Constrained patterns of covariation and clustering of HIV-1 non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor resistance mutations
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Objectives: We characterized pairwise and higher order patterns of non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NNRTI)-selected mutations because multiple mutations are usually required for clinically significant resistance to second-generation NNRTIs.

Patients and methods: We analysed viruses from 13,039 individuals with sequences containing at least one of 52 published NNRTI-selected mutations, including 1,133 viruses from individuals who received efavirenz but no other NNRTI and 1,510 viruses from individuals who received nevirapine but no other NNRTI. Of the 17 reported etravirine resistance-associated mutations (RAMs), Y181C/I/V, L100I, K101P and M230L were considered major based on published in vitro susceptibility data.

Results: Efavirenz preferentially selected for 16 mutations, including L100I (14% versus 0.1%, P<0.001), K101P (3.3% versus 0.4%, P<0.001) and M230L (2.8% versus 1.3%, P=0.004), whereas nevirapine preferentially selected for 12 mutations, including Y181C/I/V (48% versus 6.9%, P<0.001). Twenty-nine pairs of NNRTI-selected mutations covaried significantly, including Y181C with seven other mutations (A98G, K101E/H, V108I, G190A/S and H221Y), L100I with K103N, and K101P with K103S. Two pairs (Y181C+V179F and Y181C+G190S) were predicted to confer 10-fold decreased etravirine susceptibility. Seventeen percent of sequences had three or more NNRTI-selected mutations, mostly in clusters of covarying mutations. Many clusters had Y181C plus a non-major etravirine RAM; few had more than one major etravirine RAM.

Conclusions: Although major etravirine RAMs rarely occur in combination, 2 of 29 pairs of covarying mutations were associated with 10-fold decreased etravirine susceptibility. Viruses with three or more NNRTI-selected mutations often contained Y181C in combination with one or more minor etravirine RAMs; however, phenotypic and clinical correlates for most of these higher order combinations have not been published.
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Introduction

The non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NNRTIs) bind to a hydrophobic pocket in the reverse transcriptase (RT) enzyme close to, but not contiguous with, the polymerase active site. These compounds inhibit HIV-1 replication allosterically by blocking translocation of the template–primer following nucleotide incorporation, or by displacing the catalytic aspartate residues relative to the polymerase-binding site. Mutations responsible for NNRTI resistance occur in this hydrophobic inhibitor-binding pocket.1 A single mutation in this pocket can lead to high-level resistance to one or more first-generation NNRTIs, including efavirenz and nevirapine. However, two or more mutations are required to cause high-level resistance to etravirine, rilpivirine and other second-generation NNRTIs.2–5 Therefore, we decided to explore the landscape of NNRTI resistance mutations in combinations of two or more, as viruses with these combinations are likely to be most troublesome for NNRTIs with higher genetic barriers to resistance.

We used publicly available HIV-1 RT sequence data from the HIV Drug Resistance Database (HIVDB)6 to identify patterns of mutations associated with efavirenz and nevirapine, the two most commonly used first-generation NNRTIs, and examined pairwise and higher order correlations among NNRTI-selected mutations. Our results provide new insights into the genetic mechanisms of NNRTI resistance and have important implications for the use of second-generation NNRTIs.
Patients and methods

**Virus RT sequences**

HIV-1 Group M RT sequences were compiled from published studies in the HIVDB. These included a set of 47,350 sequences from 39,687 individuals and a set of 9,349 sequences from a large reference laboratory. To use no more than a single sequence per individual, we selected the sequence with the greatest number of NNRTI-selected mutations from each of the individuals in the first set and excluded all those \( n = 2548 \) from the second set that differed from each other at \(< 5.0\% \) of their nucleotide positions.

We studied only sequences obtained by direct PCR sequencing of plasma HIV-1 RNA because these are the sequences obtained in clinical settings. Sequences of molecular clones were excluded because of their higher probability of containing PCR errors. Sequences obtained from other sources, particularly proviral peripheral blood mononuclear cell DNA, were excluded because they are at higher risk of containing defective genomes such as viruses with G to A hypermutation.

To assess the patterns in which NNRTI-selected mutations occur, we analysed only those sequences containing one or more NNRTI-selected mutations. This enabled us to study sequences obtained from individuals who are likely to be NNRTI experienced, regardless of the availability of a complete treatment history or the possibility of non-adherence.


