membrane impermeability, derive mainly from wild-type cephalosporinases by structural alterations in the R2 binding site that accommodates the R2 lateral side chain of β-lactams. Taken together, these findings suggest that acquired resistance to avibactam and extension of the hydrolysis spectrum of class C β-lactamases might result from the same structural changes. It prompted us to investigate the inhibitory activity of avibactam against extended-spectrum AmpC β-lactamases containing structural changes in the R2 binding site.

Six isogenic Escherichia coli recombinant clones—E. coli TOP10 pEC14, E. coli TOP10 pEC16, E. coli TOP10 pEC17, E. coli TOP10 pEC18, E. coli TOP10 pMEV and E. coli TOP10 pBER—that have been characterized in previous work that—were tested in this study. They expressed extended-spectrum AmpC enzymes with representative structural alterations in the R2 binding site, such as the Val-298→Leu and His-296→Pro replacements, the Ser-287→Asn and Ser-287→Cys substitutions, and the Ser-282 duplication and the tandem duplication of the alanine residues at positions 294 and 295. All these modifications occurred in the R2 loop of the H-9 and H-10 helices, which are secondary structures surrounding the R2 binding site.

The MICs of ceftazidime, cefepime and imipenem were determined using an agar dilution technique on Mueller–Hinton agar (Sanofi Diagnostics Pasteur, Paris, France) plates and Mueller–Hinton agar supplemented with 4 mg/L avibactam with an inoculum of 10<sup>5</sup> cfu per spot, and were interpreted according to the guidelines of the CLSI. Results are shown in Table 1. Avibactam restored the susceptibility to ceftazidime and cefepime of all recombinant clones, thus confirming its potency against these variant enzymes. These results are in agreement with the crystallographic study carried out by Lahiri et al., which showed the weak interaction between avibactam and the R2 binding site of the AmpC β-lactamase of Pseudomonas aeruginosa. According to that study, the carbamoyl and sulphate groups of avibactam, and the carbonyl oxygen of the acylated compound, did not interact with the R2 binding site of the AmpC enzyme. By contrast, the hydrophobic side chain of the Ala-293 residue, which is located in the R2 loop, interacted with the piperidine ring of the inhibitor. In conclusion, the present study demonstrates that avibactam, which is a novel covalent non-β-lactam β-lactamase inhibitor, remains active against extended-spectrum AmpC β-lactamases, suggesting that this molecule could be used in clinical practice with limited risk of selecting AmpC variants.
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Sir,

We conducted a preliminary investigation into the in vitro susceptibility of Burkholderia pseudomallei to ceftaroline. In Northern Australia and similar regions, both melioidosis and methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) are common causes of community-acquired pneumonia and sepsis. The clinical presentations of these infections are indistinguishable. This has led to first-line empirical treatment of life-threatening community-acquired infections in this region with a combination of ceftazidime/meropenem and vancomycin. Previous investigations have looked at the susceptibilities of melioidosis using Remel RapID NF. Laboratory Gram-negative quality-control organisms had MICs within the reference range for the M.I.C.E. batch. Thirty-nine isolates had their ceftaroline MIC determined (see Figure 1).

An MIC₅₀ of 8 mg/L and an MIC₉₀ of 32 mg/L were determined. A histogram was produced; however, no epidemiological cut-off value could be suggested as four of the isolates had an MIC above the maximum level tested. For the same reason, a meaningful IQR could not be calculated.

Our preliminary investigation suggests that ceftaroline would not be an appropriate single-agent replacement for empirical vancomycin plus ceftazidime/meropenem for serious infections during the wet season in Northern Australia and similar regions. The MIC₅₀, MIC₉₀ and minimum MIC are all higher than the EUCAST pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic breakpoint of 0.5 mg/L for ceftaroline. With normal dosing, the peak concentration ($C_{\text{max}}$) of ceftaroline is 18.96–21.02 mg/L. Using our susceptibility figures this would give a $C_{\text{max}}$/MIC₉₀ of 0.5925 and make very unlikely the clinical achievement of 100% T $>$ 4–5 $\times$ MIC.
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Synergistic activity and effectiveness of a double-carbapenem regimen in pandrug-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae bloodstream infections
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Sir, Infections due to carbapenemase-producing Klebsiella pneumoniae (CP-Kp) are associated with a high mortality rate.1,2 Therapeutic options are limited, especially when associated with colistin resistance.3 In this setting, a double-carbapenem regimen has been shown to be effective and safe.3,4

