Expression of homologous RND efflux pump genes is dependent upon AcrB expression: implications for efflux and virulence inhibitor design
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Objectives: Enterobacteriaceae have multiple efflux pumps that confer intrinsic resistance to antibiotics. AcrB mediates clinically relevant multidrug resistance and is required for virulence and biofilm formation, making it an attractive target for the design of inhibitors. The aim of this study was to assess the viability of single transporters as a target for efflux inhibition using Salmonella Typhimurium as the model pathogen.

Methods: The expression of resistance–nodulation–division (RND) efflux pump genes in response to the inactivation of single or multiple homologues was measured using real-time RT-PCR. Phenotypes of mutants were characterized by measuring antimicrobial susceptibility, dye accumulation and the ability to cause infection in vitro.

Results: The expression of all RND efflux pump genes was increased when single or multiple acr genes were inactivated, suggesting a feedback mechanism that activates the transcription of homologous efflux pump genes. When two or three acr genes were inactivated, the mutants had further reduced efflux, altered susceptibility to antimicrobials (including increased susceptibility to some, but conversely and counterintuitively, decreased susceptibility to some others) and were more attenuated in the tissue culture model than mutants lacking single pumps were.

Conclusions: These data indicate that it is critical to understand which pumps an inhibitor is active against and the effect of this on the expression of homologous systems. For some antimicrobials, an inhibitor with activity against multiple pumps will have a greater impact on susceptibility, but an unintended consequence of this may be decreased susceptibility to other drugs, such as aminoglycosides.
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Introduction

Efflux is an important mechanism of multidrug resistance in bacteria, conferring decreased susceptibility to a wide range of substrates including antibiotics, dyes, detergents and biocides.1 This makes them an attractive target for the design of inhibitors that could be used to potentiate the use of existing antimicrobials.

Resistance–nodulation–division (RND) efflux transporters are found in the inner membrane of Gram-negative bacteria and form a complex with an outer membrane channel and a periplasmic adaptor protein (PAP) to form a tripartite efflux pump system spanning both the inner and outer membrane.2,3 The substrates of these multiprotein complexes are structurally diverse and include antibiotics, biocides, dyes, detergents and host-derived molecules. The active efflux of substrates by RND systems is responsible for the intrinsic resistance of Gram-negative bacteria to multiple classes of structurally distinct antimicrobials.1

Salmonella have five RND efflux pump systems: AcrAB, AcrD, AcrEF, MdtABC and MdsABC. Further RND efflux pumps are found in some other members of the Enterobacteriaceae, including MdtF in Escherichia coli.4 The transporter protein AcrB, and its homologues in other Gram-negative bacteria, is considered the most clinically relevant RND system because it has the broadest substrate profile and is more abundant within the cell than are other efflux systems.1 Inactivation of acrB increases the susceptibility of laboratory mutants of E. coli, Salmonella enterica and other Enterobacteriaceae to many antimicrobials, whereas overexpression confers multidrug resistance, including to clinically efficacious agents. Such mutants have been selected in vitro and in vivo.5–8 Efflux via AcrB is driven by the proton-motive force
and forms a tripartite complex with PAP, AcrA and the outer membrane channel TolC.9 The recent elucidation of the structure of AcrB in complex with different substrates of varying molecular weights has revealed two large, discrete, multisite binding pockets within AcrB, which may explain how AcrB can transport such structurally varied substrates.10,11

