Tumor angiogenesis is a complex process that requires interactions among endothelial cells, tumor cells, and other components of the microenvironment. Among numerous secreted factors that promote angiogenesis, perhaps the most important is vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) (1, 2). VEGF-A is the most prominent and biologically active member of the VEGF family, which also includes VEGF-B, VEGF-C, VEGF-D, VEGF-E, and placental growth factor (2, 3). The activity of the VEGF family is mediated by the VEGF tyrosine kinase receptors VEGFR1, VEGFR2, and VEGFR3 (4). Initially, these receptors were believed to be expressed only on the surface of endothelial cells, but subsequent findings have revealed that VEGF tyrosine kinase receptors are expressed on numerous human cancer cells as well (reviewed in (5)).

Two additional VEGF receptors, neuropilin-1 (NRP1) and neuropilin-2 (NRP2), have also been recently implicated in VEGF-mediated vascularization and lymphangiogenesis (6–8). NRP1 and NRP2 were originally identified as neuronal patterning receptors for the class 3 semaphorin ligands (Sema3A, Sema3C, and Sema3F) (9, 10). Unlike the previously identified VEGF receptors, NRP1 and NRP2 lack a tyrosine kinase domain. Although the precise mechanisms for their activities are still a
The expression and function of the VEGF receptors, in particular NRP2, in colorectal carcinoma cells remain largely unknown. In this study, we examined NRP2 expression in colorectal tumors, adjacent uninvolved mucosa, and in colorectal cancer cells. Additionally, we constructed stable colorectal cancer cell lines that expressed NRP2-directed or control short hairpin RNAs (shRNAs) to assess the effect of reducing NRP2 expression on VEGFR1 signaling, cell proliferation, anchorage-independent growth, susceptibility to apoptosis, cell migration, invasion, and tumorigenesis in nude mice. Finally, through the use of bioluminescence imaging of genetically engineered colon cancer cells, we examined the validity of therapeutic targeting of human NRP2 with small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) on colorectal carcinoma cells implanted in the murine liver.

Materials and Methods

Tissue Specimens and Cell Lines
Specimens of colon adenocarcinoma, adjacent nonmalignant colonic mucosa, and colon cancer liver metastases were obtained from an established tissue bank in the Department of Surgical Oncology at The University of Texas M. D. Anderson Cancer Center (MDACC), following protocols approved by the institutional review board of MDACC. Specimens were fixed in formalin at the time of collection. Histopathologic confirmation was provided by the Department of Pathology at the MDACC.

The human colorectal carcinoma cell lines Geo, HCT-116, HT-29, RKO, and SW480 were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA). The human colorectal carcinoma cell line KM12 and the murine melanoma B16BL6 cell lines were obtained from Dr I. J. Fildder (MDACC). Unless stated otherwise, all cells were maintained at 37°C with 5% CO2 in complete minimal essential medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 2 mM l-glutamine, and 200 µg/mL streptomycin (Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY). For hypoxic studies, cells were plated at 80% density and were incubated at 37°C, 1% O2, in complete minimal essential medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 2 mM l-glutamine, and 200 µg/mL streptomycin (Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY). For VEGF-A stimulation studies, cells were incubated in minimal essential medium supplemented with 1% fetal bovine serum, 2 mM l-glutamine, and 200 µg/mL streptomycin. VEGF-A (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN) was used at a concentration of 10 µg/mL for 10 minutes.

shRNA Expression Plasmids, shRNA Cell Lines, and Transient siRNA Targeting

shRNA expression vectors were created with the use of pSilencer 4.0 shRNA expression system (Ambion, Austin, TX) following the manufacturer’s directions. Using the Ambion siRNA web design tool (www.Ambion.com), we identified two potential NRP2-specific target sequences (NP2-#1: 5′-AAGCTCTGGGCATGGAATCAG-3′ and NP2-#2: 5′-AAGCCTGGGTATCTACCCTACAT-3′). Two annealed oligonucleotides, each encoding one of the target sequences followed by a 9-bp hairpin sequence (TTCAAGAGA) and flanked by 5′ BamHI and 3′ HindIII overhangs, were ligated into the pSilencer 4.0 expression plasmid at compatible sites. The two resulting shRNA expression plasmids, sh-NP2Vec-#1 and sh-NP2Vec-#2, were confirmed by sequencing. For negative controls,
shRNA vectors were created using a scrambled sequence of each of the NRP2 target sequences (Con-#1, 5′-AGATCGGTGGCCCTAGAAAGC-3′ and Con-#2, 5′-GATCATTCTTGGTACCC-3′). Sequences were confirmed by NIH BLAST analysis to have no substantial homology to sequences in other vertebrate genes. Control shRNA control plasmids were designated sh-ConVec-#1 and sh-ConVec-#2. The NRP2 deficient cell lines sh-NP2-C8 and sh-NP2-C9 were each created by transfecting HCT-116 cells with 0.5 ng of both shRNA expression plasmids (sh-NP2Vec-#1 and sh-NP2Vec-#2), and control shRNA cells were created by transfecting HCT-116 cells with 0.5 ng of both scrambled sequence encoding shRNA vectors (sh-ConVec-#1 and sh-ConVec-#2). Stable clones were isolated by growing each transfected cell type in medium containing 850 µg/mL hygromycin B (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany). NRP2 expression levels in isolated clones of shRNA-containing HCT-116 cells were determined by immunoblot analysis.

