Complicated infections of skin and skin structures: when the infection is more than skin deep
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Skin and skin-structure infections are common, and range from minor pyodermas to severe necrotizing infections. Complicated infections are defined as involving abnormal skin or wounds, occurring in compromised hosts, or requiring surgical intervention. Classification schemes for these infections are varied and confusing. Distinguishing characteristics include the aetiological agent(s), clinical context and findings, depth of tissue involvement and rate of progression. The most common pathogens are aerobic Gram-positive cocci, but complicated infections frequently involve Gram-negative bacilli and anaerobic bacteria. Initial antibiotic therapy is usually empirical, and later modified by the results of stains and cultures of wound specimens. Broad-spectrum coverage is frequently needed for complicated infections. Ertapenem is a once-a-day parenteral Group 1 carbapenem antibiotic, recently licensed in the USA and Europe, which may assume an important role in treating some complicated skin and skin-structure infections. Surgical debridement is important for many complicated infections, and is the critical element in managing necrotizing fasciitis and myonecrosis.
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Introduction

Skin and skin structures are among the most frequent sites of human bacterial infection.1–5 They represent one of the most common indications for antibiotic therapy and account for ∼10% of hospital admissions in the USA.6 Furthermore, the incidence of soft-tissue infections appears to be increasing, at least in some populations.7 Such infections are highly diverse in their aetiology, clinical manifestations and severity.2,7–10 Bacteria do not cause all skin infections, but this article will review only bacterial aetiologies. The pathogenesis of these infections usually involves direct inoculation of pathogens, but infection occasionally spreads to the skin contiguously from deeper foci11–13 or haematogenously from distant sites. Severity ranges from minor superficial lesions to invasive, fulminating and even lethal infections.

Classification of soft-tissue infections

The terminology used for infections of skin and skin structures is often confusing. Primary skin infections occur in otherwise normal skin and are usually caused by group A streptococci or Staphylococcus aureus. Secondary infections complicate chronic skin conditions (e.g. eczema or atopic dermatitis). These underlying disorders act as portals of entry for virulent bacteria. Other factors predisposing to skin infections include vascular insufficiency, disrupted venous or lymphatic drainage, sensory neuropathies, diabetes mellitus, previous cellulitis, the presence of a foreign body, accidental or surgical trauma, obesity, poor hygiene and certain immunodeficiencies.10

A second level of classification divides skin and skin-structure infections into uncomplicated or complicated, the latter defined as involving abnormal skin or wounds, occurring in a compromised host, or requiring substantial surgical intervention.14 These infections are often further characterized as being acute (present for days to at most a few weeks) or chronic (persisting for many weeks to months). Soft-tissue infections can be localized or focal (e.g. impetigo, abscess) or diffuse (e.g. cellulitis, fasciitis). A clinically useful distinction with important management implications subdivides soft-tissue infections into non-necrotizing and necrotizing processes.1 The key to treating serious infections successfully is prompt recognition, followed by appropriate antibiotic and, when needed, surgical therapy.

Specific infections of skin and skin structures can be grouped according to causative organism(s), the soft tissues involved (related to specific layers or depth of invasion) or the clinical syndrome (setting and presentation).1 Other relevant issues include the epidemiology,5,15–17 pathogenesis18 and prognosis of the infection.19 Most proposed organizational schemata are cumbersome and difficult to remember or apply. We believe that a clinically useful system should be based on easily obtainable demographic, historical, physical
mation period of <24 h after trauma or surgery is most consistent with infection caused by *Streptococcus pyogenes*, *Clostridium perfringens* or *Pasteurella multocida*.

Other pathogens that characteristically have a short incubation period, abrupt onset and rapid progression include *Aeromonas hydrophila* and *Vibrio vulnificus*.

On the other hand, wound infections caused by *S. aureus* and Enterobacteriaceae usually incubate for at least 48–72 h (often longer) before clinical manifestations become evident, and they tend to advance less quickly. Small inocula may be followed by an incubation period of longer than a week. Indurated erythema developing at the site of vaccinia inoculation more than a week after immunization is more probably due to a robust cell-mediated immune response than to a bacterial superinfection. Patients with devitalized tissue or immunological deficiencies are susceptible to infections with bacteria generally considered non-pathogenic for normal hosts (e.g. coagulase-negative staphylococci, diphtheroids or *Bacillus* species).