Single deletions of RND efflux pump genes other than acrB have little or no effect on the susceptibility of Enterobacteriaceae to most antimicrobial agents.12 The antimicrobial susceptibility of deletion mutants and strains with an increased expression of certain RND efflux pumps indicates that there is an overlap or redundancy between the antimicrobials, biocides, dyes and detergents that can be transported by the different RND pumps of Salmonella.12,13 AcrF is the closest homologue of AcrB in Salmonella (80% identity) and AcrEF overexpression can suppress antibiotic hypersusceptibility in AcrB-deficient strains.12,13 AcrD (64% identity to AcrB) and MdtABC have similar substrate profiles including SDS, novobiocin, deoxycholate, some β-lactams, copper and zinc.12,14 In E. coli and Salmonella, AcrD also transports aminoglycoside antibiotics.15,16 MdsABC is found only in Salmonella and in LB medium is expressed at lower levels than the other four RND efflux pumps.17 However, in a strain lacking AcrB and overexpressing mdsAB, encoding the pump and PAP, or mdsABC, encoding all three components, susceptibility to novobiocin, acriflavine, Crystal Violet, methylene blue, rhodamine 6G, benzalkonium chloride and SDS was decreased compared with the AcrB mutant alone. This suggests that MdsABC is capable of exporting these compounds.18

In addition to their role in resistance to antimicrobials, some RND efflux pumps are also required for the virulence of many Gram-negative pathogens.19 In Salmonella Typhimurium, inactivation of acrB attenuated the invasion of tissue culture cells in vitro and colonization in poultry.20,21 Inactivation of acrAB or acrEF has also been shown to attenuate the virulence of the organism in mice.12

The regulation of RND efflux pumps is complex; transcriptional control is multilayered and some regulators control the expression of more than one pump. In Salmonella and E. coli, the regulation of acrAB is the best studied. The acrA and acrB genes are encoded in a single operon and are co-regulated. At a local level, acrAB is repressed by AcrR, which is encoded alongside and divergently transcribed from acrAB. At a global level, members of the AcrC/XylS family of DNA transcriptional activators, such as MarA, SoxS, Rob and RamA, all influence the expression of acrAB-tolC and have overlapping recognition sites.22–27 AcrD and MdtABC are both under the control of the two-component regulatory system BaeSR and CpxAR, which induce the expression of AcrD and MdtABC in response to high levels of indole, copper, zinc or envelope stress.28,29 However, the expression of acrEF in E. coli is generally low due to repression by the global regulator H-NS.30 However, acrEF is also encoded alongside a gene encoding a local repressor, AcrS (previously EnvR), which inhibits the expression of acrAB and acts as a regulatory switch between the expression of acrAB and acrEF.31

Due to the functional redundancy of RND pumps, potential inactivation of homologous systems, there must be a tightly controlled and integrated regulatory network that can respond to a loss of efflux function. While the literature contains multiple examples of the regulation of single efflux systems or a small number of efflux systems, an integrated network of regulation is yet to be elucidated.

The role of RND systems in both antimicrobial resistance and virulence makes them attractive targets for the design of inhibitors. Using Salmonella Typhimurium as a model, the aim of the present study was to investigate the viability of single transporters such as AcrB as a target for efflux inhibition by investigating the expression and roles of structurally similar efflux pumps in antimicrobial resistance and virulence, as well as the extent of the redundancy between RND efflux pumps. This information is crucial for the rational design of inhibitors that inhibit all pumps, thus preventing resistance by a compensatory overproduction of homologous RND efflux systems.

Materials and methods

Strains and growth conditions

All strains were derived from S. enterica serovar Typhimurium SL1344.34 Salmonella was used as a model organism in this study as it is an important human pathogen that causes a significant number of infections annually. SL1344 is a widely studied pathogenic strain of Salmonella for which there are well-validated models of infection including an in vitro tissue culture model. Single-gene inactivated mutants were constructed as previously described.32–34 Mutants with multiple inactivated efflux pumps were created by P22 transduction between mutants in which single genes were inactivated or deleted. All mutants were verified by PCR and DNA sequencing. All experiments including MICs reveal that the phenotype of the marked and unmarked mutants for the same gene is indistinguishable. LB broth (Sigma-Aldrich, UK) and MOPS minimal medium (Teknova Inc., USA) were used throughout this study.