We also examined the extent to which the 52 NNRTI-selected mutations covaried with mutations at 12 major nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NRTI) resistance positions, including M41L, K65R, M67N, T69S_SS, K70E, K70R, L74V, L74I, V75MT, Y115F, Q151M, M184V/I, L210W, T215F and T215Y. 18 Sequences that contained at least one NNRTI-selected mutation and at least one of the above NRTI-selected mutations were analysed using the same methods described for assessing covariation within the NNRTI mutation dataset.

**Comparison of nevirapine- and efavirenz-selected mutations**

We characterized each NNRTI-selected mutation by its frequency within sequences from individuals with a history of having received either efavirenz but no other NNRTI, or nevirapine but no other NNRTI. We then calculated the Pearson \( \chi^2 \) statistic for the association of each NNRTI-selected mutation with efavirenz or nevirapine treatment, based on a 2 x 2 contingency table of the numbers of isolates from patients treated with each drug and the numbers of isolates with and without the mutation. For this analysis only, electrophoretic mixtures were scored as mutations.

**Covariation analysis**

We used the Jaccard similarity coefficient \( J \) to identify pairwise correlations among the 52 NNRTI mutations in 13,039 sequences from unique individuals. Covariation analysis was run on the full set of sequences and on the subsets corresponding to sequences from individuals with a history of receiving either efavirenz or nevirapine but no other NNRTI.

We created 2 x 2 contingency tables to indicate the number of times a sequence contained both mutations, only one of the two mutations or neither of the two mutations. Overall, we were 1288 contingency tables representing all possible pairs of mutations except for permutations of mutation pairs at the same position: 1326 (52 choose 2) pairs minus 38 pairs of mutations at the same position. For each comparison, sequences containing an electrophoretic mixture at either of the two positions were excluded because of the inability to determine whether the two NNRTI-selected mutations were present in the same genome.

For a pair of mutations \( X \) and \( Y \), the Jaccard similarity coefficient is calculated as \( J = N_{XY}/(N_{X}+N_{Y}−N_{XY}) \) where \( N_{XY} \) represents the number of sequences containing mutation \( X \) and mutation \( Y \), \( N_{X} \) represents the number of sequences containing \( X \) but not \( Y \), and \( N_{Y} \) represents the sequences containing \( Y \) but not \( X \). This statistic therefore examines only the sequences containing both \( X \) and \( Y \) in the context of sequences containing at least one of the mutations, thereby eliminating the heavy weighting given to the double-negative category in such statistical analyses as the \( \chi^2 \) test. This is particularly important so as not to exaggerate the significance of pairs of rare mutations, where the double-negative category is very large.

To test whether the observed Jaccard similarity coefficients were statistically significant, we calculated the expected value of the Jaccard similarity coefficient \( J_{\text{expected}} \) for each pair of mutations as if the two mutations \( (X \) and \( Y \) occurred independently. \( J_{\text{expected}} \) was calculated as the mean Jaccard similarity coefficient after 2000 random rearrangements of the \( X \) or \( Y \) vector (containing 0 or 1 for the presence or absence of a mutation, respectively). \( J_{\text{expected}} \), the standard error of \( J \), was calculated using a jackknifed procedure, which removed one sequence at a time, repeatedly for each sequence. The standardized score \( Z \), where \( Z = (J−J_{\text{expected}})/\text{SE} \), indicates a significant positive association when \( Z > 1.96 \) or a significant negative association when \( Z \) is less than \(-1.96 \), at an unadjusted \( P < 0.05 \). The case of \( N_{X}=N_{Y}=0 \) results in a \( Z \)-score of negative infinity; Fisher’s exact one-tailed test, using the \( R \) command fisher.test with a left-tailed alternative hypothesis, was used to approximate the \( P \) value for those comparisons with \( N_{X}=N_{Y}=0 \).

Holm’s correction was used to control the familywise error rate for multiple pairwise comparisons. The \( P \) values of the \( n \) pairwise comparisons are ranked in ascending order, where \( p(1) \) is the smallest (ranked first) and \( p(n) \) is the \( n \)th ranked. Starting from \( p(1) \), one compares each \( p(i) \) with \( \sigma(n−i+1) \) where \( \sigma \) is the false discovery rate (in this case, \( 0.05 \)) and \( n \) is the total number of pairwise comparisons, until \( p(j) > \sigma(n−j+1) \). All correlations between pairs for \( p(1),...,p(n−1) \) are considered statistically significant.