**Cellulitis**

Most routine cellulitis acquired in the community is caused by *S. pyogenes* and/or *S. aureus*.

Cellulitis due to *S. aureus* is more likely to be bullous and associated with a concomitant skin wound. Group A (and less often groups B, C and G) β-haemolytic streptococci can cause particularly aggressive cellulitis. When the lymphatics are involved by *S. pyogenes*, the skin becomes tense and palpably thickened to produce a ‘peau d’orange’ feel and appearance. The resulting erysipelas has elevated, well demarcated and usually rapidly advancing borders. The extremities, face, breast and perianal area may be involved.

Patients often have prominent constitutional complaints, such as fever, chills and malaise, which may antedate local signs or symptoms. Acute febrile neutrophilic dermatosis (‘Sweet’s syndrome’) may present as a facial cellulitis resembling erysipelas.

Recurrent cellulitis is predominantly due to group A and other β-haemolytic streptococci. Repeated bouts of lower-extremity cellulitis may follow saphenous venectomy or varicose vein stripping.

Recurrent cellulitis at other sites (e.g. the arm or breast) is frequently caused by impaired lymphatic drainage secondary to neoplasia, radiation therapy, surgery or prior infection. Patients with a persistent break in the cutaneous barrier also experience recurrent cellulitis. A common factor predisposing to recurrent cellulitis is tinea pedis, which is present in about half of the reported cases involving the leg. After the acute infection is controlled, the primary dermatological condition must be addressed to prevent recurrences. Patients with diabetes mellitus, chronic renal failure on haemodialysis, or who use illicit parenteral drugs may develop recurrent staphylococcal skin infections. This problem has been linked to nasal carriage of *S. aureus* and eradicating carriage with topical or systemic antimicrobials may lower the incidence.

Pathogenic or symptom-prompted, patient-initiated antibiotic therapy may reduce morbidity from recurrent pyodermic infections. Unusual pathogens can cause recurrent cellulitis in immunocompromised hosts, as illustrated by *Helicobacter cinaedi* in HIV-infected patients. Some patients suffering from repeated episodes of what appears to be cellulitis actually have a non-infectious inflammatory disease. Episodes of ‘pseudoerysipelas’ are particularly difficult to distinguish from infection, but may respond more quickly and consistently to anti-inflammatory than to antimicrobial therapy. Lipodermatosclerosis can occasionally present repetitively as a tender red plaque on the lower leg above the medial malleolus in patients with venous insufficiency, mimicking recurrent infection.

Table 1. Classification of skin and skin-structure infections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Uncomplicated infections</th>
<th>Complicated infections</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>superficial: impetigo, ecthyma</td>
<td>secondary infections of diseased skin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>deeper: erysipelas, cellulitis</td>
<td>acute wound infections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hair follicle associated: folliculitis, furunculosis</td>
<td>traumatic bite-related post-operative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>abscess: carbuncle, other cutaneous abscesses</td>
<td>chronic wound infections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>diabetic foot infections</td>
<td>venous stasis ulcers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pressure sores</td>
<td>perianal cellulitis ± abscess</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Necrotizing fasciitis

- polymicrobial fasciitis (type I)
  - Fournier’s gangrene
  - synergic necrotizing ‘cellulitis’ with fasciitis and myonecrosis
  - streptococcal gangrene (type II)
  - fasciitis due to *V. vulnificus* and other *Vibrio* species

Myonecrosis

- crepitant myonecrosis
  - clostridial myonecrosis
  - traumatic gas gangrene
  - atraumatic gas gangrene
  - synergic necrotizing ‘cellulitis’ with fasciitis and myonecrosis
  - non-crepitant myonecrosis
  - streptococcal gangrene with myonecrosis
  - *A. hydrophila* myonecrosis
Skin and skin-structure infections

Table 2. Aetiological bacteria of soft-tissue infections by risk factor and setting