RNA extraction and real-time quantitative RT–PCR

Overnight cultures of Salmonella Typhimurium SL1344 and the test strains were grown in MOPS minimal medium at 37 °C. From each strain, three biological replicate RNA preparations were made and quantified as previously described.23 Primers (Table S1, available as Supplementary data at JAC Online) were designed with an annealing temperature of 57.3 °C using Beacon Designer 4.0 (Premier Biosoft, USA). cDNA was synthesized from 2 μg of total RNA using the SuperScript III cDNA synthesis kit (Invitrogen). Validation experiments were carried out using five cDNA standards of different concentrations (10, 1, 0.1, 0.01 and 0.001 ng/μL) to determine PCR efficiency for the housekeeping gene 16S and each test gene. Quantitative RT–PCR were set up in biological triplicate and technical duplicate in a Bio-Rad PCR tray using 1 μL of neat cDNA for the test genes and 1 μL of a 1:1000 dilution of cDNA for 16S in a 25 μL reaction containing 12.5 μL of iQ SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad, UK), 1 μL of primers (500 nM) and 9.5 μL of sterile water. Quantitative RT–PCR was carried out in a CFX96 real-time machine (Bio-Rad, UK) using the following protocol: 95 °C for 5 min followed by 40 plate read cycles of 95 °C for 30 s, 57.3 °C for 30 s and 72 °C for 30 s. Data were analysed using CFX Manager (Bio-Rad, UK) and expression ratios were calculated using the ΔΔCT method and normalized to the expression of 16S.36

Determination of susceptibility to antimicrobials

Biolog Phenotype Microarray data were confirmed by measuring growth in the presence of representative AcrB substrates. Briefly, overnight bacterial
cultures were diluted to $10^6$ cfu/mL and grown in a 96-well plate in Iso-Sensitest broth in the presence of selected drugs at 0.25x the WT MIC. The MICs of antibiotics, dyes and detergents were determined for each strain according to the standardized agar doubling dilution method procedure of the BSAC using Iso-Sensitest agar. The MIC was determined as the lowest concentration of antimicrobial that caused no visible growth. The values stated are the mode value from at least three biological replicates performed on at least three independent occasions. All the antimicrobials tested were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich, UK.

**Table 1.** Percentage nucleotide identity and amino acid similarity between the RND efflux pump genes and proteins of *Salmonella*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>acrB/AcrB</th>
<th>acrD/AcrD</th>
<th>acrF/AcrF</th>
<th>mdtB/MdtC</th>
<th>mdtC/MdtB</th>
<th>mdsB/MdsB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>acrB/AcrB</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>70/79.1</td>
<td>74/90.4</td>
<td>55/46.7</td>
<td>55/46.7</td>
<td>59/63.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>acrD/AcrD</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>68/78.2</td>
<td>54/49.1</td>
<td>54/49.1</td>
<td>59/61.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>acrF/AcrF</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>54/47.4</td>
<td>53/48.5</td>
<td>57/63.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mdtB/MdtB</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>62/66.0</td>
<td>56/49.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mdtC/MdtC</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>56/49.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mdsB/MdsB</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Expression of efflux pump genes is altered upon inactivation of homologous pumps**

To determine whether the expression of each gene was altered upon inactivation of one or more homologous genes, real-time quantitative RT–PCR was used to measure the level of *acrB*, *acrD* and *acrF* transcription in the single, double and triple efflux mutants compared with SL1344 (Table 2). As previously reported, in the *acrB* mutant, both *acrD* and *acrF* showed increased expression. In the *acrD* mutant, the expression of *acrB* was increased while *acrF* expression was unchanged. In the *acrF* mutant, both *acrB* and *acrD* showed increased expression. The expression of *mdsB* and *mdtB* was also affected by the loss of single RND pumps. The expression of *mdtB* was increased in the *acrF* mutant while expression of the *Salmonella*-specific efflux pump *mdsB* was decreased upon inactivation of *acrB*, *acrD* or *acrF* (Table 2).

