



Recommendations for Practical Use of Metformin, a Central Pharmacological Therapy in Type 2 Diabetes

Inês H. Vieira,¹ Luísa M. Barros,¹ Carla F. Baptista,¹ Dírcea M. Rodrigues,^{1,2} and Isabel M. Paiva¹

Metformin is a biguanide derived from the plant *Galega officinalis*. Originally synthesized nearly 100 years ago in 1922 (1), it has been used in humans for more than 60 years (2). Interestingly, and despite its long history, the mechanism of action of metformin is not well understood (2). A decrease in hepatic neoglycogenesis has been the most frequently highlighted action (3,4). Metformin can influence AMP-activated protein kinase and the fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase pathway, leading to a decrease in the AMP/ATP ratio and reducing the energy available for neoglycogenesis (4). Recently, however, it has been suggested that its main mechanism of action resides in the gastrointestinal (GI) tract (5). Using delayed-release metformin that acts predominantly in the gut, Buse et al. (5) found that, despite lower bioavailability, this formulation had greater efficacy than immediate- and extended-release formulations. These findings support the idea that the distal intestine is responsible for most of metformin's glucose-lowering effect. Proposed mechanisms are an increment in intestinal glucose expenditure and an increase in the secretion of GI incretins (6), as metformin seems to enhance secretion of glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1) and peptide YY. In fact, metformin and dipeptidyl peptidase 4 (DPP-4) inhibitors may increase GLP-1 to a similar extent through different and complementary mechanisms (7).

When administered orally, metformin is absorbed mainly in the small intestine, with a bioavailability of

55 ± 16%. The drug is eliminated unchanged by the kidney, with an average half-life of 5 hours in individuals with normal renal function (8).

Metformin currently plays a central role in the treatment of type 2 diabetes and may also have benefits in other pathologies. Although it is generally considered to have a good safety profile, some precautions are essential for its correct use. This article reviews the advantages and applications of metformin, but its main focus is on the drug's adverse effects and on providing practical recommendations for its use.

Role of Metformin

Type 2 Diabetes

The importance of metformin in the treatment of type 2 diabetes is well established. In joint guidelines from the American Diabetes Association and the European Association for the Study of Diabetes (9), it is recommended as the first-line pharmacological therapy. The positioning of this drug within the treatment algorithm is not the result of chance, but rather of the numerous advantages attributed to it. Its long history of use translates into vast experience, greater safety, and lower cost (2,10).

Metformin has considerable efficacy in reducing A1C (by ~1.12% as monotherapy and 0.95% when added to other drugs) (11). The UK Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS) documented its beneficial effects on glycemic control, which were similar to those obtained in groups treated with a sulfonylurea or insulin (12,13). However, metformin monotherapy in patients with type 2 diabetes and overweight/obesity yielded a greater reduction in all-cause mortality and other diabetes-related end points with less hypoglycemia and without inducing weight gain (13). In two randomized controlled trials (RCTs), DeFronzo and Goodman (14) verified that metformin, both as monotherapy or in combination with a sulfonylurea, had beneficial effects on both glycemic control and the lipid profile. Furthermore, a meta-analysis of studies performed between 1957 and 1994 revealed that metformin reduces fasting plasma glucose (FPG) and A1C to a similar extent as sulfonylureas and with a 5% net weight loss (15). Several trials have also shown glycemic benefits from

¹Coimbra Hospital and University Centre, Coimbra, Portugal; ²University of Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal

Corresponding author: Inês H. Vieira, nafnici@gmail.com

<https://doi.org/10.2337/cd21-0043>

©2022 by the American Diabetes Association. Readers may use this article as long as the work is properly cited, the use is educational and not for profit, and the work is not altered. More information is available at <https://diabetesjournals.org/journals/pages/license>.

PRACTICAL POINTERS

combination therapy with metformin and pioglitazone (16–18), DPP-4 inhibitors (19–22), GLP-1 receptor agonists (21,23,24) and sodium–glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors (25–29).

A meta-analysis comparing metformin with this wider range of noninsulin antidiabetic agents found similar efficacy on glycemic control for all classes in monotherapy and in combination with metformin, except for DPP-4 inhibitors, which was less effective (30).

However, a Cochrane systematic review of metformin as monotherapy (31) found no high-quality RCTs to evaluate data on cardiovascular outcomes, all-cause mortality, or severe adverse effects (31).

In the metformin arm in UKPDS, there was a 30% lower risk of macrovascular complications compared with the group treated exclusively with diet (13), which was confirmed with data from the post-trial follow-up at 10 years (32). Cardiovascular benefit was supported by other large studies (33,34). A meta-analysis by Griffin S et al. (11), showed favorable results for metformin in all cardiovascular end points except for stroke, however these results were not statistically significant. Two subsequent, larger meta-analyses showed statistically significant reductions in the risks of cardiovascular and all-cause death (35) and a reduction in the risk of cardiovascular events and cardiovascular death (36). An assessment of the role of metformin in reducing these complications, similar to the cardiovascular outcomes trials carried out with more recently introduced drugs, is unlikely with such a well-established drug.

Cognitive decline and dementia are also frequently associated with diabetes (37), and a possible protective role for metformin in this regard has been proposed. Data obtained to date are inconsistent (38). A Cochrane systematic review (39) found no good evidence that any specific treatment strategy for type 2 diabetes prevents or delays cognitive decline. Nevertheless, two subsequent meta-analyses (40,41) support the existence of a neuroprotective role for metformin. Animal studies also indicate a possible benefit of metformin on peripheral neuropathy—namely, reduction of neuropathic symptoms, protection against ethanol-induced neuronal apoptosis, and increased neurogenesis (42).

Diabetes is also accompanied by an increased risk of fractures (37), and metformin has the theoretical potential to have bone benefits (43,44). However, the studies that analyzed this possibility yielded contradictory results, and a neutral effect of the drug on bone health is likely (45).