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factor/setting</th>
<th>Expected bacterial pathogen(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cat bite</td>
<td><em>P. multocida</em> and other <em>Pasteurella</em> species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog bite</td>
<td><em>P. multocida</em>, <em>Capnocytophaga canimorsus</em>, CDC group EF-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat bite</td>
<td><em>Spirillum minor</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shark bite</td>
<td><em>V. carchariae</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human bite</td>
<td><em>Eikenella corrodens</em>, <em>Fusobacterium</em>, <em>Prevotella</em>, streptococci, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal hides, carcasses</td>
<td><em>B. anthracis</em>, <em>Francisella tularensis</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injection drug use</td>
<td><em>S. aureus</em>, <em>Clostridium spp.</em>, <em>E. corrodens</em>, <em>S. pyogenes</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hot tub or wading pool</td>
<td><em>P. aeruginosa</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body piercing</td>
<td><em>S. aureus</em>, <em>S. pyogenes</em>, <em>P. aeruginosa</em>, <em>Clostridium tetani</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicinal leeches</td>
<td><em>A. hydrophila</em>, <em>Aeromonas sobria</em>, <em>Serratia marcescens</em>, <em>Vibrio fluvialis</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salon foot baths</td>
<td><em>Mycobacterium fortuitum</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fresh water injury</td>
<td><em>A. hydrophila</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt water injury</td>
<td><em>V. vulnificus</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil contamination</td>
<td><em>Nocardia brasiliensis</em>, <em>Clostridium</em> spp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fishmonger</td>
<td><em>E. rhusiopathiae</em>, <em>Streptococcus iniae</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fish tank exposure</td>
<td><em>Mycobacterium marinum</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Streptobacillus moniliformis*, the other recognized cause of rat-bite fever, does not classically present with prominent cutaneous signs at the site of the bite.

*P. aeruginosa* infections have generally followed piercing of the ear cartilage, not the ear lobe, and may cause disfiguring auricular chondritis.

**Haemophilus influenzae** is responsible for a distinctive cellulitis, usually in young children, which typically presents with a purplish discolouration of the cheek.70 It is frequently associated with bacteremia or meningitis.70,71 This entity has markedly decreased in frequency since the introduction of the conjugate *H. influenzae* type b vaccine.72–74 Although uncommon, *Streptococcus pneumoniae* occasionally causes cellulitis, especially in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus and other collagen vascular diseases.75,76

The aetiological agent responsible for cellulitis may be suggested by the specific clinical context (Table 2). *Erysipelothrix rhusiopathiae* is a pleomorphic Gram-positive bacillus responsible for a generally indolent cellulitis, most commonly involving fingers that come into contact with fresh fish.77,78 The *erysipeloid* lesion is characteristically painful, well demarcated, slightly raised, faintly violaceous and spreads peripherally. Fever and systemic symptoms are not common,79 and untreated lesions often involute over a few weeks. Suggested antibiotic therapy is with penicillins, cephalosporins or ciprofloxacin, doxycycline or amoxicillin for 1–2 weeks is adequate for most cases unless inhalational exposure is also suspected.80

**P. multocida** and other *Pasteurella* species are Gram-negative cocccobacilli found in the oral cavity of many animals.87,88 Bites, scratches or licking of open wounds by cats or dogs may result in cellulitis within hours to a few days.4,11,89 *Tsonynovitosis* is the most frequent local complication of *Pasteurella* soft-tissue infection. Fever develops in a minority of cases and bacteremia is uncommon.80,90 Penicillins are effective treatment, but first-generation cephalosporins are unreliable.88 Broader-spectrum agents that provide more comprehensive coverage of the variety of aerobic and anaerobic organisms associated with bite wounds (e.g. oral amoxicillin–clavulananate or parenteral ampicillin–sulbactam) are often administered.4,10,91

*V. vulnificus* typically causes a rapidly advancing cellulitis associated with haemorrhagic bullae in both healthy persons and compromised hosts.92 The process may begin as, or progress to, necrotizing fasciitis (see below).93,94 The history typically discloses recent exposure of a skin abrasion to warm brackish seawater. *V. vulnificus* infection can result in disseminated disease culminating in septic shock, with or without a cutaneous portal of entry, usually in persons with cirrhosis or iron overload syndromes.95,96 Fulminant disease may quickly follow ingestion of raw oysters or clams in patients with hepatic cirrhosis, even when the liver disease is well compensated.94–96 *Vibrio hollisae* soft-tissue infections mimic the clinical picture of *V. vulnificus*. Other *Vibrio* species also cause serious wound infections, including *Vibrio carchariae* following shark bites, *Vibrio alginolyticus* and *Vibrio damselae*. Prompt antibiotic therapy, ideally with doxycycline, and early surgical intervention are usually indicated.