When two genes were inactivated (e.g. *acrB* and *acrF* or *acrB* and *acrD*), the expression of *acrD* or *acrF* was increased, although expression was lower than in the strain lacking only *acrB* (L110). When *acrF* and *acrD* were inactivated, the expression of *acrB* was increased; this was greater than that seen upon inactivation of *acrD* and similar to that in the *acrF* mutant. Expression of the *mdtB* and *mdsB* efflux genes was increased in all acr gene double mutants (L646, L1297 and L1395), but in the triple acrBDF mutant only *mdsB* expression was increased. The expression of both *mdtB* and *mdsB* was highest in the acrDF mutant (L1395) (Table 2).

The expression level of known regulators of RND efflux was also measured. The expression of *ramA* and *marA* was increased when *acrB* was inactivated but was not changed in the *acrD* (L132) or *acrF* (L131) mutants (Table 2). The expression of *soxS* was increased in the *acrBF* mutant (6.3-fold), in the *acrDF* mutant (2.2-fold) and in the strain lacking all three RND pump genes. The expression of *rob* was not significantly altered in any of the mutants. The expression of the genes encoding the repressor proteins AcrR and AcrS was also measured. The transcription of *acrR* was decreased in the *acrB* mutant and transcription of *acrS* was increased in the *acrBDF* mutant (Table 2).

**Inactivation of two or more RND efflux systems altered antimicrobial susceptibility**

As previously described, the inactivation of *acrB* led to multidrug hypersusceptibility while the single inactivation of either *acrD* or *acrF* did not significantly alter the MICs of antibiotics, dyes and detergents compared with the WT strain. A reinterrogation of previously published data from the Biolog Phenotype Microarray...
**Table 2.** Expression of RND efflux pump genes and their regulators, quantified by real-time RT-PCR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>RND efflux pump genes</th>
<th>known regulators of efflux</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>acrB</td>
<td>acrD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SL1344 WT</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L644 ΔacrB</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L132 acrD::aph</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L131 acrF::aph</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L646 ΔacrF acrB::aph</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1297 ΔacrB acrD::aph</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1395 acrD::cat acrF::aph</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1405 ΔacrB ΔacrF ΔacrD::aph</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bold font indicates statistically significant (P ≤ 0.05) increased expression. Italic font indicates statistically significant (P ≤ 0.05) decreased expression.

showed that the acrD and acrF mutants grew better than SL1344 when exposed to four β-lactams, five macrolides and five quinolones (Table S2). This observation was confirmed by measuring the growth kinetics of the strains in the presence of representative AcrB substrates. However, the beneficial effect of lacking acrD or acrF was lost when acrB was deleted in the same strain (L1297 and L646, respectively) (Figure 1).

The antimicrobial susceptibility of the double mutant lacking AcrD and AcrF (L1395) was not significantly different from that of SL1344 (Table 3). Furthermore, except for ethidium bromide (for which the MIC value was lower) and the aminoglycosides (for which the MIC values were increased), the susceptibility of the acrBD (L1297), acrBF (L646) and the triple acrBDF (L1405) mutants to antibiotics, dyes and detergents was not significantly different from that of the acrB mutant. Surprisingly, the MICs of the aminoglycoside antibiotics, streptomycin, gentamicin and amikacin, were higher for the acrBDF (L646) mutant and the triple acrBDF mutant (L1405) than for the WT parental strain SL1344; the MIC of tobramycin was also greater for L1405 than SL1344 (Tables 3 and 4). All acrB mutants (L110, L646, L1297 and L1405) were more susceptible to the efflux inhibitors phenylalanine-arginine β-naphthylamide (PABN) and carbonyl cyanide m-chlorophenylhydrazone (CCCP) than the WT parental strain (SL1344).