The dosing of metformin for maximum benefit has been evaluated in a few studies. With doses ranging from 500 to 3,000 mg, there seems to be a dose-dependent effect on glycemic control, mainly on FPG (46,47). Cardiovascular benefit may be associated, in part, with regulation of the lipid profile, thus having an anti-atherosclerotic effect (35,46,48). Regulation of fibrinolysis through decreases in plasminogen activator inhibitor may also have a role (13). A study comparing dosages of 1,500 and 3,000 mg and placebo found a decrease in plasma triglycerides and cholesterol of a similar magnitude in both metformin groups that only reached statistical significance in the group taking the higher metformin dose. Both dosages had similar and statistically significant effects on tests of fibrinolysis, with a trend toward enhanced global fibrinolysis (46). Additional data, however, suggest that a beneficial effect on plasma triglycerides is observed only with dosages >1,700 mg/dL, suggesting the higher dosages may be necessary to affect the lipid profile and attain an antiatherosclerotic effect (48).

Other Indications and Potential Benefits

Additional indications for the use of metformin have been proposed. It is an option in prediabetes to prevent progression to type 2 diabetes, especially in patients with a BMI ≥ 35 kg/m², those <60 years of age, and women with a history of gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM). In the Diabetes Prevention Program (DPP) and its follow-up Diabetes Prevention Program Outcomes Study (DPPOS), a dosage of 850 mg twice daily was used for this purpose. More recently, in a 15-year analysis of these studies, it was found that patients with higher A1C, higher FPG, or prior GDM benefited the most (49).

In individuals with type 1 diabetes and overweight/obesity, metformin may have an adjuvant role in reducing insulin resistance (50). Several studies have been performed using doses between 1,000 and 2,000 mg, and its use seems to be associated with reduced insulin requirements and less weight gain, with little effect on A1C (51,52). The results of the REMOVAL (Reducing With Metformin Vascular Adverse Lesions in Type 1 Diabetes) trial of metformin 1,000 mg twice daily corroborate that there is little effect on glycemic control, but suggest a reduction in cardiovascular risk factors (i.e., weight, LDL cholesterol, insulin dose per kilogram of body weight, and progression of atherosclerosis) (53).

The use of metformin in GDM and type 2 diabetes during pregnancy has been studied; however, there are

some doubts regarding its effects on offspring (54,55), especially with regard to metabolic effects and body composition (55). Regarding type 2 diabetes in pregnancy, results of the multicenter RCT MiTy (Metformin in Women with Type 2 Diabetes in Pregnancy) suggest that the use of metformin 1,000 mg twice daily in addition to insulin is associated with better maternal metabolic control, reduced insulin requirements, less maternal weight gain, and fewer large-for-gestational-age newborns. There was, however, a greater proportion of small-for-gestational-age babies born to women in the metformin group (56). The SUGAR-DIP (Oral Medication Strategy Versus Insulin for Diabetes in Pregnancy) trial is currently underway and aims to provide more data about the use of oral antidiabetic agents in GDM (57).

Metformin also finds utility as a therapy for the metabolic changes and menstrual irregularities associated with polycystic ovary syndrome, at a dose of 850 mg twice daily in most studies (58–61). Its use was also considered in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (62); however, there is evidence of limited efficacy in improving liver histology, and it is therefore not recommended in current guidelines (63). Additional benefits have been proposed, including a reduction in the incidence and mortality of neoplastic disease (38,64–66), an anti-aging effect (38,67), and modification of the intestinal microbiota and immunomodulation (68). Regarding the latter, and taking into account the current pandemic context, it should be noted that the immunomodulatory role of this drug may suppress the inflammatory response responsible for severe disease caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2. It has also been suggested that metformin can directly inhibit infection by interfering with the interaction between viral and human proteins, and, in animal studies, it appears to improve varying degrees of lung injury (69). However, in view of the increased risk of lactic acidosis (LA) in the context of acute illness (discussed further below), its use in individuals with severe disease may be limited (70).

Proposals for new applications and benefits of this drug are numerous. Table 1 summarizes the most frequently cited of these.

Potential Adverse Effects

Adverse effects of metformin are common, but mostly not serious, with GI intolerance being the most frequently described, occurring in >20–30% (71). Diarrhea is especially common, with reported incidences

TABLE 1 Possible Applications for Metformin

- First-line pharmacological therapy for type 2 diabetes
 - Low cost, long experience, significant efficacy, safety
 - Possible cardio- and neuroprotective effects
- Prevention of type 2 diabetes
 - Mainly in those with BMI ≥ 35 kg/m², age <60 years, or previous GDM
- Adjuvant therapy in type 1 diabetes
 - Reduced need for insulin and reduced weight gain
- GDM therapy
 - Doubts regarding effects on offspring
- Polycystic ovary syndrome
 - Improvement in metabolic changes and menstrual irregularities
- Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease
 - Not recommended in current guidelines
- Neoplastic disease
- Improving aging outcomes
- Modification of the intestinal microbiota
- Immunomodulatory role

varying from 20 to 60%. Nausea, flatulence, dyspepsia, vomiting, and abdominal discomfort are also frequently reported. These side effects may lead to nonadherence in a significant proportion of affected patients (72).

Vitamin B12 deficiency is also a possible side effect of metformin, likely because of malabsorption. Several mechanisms have been proposed, including alteration of motility in the small intestine, leading to bacterial overgrowth; changes of membrane potential, leading to calcium channel blockage with impairment of calcium-dependent absorption of intrinsic factor (73); competitive inhibition of B12 absorption; and alterations of cubulin or intrinsic factor (74). The main consequences of this deficiency are hematological (megaloblastic anemia) and neurological (irritability; impairment of reflexes, cognition, gait, olfaction, proprioception, and vibratory sense; dementia-like disease; and peripheral neuropathy). Hyperpigmentation, jaundice, vitiligo, and glossitis may also emerge (75). In people with diabetes, B12 deficiency may contribute to exacerbation of diabetic peripheral neuropathy and, if left untreated, may contribute to permanent nerve damage (76).

In an analysis of the DPP/DPPOS (77), there was a 13% increase in the risk of B12 deficiency for each year of metformin use. Increased levels of homocysteine, suggesting deficiency at the tissue level, and a higher prevalence of anemia and neuropathy were also detected. In a recent meta-analysis (78), although metformin use was associated with a decrease in B12 concentrations, no significant association with anemia or neuropathy was found. The authors argued, however, that an impact in hemoglobin may take longer to occur than

PRACTICAL POINTERS

the follow-up period in the studies included in the meta-analysis. Different tools to diagnose neuropathy may have prevented the detection of a significant effect, and the possibility of the neuroprotective effects of metformin balancing the negative effects of B12 deficiency was also raised (78).