**Complicated skin infections**

**Clinical presentations**

Simple pyodermas are the most common skin infections, but are generally easy to diagnose, involve a limited number of predictable
pathogens and respond well to oral antibiotics. Complicated infections (e.g. extensive cellulitis, perianal abscess, traumatic or surgical wound infections, and foot infections in diabetic patients) are both more severe and difficult to treat. Even when limb- or life-threatening, these infections may be indolent in their pace but inexorably progressive despite medical therapy. The aetiological microbes in complicated infections are predominantly Staphylococcus aureus and streptococci, but often involve mixed Gram-positive and Gram-negative aerobic and anaerobic bacteria as well. Enteric Gram-negative bacilli and P. aeruginosa tend to be associated with nosocomially acquired infections as well as infections in compromised hosts and injection drug users.

Several clinical syndromes are recognizable. Crepitant cellulitis may complicate dirty community-acquired traumatic injuries as well as surgical wounds. Nosocomial cases have developed at indwelling catheter sites. Clostridial cellulitis is a superficial infection associated with less systemic toxicity than clostridial myonecrosis (gas gangrene; see section indwelling catheter sites). The process is usually indolent and rarely life threatening. Pain is relatively mild, and the bullous and necrotic skin lesions of gas gangrene do not develop. Crepitis, however, is more prominent in clostridial cellulitis than in gas gangrene. Gram-stained smears of exudate or aspirations disclose abundant large Gram-positive bacilli with surprisingly few neutrophils, and C. perfringens is usually recovered from anaerobic cultures. The clinical picture of non-clostridial crepitis resemble clostridial cellulitis but may be more aggressive; causative bacteria include combinations of facultative species (e.g. Escherichia coli, Klebsiella, various streptococci) and strict anaerobes (e.g. Bacteroides, Peptostreptococcus).

A focal but necrotizing infection, termed progressive bacterial synergic gangrene, presents ~1–2 weeks after surgery as a necrotic ulcer with an outer zone of violaceous erythema. The process results from co-infection with a microaerophilic Streptococcus and either S. aureus or a Gram-negative bacillus. The involved area progressively enlarges in widening circles unless treated appropriately by both antibiotics and wide surgical excision of all infected tissue. The syndrome of purpura fulminans may complicate sepsis, but has been recognized as a cause of sporadic and epidemic skin infections arising in the community in those patients with no other risk factors, most commonly in children and young adults.

Community-acquired MRSA often harbour the novel type IV staphylococcal cassette chromosome (SCC) mec element, which typically does not confer resistance to antimicrobial drugs other than β-lactam antibiotics, and sometimes contains the Panton–Valentine virulence gene, which may be involved in the pathogenesis of necrotizing skin or lung infections.

Several antibiotic classes have been shown to be effective, alone or in combination, against complicated soft-tissue infections: penicillin–β-lactamase inhibitor combinations (e.g. ampicillin–sulbactam, piperacillin–tazobactam), cephalosporins of all generations (e.g. cefazolin, cefixime, cefotixin), fluoroquinolones (e.g. levofloxacin, moxifloxacin, clinafloxacin), glycopeptides (e.g. vancomycin), quinupristin/dalfopristin and oxazolidinones (e.g. linezolid). Clindamycin and metronidazole are often added to the regimen to cover anaerobes, depending on the clinical context and other antibiotics being used. Reported clinical response rates are typically ~80–90%, with similar microbiological eradication rates. New agents for treating these infections may be helpful because of growing antibiotic resistance and to enhance convenience.

The traditional carbapenems (e.g. imipenem and meropenem) cover an exceptionally wide spectrum of aerobic and anaerobic pathogens, and have been found to be effective in complicated soft-tissue infections. However, these older Group 2 carbapenems may have a broader spectrum than needed for most skin and soft-tissue infections, and require multiple daily doses. In contrast, ertapenem is a new Group 1 carbapenem antibiotic that is given once a day and is active against aerobic and anaerobic organisms generally associated with community-acquired infections. Its spectrum is appropriate for many complicated skin and structure infections. A large multicentre trial for this indication compared intravenous therapy with ertapenem (1 g once a day) with piperacillin–tazobactam (3.75 g every 6 h) in a randomized double-blind study of 540 adults. The most common conditions were soft-tissue abscesses and diabetic lower extremity infections. Patients with necrotizing fasciitis and myonecrosis were excluded. Mean duration of antibiotic therapy was 9–10 days. Clinical cure rates exceeded 80% and were statistically equivalent for the two regimens. Response rates for the two treatment groups were similar when stratified by diagnosis and infection severity. The overall frequency and severity of drug-related adverse events were also comparable in both treatment groups. Thus,
ertapenem appears to offer an additional option for complicated skin and skin-structure infections likely to be caused by susceptible mixed flora.