For transient transfections, 2.5 × 10⁵ HCT-116 cells were plated per well in medium in 6-well plates and incubated for 24 hours. The cells were then transfected with increasing concentrations of siRNA oligonucleotides (both NP2-#1 and NP2-#2 without hairpins) using the transfection reagent SiPORT/NeoFX (Ambion) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The cells were incubated for an additional 72 hours after transfection and then solubilized for an additional 72 hours after transfection and then solubilized in 100 µL of 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 137 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, 1 mM Na 3 VO 4 , 2 mM EDTA, and one complete Mini Protease Inhibitor Cocktail Tablet (per 10 mL of lysis buffer) (Roche Diagnostics). Extracts were subjected to immunoblot analysis as described below to determine NRP2 levels.

Immunoprecipitation and Immunoblot Analyses Immunoprecipitation and immunoblot analyses were performed as previously described (17), with minor modifications. Briefly, human colon carcinoma and murine melanoma cells were grown to 85%–90% confluence in 100-mm³ plates in complete minimum essential medium (unless otherwise stated) and solubilized in 300 µL of 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 137 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, 1 mM Na 3 VO 4 , 2 mM EDTA, and one complete Mini Protease Inhibitor Cocktail Tablet (per 10 mL of lysis buffer) (Roche Diagnostics). Extracts were probed with antibodies at a concentration of 1:1000 unless otherwise stated. Antibodies used were as follows: NRP1 (C-19, Mu mAb, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA) and NRP2 (C-9 and/or H-300 from Santa Cruz Biotechnology); phospho-AktSer473 (DE-9, Rb mAb), Akt (C67E7, Rb mAb), phospho-Erk-1/2Thr202/Tyr204 (D13.14.4E, Rb mAb), Erk-1/2 (137F5, Rb mAb), phospho-BAD-Ser112 (ATCCATCCACTGGTATT GG-3′, sense), and 5′-GGCAAGCTTTGACCATGGTAGG-3′ (antisense); and phospho-BAD-Ser136 (9295 Rb mAb) and phospho-BAD-Ser112 (9291, Rb mAb). DNA was amplified using the following parameters: 95°C for 1 minute, followed by 35 cycles of 95°C for 30 seconds, 60°C for 30 seconds and 72°C for 1 minute. VEGF receptor expression gene expression was normalized using reference primers for the glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) gene, 5′-CATTGGACCTCAACTAC-3′ (sense), and 5′-GATGATGTTCTGGAGTGAC-3′ (antisense). cDNAs were diluted in 500 µL of diethylpyrocarbonate-treated water, and 3 µL of each reaction was used in each 25-µL RT-PCR. DNA was amplified using the following parameters: 95°C for 1 minute, followed by 35 cycles of 95°C for 30 seconds, 60°C for 30 seconds and 72°C for 1 minute. VEGF receptor expression gene expression was normalized using reference primers for the glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) gene, 5′-CATTGGACCTCAACTAC-3′ (sense), and 5′-GATGATGTTCTGGAGTGAC-3′ (antisense). PCR products were separated in a 1.2 % agarose gel and visualized by ethidium bromide staining.

Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay VEGF production in culture supernatants from control cells (parental HCT-116 cells and sh-Con transfectants) and HCT-116 cells with reduced NRP2 levels (sh-NP2-C8 and sh-NP2-C9 transfectants) was examined using a human VEGF-specific enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Quantikine; R&D Systems). Cells were plated at 80% cell density in a 100-mm cell culture dish in 1.2% agarose gel and visualized by ethidium bromide staining.

MTT Analysis of Cell Proliferation Cell proliferation in vitro was analyzed with the tetrazolium salt 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) as described previously (18). The yellow dye MTT is reduced to a formazan product by respiratory enzymes that are active only in viable cells, making the amount of color change indicative of cell proliferation.
proliferation. Briefly, 2000 cells of each clone (parental, sh-Con, sh-NP2-C8, and sh-NP2-C9) were plated per well in five 96-well microtiter plates in 200 µL of medium. For analysis, 20 µL of MTT substrate (of a 2.5 mg/mL stock solution in phosphate-buffered saline [PBS]) was added to each well, and the plates were returned to standard tissue incubator conditions for an additional 2 hours. Medium was then removed, the cells were solubilized in 200 µL of dimethyl sulfoxide, and colorimetric analysis was performed (wavelength, 570 nm). One plate was analyzed immediately after the cells adhered (approximately 4 hours after plating), and the remaining plates were assayed every 24 hours for the next 4 consecutive days.

Annexin V Staining
To determine the role of NRP2 in mediating survival of HCT-116 cells under conditions of hypoxic stress, cells with normal levels of NRP2 (parental and sh-Con) and reduced levels of NRP2 (sh-NP2-C8 and sh-NP2-C9) were subjected to hypoxic conditions (1% O₂, 99% N₂) for 6 and 24 hours. The relative percentage of apoptotic cells was assessed at these time points using the Annexin V-FITC apoptosis Detection Kit-1 (BD Pharmingen, San Diego, CA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Annexin V quantitation was performed using a Coulter EPICS XL-MCL fluorescent-activated cell analyzer (Beckman Coulter, Miami, FL) equipped with System II software (Beckman Coulter).