Metformin may be associated with a precocious decrease in hemoglobin without an increase in mean corpuscular volume and therefore not explained by B12 deficiency (79).

Metformin-associated lactic acidosis (MALA) is the most feared complication. Experience with other biguanides such as phenformin and buformin contributed to this concern, as LA was much more frequent with these drugs, which were ultimately withdrawn from the market (80,81), than with metformin. However, this concern persists, and it is important to recognize who may be truly more susceptible to this complication.

Lactate levels result from a balance between lactate production and elimination (82). In glycolysis, glucose generates pyruvate that can be transported into the mitochondria and undergo oxidative phosphorylation or be converted into lactate in the cytosol (83). Metformin inhibits the mitochondrial respiratory chain, with the potential to increase lactate genesis. Because the drug is eliminated unchanged by the kidney (84), theoretically, an accumulation would be expected in the setting of impaired renal function. Other factors can contribute, including hypoxemia, sepsis, shock, alcohol abuse, liver failure, radiological contrast, and ischemic events (85).

Until 2016, metformin was contraindicated for individuals with creatinine levels >1.4 mg/dL in women and 1.5 mg/dL in men. The use of this drug was rarely recommended for patients with a glomerular filtration rate (GFR) <60 mL/min/1.73 m² (2).

However, the relationship between metformin levels and renal failure is uncertain (86). There seems to be a substantial reduction ($\sim 75\%$) in the clearance of this drug for GFRs <60 mL/min/1.73 m², with little increase up to GFRs of 30 mL/min/1.73 m², but the drug's blood levels generally do not reach those observed in the MALA (87). When metformin was dosed in patients with LA, normal or elevated serum levels were reported, with no correlation with the degree of LA (88). This finding legitimizes the question of whether metformin is the cause of LA or if it simply coexists with this complication.

Several studies have demonstrated metformin's safety for $30\text{--}60$ mL/min/1.73 m² without increased incidence of LA (34,89,90). In a systematic review, Inzucchi et al. (88) concluded that the incidence of MALA varies from 3 to 10 per 100,000 person-years and is generally indistinguishable from the rate in the general population, especially when patients with a GFR <30 mL/min/1.73 m² are excluded. Although it is clear that the rate of LA is much lower with metformin than with phenformin, the actual incidence of MALA is extremely hard to define, as it occurs in the context of triggering factors, making it difficult to determine in which cases the drug is one of the contributors to the lactate elevation (81).

In 2016, because of the accumulation of data such as those mentioned above, first the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) (91) and later the European Medicines Agency (EMA) (92) extended the use of metformin in individuals with a GFR >30 mL/min/1.73 m². For those with a GFR <30 mL/min/1.73 m², an increased risk of MALA continues to be described (93,94).

Because the liver is responsible for most lactate elimination, there is also the possibility that the use of metformin is not safe in the setting of chronic liver disease (95), and the drug is often discontinued in cirrhotic individuals (96). Conversely, in a retrospective evaluation of 250 individuals diagnosed with cirrhosis, continuation of metformin was associated with a 57% reduction in the risk of death, which was limited to those with nonalcoholic steatohepatitis-related cirrhosis (96). In a comparison of different therapies for diabetes in 100 individuals with cirrhosis caused by hepatitis C virus, metformin therapy was associated with a lower occurrence of hepatocellular carcinoma and death associated with liver disease (97). More recently, Smith et al. (95) found that both metformin and lactate levels remained in the safe range (<5 mg/L and 5 mmol/L, respectively) in a group of individuals with chronic liver disease, suggesting the safety of metformin therapy in this group of patients (95). These studies, however, make no specific mention of the use of metformin in patients who maintain excessive alcohol consumption.

Crowley et al. (98) performed a meta-analysis on the use of metformin in settings in which caution was traditionally recommended. When compared with the alternatives, metformin's use in the setting of chronic kidney disease (CKD) was associated with 22% lower mortality and less hypoglycemia. In patients with heart failure,

20% less mortality and a 13% reduction in heart failure–related readmissions were found. Available data on chronic liver failure did not allow the performance of meta-analysis; however, a tendency toward lower mortality was observed in the included studies (98).

Hypoglycemia is one of the adverse effects most feared by people with diabetes. By not directly stimulating insulin secretion, metformin has a low risk of hypoglycemia. Nevertheless, it can occur in the context of intense physical activity or fasting (99).

Other adverse reactions include weakness, myalgia, chest discomfort, palpitation, flushing, headache, dizziness, dyspnea, and flu-like syndrome. Skin side effects have also been reported, including leukocytoclastic vasculitis, bullous pemphigoid, psoriasiform rash, lichen planus, and acute alopecia (100). The adverse effects of metformin are summarized in Table 2.

Precautions With the Use of Metformin

Prevention of GI Adverse Effects

Adverse GI effects of metformin may be lessened with extended-release formulations. Another good way to promote tolerance to the drug is a progressive introduction with low initial doses, increased progressively over the course of weeks to months (72). One possible regimen is to start with 500 or 850 mg and increase by 500–850 mg every 7 days (101). Taking metformin with meals also seems to reduce discomfort (102). Greenway et al. (103) reported a case in which using the drug in combination with prebiotics seemed to improve its tolerability.

Prevention of Vitamin B12 Deficiency and Its Consequences

Regarding the possible development of vitamin B12 deficiency, regular monitoring of blood count and measurement of this micronutrient is suggested, especially

in the presence of symptoms or signs such as anemia or symptoms of neuropathy (77). The Kidney Diseases Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) guidelines (101) recommend annual monitoring of this micronutrient in patients on metformin for >4 years or those with risk factors for the development of B12 deficiency (i.e., malabsorption syndrome or low dietary intake).

Several studies suggest that multivitamin supplementation may be associated with a lower incidence of vitamin B12 deficiency, but this strategy remains controversial (76). When B12 deficiency is identified, supplementation will be necessary. Yang et al. (78) suggest prophylactic B12 prescription for levels between 150 and 300 pmol/L, especially in patients with poor glycemic control in whom metformin's neuroprotective action may be compromised (78).