Although the intravenous route has traditionally been used to initiate treatment for most complicated infections, oral antibiotics may be adequate under some circumstances. Typically such cases involve patients with mild-to-moderate infections for whom there are appropriate agents available that can be tolerated by the oral route. Increasingly, oral therapy has been substituted in a step-down approach from the initial parenteral therapy. Certain antibiotics reliably achieve essentially equivalent plasma concentrations when administered parenterally or orally (e.g. trimethoprim–sulfamethoxazole, metronidazole, doxycycline, linezolid and the fluoroquinolones). Other drugs (e.g. amoxicillin–clavulanate and clindamycin) are less bioavailable after oral administration at standard doses but may still achieve therapeutic levels. For patients who do not require intravenous access for other reasons, intramuscular therapy is another consideration. Ertapenem and ceftriaxone are characterized by a long half-life and little local pain or inflammation after intramuscular administration may be most beneficial for outpatients who need only a limited number of parenteral doses, or as a stopgap measure sometimes in association with intravenous therapy. 

If *S. aureus* with methicillin resistance or decreased susceptibility to vancomycin becomes widespread in the community, the standard empirical approach to antibiotic therapy for serious community-acquired infections will need to be carefully rethought.

**Necrotizing fasciitis**

Necrotizing fasciitis is an uncommon life-threatening infection affecting subcutaneous tissue. Onset is often acute and the course can be rapid. The confusing nomenclature is based on the aetiological agent(s), clinical findings, type and level of tissue involved, and rate of progression (Table 3). The term encompasses two distinct bacteriological entities that share many pathological and clinical features.

**Polymicrobial (type I) necrotizing fasciitis**

Factors that predispose to type I necrotizing fasciitis include diabetes mellitus, morbid obesity, alcoholism and parenteral drug use. The most common sites of involvement are the legs, abdominal wall, perineal area, postoperative wounds and, in the newborn, the umbilical stump. The affected area is initially swollen, erythematous with indistinct margins, warm, shiny and exquisitely tender. The process evolves with sequential colour changes from red–purple to patches of blue–grey. Cutaneous bullae and frank gangrene ultimately develop. Soft-tissue gas is often detectable, and the central area may become

---

**Table 3. Distinguishing features of the major types of deep, diffuse, necrotizing soft-tissue infections requiring prompt surgical intervention**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth of involvement</th>
<th>Usual pathogens</th>
<th>Predisposing event</th>
<th>Incubation period</th>
<th>Rate of progression</th>
<th>Characteristic features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fascia and muscle</td>
<td>Obligate and facultative anaerobes group A&gt;C&gt;G&gt;B streptococci</td>
<td>Wound</td>
<td>Long (48–96 h)</td>
<td>Hours to days</td>
<td>Fouled-smelling drainage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin, fascia, muscle</td>
<td>Minor cut or abrasion</td>
<td>Short (6–48 h)</td>
<td>A few hours</td>
<td>Distinct margins</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscle</td>
<td>Traumatic: <em>C. perfringens</em> &gt; <em>C. novyi</em></td>
<td>Contaminated wound</td>
<td>Short (6–48 h)</td>
<td>A few hours</td>
<td>Extreme systemic toxicity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscle</td>
<td>Atraumatic: <em>C. septicum</em></td>
<td>Gastrointestinal lesion, but no local insult</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscle and fascia</td>
<td>Obligate and facultative anaerobes or <em>A. hydrophila</em></td>
<td>Variable (12–96 h)</td>
<td>Hours to days</td>
<td>Soft-tissue gas when polymicrobial aetiology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Gas gangrene**

(Non-clostridial myonecrosis)

**Polymicrobial necrotizing fasciitis (type I)**

**Streptococcal gangrene**

(necrotizing fasciitis type II)

**Gas gangrene**

(clostridial myonecrosis)

**Non-clostridial myonecrosis**
anaesthetic secondary to destruction of superficial sensory nerves. Cutaneous hypoesthesia and prominent systemic toxicity may antedate the appearance of skin necrosis and indicate that the process is deeper than a superficial cellulitis. The infection dissects along the tissue plane just superficial to the deep fascia. Marked oedema may produce a compartment syndrome with secondary myonecrosis, requiring prompt decompression. Gram-stained smears of exudate usually reveal a mixture of Gram-positive and Gram-negative organisms. Specimens of pus and deep tissue should be cultured for aerobes and anaerobes; some of the pathogens may be recovered from blood cultures.

