The WES Montpellier Consortium represented 34 national and international, medical and non-medical, organizations—from 51 invited organizations—all of those accepting the invitation then nominating their representatives from within their organization to the consortium—from a range of disciplines. We acknowledged that ‘a different group of international experts from those participating in this process would likely have yielded subtly different consensus statements’. There was a rigorous and systematic pre-meeting process designed to make the areas covered as comprehensive as possible, a 1-day consensus meeting and a post-meeting process (which included an online survey of consortium participants to refine the level of the consensus over our statements) that is clearly described in the methods section and the supplementary data link of the paper. We adopted *a priori* the GRADE system (Guyatt et al., 2008) to appraise evidence and the ACCEPt Group consensus grading system to ascribe the degree of consensus around each statement (Kroon et al., 2011). The different disciplines represented spanned five continents and included medical societies, fertility societies, endometriosis organizations representing women who suffer from endometriosis, as well as surgical societies (including the International Society for Gynaecologic Endoscopy, the American Association of Gynecologic Laparoscopists, the European Society for Gynaecological Endoscopy and the Australasian Gynaecological Endoscopy and Surgical Society). We consider that our methodology led to as robust and comprehensive a consensus process as possible and that our methodology sought to minimize bias (that can never be completely eliminated in any process); we leave this to the reader to judge.

It is healthy to debate the merits or otherwise of our more controversial statements and Koninckx et al. have mooted excellent opinions to challenge some of our statements. However, much evidence does point to endometriosis as being a disease with a high recurrence rate after surgical removal (10–55% at 12 months following surgery, with an endometriosis. Koninckx et al. have pinpointed the very areas where we feel that we need to ‘drill down’ in relation both to improving our evidence base through clinical research as well as debate of such evidence as exists to reach consensus in these areas of clinical care to optimize outcomes for all women with endometriosis. Particularly in relation to deep endometriosis, we welcome collaboration with a group who modestly describe themselves as a ‘club of deep endometriosis surgeons’, but who represent a strong opinion from the surgical perspective in our field.
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**Consensus on current management of endometriosis**

Sir,

We welcome the recent consensus statement from the World Endometriosis Society (WES) on the current management of endometriosis...
Letters to the Editor


Menakaya UA, Reid S, Infante F, Condous G. The ‘sliding sign’ in conjunction with sonovaginography: is this the optimal approach for the diagnosis of pouch of Douglas obliteration and posterior compartment deep infiltrating endometriosis? AJUM 2013;16:122–127.
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Firstly, evidence has evolved on the role of the transvaginal ultrasound scan in the preoperative management of women with extra-ovarian endometriosis (Dessole et al., 2003; Abrao et al., 2007; Hudeelist et al., 2011; Benacerraf and Groszmann, 2012). In particular, pattern recognition of the sonographic characteristics of deep infiltrating endometriosis (DIE) of the posterior pelvic compartment has been well described (Bazot et al., 2007). Furthermore, ultrasound techniques that assess the status of the pouch of Douglas (POD) have also been described (Reid et al., 2013). This is especially important as >60% of women with an obliterated POD will have evidence of bowel endometriosis (Khong et al., 2011). Indeed, a systematic preoperative ultrasonographic assessment of the pelvis has demonstrated a high detection rate and low false-positive rate in predicting POD obliteration and presence of midline DIE in women with high stage disease (Reid et al., 2011).

Preoperative ultrasound evaluation therefore provides a stepwise approach to the diagnosis of higher stage disease giving valuable preoperative information. It has the potential to facilitate the triaging of women to the appropriate network of expertise. And with the failure of laparoscopy to sometimes correctly estimate the extent of bowel endometriotic disease in the posterior compartment and incompletely excise ‘skip’ lesions, preoperative imaging enables the laparoscopic surgeon to plan the surgical procedure within the context of a multidisciplinary team approach.

Furthermore, we also believe that maximum cyto reduction at the first surgical procedure is best achieved with preoperative mapping of location and extent of disease using transvaginal ultrasound scan. This has the potential to avoid the need for a diagnostic laparoscopy (Menakaya et al., 2013), reduce patient exposure to anaesthesia and result in significant cost savings for the health system.

Secondly, transvaginal ultrasound scan is a low cost readily available non-invasive diagnostic tool that has been subjected to robust evaluation of its accuracy in predicting posterior compartment endometriotic disease (Abrao et al., 2007). In contrast to the serum biomarkers described in the consensus paper, transvaginal pelvic ultrasound is ready for immediate introduction into clinical practice even in low resource settings.

**Reply: Consensus on current management of endometriosis**

Sir,

We are grateful for the appreciation of our consensus statement on the current management of endometriosis (Johnson et al., 2013) from Menakaya and Condous and we believe they have raised valid points concerning imaging.

Our consensus process, and the resulting paper, was not designed to examine diagnostic techniques in detail. We are proposing a detailed consensus process to examine the diagnosis and classification of endometriosis to coincide with the 12th World Congress on Endometriosis in Sao Paulo, Brazil, in May 2014.

However, we acknowledge that there may be value in imaging techniques such as transvaginal ultrasound. Any diagnostic technique that accurately predicts the presence of a finding that would alter the type of surgery undertaken is valuable—the principle of ‘facilitation of triaging..."