We modelled the distributions of mutations in each sequence using Poissonness plots, following the method of Hooglin, \( ^{12,13} \), and conducted a parametric bootstrap using a multivariate Poisson simulation to assess the distribution of positive and negative correlations obtained from the Jaccard covariation analysis. This step was important in evaluating the possible biological significance of the negative correlations.

We also examined the extent to which the 52 NNRTI-selected mutations covaried with mutations at 12 major nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NRTI) resistance positions, including M41L, K65R, M67N, T69S_SS, K70E, K70R, L74V, L74I, V75MT, Y115F, Q151M, M184V/I, L210W, T215F and T215Y. \( ^{18} \) Sequences that contained at least one NNRTI-selected mutation and at least one of the above NRTI-selected mutations were analysed using the same methods described for assessing covariation within the NNRTI mutation dataset.

**Multidimensional scaling**

We performed multidimensional scaling on the pairwise association data using a matrix \( D \) of dissimilarity coefficients \( (d_{ij} = 1−J, \text{ where } J \text{ is the Jaccard similarity coefficient}) \) for the 22 mutations found in the significantly positively associated pairs (corrected \( P < 0.05 \): A98G, L100I, K101E, K101H, K101P, K102N, K103N, K103S, V106A, V106I, V106M, V108I, V179D, V179F, Y181C, Y188L, G190A, G190S, H221Y, P225H, Y232H, L234I, S105T, V106A, K101E, K101H, K101P, K102N, K103N, L210W, T215F, T215Y) and a set of 9349 sequences from a large reference laboratory. \( ^{7} \)
F227L and K238T. For a list of mutations \((X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n)\), multidimensional scaling constructs points in two-dimensional (2-D) space such that the Euclidean distances between these points approximate the entries in the dissimilarity matrix.\(^{19}\) For a given matrix, it computes points \(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n\) in 2-D space such that \(S = \sum_i (d_i - d_{ij})^2\) is minimized where \(d(X_i, X_j)\) is the Euclidean distance between \(X_i\) and \(X_j\), and \(d_{ij}\) is the dissimilarity between \(X_i\) and \(X_j\) in the matrix \(D\). This was performed using the \(R\) function cmdscale (classical multidimensional scaling).

**Cluster analysis**

We also identified all sequences containing three or more NNRTI-selected mutations and characterized the patterns of mutations by their frequency in the dataset and by whether they were composed of mutations that covaried with one another. Sets of three or more mutations in which each mutation covaried with each of the other members in the set were referred to as clusters or cliques (a term derived from graph theory). A lower threshold for covariation was used for this analysis (uncorrected \(P < 0.05\)) than in the pairwise comparison, to facilitate the identification of clusters. As for all previous covariation analyses, we used no more than one sequence per patient.

**Results**

**Viruses and sequences**

HIV-1 Group M RT sequences from 13039 individuals had \(\geq 1\) of the 52 study-defined NNRTI-selected mutations. Of these individuals, 2394 were NNRTI naive, 5699 were NNRTI experienced and 4946 had uncertain NNRTI treatment histories. Among the NNRTI-experienced individuals, 1133 had received efavirenz only; 2292 had received nevirapine only (1510 who were treated with nevirapine and 782 women who received a single dose of nevirapine to prevent mother-to-child transmission); and 430 had received both efavirenz and nevirapine. The complete NNRTI treatment history was unavailable for the remaining 1844 NNRTI-experienced individuals. The dataset contained 6977 sequences (54% of the total) with one mutation, 3829 (29%) with two, 1521 (12%) with three, 501 (4%) with four, 172 (1%) with five, 36 (0.3%) with six, 2 with seven, and 1 with eight mutations. Sequences from 10504 individuals (81%) belonged to subtype B, 747 (6%) to subtype C, 363 (3%) to circulating recombinant form (CRF) 01_AE, 320 (2%) to CRF02_AG, 210 (2%) to subtype A and 895 (7%) to other subtypes or recombinants.