Avoidance of MALA

The possibility of MALA is the main concern with metformin use. Given the importance of renal function to ensure the safety of metformin, it is recommended to evaluate this parameter before starting the drug. For individuals with a GFR >60 mL/min/1.73 m², the safety of metformin use has long been established, and dosages up to 2,550 mg (according to FDA [104]) or up to 3,000 mg (according to the EMA [105]) may be used. However, the need to monitor renal function at least annually is emphasized.

As mentioned above, for GFRs ranging from <60 to 30 mL/min/1.73 m², the use of metformin is now considered appropriate. However, some adjustments are needed, and recommendations have only recently emerged that establish how to use it safely in this setting. In 2018, Lalau et al. (106) carried out a study to define a safe dose for each stage of CKD. The authors suggested a maximum dose of 1,500 mg/day for individuals with a GFR of 45–60 mL/min/1.73 m² and 1,000 mg/day for those with a GFR of 30–45 mL/min/1.73 m². Although

TABLE 2 Adverse Effects of Metformin

Adverse Effect	Description
GI effects	Diarrhea, nausea, flatulence, dyspepsia, vomiting, and abdominal discomfort; these are the most frequent adverse effects and lead to discontinuation of the drug in a significant percentage of patients
Cutaneous effects	Leukocytoclastic vasculitis, bullous pemphigoid, psoriasiform rash, lichen planus, and acute alopecia
Vitamin B12 deficiency	May be accompanied by consequent anemia and neuropathy
MALA	Risk increased in patients with GFRs <30 mL/min/1.73 m ² (in context of a chronic kidney dysfunction or AKI; a serious and potentially fatal adverse effect)
Hypoglycemia	Low risk, but it may occur in the context of strenuous physical exercise or fasting
Other effects	Weakness, myalgia, chest discomfort, palpitation, flushing, headache, dizziness, dyspnea, and flu-like syndrome

PRACTICAL POINTERS

the data obtained suggested that the use of 500 mg/day for patients with a GFR of 15–30 mL/min/1.73 m² might be safe, the number of patients with this GFR did not allow the authors to safely conclude so, and the authors reiterated the contraindication for those with a GFR <30 mL/min/1.73 m². Given the greater plasmatic accumulation in these stages of kidney dysfunction, the authors suggested that there is no decrease in efficacy with dose reduction.

In 2019, the KDIGO recommendations brought a slightly more permissive adjustment strategy. For individuals with a GFR of 45–59 mL/min/1.73 m², dose adjustment should be considered only in the presence of conditions that predispose to hypoperfusion and hypoxemia. The maximum dose should be halved for those with a GFR of 30–45 mL/min/1.73 m². Treatment must be stopped when the GFR decreases to <30 mL/min/1.73 m² or when dialysis is started (101).

In addition to adjusting for the various levels of GFR, it is essential to recognize other situations in which the use of metformin may carry an increased risk.

In the context of acute illness, there is often dehydration, and consequent worsening of renal function may occur. Older age, CKD, heart failure, and diabetes itself are known risk factors for acute kidney injury (AKI) (107). Thus, particularly in patients susceptible to the development of AKI, drug suspension is recommended in the setting of acute disease. Lalau et al. (106) suggest that the lactate concentration may be useful in this decision; a value >2.5 mmol/L should be repeated soon, and, if maintained, the drug should be suspended, whereas a value >5 mmol/L should lead to the immediate suspension of the drug. Importantly, given the potential worsening of metabolic control from both acute illness and drug suspension, more frequent monitoring is needed, and consideration should be given to introducing or increasing insulin therapy in these instances.

In patients hospitalized with acute illness, insulin is the treatment of choice, with the risk of LA being an especially important obstacle to the use of metformin in this context (108). The drug may be reintroduced during preparation for discharge if renal function is optimized and stable.

Exams using iodinated contrast represent an additional challenge. When extending the use of metformin to individuals with lower GFRs, the FDA suggested discontinuing the drug at the time of or before such exams in

all of the following situations: GFR of 30–60 mL/min/1.73 m²; history of liver disease, alcoholism, or heart failure; or intra-arterial iodinated contrast. Metformin could be restarted 48 hours after the procedure only if the patient has stable renal function (91). More recently, the European Society of Urogenital Radiology has issued recommendations for an absolute need to discontinue metformin and reassess kidney function only in patients with an GFR <30 mL/min/1.73 m², with AKI, or for any test in which the contrast has first renal passage (109). The joint recommendations of the American College of Radiology and the National Kidney Foundation also indicate the absolute need to suspend the drug for those with a GFR <30 mL/min/1.73 m² or AKI. For those with a GFR of 30–60 mL/min/1.73 m², the decision to temporarily interrupt the drug should be individualized (110).

Surgical procedures can also be associated with worsening renal function (111). The ADA recommends that metformin be discontinued on the day of surgery (112). The analytical control usually performed postoperatively will allow the detection of situations in which immediate reintroduction is not advised.

Table 3 summarizes important recommendations for the use of metformin.

Conclusion

Metformin is a drug of unquestionable importance in the treatment of type 2 diabetes. However, some precautions are necessary for its safe use. The progressive introduction of the drug and use of different formulations may promote GI tolerance in a larger group of patients. Periodic monitoring of the blood count and B12 levels allows early detection of deficiency of this micronutrient and prevents the onset of its consequences.

MALA is the most serious complication associated with the use of metformin; however, the risk seems more limited than initially assumed. Of utmost importance is the periodic surveillance of renal function and the adjustment of the drug dose accordingly. Some situations (e.g., acute illness, iodinated contrast exams, and surgical procedures) may predispose to a worsening of renal function and indicate the need to suspend the drug and/or perform early monitoring of renal function.

DUALITY OF INTEREST

No potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article were reported.