Migration and Invasion Assays
Migration assays were conducted as described previously (18) with minor modifications. Equal numbers (30,000) of control cells (sh-Con) or cells with reduced NRP2 expression (sh-NP2-C8 and sh-NP2-C9) were suspended in 0.5 mL of medium and placed in the top compartment of a standard 8-µm pore Boyden chamber with 0.5 mL of medium added to the bottom compartment. Following 12-hour incubation under standard conditions (37°C/5% CO₂), nonmigrating cells were scraped from the top compartment and cells that had migrated to the bottom compartment were fixed and stained using the Protocol HEMA 3 stain set (Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA). Membranes were excised and mounted on a standard microscope slide (Curtis Matheson Scientific, Houston, TX). The numbers of migrated cells were determined from 5 random fields visualized at x20 magnification.

Invasion assays used identical methods, except that the cells were placed in the top compartment of a modified Boyden chamber with a Matrigel-coated membrane. The numbers of invasive cells were determined from five random fields visualized at x20 magnification.

Soft Agar Colony-Forming Assay
To determine the effect of NRP2 expression on anchorage-independent growth, 6-well plates were coated with 1 mL of medium with 0.8% agarose per well, and 500 cells of each clone (sh-Con, sh-NP2-C8, and sh-NP2-C9) in 1 mL of medium containing 0.5% agarose were added to the wells. Cells were incubated at standard conditions for 12 days (37°C, 5% CO₂). Colonies that were more than 50 µm in diameter were counted under a light microscope at x20 magnification. For each cell type, five fields were counted, and numbers of colonies are expressed as mean with 95% confidence intervals (CI).

Preparation of siRNA-Containing Liposomes
For experiments to test the efficacy of in vivo therapeutic targeting of NRP2 in tumor xenografts in mice, liposomes containing siRNAs were prepared as previously described (19). Briefly, siRNA oligonucleotides (without hairpins) to NRP2 target sequences (NP2-#1 and NP2-#2) or scrambled control sequences (CON-#1 and CON-#2) were mixed with 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphatidylincholine (DOPC) (Avanti Polar Lipids, Alabaster, AL) at a ratio of 10:1 (w/w) DOPC/siRNA and lyophilized. Immediately before in vivo administration, lyophilized preparations were hydrated with 0.9% saline at a concentration of 3 µg of siRNA per 200 µL and were purified by separating free siRNA from liposomes with filter units with a size exclusion limit of 30,000 Daltons (Millipore Corp).

In Vivo Modeling
Male athymic nude mice, 6–8 weeks old, were purchased from the National Cancer Institute–Frederick Cancer Research Facility (Frederick, MD) and maintained under specific pathogen-free conditions. All animal experiments met the requirements of the MDACC Animal Care Facility and the National Institutes of Health (NIH) guidelines on animal care and use. Each HCT-116 clone was inoculated into mice (10 per group) as previously described (18). In brief, 1.0 × 10⁶ cells (sh-Con, sh-NP2-C8, or sh-NP2-C9) were injected in 0.1 mL of Hanks balanced salt solution per mouse, either subcutaneously (right rear flank) or into the spleen of each nude mouse. Tumor growth was monitored, and at 21–32 days, when three mice in any group become moribund (as judged by lethargy, decreased grooming, and/or weight loss) or had palpable tumors approximately 1 cm in diameter, the mice were killed by CO₂ asphyxia, and final tumor mass and volume were recorded. Tumor volume was calculated as [(length/2) x (width²)].

For the in vivo siRNA delivery experiments, we created colon cancer cells that expressed the lenti-luc reporter gene by infecting parental HCT-116 cells with a recombinant lentivirus as previously described (20). Luciferase-expressing HCT-116 cells (1.0 × 10⁶ cells per mouse) were suspended in 0.1 mL of Hanks balanced salt solution and inoculated directly into the liver of each mouse (20 mice in total). Four days later, 10 mice were treated with either control- or NRP2-siRNA/liposomal complexes (5 µg siRNA per injection), which were administered intraperitoneally as a 200 µL bolus. Treatments continued every 5 days thereafter for a total of six treatments per group. At 32 days, mice were killed by CO₂ asphyxia, and total body weight, liver weight, and tumor volumes were calculated. Tumor specimens from each treatment group were snap frozen and fixed in formalin or frozen in optimum cutting temperature solution (OCT).

Histopathologic Analysis and Immunohistochemical Staining of Tissues
Patient tissue specimens were analyzed as previously described (21) with an anti-NRP2 antibody (C-9; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, 1:500 dilution). Tumor xenografts from mice were fixed in 10% neutral formalin and paraffin or OCT solution (Miles, Elkhart, IN). Immunostaining was performed according to standard procedures (22,23). Immunofluorescent staining for NRP2 in OCT-embedded xenografts was performed with a rabbit anti-NRP2 antibody (H-300, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, 1:200 dilution), which recognizes human, rat, and mouse NRP2, followed by anti-rabbit fluorescent-conjugated
antibody (Alexa 488, dilution 1:1000) and counterstained with the DNA-specific dye Hoechst 33345 (both from Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR). Stained tissue sections were analyzed with NIH Image J 1.34 software. A minimum of five randomly selected fields or images were examined in all analyses. Fluorescently labeled cells were examined using a Nikon Microphot-FXA fluorescent microscope and representative images recorded.