**Comparison of efavirenz- and nevirapine-selected mutations**

Figure 1 compares the prevalence of each of the 52 NNRTI-selected mutations in the sequences from the 1133 individuals who received efavirenz only and from the 1510 individuals who received nevirapine only. The most common mutations in sequences from efavirenz-experienced individuals were K103N (72% of the sequences), L100I (14%), P225H (11%), V108I (11%), G190A (9.5%), Y188L (9.3%), G190S (7.2%), K101E (7.2%) and V106M (7.0%). The most common mutations in sequences from nevirapine-experienced individuals were Y181C (46% of the sequences), K103N (43%), G190A (26%), H221Y (12%) and K101E (11%). Twenty-eight mutations deviated significantly (\(P < 0.05\)) from the distribution that would be expected in the absence of treatment association. Figure 1a shows the 16 mutations that occurred significantly more commonly in efavirenz-exposed individuals (L100I, K101P, K103N, V106I/M, V108I, V179D, Y188H/I, G190E/S/Q, P225H, F227Y, M230L and K238T), and Figure 1b shows the 12 that occurred significantly more commonly in nevirapine-exposed individuals (A98G, K101E, K103S, V106A, E138A, T139Y, Y181C/I/V, Y188C, G190A and H221Y). Figure 1c shows the frequencies of the mutations that were not significantly associated with either drug.

Among the sequences from 782 women treated with a single dose of nevirapine, the most frequently observed mutations were K103N (28%), Y181C (15%), Y188C (6%), G190A (5%) and E138A (4%). Y188C was the only mutation to occur significantly more frequently among the single-dose nevirapine sequences (6%) than among the full dataset of 1510 nevirapine-experienced individuals (2%).

Sequences from 213 individuals (27%) had at least one etravirine RAM, including 118 (15%) with at least one major etravirine RAM (115 with Y181C, 1 with L100I and 1 with both). No sequence contained \(> 3\) etravirine RAMs.

**Covariation of NNRTI-selected mutations**

To examine the extent of covariation among the NNRTI-selected mutations, we performed separate Jaccard analyses of the complete set of sequences from 13039 individuals with viruses containing at least one NNRTI-selected mutation, the 1133 sequences from individuals who had received only efavirenz and the 1510 sequences from individuals who had received only nevirapine. Among the 13039 sequences that comprised the full dataset, 66 of the 1288 possible mutation pairs had positive covariations, and 29 of these covariances were statistically significant after the Holm's adjustment for multiple comparisons (\(P < 0.05\)). Table 1 shows the results of this analysis on the 29 pairs of significantly covarying mutations. G190A (n = 9), Y181C (n = 8), V108I (n = 6), K101E (n = 4), H221Y (n = 4) and F227L (n = 4) were the most common mutations among these 29 pairs. Tables S1 to S4 (available as Supplementary data at JAC Online [http://jac.oxfordjournals.org/]) show the results of the analysis on all 1288 mutation pairs for the complete dataset, the efavirenz-alone dataset and the nevirapine-alone dataset.

Of the 29 pairs of mutations that were significantly correlated in the full dataset, 8 pairs covaried (uncorrected \(P < 0.05\)) in the efavirenz-alone subset, 5 pairs covaried in the nevirapine-alone subset and 4 pairs covaried in both subsets (Table 1). In 13 of the 29 significantly covarying pairs, both mutations were preferentially selected by the same NNRTI.

The major etravirine RAM Y181C was significantly associated with G190A, H221Y, V108I, A98G, G190S and V179F. L100I was significantly associated with K103N, and K101P was significantly associated with K103S. M230L was most strongly associated with K103N (\(P = 0.003\) uncorrected, not significant following correction for multiple comparisons).

We also found 225 negatively correlated mutation pairs, including 116 that had statistically significant negative correlations after adjusting for multiple comparisons. However, a parametric bootstrap using the multivariate Poisson analysis of a random dataset containing the same number of mutations per sample and the same prevalence of each mutation indicates that a large number of negative correlations is to be expected.

\[\text{dist}(X_i, X_j) = \sqrt{(d_i - d_{ij})^2}\]

where \(d_{ij}\) is the dissimilarity between \(X_i\) and \(X_j\) in the matrix \(D\). This was performed using the \(R\) function cmdscale (classical multidimensional scaling).
because our analysis included only isolates containing at least one NNRTI resistance mutation.