**Fournier’s gangrene.** Fournier’s gangrene refers to necrotizing fasciitis involving the male genital region. The process may be confined to the scrotum or extend to the perineum, penis, buttock and abdominal wall. Predispersing factors include diabetes mellitus, local trauma, paraphimosis, perirethral extravasation of urine, perirectal or perianal infections, and genitourinary surgery. The infection commonly begins as a cellulitis adjacent to the portal of entry. Scrotal oedema and crepitation quickly increase, and dark purple patches develop and rapidly progress to extensive scrotal gangrene. With prompt and appropriate treatment (including surgery, antibiotics and sometimes hyperbaric oxygen), the testicles can often be preserved and the scrotum will usually regenerate.

Synergic necrotizing cellulitis. Synergic necrotizing cellulitis is a confusing misnomer for a distinctive clinical variant of necrotizing fasciitis with prominent direct involvement of muscle. Most infections involve the lower extremities. The lesion begins as a clustered area of small ulcers draining foul-smelling ‘dishwater’ pus. Circumscribed areas of gangrene develop around the draining areas, while the intervening skin remains uninvolved despite underlying necrosis. Local pain and tenderness are marked; crepitus, systemic toxicity and bacteraemia are common.

**Streptococcal gangrene (type II necrotizing fasciitis)**

Streptococcal gangrene occurs after minor trauma or surgery, particularly in patients with diabetes or peripheral vascular disease. Groups B, C and G streptococci cause infections indistinguishable from the classic group A gangrene, and tend to affect diabetic patients. Necrotizing fasciitis caused by streptococci may be a prominent part of the ‘toxic strep syndrome’. Even when *S. aureus* is isolated from necrotic tissue, it usually contributes little to the pathogenesis. Streptococcal gangrene can be confined to the dermis, but the fascia is often the major site of involvement and myonecrosis may be the dominant process. Startlingly fast progression of erythema with distinct borders, quickly followed by gangrene, is a hallmark of this infection. Gram-stained smears of exudate usually reveal chains of Gram-positive cocci, sometimes with interspersed clusters of larger Gram-positive cocci, and blood cultures may yield streptococci. High-dose penicillin and/or clindamycin appear to be the treatment of choice. However, prompt and adequate debridement, often requiring repeated operative procedures, is essential.

**Myonecrosis**

Bacterial myonecrosis can be caused by a variety of organisms, some of which produce gas deep within the involved muscles. Myonecrosis often coexists with wound infection and necrotizing fasciitis. Clinical distinctions are usually based on the presence or absence of crepitus and the presumed identity of the causative organism.

**Clostridial myonecrosis**

Clostridial myonecrosis (gas gangrene) refers to a rapidly progressive, life-threatening, toxicaemic infection of skeletal muscle caused by clostridial species (principally *C. perfringens*). It usually occurs after deep trauma with gross contamination or, less often, surgery. Rare cases have occurred after minor trauma (e.g. parenteral injections). Despite the high frequency of clostridial contamination of open wounds, the low incidence of gas gangrene attests to the prerequisite for devitalized tissue or foreign bodies in the pathogenesis of this infection. *Clostridium* species have been recovered from wounds and blood cultures in patients without evidence of infection due to these organisms.

The usual incubation period between injury and the onset of clostridial myonecrosis is 2–3 days, but may be as short as 6 h. Typically the onset is alarmingly sudden, with pain out of proportion to the inciting injury. Cutaneous signs soon become apparent and the patient appears toxicaemic. Brownish foul-smelling drainage, containing numerous organisms but few leucocytes, exudes from the wound. Gas bubbles may be visible in the discharge and deep crepitus is usually present, although generally not prominent. Tense blebs containing serosanguineous fluid develop in skin overlying necrotic muscle. Radiographs of involved areas reveal characteristic gaseous dissection of muscle and fascial planes. At surgery, infected muscle may initially exhibit only pallor, oedema and loss of elasticity, but rapidly becomes frankly necrotic. In the earliest stages, prior to its discoulouration and dissolution, non-viable muscle may be identified by its lack of bleeding and failure to contract on stimulation.