Bioluminescence Imaging

In vitro and in vivo bioluminescence imaging of luciferase-expressing HCT-116 cells was conducted as previously described (20) using a cryogenically cooled IVIS 100 imaging system coupled to a data-acquisition personal computer equipped with Living Image software (all from Xenogen Corp, Hopkinton, MA). For in vitro imaging, 25 µL of luciferase potassium salt solution (15 mg/mL in PBS) (Sigma-Aldrich) was added to each well of a 12-well plate containing 25,000 HCT-116 cells per well. For in vivo studies, nude mice were anesthetized with a 1.5% isofluorane-oxygen mixture and injected intraperitoneally with luciferase potassium salt solution (15 mg/mL in PBS) at a dose of 150 mg/kg body weight before each imaging session. Initial in vivo images at day 3 were obtained to establish baseline tumor volume as measured by photon representative of luciferase activity. Additional images were obtained at 14 and 28 days after inoculation to monitor tumor growth kinetics.

Statistical Analyses

Data are presented as means and as differences in means with 95% confidence intervals for the differences. For the in vitro and in vivo studies, statistical significance was determined by using Fisher exact tests (comparison of incidence) or Mann-Whitney tests (comparison of means), as indicated. For the in vivo experiments, 10 mice in each group were used, as directed by power analysis to detect a 50% reduction in tumor size or weight, with a beta error of 3%. Because sample size was small and outcome variables could have a skewed distribution, the non-parametric Mann-Whitney test was used, and on that basis, 95.7% confidence intervals were iterated. All statistical tests were two-sided, and P values less than .05 were considered to be statistically significant.

Results

Expression of NRP2 in Human Colon Tissues and Cell Lines

We first examined the expression of NRP2 protein in paraffin-embedded samples of human primary colon tumors, adjacent normal colonic mucosa, and colon cancer liver metastases by immunoperoxidase staining (Fig. 1, A). NRP2 protein was not detectable in nonmalignant mucosa (0 [0%] of 10 specimens) but was evident in 10 (83%) of 12 adjacent adenocarcinomas (P = .001 vs nonmalignant mucosa) and five (71%) of seven liver metastases (P = .003 vs nonmalignant mucosa). NRP2 protein was also detected in the Geo, HCT-116, HT-29, KM-12, and SW480 colorectal cancer cell lines. Geo, HCT-116, and SW480 cells expressed the highest levels (Fig. 1, B).

Effect of NRP2 siRNA on NRP2 Levels in Human and Murine Cell Lines

We next examined the effect of using targeted shRNAs to reduce NRP2 levels in human HCT-116 colorectal cancer cells. Specificity of siRNA targeting for NRP2 was first confirmed by immunoblot analysis of NRP1 and NRP2 levels in control human HCT-116 cells and cells that had been transfected with control or NRP2 siRNAs (Fig. 2, A, top panel). To further examine specificity of the human NRP2 siRNA sequence for human NRP2, human SW480 colorectal cancer cells and B16BL6 melanoma cells were transiently transfected with increasing concentrations of human NRP2 siRNA (NP2-#1 plus NP2-#2) (Fig. 2, A, bottom panel). The expression of NRP2 was strongly suppressed in human cells transfected with 10 µg of siRNA, but NRP2 expression remained unchanged in transfected B16BL6 mouse cells.

Effect of NRP2 Expression on Cell Proliferation Rates In Vitro

We next used an MTT assay to determine the effect of reduced NRP2 expression on colorectal cancer cell growth rates in vitro. HCT-116 cells stably transfected with shRNA to NRP2 showed no changes in proliferation rate relative to that of parental and sh-Con-transfected HCT-116 cells (Fig. 2, B). Doubling times were approximately 25 hours for both the parental and the sh-Con control cells and 24–26 hours for sh-NP2-C8 and sh-NP2-C9 cells. VEGF-A (10 µg/mL) treatment did not alter the doubling times of any of these cell lines, regardless of NRP2 expression level, compared with doubling times in the absence of VEGF-A (data not shown).
Effect of NRP2 Expression on VEGFR1 and Akt Signaling
NRP2 can act as a coreceptor for VEGFR1, VEGFR2, and VEGFR3 (24,25). HCT-116 cells, like other colon cancer cells (26), express only one of the three known VEGF tyrosine kinase receptors, VEGFR1 (Fig. 2, C). NRP2-mediated activation of any of the VEGF receptors would be expected to result in phosphorylation of the coexpressed VEGFRs and activation of its downstream tyrosine kinase substrates. We used immunoblot analysis to examine whether loss of NRP2 expression was associated with changes in VEGFR1 receptor phosphorylation. NRP2 shRNA-expressing cells showed no change in VEGFR1 levels but did show a substantial reduction in VEGFR1 phosphorylation compared with levels in control sh-Con and parental cells (Fig 2, D). Examination of the activation of downstream signaling intermediates using immunoblotting probed with phospho-specific antibodies showed that loss of NRP2 also led to substantial reductions in the phosphorylation of Akt and the downstream antiapoptosis protein BAD (Ser136), whereas the phosphorylation of Erk-1/2 remained unchanged (Fig. 2, D). To confirm the effects of decreased NRP2 expression on downstream pathways, we studied signaling intermediates in a second colorectal cancer cell line (SW480) after transient transfection with NRP2 siRNAs. Transient reduction of NRP2 levels by siRNA in SW480 cells also led to decreased Akt phosphorylation (without altering the levels of Erk-1/2 phosphorylation; data not shown).