Multidimensional scaling
We used the 22 mutations that contribute to $\geq 1$ of the 29 significantly covarying pairs in the full dataset for multidimensional scaling. Figure 2a, b and c shows the mutations on axes representing the first versus second, first versus third and second versus third principal coordinates. The first principal coordinate accounts for 36% of the total inertia and separates the predominantly nevirapine-selected mutations A98G, K101E, V108I, Y181C, G190A and H221Y from the other NNRTI resistance mutations. The second principal coordinate accounts for 25% of the total inertia and separates out V106A and F227L. The third principal component accounts for 15% of the total inertia and separates K103N and its associated mutations (L100I, P225H and K238T) from G190S and Y188L. The remaining principal coordinates did not account for a significant amount of the total inertia and were not shown.

Figure 1. Treatment associations of NNRTI-selected mutations. This figure shows the associations of 52 NNRTI-selected mutations on treatment with efavirenz (EFV) or nevirapine (NVP), based on a Pearson’s $\chi^2$ analysis of the frequency of the mutation among sequences from individuals treated with EFV or NVP but no other NNRTI. (a) The 16 mutations preferentially selected ($P<0.05$) by efavirenz; (b) the 12 mutations preferentially selected by nevirapine; (c) the remaining 24 mutations, which were not significantly associated with either drug.
not significantly improve the goodness of fit of the multidimensional scaling and were therefore not plotted. Although V179F covaried with Y181C, it is not shown in Figure 2 because it occurred too uncommonly to be accurately placed by multidimensional scaling.

**NNRTI–NRTI correlations**

To assess the covariation of NNRTI- and NRTI-selected mutations, we performed a Jaccard analysis on sequences from 9564 individuals with viruses containing at least one mutation from each category. After adjusting for multiple comparisons at \( P < 0.05 \), we identified 39 positive and 40 negative statistically significant correlations between 52 NNRTI-selected mutations and the 17 major NRTI-selected mutations. The strongest positive correlations were between the NRTI mutations L74V/I and the NNRTI mutations Y181C and L100I. Another notable, though weaker, positive correlation exists between K65R and Y181C. The NNRTI-associated mutation A98G was also strongly positively correlated (corrected \( P < 0.01 \)) with the thymidine analogue mutations M41L, D67N, L210W and T215F/Y. The full results of this analysis are available in Tables S1 to S4 [available as Supplementary data at JAC Online (http://jac.oxfordjournals.org/)].

**Higher order clustering of NNRTI mutations**

Approximately 17% ( \( n = 2233 \) ) of RT sequences with one or more NNRTI-selected mutation contained three or more NNRTI-selected mutations. Table 2 shows the 20 most commonly...
occurring mutational triplets, of which 14 meet the definition of a mutational cluster, or clique (each mutation covaries with each other mutation in the triplet). The remaining six triplets contain K103N in combination with a pair of covarying mutations. The 20 triplets listed in Table 2 occurred in 48% ($n = 1069$) of sequences with three or more mutations.

In addition to the 14 mutational clusters included in the 20 most commonly occurring triplets, we identified 43 less common clusters. The 57 clusters could be characterized as follows: (i) 3 clusters of five mutations: A98G/K101E/V108I/Y181C/G190A, K101E/V108I/Y181C/G190A/H221Y and K103H/V108I/Y181C/G190A/H221Y, each of which contains within it 5 clusters of four mutations and 10 clusters of three mutations ($n = 48$); (ii) 2 additional clusters of four mutations: K101H/V108I/Y181C/G190A, K101N/K103H/Y181C/G190A and V108I/G190A/H221Y/F227L, each of which contains 4 clusters of three mutations.

**Figure 2.** Multidimensional scaling of NNRTI-selected mutations. This figure is a 2-D projection of the distances among 21 of the 22 mutations occurring in significantly covarying pairs (corrected $P < 0.05$, Table 1) in sequences containing at least one NNRTI-selected mutation: (a) compares the first and second principal coordinates; (b) compares the first and third principal coordinates; (c) compares the second and third principal coordinates. The distance between any two mutations is measured by their Jaccard dissimilarity coefficient, $J_D$, where $J_D$ is equal to 1 minus the Jaccard similarity coefficient. The R command cmdscale was used to compare the first three principal coordinates from a table of $J_D$s for each pairwise comparison. V179F is not included in this graphic; despite a significant positive correlation with Y181C, the comparison produces a high Jaccard dissimilarity coefficient, causing a misleading placement in multidimensional scaling.
three mutations (n=15); and (iii) 7 additional clusters of three mutations: K101E/Y181C/G190S, K101H/Y181C/G190S, K103N/E138Q/K238T, S105T/V106A/F227L, V106A/G190A/F227L, V106M/G190A/F227L and V179F/Y181C/G190A. Two clusters of four mutations and 11 clusters of three mutations were present in more than one superset.