TABLE 3 Practical Recommendations for the Use of Metformin*When starting metformin*

- Evaluate GFR before starting the drug
 - Check that there is no contraindication to its use
 - Adjust the maximum target dose to patient's GFR (see below)
- Assess the patient's accessibility to health care and compliance with the monitoring required for the use of the drug
- Introduce progressively, starting with a low dose (500–850 mg) and increasing every 7 days until the target dose is reached

While taking with metformin

- Monitor patient's renal function and adjust the dosage accordingly, as follows:
 - For GFR >60 mL/min/1.73 m²
 - Maximum dosage: 2,550–3,000 mg/day (per FDA and EMA, respectively)
 - Monitor kidney function at least annually
 - For GFR 45–60 mL/min/1.73 m²
 - Recommended maximum dose: 1,500 mg/day (dosages up to 2,000 mg/dL may be considered in patients without other comorbidities and good adherence to monitoring)
 - Assess renal function every 3–6 months
 - For GFR 30–45 mL/min/1.73 m²
 - Reduced maximum dose: 1,000 mg/day
 - Assess renal function every 3–6 months
 - Evaluate periodically the risk-benefit ratio of maintaining or starting the drug in patients with significant comorbidities, risk of sudden worsening of renal function, poor access to health care, or difficulty monitoring renal function as required
 - For GFR <30 mL/min/1.73 m²
 - Contraindicated
- Monitor for vitamin B12 deficiency
 - Monitor blood count periodically
 - Consider monitoring vitamin B12 annually if ≥4 years on metformin and/or additional risk factors for deficiency
 - Evaluate vitamin B12 earlier if there are changes in complete blood count or symptoms of neuropathy
- Monitor for possible side effects

In special situations

- Acute illness
 - Monitor renal function and consider discontinuing metformin, especially if severe acute illness and/or risk factors for the development of AKI exist
 - Monitor blood glucose levels and assess the need for the introduction or adjustment of insulin therapy
- Contrast-enhanced imaging
 - Assess renal function if there is no recent evaluation and/or risk of recent worsening
 - Suspend drug if GFR is <30 mL/min/1.73 m² and/or AKI exists and/or for any exam with first renal passage of the contrast
 - Make a case-by-case decision on the need for suspension of metformin for GFR of 30–60 mL/min/1.73 m² in the absence of other risk factors for worsening renal function
 - If the drug needs to be discontinued, assess renal function within 48 hours
- Surgery
 - Discontinue on the day of surgery
 - Evaluate the presence of contraindications to subsequent reintroduction

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

I.H.V. researched the data and wrote the manuscript. L.M.B., C.F.B., D.M.R., and I.M.P. reviewed/edited the manuscript. I.H.V. is the guarantor of this work and, as such, had full access to all the data reported and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

REFERENCES

1. Thomas I, Gregg B. Metformin; a review of its history and future: from lilac to longevity. *Pediatr Diabetes* 2017;18:10–16
2. Sanchez-Rangel E, Inzucchi SE. Metformin: clinical use in type 2 diabetes. *Diabetologia* 2017;60:1586–1593
3. Cusi K, Consoli A, DeFronzo RA. Metabolic effects of metformin on glucose and lactate metabolism in noninsulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 1996;81:4059–4067
4. Foretz M, Guigas B, Bertrand L, Pollak M, Viollet B. Metformin: from mechanisms of action to therapies. *Cell Metab* 2014;20:953–966
5. Buse JB, DeFronzo RA, Rosenstock J, et al. The primary glucose-lowering effect of metformin resides in the gut, not the circulation: results from short-term pharmacokinetic and 12-week dose-ranging studies. *Diabetes Care* 2016;39:198–205
6. Rena G, Hardie DG, Pearson ER. The mechanisms of action of metformin. *Diabetologia* 2017;60:1577–1585
7. Migoya EM, Bergeron R, Miller JL, et al. Dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors administered in combination with metformin result in an additive increase in the plasma concentration of active GLP-1. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 2010;88:801–808