To examine whether the loss of VEGFR1 phosphorylation accompanying reduced NRP2 levels in HCT-116 cells could be overcome by the addition of exogenous VEGF-A, control cells and NRP2 shRNA-expressing cells were grown in serum-reduced (1% fetal calf serum) and stimulated with VEGF-A (10 µg/mL) for 10 minutes, followed by protein extraction and immunoblot analysis. In agreement with the pattern shown in Fig. 2, D, basal phosphorylation of VEGFR1 was lower in cells with reduced NRP2 levels (Fig. 2, E). VEGFR1 phosphorylation was increased upon VEGF-A–stimulation in control cells to a greater extent than in HCT-116 transfectants with shRNA-mediated reduction of NRP2 levels.

Effect of NRP2 Expression on Endogenous Expression of VEGF-A and Sema3F
To determine whether the changes in VEGFR1 phosphorylation observed in the NRP2 shRNA-expressing cells had resulted from potential changes in NRP2 ligand expression, we next examined levels of VEGF-A and Sema3F in HCT-116 cells with varying levels of NRP2 expression. VEGF-A levels, as determined by ELISA, were nearly the same in parental and sh-Con cells and in both clones with suppressed NRP2 expression (sh-NP2-C8 and sh-NP2-C9). Immunoblot analysis of control and NRP2 siRNA-expressing cells showed that levels of Sema3F expression were the same in all of these lines (data not shown).

Effect of NRP2 Expression on Anchorage-Independent Growth of Colon Cancer Cells
On the basis of our finding that NRP2 gene silencing was associated with reduced phosphorylation of antiapoptosis proteins, we next assessed the effect of NRP-2 expression on growth of colon...
cancer cells under anchorage-independent conditions. Control cells (sh-Con) formed abundant large (>50 µm in diameter) colonies in soft agar, whereas cells with reduced levels of NRP2 formed statistically significantly fewer colonies in soft agar, and the colonies that did form were generally smaller than those derived from control cells (Fig. 3, A and B; mean number of colonies: sh-Con = 384, sh-NP2-C8 = 112, sh-NP2-C9 = 81; sh-NP2-C8 vs control: difference = 272 colonies, 95% CI = 210 to 334 colonies, \( P = .002 \); sh-NP2-C9 vs sh-Con control: difference = 303 colonies, 95% CI = 253 to 353 colonies, \( P = .002 \)).

**Effect of NRP2 Expression on Colon Cancer Cell Survival During Hypoxia**

To further investigate the mechanism by which reduced expression of NRP2 altered survival of colorectal cancer cells, HCT-116 cells with normal and reduced levels of NRP2 were subjected to normoxic or hypoxic growth conditions (1% \( \text{O}_2 \), 99% \( \text{N}_2 \)), and the relative percentages of Annexin V-staining cells were quantified as a measure of apoptosis. Under normoxic conditions, no discernable difference in constitutive apoptotic rates was detected between the two cell types. However, after 6 hours and 24 hours of exposure to hypoxic conditions, cells with decreased NRP2 expression demonstrated a statistically significant increase in apoptosis (Fig. 3, C; at 6 hours of hypoxia, mean sh-Con apoptotic rate = 1.46%, mean sh-NP2-C8 rate = 2.94%, difference = 1.48%, 95% CI = 1.11 to 1.85%, \( P = .01 \); at 24 hours of hypoxia mean sh-Con apoptotic rate = 1.96%, mean sh-NP2-C8 rate = 3.52%, difference = 1.56%, 95% CI = 0.98 to 2.14%, \( P = .008 \)).

**Effect of NRP2 on Migration and Invasion**

VEGFR1 activity has previously been shown to mediate tumor cell migration and invasion (27–29). Our observation that loss of NRP2 expression reduced VEGFR1 phosphorylation and activation of the VEGFR1 pathway led us to assess the effects of NRP2 on the migration and invasion capabilities of colorectal cancer cells with the use of standard and Matrigel-coated Boyden chamber assays, respectively. NRP2 shRNA-expressing cells displayed statistically significantly reduced migration compared with control cells (Fig. 4, A, B; mean cell migration for parental = 187 cells, sh-Con = 167 cells, sh-NP2-C8 = 91 cells, sh-NP2-C9 = 64 cells; sh-NP2-C8 vs sh-Con: difference = 76 cells, 95% CI = 48 to 104 cells, \( P = .008 \); sh-NP2-C9 vs sh-Con: difference = 103 cells, 95% CI = 73 to 133 cells, \( P = .008 \)). In Matrigel invasion assays, clones with reduced NRP2 levels showed reduced invasiveness compared with controls (Fig. 4, C, D; mean invasion for parental = 83 cells, mean sh-Con = 89 cells, mean sh-NP2-C8 = 44 cells, mean sh-NP2-C9 = 29 cells; sh-NP2-C8 vs sh-Con: difference = 45 cells, 95% CI = 30 to 60 cells, \( P = .008 \); sh-NP2-C9 vs sh-Con: difference = 60 cells, 95% CI = 44 to 76 cells, \( P = .008 \)). To examine the effect of VEGF-A stimulation on cell migration in cells with decreased NRP2 levels, sh-Con and sh-NP2-C8 cells were grown in serum-reduced medium (1% fetal calf serum) and stimulated with VEGF-A (10 ng/mL) for 24 hours. Although migration was higher in each VEGF-A-treated cell line compared with untreated cells, cells with reduced NRP2 levels (sh-NP2-C8) migrated less in response to VEGF-A stimulation than cells with normal levels of NRP2 (sh-Con) (data not shown).