Discussion

Prior to the licensing of etravirine in 2008, only a few studies examined the patterns of NNRTI resistance mutations arising following NNRTI treatment failure because failure with one NNRTI generally presaged failure with a second NNRTI.\(^\text{10–24}\) Since the licensing of etravirine, however, several studies have examined the likelihood of virological response to etravirine among individuals in whom previous NNRTI therapies were unsuccessful.\(^\text{4,25–29}\) Moreover, the introduction of etravirine has led to the identification of novel NNRTI-selected mutations that often decrease susceptibility to efavirenz and nevirapine as well as to etravirine or other second-generation NNRTIs.\(^\text{2,20,31}\)

In this study, we sought to identify and quantify associations among NNRTI-selected mutations. Our analysis differs from previous co-variation analyses\(^\text{15,22}\) in that we examined an expanded set of mutations—including recently identified non-polymorphic NNRTI-selected mutations\(^\text{29}\) and the complete list of etravirine RAMs—in a very large number of sequences. In addition, we sought to control for associations that might reflect similar treatment exposure rather than a potential biophysical mechanism. Toward this end, we investigated only sequences containing one or more NNRTI-selected mutations, to minimize the likelihood that a history of NNRTI exposure (NNRTI naïve versus NNRTI experienced) was responsible for the association. We then systematically examined the preferential selection of NNRTI resistance mutations by efavirenz or nevirapine and the covariation of mutations within subsets of efavirenz- or nevirapine-experienced individuals, to assess whether pairwise covarations could reflect the selection pressure of the NNRTI received.

Although the viruses that emerge during treatment with efavirenz or nevirapine are often resistant to both drugs, our analysis shows that efavirenz and nevirapine select for NNRTI resistance mutations in very different proportions. For example, nevirapine selects for V106A, Y181C/I/V and G190A significantly more frequently than efavirenz, whereas efavirenz preferentially selects for L100I, K101P, V106M, Y188L, G190S and P225H. These data suggest that patients developing virological failure while receiving nevirapine may be at increased risk of developing virological failure with etravirine because Y181C/I/V—which occurs in 45% of individuals receiving nevirapine—forms the foundation for high-level etravirine resistance.\(^\text{4,12,13}\) Conversely, although the two efavirenz-selected mutations L100I and K101P are major etravirine RAMs, combined they occur in <20% of patients receiving etravirine. Nevirapine-associated etravirine RAMs, including Y181C, G190A and E138A, were also among the most commonly observed mutations in sequences from 782 women treated with a single dose of nevirapine.

With the exception of the uncommon mutations Y181I/V, multiple mutations are required for moderate to high-level etravirine resistance (>10-fold reduction in susceptibility).\(^\text{4,26}\) We identified 29 pairs of significantly covarying NNRTI-selected mutations in viruses from ~13000 individuals whose sequences contained at least one NNRTI-selected mutation. Of these 29 pairs, 22 contained at least one etravirine RAM. Nine of these 22 pairs contained major etravirine RAMs: Y181C (n=7), L100I (n=1) or K101P (n=1), but only 2 pairs are predicted to confer moderate to high-level etravirine resistance: Y181C+Y179F and Y181C+G190S. Although Y179F and G190S do not reduce etravirine susceptibility when they occur individually, they are highly synergistic with Y181C; Y181C+Y179F reduces etravirine susceptibility ~100-fold and Y181C+G190S reduces etravirine susceptibility ~20-fold.\(^\text{4,12,13}\)