Gram-stained smears of wound exudate or a bleb aspirate reveal only a few neutrophils but many large Gram-positive bacilli with blunt ends. *C. perfringens* is the most common isolate, followed in frequency by *Clostridium novyi* and *C. septicum*. Enteric *Gram*-negative bacilli and enterococci are sometimes recovered, reflecting the contaminated nature of the initiating lesion. *C. perfringens* may produce gas in anaerobic broth within 6 h of inoculation, providing an early presumptive identification of the infecting species.

The approach to suspected gas gangrene includes urgent surgical exploration to define the nature and extent of the process, to obtain specimens for stains and cultures, and to carry out appropriate debridement. Prompt, extensive, and often repeated, surgery is the principal treatment. All involved muscle, regardless of its gross appearance, should be resected so that the margins contain healthy bleeding tissue. Liberal fasciotomies to drain and decompress swollen fascial compartments may be necessary; unfortunately, limb amputation is sometimes required. Antibiotic therapy has traditionally consisted of high-dose intravenous penicillin. Currently, clindamycin (600 mg intravenously every 6–8 h) is often added to penicillin on the basis that the combination of penicillin with clindamycin has
been shown to provide greater efficacy than either agent alone in a murine model of gas gangrene.\textsuperscript{175,185–190}

Ancillary therapy for gas gangrene includes fluid and electrolyte replacement and often blood transfusions. The efficacy of hyperbaric oxygen therapy has not been conclusively established, but it may have an important role early in the treatment of seriously ill patients or in those with extensive involvement of the trunk in whom definitive surgical excision would be impossible or excessively mutilating.\textsuperscript{176,191–201} The usefulness of intravenously administered polyvalent antitoxin has not been demonstrated in this setting.\textsuperscript{175,202}

Non-clostridial myonecrosis

Non-clostridial myonecrosis encompasses at least four relatively distinct entities that differ from gas gangrene in their pathogenesis, clinical features and bacteriology: streptococcal myositis ± type II fasciitis (see earlier discussion under ‘Necrotizing fasciitis’); synergic necrotizing ‘cellulitis’ with type I fasciitis and myonecrosis (see earlier discussion under ‘Necrotizing fasciitis’); \textit{A. hydrophila} myonecrosis; and superinfected dry gangrene. The last entity is a focal, usually indolent and primarily ischaemic process in the small muscles of a distal lower extremity already gangrenous from arterial insufficiency. Diabetic patients are prone to develop this complication, which usually does not extend beyond the area of vascular gangrene to involve viable muscle.

Rapidly progressive myonecrosis resembling clostridial gangrene but caused by \textit{Aeromonas} species (usually \textit{A. hydrophila}) may occur after injuries sustained (or contaminated) in a freshwater environment, or in conjunction with medicinal leech therapy.\textsuperscript{165,203,204} Lymphoma and leukaemia are predisposing factors in some cases.\textsuperscript{117} Cellulitis often develops within 12–48 h, accompanied by excruciating pain, marked oedema and bullae, serosanguineous drainage and systemic toxicity. Bacteraemia is frequently documented. Treatment requires prompt antimicrobial therapy and wide surgical debridement. Most isolates of \textit{Aeromonas} are susceptible \textit{in vitro} to gentamicin, trimethoprim–sulfamethoxazole, ciprofloxacin and third- or fourth-generation cephalosporins.

General observations on diagnosis and management

Initial management

The first and economically most important decision in treating skin infections concerns the need for hospitalization. Most pyodermas and uncomplicated soft-tissue infections do not require hospitalization. Complicated infections often require admission, especially if muscle or fascial involvement is suspected, the process is rapidly progressing, signs of toxemaia are developing, the diagnosis or prognosis is in doubt, exploratory surgery is contemplated or the patient cannot adequately comply with outpatient treatment. At the time of admission, the physician should carefully delineate and record the apparent extent of infection and consider whether or not surgical consultation or further diagnostic measures (see section ‘Diagnostic tests’) are indicated. Concurrently, any necessary supportive care should be initiated and an empirical antimicrobial regimen selected. Watchful waiting for 24–48 h on antibiotics to assess the clinical response to medical therapy may be appropriate in stable hospitalized patients. Local signs of cellulitis may initially worsen after starting therapy, but then should gradually improve. Rapidly advancing erythema or unrelenting toxaemia should precipitate more aggressive evaluation. These patients must be reassessed regularly and frequently (preferably by the same clinician) during the first few hours and days after admission.\textsuperscript{161} Many patients with cellulitis can be discharged in less than a day by using outpatient antimicrobial therapy.\textsuperscript{205}