**Effect of NRP2 Expression on Tumor Growth In Vivo**

To examine the effect of NRP2 expression on tumor growth, we injected equal numbers (1.0 × 10^6 per mouse) of HCT-116 control cells (sh-Con) or NRP2 siRNA-expressing cells (sh-NP2-C8 and sh-NP2-C9) subcutaneously into nude mice (groups of 10) and assessed tumor incidence and volume 30 days later. All mice were of approximately the same overall weight when killed. Tumor incidence was 100% in control (sh-Con) mice, 40% in sh-NP2-C9
Fig. 4. Effect of NRP2 expression on migration and invasion by colorectal cancer cells. (A) Representative images (×20) of migration assays of colon cancer cells with normal levels of NRP2 expression (parental and sh-Con) and cells with suppressed levels of NRP2 expression (sh-NP2-C8 and sh-NP2-C9). (B) Mean number of cells from five independent assays that migrated in (A). Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences in NRP2 shRNA-containing cells vs sh-Con control. Mean parental = 187 cells, 95% confidence interval (CI) = 157 to 217 cells; mean sh-Con = 167 cells, 95% CI = 152 to 182 cells; mean sh-NP2-C8 = 91, 95% CI = 67 to 115 cells, P = .008 vs sh-Con; and mean sh-NP2-C9 = 64 cells, 95% CI = 39 to 89 cells, P = .008 vs sh-Con. (C) Invasion assay of parental HCT-116 cells, sh-Con control, sh-NP2-C8, and sh-NP2-C9 cells in modified Boyden chambers with Matrigel-coated membrane, in which 30,000 cells of each clone were plated, and, after 12 hours, invasive cells which had digested and moved through the matrigel membrane were stained and counted under a microscope (×20 magnification). (D) Graphical representation of invasive cells calculated as percentage of parental. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences in NRP2 shRNA-containing cells vs sh-Con control. Mean parental = 83 cells, 95% CI = 69 to 97 cells; mean sh-Con = 89 cells, 95% CI = 76 to 102 cells; mean sh-NP2-C8 = 44 cells, 95% CI = 36 to 52 cells, P = .004 vs sh-Con; mean sh-NP2-C9 = 29 ± 5 cells, 95% CI = 19 to 39 cells, P = .008 vs sh-Con.

To examine the effect of NRP2 expression on hepatic metastasis of HCT-116 colorectal cancer cells, equal amounts of cells (1.0 × 10^6 per mouse) of each clone were inoculated into the spleens of nude mice (groups of 10) and the resulting liver metastases were counted and measured 30 days later. The incidence of hepatic metastases was lower in the mice inoculated with NRP2 siRNA-expressing cells than in the mice injected with control cells (Fig. 5, A; mean sh-Con = 100%, mean sh-NP2-C8 = 20%, mean sh-NP2-C9 = 40%, mean sh-NP2-C8 vs sh-Con control: difference = 80%, 95% CI = 44% to 97%, P = .002; sh-NP2-C9 vs sh-Con control: difference = 60%, 95% CI = 26% to 88%, P = .001). Analysis of mice that developed hepatic metastases revealed that inoculation with cells with reduced NRP2 expression resulted in fewer hepatic metastases than inoculation with cells with normal levels of NRP2 (Fig. 5, C; mean sh-Con = 19 metastases, mean sh-NP2-C8 = 1 metastasis, mean sh-NP2-C9 = 6 metastases; sh-NP2-C8 vs sh-Con control: difference = 18 metastases, 95.7% CI = 3 to 50 metastases, P < .001, as determined by Mann-Whitney analysis; sh-NP2-C9 vs sh-Con control: difference = 13 metastases, 95.7% CI = 2 to 48 metastases, P = .006, as determined by Mann-Whitney analysis). In addition, the final volumes of the metastases that did form were smaller for NRP2 shRNA-expressing cells (sh-NP2-C8 and sh-NP2-C9) than for shRNA control cells (Fig. 5, D and E; mean sh-Con = 79 mm^3, mean sh-NP2-C8 = 1 mm^3, mean sh-NP2-C9 = 9 mm^3; sh-NP2-C8 vs control: difference = 78, 95.7% CI = 1.1 to 69 mm^3, P < .001, as determined by Mann-Whitney analysis; sh-NP2-C9 vs sh-Con control: difference = 70 mm^3, 95.7% CI = 1.1 to 69 mm^3, P = .004, as determined by Mann-Whitney analysis).

NRP2 Expression and Apoptosis In Vivo
To examine the effect of NRP2 expression on apoptosis in vivo, we stained xenograft tissue samples derived from the sh-Con, sh-NP2-C8, and sh-NP2-C9 cell lines for Annexin V. Tumors with reduced NRP2 expression exhibited 5–10 times more apoptotic cells per ×20 field than did the control tumors (Fig. 6; mean sh-Con control = 4 apoptotic cells, mean sh-NP2-C8 = 24 apoptotic cells, mean sh-NP2-C9 = 36 apoptotic cells, sh-NP2-C8 vs sh-Con control: difference = 20 apoptotic cells, 95% CI = 5 to 35 apoptotic cells, P = .01 vs sh-Con; sh-NP2-C9 vs sh-Con control: difference = 32 apoptotic cells, 95% CI = 18 to 45 apoptotic cells, P = .005).