Approximately 17% (n=2233) of individuals with one or more NNRTI-selected mutations had viruses with at least three NNRTI-selected mutations. Nearly half of these viruses contained at least 1 of 20 common patterns of mutation triplets, suggesting that these patterns of mutations are particularly compatible with enzymatic function and drug resistance. Most of these patterns consisted solely of mutations that co-varied with one another (mutational clusters), and the remainder consisted of K103N in combination with one or more pairs of co-varying mutations. Y181C was the only major etravirine RAM among the 20 most common mutational triplets. However, eight of these triplets contained 2 of the 17 etravirine RAMs, and six triplets had 3 etravirine RAMs. According to published phenotypic data, only 1 of the 20 triplets is predicted to have high-level resistance to etravirine—K101E, Y181C and G190S—but intermediate resistance to etravirine has previously been associated

Table 2. The 20 most common mutational triplets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mutation X</th>
<th>Mutation Y</th>
<th>Mutation Z</th>
<th>Occurrences*</th>
<th>Cluster†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K101E</td>
<td>Y181C</td>
<td>G190A</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V108I</td>
<td>Y181C</td>
<td>G190A</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K103N</td>
<td>Y181C</td>
<td>G190A</td>
<td>180</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V108I</td>
<td>Y181C</td>
<td>H221Y</td>
<td>165</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A98G</td>
<td>Y181C</td>
<td>G190A</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y181C</td>
<td>G190A</td>
<td>H221Y</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K103N</td>
<td>Y181C</td>
<td>H221Y</td>
<td>135</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K103N</td>
<td>V108I</td>
<td>H221Y</td>
<td>102</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K101E</td>
<td>V108I</td>
<td>Y181C</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K101E</td>
<td>V108I</td>
<td>G190A</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K103N</td>
<td>V108I</td>
<td>Y181C</td>
<td>87</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V108I</td>
<td>G190A</td>
<td>H221Y</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A98G</td>
<td>V108I</td>
<td>Y181C</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A98G</td>
<td>K103N</td>
<td>Y181C</td>
<td>74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A98G</td>
<td>K101E</td>
<td>G190A</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A98G</td>
<td>K101E</td>
<td>Y181C</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K101H</td>
<td>Y181C</td>
<td>G190A</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K101E</td>
<td>Y181C</td>
<td>H221Y</td>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A98G</td>
<td>K103N</td>
<td>V108I</td>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K101E</td>
<td>Y181C</td>
<td>G190S</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Occurrences are the number of sequences, from unique patients, that contain mutations X, Y and Z.
†Indicates whether the triplet can be described by a mutational cluster, where each pair of mutations within the triplet is positively correlated with \(p<0.05\).
with the presence of Y181C or K101E plus at least two other NNRTI resistance mutations.\textsuperscript{2,4,26}

In a previous study, Rhee et al.\textsuperscript{15} found 11 significant pairwise correlations between NNRTI-selected mutations (P<0.05 corrected), of which two pairs, K103N/Y181C and K103N/V108I, were not positively correlated in our analysis. This is almost certainly because we included only sequences containing one or more NNRTI-selected mutation, reducing the likelihood that the co-occurrence of mutations was confounded by a history of NNRTI exposure. The following nine pairs were still significantly correlated in our analysis: Y181C/G190A, K101E/G190A, Y181C/H221Y, V108I/H221Y, L100I/K103N, V108I/Y181C, V106A/F227L, K101E/Y181C and K103N/P225H. Likewise, Ceccherini-Silberstein et al.\textsuperscript{22} reported five significant associations among the NNRTI-selected mutations that we studied: Y181C/H221Y, V108I/H221Y, L100I/K103N, K103N/P225H and K103N/K238T. Although we found that four of the pairs described by these studies consist of mutations selected preferentially by nevirapine (K101E, Y181C, G190A and H221Y) and that K103N and its associated mutations are all selected preferentially by efavirenz, we also found that the covariance of every pair was independent of the particular NNRTI received, suggesting a potential biophysical interaction rather than treatment pressure.

In conclusion, viruses from patients with virological failure following nevirapine treatment are more likely to have major etravirine RAMs than viruses from patients who failed treatment with efavirenz. This is because Y181C/I/V occur more commonly with nevirapine than with efavirenz, and because mutations at this position provide the foundation for high-level etravirine resistance. Few patterns among covarying pairs of mutations and the most common mutational triplets are predicted to be associated with moderate to high-level etravirine resistance. However, most patterns contained one or more minor etravirine RAMs in combination with a major etravirine RAM, particularly Y181C. These relatively constrained higher order mutational patterns constitute a potential target for future studies of the phenotypic and clinical significance of etravirine RAMs.
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