8. Graham GG, Punt J, Arora M, et al. Clinical pharmacokinetics of metformin. *Clin Pharmacokinet* 2011;50:81–98
9. Buse JB, Wexler DJ, Tsapas A, et al. 2019 Update to: Management of hyperglycemia in type 2 diabetes, 2018: a consensus report by the American Diabetes Association (ADA) and the European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD). *Diabetes Care* 2020;43:487–493
10. Inzucchi SE. Is it time to change the type 2 diabetes treatment paradigm? No! Metformin should remain the foundation therapy for type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2017;40:1128–1132
11. Griffin SJ, Leaver JK, Irving GJ. Impact of metformin on cardiovascular disease: a meta-analysis of randomised trials among people with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetologia* 2017;60:1620–1629
12. United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study Group. United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study 24: a 6-year, randomized, controlled trial comparing sulfonylurea, insulin, and metformin therapy in patients with newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes that could not be controlled with diet therapy. *Ann Intern Med* 1998;128:165–175
13. UK Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS) Group. Effect of intensive blood-glucose control with metformin on complications in overweight patients with type 2 diabetes (UKPDS 34). *Lancet* 1998;352:854–865
14. DeFronzo RA, Goodman AM. Efficacy of metformin in patients with non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus: the Multicenter Metformin Study Group.
15. Campbell IW, Howlett HC. Worldwide experience of metformin as an effective glucose-lowering agent: a meta-analysis. *Diabetes Metab Rev* 1995;11(Suppl. 1):S57–S62
16. Inzucchi SE, Maggs DG, Spollett GR, et al. Efficacy and metabolic effects of metformin and troglitazone in type II diabetes mellitus. *N Engl J Med* 1998;338:867–872
17. Fonseca V, Rosenstock J, Patwardhan R, Salzman A. Effect of metformin and rosiglitazone combination therapy in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 2000;283:1695–1702
18. Einhorn D, Rendell M, Rosenzweig J, Egan JW, Mathisen AL, Schneider RL. Pioglitazone hydrochloride in combination with metformin in the treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus: a randomized, placebo-controlled study: the Pioglitazone 027 Study Group. *Clin Ther* 2000;22:1395–1409
19. Scott R, Loeys T, Davies MJ; Sitagliptin Study 801 Group. Efficacy and safety of sitagliptin when added to ongoing metformin therapy in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Obes Metab* 2008;10:959–969
20. Guerci B, Monnier L, Serusclat P, et al. Continuous glucose profiles with vildagliptin versus sitagliptin in add-on to metformin: results from the randomized Optima study. *Diabetes Metab* 2012;38:359–366
21. Deacon CF, Mannucci E, Ahrén B. Glycaemic efficacy of glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists and dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors as add-on therapy to metformin in subjects with type 2 diabetes: a review and meta analysis. *Diabetes Obes Metab* 2012;14:762–767
22. Shelbaya S, Rakha S. Effectiveness and safety of vildagliptin and vildagliptin add-on to metformin in real-world settings in Egypt: results from the GUARD study. *Curr Med Res Opin* 2017;33:797–801
23. Li M, Yang Y, Jiang D, Ying M, Wang Y, Zhao R. Efficacy and safety of liraglutide versus sitagliptin both in combination with metformin in patients with type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2017;96:e8161
24. Inoue H, Tamaki Y, Kashihara Y, et al. Efficacy of DPP-4 inhibitors, GLP-1 analogues, and SGLT2 inhibitors as add-ons to metformin monotherapy in T2DM patients: a model-based meta-analysis. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 2019;85:393–402
25. McNeill AM, Davies G, Kruger E, et al. Ertugliflozin compared to other anti-hyperglycemic agents as monotherapy and add-on therapy in type 2 diabetes: a systematic literature review and network meta-analysis. *Diabetes Ther* 2019;10:473–491
26. Molugulu N, Yee LS, Ye YT, et al. Systematic review of metformin monotherapy and dual therapy with sodium glucose co-transporter 2 inhibitor (SGLT-2) in treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2017;132:157–168
27. Rosenstock J, Chuck L, González-Ortiz M, et al. Initial combination therapy with canagliflozin plus metformin versus each component as monotherapy for drug-naive type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2016;39:353–362
28. Bailey CJ, Gross JL, Pieters A, Bastien A, List JF. Effect of dapagliflozin in patients with type 2 diabetes who have inadequate glycaemic control with metformin: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet* 2010;375:2223–2233
29. Søfteland E, Meier JJ, Vangen B, Toorawa R, Maldonado-Lutomirsky M, Broedl UC. Empagliflozin as add-on therapy in patients with type 2 diabetes inadequately controlled with linagliptin and metformin: a 24-week randomized, double-blind, parallel-group trial. *Diabetes Care* 2017;40:201–209
30. Maruthur NM, Tseng E, Hutfless S, et al. Diabetes medications as monotherapy or metformin-based combination therapy for type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann Intern Med* 2016;164:740–751
31. Gnesin F, Thuesen ACB, Kähler LKA, Madsbad S, Hemmingsen B. Metformin monotherapy for adults with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2020;6:CD012906
32. Holman RR, Paul SK, Bethel MA, Matthews DR, Neil HAW. 10-Year follow-up of intensive glucose control in type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med* 2008;359:1577–1589
33. Johnson JA, Majumdar SR, Simpson SH, Toth EL. Decreased mortality associated with the use of metformin compared with sulfonylurea monotherapy in type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2002;25:2244–2248

34. Ekström N, Schiöler L, Svensson A-M, et al. Effectiveness and safety of metformin in 51 675 patients with type 2 diabetes and different levels of renal function: a cohort study from the Swedish National Diabetes Register. *BMJ Open* 2012;2:e001076
35. Han Y, Xie H, Liu Y, Gao P, Yang X, Shen Z. Effect of metformin on all-cause and cardiovascular mortality in patients with coronary artery diseases: a systematic review and an updated meta-analysis. *Cardiovasc Diabetol* 2019;18:96
36. Zhang K, Yang W, Dai H, Deng Z. Cardiovascular risk following metformin treatment in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: results from meta-analysis. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2020;160:108001
37. American Diabetes Association. 4. Comprehensive medical evaluation and assessment of comorbidities: *Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes—2021*. *Diabetes Care* 2021;44(Suppl. 1):S40–S52
38. Wang Y-W, He S-J, Feng X, et al. Metformin: a review of its potential indications. *Drug Des Devel Ther* 2017;11:2421–2429
39. Areosa Sastre A, Vernooij RW, González-Colaço Harmand M, Martínez G. Effect of the treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus on the development of cognitive impairment and dementia. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2017;6:CD003804
40. Campbell JM, Stephenson MD, de Courten B, Chapman I, Bellman SM, Aromataris E. Metformin use associated with reduced risk of dementia in patients with diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Alzheimers Dis* 2018;65:1225–1236
41. Zhou J-B, Tang X, Han M, Yang J, Simó R. Impact of antidiabetic agents on dementia risk: a Bayesian network meta-analysis. *Metabolism* 2020;109:154265
42. Ahmed MA, Muntingh GL, Rheeder P. Perspectives on peripheral neuropathy as a consequence of metformin-induced vitamin B12 deficiency in T2DM. *Int J Endocrinol* 2017;2017:2452853
43. Lecka-Czernik B. Diabetes, bone and glucose-lowering agents: basic biology. *Diabetologia* 2017;60:1163–1169
44. Bahrambeigi S, Yousefi B, Rahimi M, Shafiei-Irannejad V. Metformin; an old antidiabetic drug with new potentials in bone disorders. *Biomed Pharmacother* 2019;109:1593–1601
45. Al-Mashhadi Z, Viggers R, Fuglsang-Nielsen R, et al. Glucose-lowering drugs and fracture risk: a systematic review. *Curr Osteoporos Rep* 2020;18:737–758
46. Grant PJ. The effects of high- and medium-dose metformin therapy on cardiovascular risk factors in patients with type II diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 1996;19:64–66
47. Scarpello JH. Review: optimal dosing strategies for maximising the clinical response to metformin in type 2 diabetes. *Br J Diabetes Vasc Dis* 2001;1:28–36
48. Luo F, Das A, Chen J, Wu P, Li X, Fang Z. Metformin in patients with and without diabetes: a paradigm shift in cardiovascular disease management. *Cardiovasc Diabetol* 2019;18:54
49. Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group. Long-term effects of metformin on diabetes prevention: identification of subgroups that benefited most in the Diabetes Prevention Program and Diabetes Prevention Program Outcomes Study. *Diabetes Care* 2019;42:601–608
50. Cree-Green M, Bergman BC, Cengiz E, et al. Metformin improves peripheral insulin sensitivity in youth with type 1 diabetes. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2019;104:3265–3278
51. Al Khalifah RA, Alnhdi A, Alghar H, Alanazi M, Florez ID. The effect of adding metformin to insulin therapy for type 1 diabetes mellitus children: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Pediatr Diabetes* 2017;18:664–673
52. Livingstone R, Boyle JG; REMOVAL Study Team. A new perspective on metformin therapy in type 1 diabetes. *Diabetologia* 2017;60:1594–1600
53. Petrie JR, Chaturvedi N, Ford I, et al.; REMOVAL Study Group. Cardiovascular and metabolic effects of metformin in patients with type 1 diabetes (REMOVAL): a double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol* 2017;5:597–609
54. American Diabetes Association. 14. Management of diabetes in pregnancy: *Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes—2021*. *Diabetes Care* 2021;44(Suppl. 1):S200–S210
55. Lindsay RS, Loeken MR. Metformin use in pregnancy: promises and uncertainties. *Diabetologia* 2017;60:1612–1619
56. Feig DS, Donovan LE, Zinman B, et al.; MiTy Collaborative Group. Metformin in women with type 2 diabetes in pregnancy (MiTy): a multicentre, international, randomised, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol* 2020;8:834–844
57. de Wit L, Rademaker D, Voormolen DN, et al. SUGAR-DIP trial: oral medication strategy versus insulin for diabetes in pregnancy, study protocol for a multicentre, open-label, non-inferiority, randomised controlled trial. *BMJ Open* 2019;9:e029808
58. Legro RS, Arslanian SA, Ehrmann DA, et al.; Endocrine Society. Diagnosis and treatment of polycystic ovary syndrome: an Endocrine Society clinical practice guideline. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2013;98:4565–4592
59. Barthelmess EK, Naz RK. Polycystic ovary syndrome: current status and future perspective. *Front Biosci (Elite Ed)* 2014;6:104–119
60. Sam S, Ehrmann DA. Metformin therapy for the reproductive and metabolic consequences of polycystic ovary syndrome. *Diabetologia* 2017;60:1656–1661
61. Mathur R, Alexander CJ, Yano J, Trivax B, Azziz R. Use of metformin in polycystic ovary syndrome. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2008;199:596–609
62. Smith BK, Marcinko K, Desjardins EM, Lally JS, Ford RJ, Steinberg GR. Treatment of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: role of AMPK. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* 2016;311:E730–E740