Effect of In Vivo Targeting of NRP2 on Colon Cancer Progression
To determine whether siRNAs to NRP2 might be used to treat human tumors (ie, tumors derived from untransfected cells), we explored the use of liposomes carrying NRP2 siRNA to target tumor xenografts in mice. Before targeting NRP2 expression in vivo, we first tested whether siRNA incorporated into liposomes made of the neutral lipid DOPC (19) could effectively reduce gene expression in tumors growing in the murine liver. For these experiments, luciferase-specific siRNA, which we had confirmed was effective in attenuating luciferase activity in vitro (Fig. 7, A, top), was complexed with DOPC and injected intraperitoneally into mice at a dose of 5 µg per mouse 10 days after the inoculation of 1.0 × 10^6 HCT-116 colorectal cancer cells expressing the luciferase gene.
The mice were subjected to bioluminescent imaging before siRNA-DOPC administration to establish baseline activity and again 48 hours after siRNA treatment to examine whether administration of siRNA against luciferase in liposomes led to a reduction in luciferase activity in the tumor cells. Hepatic luciferase activity, as reflected by photon emission, was approximately 50% lower in treated mice than in the same mice measured before siRNA-Luc-DOPC administration (Fig. 7, A, bottom).

Finally, we examined the effect of in vivo administration of siRNA to NRP2 in liposomes on the growth and metastasis of colorectal cancer xenografts in nude mice. For these experiments, control siRNA scrambled sequences (Con-#1 and Con-#2) or NRP2 siRNA target sequences (NP2-#1 and NP2-#2) were complexed with DOPC and injected intraperitoneally into mice at a dose of 5µg per mouse every 5 days, starting 4 days after the direct hepatic inoculation of 1.0 × 10^6 HCT-116 lenti-luc-transfected colorectal cancer cells per mouse. Bioluminescent imaging of tumor cell growth showed that administration of NRP2 siRNA-DOPC impaired the growth kinetics of colorectal cancer cells compared with those of control cells at 28 days after inoculation (Fig. 7, B; mean control activity = 9.8 × 10^7 photons, mean NRP2 siRNA-DOPC = 1.7 × 10^7 photons, NRP2 vs control: difference = 8.1 × 10^6, 95% CI = 1.3 × 10^6 to 15.0 × 10^6, P < .001). Livers from the control groups had more tumor volume (Fig. 7, E) than those from mice treated with siRNA to NRP2 (Fig. 7, C: mean control siRNA-DOPC = 420 mm³, mean NRP2 siRNA-DOPC = 36 mm³, NRP2 vs control: difference = 384 mm³, 95% CI = 174 to 595 mm³, P = .005). In addition, the ratios of liver to total body weight were statistically significantly higher in the control group than in the NRP2-treated group (Fig. 7, D; mean control siRNA-DOPC = 0.124; mean NRP2 siRNA-DOPC = 0.067; NRP2 vs control: difference = 0.057, 95% CI = 0.032 to 0.082, P < .001).
Fig. 7. Effect of administration of liposomal-conjugated siRNA on colorectal tumor growth in vivo. (A) **Top**: in vitro assay demonstrating reduced luciferase activity in HCT-116 cells carrying the lenti-luc gene after transient transfection with luciferase siRNA. Twenty-four hours after plating the cells in 12-well dishes, siRNA to luciferase or scrambled control siRNA was transiently transfected at the indicated concentrations. **Bottom**: In vivo luciferase activity in a mouse carrying hepatic tumors after intrahepatic inoculation with $1.0 \times 10^6$ HCT-116 cells harboring the lenti-luc gene. Mice were treated with anti-luciferase-siRNA/1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphatidylcholine (DOPC) complex (5 µg per mouse at 10 days after tumor cell implantation) and were imaged again at 48 hours after siRNA treatment. The images shown are of a representative single mouse before and after treatment with luciferase siRNA-DOPC. (B) **Top**: Plot of average in vivo bioluminescent activity as photon emissions from mice at 3, 14, and 28 days after hepatic inoculation with $1.0 \times 10^6$ HCT-116 cells harboring the lenti-luc gene. Data are means with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) from 10 mice treated intraperitoneally at day 4 and every 5 days thereafter with control-siRNA-DOPC complex or NRP2 siRNA-DOPC complex. Day 28 mean control activity = $9.8 \times 10^8$ photons, mean NRP2 siRNA-DOPC = $1.7 \times 10^8$ photons, NRP2 vs control: difference = $8.1 \times 10^8$, $P < .001$ as determined by Mann-Whitney analysis. **Bottom**: Representative images of mouse bioluminescent activity at day 28 in siRNA treatment groups as described above. (C) Final tumor volumes from mice in (B) at 32 days after inoculation of $1.0 \times 10^6$ HCT-116 cells. Mice received six total intraperitoneal injections of liposomal control- or NRP2-specific siRNA complexes (control siRNA; Con-#1 and Con-#1, NRP2 siRNA; NP2-#1 and NP2-#2, a total of 5-µg siRNA per treatment). Final tumor volumes were calculated as $[(\text{length}/2) \times (\text{width})^2]$ and are presented as means with 95% CIs. **Asterisk** indicates a statistically significant difference in NRP2- vs control siRNA-DOPC. Mean control siRNA-DOPC = 420 mm$^3$, mean NRP2 siRNA-DOPC = 36 mm$^3$, NRP2 vs control: difference = $384 mm^3$, 95% CI = 174 to 595 mm$^3$, $P = .005$. (D) Final liver weights/total body weights of mice in (B) from in vivo siRNA treatment groups. Values are means with 95% CIs. **Asterisk** indicates a statistically significant difference in liver weights/total body weights from NRP2- vs control siRNA–treated mice. Mean control siRNA-DOPC = 0.124; mean NRP2 siRNA-DOPC = 0.067; NRP2 vs control: difference = 0.057, 95% CI = 0.032 to 0.082, $P < .001$. (E) Representative photographs of excised livers from mice in (B) treated with either nonspecific or NRP2-targeted siRNA and killed at 32 days after inoculation. (F) Representative photographs of immunohistochemical staining of tumors from the mice described above showing the specificity of NRP2 siRNAs for reducing human, and not mouse, NRP2 levels. **Top panels**, section from control (control siRNA-DOPC–treated) tumors showing NRP2 expression (green fluorescence) in both human tumor cells and murine endothelial cells. **Bottom panels**, sections from NRP2 siRNA-DOPC–treated tumors showing substantially decreased NRP2 expression in human tumor cells compared with control, whereas NRP2 expression in murine vascular/endothelial cells (yellow arrows) remains unchanged. Hoechst staining (blue) was used to demarcate nuclei.
Immunohistochemical examination of tumor samples revealed that only human NRP2 expression (in tumor xenografts) and not mouse NRP2 expression (on murine endothelial cells) was decreased in mice treated with NRP2 siRNA-DOPC compared with mice treated with control siRNA-DOPC (Fig. 7, F).