**Inactivation of two or more RND efflux systems decreased efflux activity**

We previously showed that the inactivation of acrB led to an increased accumulation of the dye Hoechst 33342. Compared with SL1344, the inactivation of acrD (L132) or acrF (L131) or the inactivation of both acrD and acrF (L1395) did not significantly alter the accumulation of Hoechst 33342 (Figure 2). However, mutants with inactivation of acrB and another acr gene [acrBD (L1297) or acrBF (L646)] accumulated less Hoechst 33342 than the acrB mutant. The acrBDF mutant (L1405) accumulated the highest level of Hoechst 33342, indicating the lowest level of efflux. Accumulation of the fluoroquinolone antibiotic norfloxacin showed a similar pattern, although the acrB mutant accumulated a higher concentration than the acrBDF mutant (Figure 3).

**Inactivation of two or more RND efflux systems attenuated the ability of Salmonella to infect tissue culture cells**

In addition to their role in antimicrobial resistance, in many Gram-negative bacterial pathogens RND efflux pumps are required for the ability to cause infection. Inactivation of acrB has been previously shown to attenuate the invasion of Salmonella Typhimurium into mammalian cells growing in tissue...
The role of RND efflux pumps in multidrug resistance and virulence makes them attractive targets for the design of efflux inhibitors. We have shown that the expression of all RND efflux pump genes can be altered when single or multiple acr genes are inactivated. These data suggest that the bacterium can sense and respond to the levels of RND transporters and, due to overlapping substrate specificity, this affords the bacterium resilience to pre-existing chemotherapeutic agents.

Critical, we can correlate alterations in the efflux level and susceptibility of the 
acrBD and 
acrB mutants was not significantly differ-
ent from that of the mutant lacking only 
acrB and it is likely that this is because the other three RND systems are overproduced in both cases.

Table 3. MICs of antimicrobials for SL1344 and its mutants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>AMP</th>
<th>CHL</th>
<th>CIP</th>
<th>TET</th>
<th>NAL</th>
<th>EtBr</th>
<th>FUS</th>
<th>AMK</th>
<th>GENT</th>
<th>HYG</th>
<th>STR</th>
<th>TOB</th>
<th>PABN</th>
<th>CCCP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SL1344 WT</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>&gt;256</td>
<td>&gt;256</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&gt;1024</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L110 acrB::aph</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;0.008</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L644 acrB</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;0.008</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L131 acrF::aph</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>&gt;256</td>
<td>&gt;256</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&gt;1024</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L132 acrD::aph</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>&gt;256</td>
<td>&gt;256</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&gt;1024</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L646 acrF acrB::aph</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;0.008</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1297 acrB acrD::aph</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;0.008</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1395 acrD::cat acrF::aph</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>&gt;256</td>
<td>&gt;256</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&gt;1024</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1405 acrB acrF acrD::aph</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;0.008</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AMP, ampicillin; CHL, chloramphenicol; CIP, ciprofloxacin; TET, tetracycline; NAL, nalidixic acid; EtBr, ethidium bromide; FUS, fusidic acid; AMK, amikacin; GENT, gentamicin; HYG, hygromycin; STR, streptomycin; TOB, tobramycin. The aph gene used is aph(3′)-1, which provides resistance to kanamycin, neomycin and paromomycin. Bold font indicates an increase in MIC of the same compound compared with SL1344. Italic font indicates a decrease in the MIC of the same compound compared with SL1344.

Table 4. Fold change in MIC compared with ΔacrB (L644)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>AMP</th>
<th>CHL</th>
<th>CIP</th>
<th>TET</th>
<th>NAL</th>
<th>EtBr</th>
<th>FUS</th>
<th>AMK</th>
<th>GENT</th>
<th>HYG</th>
<th>STR</th>
<th>TOB</th>
<th>PABN</th>
<th>CCCP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L646 ΔacrF acrB::aph</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>−4</td>
<td>−2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1297 ΔacrB acrD::aph</td>
<td>−2</td>
<td>−4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1405 ΔacrB ΔacrF acrD::aph</td>
<td>−2</td>
<td>−4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AMP, ampicillin; CHL, chloramphenicol; CIP, ciprofloxacin; TET, tetracycline; NAL, nalidixic acid; EtBr, ethidium bromide; FUS, fusidic acid; AMK, amikacin; GENT, gentamicin; HYG, hygromycin; STR, streptomycin; TOB, tobramycin. The aph gene used is aph(3′)-1, which gives resistance to kanamycin, neomycin and paromomycin. Bold font indicates an increase in MIC compared with the same compound for L644. Italic font indicates a decrease in the MIC of the same compound for ΔacrB. No value indicates no difference in MIC values.