63. Leoni S, Tovoli F, Napoli L, Serio I, Ferri S, Bolondi L. Current guidelines for the management of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: a systematic review with comparative analysis. *World J Gastroenterol* 2018;24:3361–3373
64. Andújar-Plata P, Pi-Sunyer X, Laferrère B. Metformin effects revisited. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2012;95:1–9
65. Morales DR, Morris AD. Metformin in cancer treatment and prevention. *Annu Rev Med* 2015;66:17–29
66. Heckman-Stoddard BM, DeCensi A, Sahasrabudde VV, Ford LG. Repurposing metformin for the prevention of cancer and cancer recurrence. *Diabetologia* 2017;60:1639–1647
67. Valencia WM, Palacio A, Tamariz L, Florez H. Metformin and ageing: improving ageing outcomes beyond glycaemic control. *Diabetologia* 2017;60:1630–1638
68. Pollak M. The effects of metformin on gut microbiota and the immune system as research frontiers. *Diabetologia* 2017;60:1662–1667
69. Chen X, Guo H, Qiu L, Zhang C, Deng Q, Leng Q. Immunomodulatory and antiviral activity of metformin and its potential implications in treating coronavirus disease 2019 and lung injury. *Front Immunol* 2020;11:2056
70. Bornstein SR, Rubino F, Khunti K, et al. Practical recommendations for the management of diabetes in patients with COVID-19. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol* 2020;8:546–550
71. Fatima M, Sadeeqa S, Nazir SUR. Metformin and its gastrointestinal problems: a review. *Biomed Res (Aligarh)* 2018;29:2285–2289
72. Bonnet F, Scheen A. Understanding and overcoming metformin gastrointestinal intolerance. *Diabetes Obes Metab* 2017;19:473–481
73. Bauman WA, Shaw S, Jayatilleke E, Spungen AM, Herbert V. Increased intake of calcium reverses vitamin B12 malabsorption induced by metformin. *Diabetes Care* 2000;23:1227–1231
74. Alvarez M, Sierra OR, Saavedra G, Moreno S. Vitamin B12 deficiency and diabetic neuropathy in patients taking metformin: a cross-sectional study. *Endocr Connect* 2019;8:1324–1329
75. Langan RC, Goodbred AJ. Vitamin B12 deficiency: recognition and management. *Am Fam Physician* 2017;96:384–389
76. Kim J, Ahn CW, Fang S, Lee HS, Park JS. Association between metformin dose and vitamin B12 deficiency in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2019;98:e17918
77. Aroda VR, Edelstein SL, Goldberg RB, et al.; Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group. Long-term metformin use and vitamin B12 deficiency in the Diabetes Prevention Program Outcomes Study. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2016;101:1754–1761
78. Yang W, Cai X, Wu H, Ji L. Associations between metformin use and vitamin B12 levels, anemia, and neuropathy in patients with diabetes: a meta-analysis. *J Diabetes* 2019;11:729–743
79. Donnelly LA, Dennis JM, Coleman RL, et al. Risk of anemia with metformin use in type 2 diabetes: a MASTERMIND study. *Diabetes Care* 2020;43:2493–2499
80. Bailey CJ. Metformin: historical overview. *Diabetologia* 2017;60:1566–1576
81. Lalau J-D. Lactic acidosis induced by metformin: incidence, management and prevention. *Drug Saf* 2010;33:727–740
82. Seheult J, Fitzpatrick G, Boran G. Lactic acidosis: an update. *Clin Chem Lab Med* 2017;55:322–333
83. Suetrong B, Walley KR. Lactic acidosis in sepsis: it's not all anaerobic. Implications for diagnosis and management. *Chest* 2016;149:252–261
84. Visconti L, Cernaro V, Ferrara D, et al. Metformin-related lactic acidosis: is it a myth or an underestimated reality? *Ren Fail* 2016;38:1560–1565
85. Moioli A, Maresca B, Manzione A, et al. Metformin associated lactic acidosis (MALA): clinical profiling and management. *J Nephrol* 2016;29:783–789
86. Huang W, Castelino RL, Peterson GM. Lactate levels with chronic metformin use: a narrative review. *Clin Drug Investig* 2017;37:991–1007
87. MacCallum L, Senior PA. Safe use of metformin in adults with type 2 diabetes and chronic kidney disease: lower dosages and sick-day education are essential. *Can J Diabetes* 2019;43:76–80
88. Inzucchi SE, Lipska KJ, Mayo H, Bailey CJ, McGuire DK. Metformin in patients with type 2 diabetes and kidney disease: a systematic review. *JAMA* 2014;312:2668–2675
89. Rachmani R, Slavachevski I, Levi Z, Zadok B, Kedar Y, Ravid M. Metformin in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: reconsideration of traditional contraindications. *Eur J Intern Med* 2002;13:428
90. Kamber N, Davis WA, Bruce DG, Davis TME. Metformin and lactic acidosis in an Australian community setting: the Fremantle Diabetes Study. *Med J Aust* 2008;188:446–449
91. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. FDA revises warnings regarding use of the diabetes medicine metformin in certain patients with reduced kidney function. Available from <https://www.fda.gov/drugs/drug-safety-and-availability/fda-drug-safety-communication-fda-revises-warnings-regarding-use-diabetes-medicine-metformin-certain>. Accessed 2 December 2020
92. European Medicines Agency. Use of metformin to treat diabetes now expanded to patients with moderately reduced kidney function: recommendations for patients with kidney impairment updated in product information. Available from https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/press-release/use-metformin-treat-diabetes-now-expanded-patients-moderately-reduced-kidney-function_en.pdf. Accessed 2 December 2020
93. Boucaud-Maitre D, Ropers J, Porokhov B, et al. Lactic acidosis: relationship between metformin levels, lactate concentration and mortality. *Diabet Med* 2016;33:1536–1543