**Discussion**

Our findings demonstrate that NRP2 is a potential therapeutic target in that its expression is critical for the growth and metastasis of colorectal cancer cells. Specifically, we demonstrated that NRP2 expression was elevated in most of the human primary and metastatic colon cancer specimens tested compared with normal colonic mucosa. We also showed that NRP2 was expressed in most of the human colorectal cancer cell lines tested. Reduction of NRP2 levels by shRNA decreased the phosphorylation and activation of VEGFR1, which, as we have shown here, is the only VEGF tyrosine kinase receptor expressed in HCT-116 colorectal cancer cells. These changes in VEGFR1 activity took place in the absence of changes in levels of the NRP2 ligands VEGF and Sema3F. In addition, we showed that constitutive phosphorylation of the critical intercellular signaling intermediate Akt and the antiapoptosis downstream target, the antiapoptosis factor BAD, both of which are known to be critical for cell survival (35,36). Our data suggest that NRP2 augments VEGF-mediated survival through VEGFR1/Akt-dependent regulation of these apoptotic mediators.

We also showed that loss of NRP2 reduced the ability of HCT-116 cells to migrate and invade in vitro. A previous report demonstrated that NRP2 mediates motility on endothelial cells, albeit in a VEGFR2/VEGFR3–dependent manner (24). VEGFR1 activation is known to mediate migration of other cell types (27–29). Our findings suggest that NRP2 enhances VEGF-mediated pro-motion of cell migration and invasion in colorectal cancer cells, presumably through the enhanced activation of VEGFR1, the only VEGF tyrosine kinase receptor expressed in HCT-116 cells.

We also showed the importance of NRP2 in colon cancer cell growth, both through the use of stable cell lines with reduced NRP2 expression and by in vivo targeting of NRP2 with liposomal-conjugated siRNA. Tumors derived from human colorectal cancer cell lines that lacked expression of NRP2 were less capable of establishing liver metastases in a mouse metastasis model than control tumors with endogenous NRP2 expression. Furthermore, the tumors expressing NRP2 shRNA that did grow, both in the liver and subcutaneously, were smaller than tumors derived from cells with normal levels of NRP2. Similar results were achieved when liposome-conjugated siRNA to NRP2 was used to treat human colon cancer cells implanted in murine liver in vivo.

One potential limitation of this study could be that in vivo siRNA targeting of NRP2 might reduce murine NRP2 expression in addition to human NRP2 expression; thus, the inhibition of the hepatic tumor growth observed may not exclusively be from the reduced expression of the human homolog. However, even though the sequences targeted by the human siRNA used were approximately 80% similar to those in the murine gene, the siRNAs in our studies did not reduce endogenous NRP2 expression in a murine melanoma cell line in vitro. Furthermore, immunohistochemical staining of tumor samples from in vivo siRNA targeting of NRP2 demonstrated that murine endothelial cells retained NRP2 expression, providing further evidence that expression of only the human isoform of NRP2 was reduced by systemic administration of NRP2 siRNA. Additionally, because NRP2 also mediates lymphangiogenesis on lymphatic endothelial cells (37), tumor samples from in vivo targeting were also examined for lymphangiogenesis by staining for the lymphatic endothelial cell marker LYVE-1. No differences were observed in lymphatic vessel content in control siRNA or NRP2 siRNA treatment groups (data not shown). Collectively, these findings suggest that the observed changes in tumor growth and metastasis were mediated through interference with NRP2 function on tumor cells. Lastly, although targeting NRP2 on tumor cells led to a decrease in tumor growth, we cannot state whether this inhibition occurs through interrupting VEGF-dependent or -independent functions. It is unknown whether inhibition of NRP2 signaling may be a mechanism of action of anti-VEGF (bevacizumab) therapy.

In summary, we have shown that NRP2 expression enhances cell survival, migration, invasion, and in vivo tumor growth—all critical aspects of colorectal cancer growth and progression—and our findings suggest that NRP2 may be a promising therapeutic target for colorectal cancer. The use of anti-NRP2 therapeutic targeting in conjunction with anti-VEGF therapy may improve on existing antiangiogenesis treatments and may provide a unique opportunity to circumvent the ability of NRP2-expressing tumors, such as colorectal cancers, to grow and metastasize.
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