Diagnostic tests

Basic haematological studies and serum chemistries are appropriate for seriously ill patients. Leucocytosis (white blood cell count > 15,400/mm\textsuperscript{3}) or hyponatraemia (serum sodium < 135 meq/L) increases the likelihood that necrotizing fasciitis is present in a patient with a severe soft-tissue infection.\textsuperscript{21} The utility of diagnostic aspiration of cellulitic skin is debated because of its low yield in identifying pathogens by stain or culture.\textsuperscript{206,207} When performed, aspirating near the advancing border of erythema or the point of maximal inflammation is usually advocated to increase the yield.\textsuperscript{208}

On occasion, a Gram-stained smear of an appropriate wound specimen may provide rapid guidance to the causative organisms.\textsuperscript{7} A positive Gram-stained smear can be highly predictive of culture results when adequate samples from the site of infection can be obtained and properly processed. The presence of abundant neutrophils usually indicates the adequacy of the sample, but some histolytic bacteria, such as \textit{C. perfringens}, destroy inflammatory cells at the site of infection. White cells also adhere to cotton-tipped swabs used to culture exudate, which dry quickly and absorb the specimen. For many complicated infections, a culture may provide useful information in selecting appropriate therapy; tissue specimens or aspirates are generally preferred to wound swabs. Blood cultures may be informative in those patients with systemic signs and symptoms, but their cost-effectiveness is low in uncomplicated patients with routine cellulites.\textsuperscript{209,210} In a study of adults with cellulitis, the probability of a contaminant (3.6%) exceeded that of a true pathogen (2.0%).\textsuperscript{209}

Other diagnostic tests can supply important information in difficult or confusing cases. Soft-tissue radiographs may demonstrate a foreign body or gas in deep tissues.\textsuperscript{211} Computerized tomography or magnetic resonance imaging sometimes assist in defining the depth and extent of the process when the diagnosis of fasciitis or myonecrosis is in doubt.\textsuperscript{175,211} Often, surgical exploration is the most prudent diagnostic approach for seriously ill patients in whom the window of opportunity may be narrow.\textsuperscript{212}

Medical treatment

Antibiotic therapy. The clinical setting, apparent severity of the infection and the results of available laboratory tests should largely guide the choice of an antibiotic regimen. Initial empirical therapy of cellulitis should almost invariably cover aerobic Gram-positive cocci. The clinical course, epidemiological clues, local antibiotic susceptibility trends and/or microscopic examination of appropriate specimens may dictate broader coverage. Definitive therapy should ultimately be based on culture and susceptibility results as well as the clinical response to empirical therapy.

Ancillary measures. Simple measures such as elevation or compression of an oedematous\textsuperscript{213} or inflamed extremity and therapy with non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs may hasten symptomatic resolution.\textsuperscript{214,215} Although non-steroidal drugs have been implicated in accelerating the progression of streptococcal gangrene and other forms of necrotizing fasciitis,\textsuperscript{216–220} the weight of evidence suggests that the putative association simply reflects masking of serious signs and symptoms by these anti-inflammatory agents,\textsuperscript{221–224} delaying accurate diagnosis and appropriate management.\textsuperscript{167} Glucocorticoids may accelerate healing and reduce long-term relapse rates in patients with...
Surgical indications. Operative intervention is rarely needed for uncomplicated pyodermas or cellulitis, but is critical to the diagnosis and therapy of necrotizing fasciitis and myonecroses. Prompt surgical exploration with histopathological examination, extensive debridement of devitalized tissue and decompression of ischaemic compartments is widely advocated for patients with suspected deep tissue necrosis, especially if rapidly advancing or adjacent to the trunk. The timing and extent of debridement are often the most hotly debated management decisions at the bedside in patients with diffuse necrotizing infections. As a general rule, it is usually safer to err on the side of too early or too much surgery. Getting ahead of a rapidly advancing fascitis or myonecrosis offers the only real chance of cure in many cases.
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