94. Lazarus B, Wu A, Shin J-I, et al. Association of metformin use with risk of lactic acidosis across the range of kidney function: a community-based cohort study. *JAMA Intern Med* 2018;178:903–910
95. Smith FC, Stocker SL, Danta M, et al. The safety and pharmacokinetics of metformin in patients with chronic liver disease. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2020;51:565–575
96. Zhang X, Harmsen WS, Mettler TA, et al. Continuation of metformin use after a diagnosis of cirrhosis significantly improves survival of patients with diabetes. *Hepatology* 2014;60:2008–2016
97. Nkontchou G, Cosson E, Aout M, et al. Impact of metformin on the prognosis of cirrhosis induced by viral hepatitis C in diabetic patients. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2011;96:2601–2608
98. Crowley MJ, Diamantidis CJ, McDuffie JR, et al. Clinical outcomes of metformin use in populations with chronic kidney disease, congestive heart failure, or chronic liver disease: a systematic review. *Ann Intern Med* 2017;166:191–200
99. Bodmer M, Meier C, Krähenbühl S, Jick SS, Meier CR. Metformin, sulfonylureas, or other antidiabetes drugs and the risk of lactic acidosis or hypoglycemia: a nested case-control analysis. *Diabetes Care* 2008;31:2086–2091
100. Badr D, Kurban M, Abbas O. Metformin in dermatology: an overview. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol* 2013;27:1329–1335
101. Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) Diabetes Work Group. KDIGO 2020 clinical practice guideline for diabetes management in chronic kidney disease. *Kidney Int* 2020;98(Suppl. 4):S1–S115
102. Campbell RK, White JR Jr, Saulie BA. Metformin: a new oral biguanide. *Clin Ther* 1996;18:360–371; discussion 359
103. Greenway F, Wang S, Heiman M. A novel co-biotic containing a prebiotic and an antioxidant augments the glucose control and gastrointestinal tolerability of metformin: a case report. *Benef Microbes* 2014;5:29–32
104. American Diabetes Association. 9. Pharmacologic approaches to glycemic treatment: *Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes—2021*. *Diabetes Care* 2021;44(Suppl. 1):S111–S124
105. European Medicines Agency. Metformin containing medicinal products. Available from https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/referral/metformin-article-31-referral-chmp-assessment-report_en.pdf. Accessed 15 January 2020
106. Lalau J-D, Kajbaf F, Bennis Y, Hurtel-Lemaire A-S, Belpaire F, De Broe ME. Metformin treatment in patients with type 2 diabetes and chronic kidney disease stages 3A, 3B, or 4. *Diabetes Care* 2018;41:547–553
107. Leblanc M, Kellum JA, Gibney RTN, Lieberthal W, Tumlin J, Mehta R. Risk factors for acute renal failure: inherent and modifiable risks. *Curr Opin Crit Care* 2005;11:533–536
108. Godinho C, Jordão A, Dias A, et al. Joint recommendations of the Portuguese Diabetology Society (SPD)/Portuguese Internal Medicine Society (SPMI) on the management and treatment of hyperglycemia in non critical hospital inpatients. *Revista Portuguesa de Diabetes* 2015;10:127–146 [in Portuguese]
109. van der Molen AJ, Reimer P, Dekkers IA, et al. Post-contrast acute kidney injury. Part 2: risk stratification, role of hydration and other prophylactic measures, patients taking metformin and chronic dialysis patients: recommendations for updated ESUR Contrast Medium Safety Committee guidelines. *Eur Radiol* 2018;28:2856–2869
110. Davenport MS, Perazella MA, Yee J, et al. Use of intravenous iodinated contrast media in patients with kidney disease: consensus statements from the American College of Radiology and the National Kidney Foundation. *Radiology* 2020;294:660–668
111. Sudhakaran S, Surani SR. Guidelines for perioperative management of the diabetic patient. *Surg Res Pract* 2015;2015:284063
112. American Diabetes Association. 15. Diabetes care in the hospital: *Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes—2021*. *Diabetes Care* 2021;44(Suppl. 1):S211–S220