Discussion

We now show that single-gene inactivation of either acrD (L132) or acrF (L131) also significantly attenuates the virulence of Salmonella. Adhesion of L131 (acrF::aph) to human intestinal cells (INT 407) was 56.0% that of SL1344 and it invaded at only 39.1% of the WT level. L132 (acrD::aph) was even more attenuated; adhesion being only 29.0% that of SL1344 and invasion only 39.1% of the SL1344 level (Figure 4). When two efflux pump genes were inactivated or deleted, the ability of Salmonella to adhere to, and invade, INT 407 cells was attenuated more than that seen in single acr mutants (Figure 4). Invasion of the acrBD double mutant was the lowest among all single and double mutants, at only 0.4% of the WT level. The adhesion of the acrDF mutant, L1395 (acrD::cat, acrF::aph), was 83.7% that of the adhesion of SL1344, which is significantly greater than that of mutants lacking only one of these systems. Despite this, the invasion level of this mutant was only 17.8% that of the parental strain, showing that these two mutations have an additive effect upon invasive ability. When all three of the efflux genes were inactivated, in L1405, Salmonella was almost completely unable to adhere to or invade INT 407 cells (adhesion = 0.16% of the WT level, invasion = 0.004% of the WT level).

The role of RND efflux pumps in multidrug resistance and virulence makes them attractive targets for the design of efflux inhibitors. We have shown that the expression of all RND efflux pump genes can be altered when single or multiple acr genes are inactivated. These data suggest that the bacterium can sense and respond to the levels of RND transporters and, due to overlapping substrate specificity, this affords the bacterium resilience to pre-existing chemotherapeutic agents.

When two efflux pump genes were inactivated or deleted, the ability of Salmonella to adhere to, and invade, INT 407 cells was attenuated more than that seen in single acr mutants (Figure 4). Invasion of the acrBD double mutant was the lowest among all single and double mutants, at only 0.4% of the WT level. The adhesion of the acrDF mutant, L1395 (acrD::cat, acrF::aph), was 83.7% that of the adhesion of SL1344, which is significantly greater than that of mutants lacking only one of these systems. Despite this, the invasion level of this mutant was only 17.8% that of the parental strain, showing that these two mutations have an additive effect upon invasive ability. When all three of the efflux genes were inactivated, in L1405, Salmonella was almost completely unable to adhere to or invade INT 407 cells (adhesion = 0.16% of the WT level, invasion = 0.004% of the WT level).
Accumulation of norfloxacin. The data shown are the means of three biological replicates (±SEM). Student’s t-tests were performed to compare the accumulation of Hoechst 33342 by each strain with that of SL1344. Those returning P values of <0.05 are indicated by asterisks.

Figure 2. Hoechst 33342 accumulation in single, double and triple efflux mutants. The data presented are the means of three separate experiments presented as fold changes compared with SL1344 at the endpoint of the assay (±SEM). Student’s t-tests were performed to compare the accumulation of Hoechst 33342 by each strain with that of SL1344. Those returning P values of <0.05 are indicated by asterisks.

Figure 3. Accumulation of norfloxacin. The data shown are the means of three biological replicates (±SEM). Student’s t-tests were performed to compare the accumulation of norfloxacin by each strain with that of SL1344. Those returning P values of <0.05 are indicated by asterisks.

AcrD, which is known to transport aminoglycosides, and MdbB, which has a similar substrate profile to AcrD, are overexpressed in the acrBF mutant. This could explain the decreased susceptibility to the aminoglycosides seen in this mutant. The acrBDF mutant also had decreased susceptibility to the aminoglycosides.