Herein, we evaluated through antibiotic kill studies the in vitro synergistic activity of meropenem plus ertapenem against pandrug-resistant CP-Kp isolated from three patients with bacteraemia who were successfully treated with double-carbapenem therapy.

For each patient, informed consent to participate in the study was obtained.

Case 1

An adult patient underwent aortic endoprosthesis placement. One year later, he developed periprosthetic infection that was treated with antimicrobial therapy and prosthetic replacement.

During hospitalization, the patient had fever (39°C) and three blood cultures were positive for CP-Kp resistant to colistin (ertapenem, meropenem and colistin MICs of 128, 256 and ≥16 mg/L, respectively). Therapy with 3 g of fosfomycin every 6 h and 50 mg of tigecycline every 12 h was started without response. Therefore, 2 g of meropenem every 8 h plus 1 g of ertapenem every 24 h was given for 21 days with complete recovery.

**Case 2**

An adult patient underwent aorto-bisiliac graft placement. Four years later, the patient was hospitalized for revascularization of the left lower limb and after 2 days he developed fever (38.5°C). Three blood cultures were positive for CP-Kp resistant to colistin (ertapenem, meropenem and colistin MICs of 256, 256 and ≥16 mg/L, respectively). Meropenem (1 g) every 12 h (adjusted for creatinine clearance) plus 6 mg/kg daptomycin every 24 h was given without any clinical response. Subsequently, therapy was changed to 500 mg of ertapenem every 24 h and 1 g of meropenem every 12 h according to creatinine clearance. The patient became afebrile after 48 h of treatment and blood cultures were sterile. However, he died 2 days later due to acute heart failure.

**Case 3**

An adult patient was hospitalized because of arterial embolization due to renal haematoma. One day later, the patient had fever (39°C) and 4.5 g of piperacillin/tazobactam every 8 h was started with no clinical response. Blood cultures were positive for CP-Kp resistant to colistin (ertapenem, meropenem and colistin MICs of 256, 128 and ≥16 mg/L, respectively). Meropenem (1 g) every 8 h plus 50 mg of tigecycline every 12 h was given with partial response. Therapy was changed to 2 g of meropenem every 8 h plus 1 g of ertapenem every 24 h and administered for 24 days with complete recovery.

Phenotypic analyses showed that the three isolates were all KPC producers, in agreement with a previous report indicating that the circulating strain in our hospital is KPC-3.6,7 The activity of meropenem, alone and plus ertapenem, was investigated by time–kill studies using an initial inoculum of ~5 × 10^6 cfu/mL for all isolates. At 2, 4, 6, 8 and 24 h timepoints, the number of cfu was determined. Bactericidal activity was defined as ≥99.9% reduction of the initial bacterial count at each timepoint. Synergy was defined as a ≥100-fold decrease in cfu/mL between the combination and its most active constituent after 24 h.

The time–kill analysis showed that ertapenem or meropenem alone exhibited an initial reduction in log cfu/mL followed by a significant regrowth at 24 h in all the patients (Figure 1). When the double-carbapenem combination was assessed, a bactericidal and synergistic activity was achieved at 4, 6 and 8 h and maintained at 24 h at concentrations of meropenem 0.5 MIC plus ertapenem 1 MIC, meropenem 1 MIC plus ertapenem 1 MIC and meropenem 2 MIC plus ertapenem 1 MIC in all the patients (Figure 1).

In the setting of CP-Kp infections, the role of double-carbapenem regimens containing ertapenem has been recently reviewed.6,9 In fact, ertapenem, whose activity is greatly affected...