for theory and history to back up their own practice.” If one looks, for instance, at the design journal, *Visible Language*, and its development of what Hollis calls a “critical [rather than purely technical and historical] view of graphic design” (see Figure 19); or at the related practices and discourses at Cooper Union and Cranbrook Academy, where students were encouraged to “think about art and design in terms of culture and language” (see Figure 20); or at the new layout and content relationships explored by a magazine like *Émigré* (see Figure 21), one can see how these works demonstrated a similar desire to move beyond the critical framework of modernist formalism, toward more active engagements with the reader. Indeed, the
As McCoy put it in her 1988 essay “Typography as Discourse”: “No longer are there one-way statements from designer . . . both the texts and the images are to be read in detail, their meanings decoded. Clearly this intellectualized communication asks a lot of its audience; this is harder work that the formal pleasures of New Wave.” (see note 36)

Indeed, if one considers how, despite these cross-disciplinary references to a shared historical and theoretical lineage, the critical collapse of modernism in art had not been to the same degree replicated in the field of typographic design, it seems obvious to propose that one has to look at such examples of 1960s art to gain an understanding of the full significance, both historically and theoretically, of this shift towards active typographic engagement.
What then seems also relevant is that examples of 1970s–1990s experimental typography are in fact similar in their scope to the kinds of interrogation undertaken by an artist like Vito Acconci. As such, it can be further proposed that the progression of text-based Conceptual Art into more extensive interrogations of the active contexts of publishing (as with the practices of those like Graham, Art & Language, and Smithson) provides a more expansive set of engagements that are useful as a point of reference for contemporary publishing practices like those of Dexter Sinister and The Serving Library or the artist Seth Price, which have even more overtly straddled the disciplines of art and typographic design (see Figure 22). Indeed, the desire to engage with such contemporary practices in both art and design contexts seems to point again to the need for this lineage to be understood and incorporated more rigorously within mainstream typographic discourse.

39 The work of Dexter Sinister began, for example, through the publication of a graphic design journal (Dot Dot Dot) and has evolved into a series of extended engagements with the activity of publishing, staged increasingly within art contexts such as the Whitney Biennial (New York, 2008) and the Museum of Modern Art’s exhibition “Ecstatic Alphabets/Heaps of Language” (New York, 2012). However, since their practice remains very much focused on typographic processes and acts of publishing, the work of Dexter Sinister (Bailey and Reinfurt) and The Serving Library (Bailey, Reinfurt and Keefer) remains popular with graphic design students and practitioners and they are often invited to participate in schools and events more conventionally associated with design than art.
particular type of post-Conceptual inter-disciplinary engagement that continues to interrogate how an active engagement with structure can indeed become the content of the work.