The expression of mdsB is increased in this mutant but there is currently no evidence that this pump can transport aminoglycosides. Aminoglycosides enter bacterial cells by self-promoted uptake and it is possible that changes in the expression of genes encoding cell envelope components, including LPS, could be responsible for this effect.48

These data provide proof of principle that changes in the expression of pumps in response to the inactivation of RND efflux pumps can alter the susceptibility to clinically relevant antimicrobials. We postulate the same will be true when the pump proteins themselves are inhibited, and recent evidence showing that the efflux inhibitors PABN and 1-(1-naphthylmethyl)-piperazine (NMP) altered the expression of RND efflux pump genes in E. coli supports this.49 Additionally, this highlights the fact that any change in the phenotype of strains with single or multiple genes inactivated should be interpreted with caution as the phenotype represents the engineered inactivation and any consequent transcriptional changes.

The role of AcrAB-ToIC in the virulence of Salmonella Typhimurium is well established and inactivation of acrB causes a decreased expression of genes in Salmonella Pathogenicity Island (SPI-1), which are known to be required for infection.12,20,21,35 Nishino et al.12 showed that the inactivation of acrD did not confer significant attenuation in the BALB/C mouse model of infection and the inactivation of acrEF (encoding the RND pump protein and the PAP) increased the host survival rate, with 20% of mice surviving to 21 days rather than None when infected with the WT strain. In the tissue culture model, lack of either AcrD or AcrF caused a significant reduction in the ability of Salmonella to infect INT 407 cells, with the acrD mutant (L132) being more attenuated than the acrF mutant (L131). There are several hypotheses to explain these data. First, as inactivation of acrB is known to alter the expression of genes found in SPI-1,12,35 it is possible that the inactivation of other RND pump genes also affects the expression of virulence genes. Alternative explanations include the fact that RND efflux pumps export substrates that are required for infection or that the absence of some RND efflux pumps causes damage or stress to the bacterial cell membrane that compromises the ability to cause infection.

The effect of inactivating acrB plus one or two other efflux pump genes upon the ability to cause infection was additive. This ability was less attenuated in the acrDF (L1395) mutant than in either of the single mutants (L131 and L132). One explanation for this is that acrB, mdbB and mdsB are all overexpressed in this mutant so are able to partially compensate for the functions of the other two systems. The triple mutant lacking AcrB, AcrD and AcrF was unable to adhere to or invade the INT 407 cells. This could suggest that no other transporter could compensate for the loss of these proteins or that the inactivation of multiple RND efflux pump genes causes greater changes in the expression of virulence genes.

The role of efflux pumps in antibiotic resistance makes them targets for the design of inhibitors. Due to the role of efflux pumps in virulence, we also postulate that efflux inhibitors will inhibit virulence as well as augment the activity of antibacterial drugs. Our data show that inhibitors designed to inhibit all RND efflux systems will have a greater antivirulence effect on the organism.

The compensatory expression of efflux pump genes was associated with changes in regulatory gene expression. We hypothesize that the bacterial cell is attempting to increase the expression of the inactivated/deleted genes by increasing
Our data suggest that these regulators are involved in the modulation of RND efflux pump expression in the absence of homologous systems. The expression of ramA was increased when acrB was inactivated,51 however, the expression of soxS was increased when two or more acr genes were inactivated. SoxS is also a transcription factor of the AraC/XylS family involved in regulating the response to oxidative stress and genes including acrAB and mdcC.52 An increased expression of soxS could suggest the lack of efflux accounted for by Acr pump proteins leads to the accumulation of toxic metabolites, as proposed by Rosner and Martin44,45 when E. coli tolC is inactivated.

The critical role of RND systems in both antimicrobial resistance and the virulence of pathogenic bacteria makes them attractive targets for the design of inhibitors. These molecules could be resensitized by efflux pump inhibitors against the RND pumps to determine which pumps are inhibited and to understand the effect of this on the expression of homologous systems. In terms of attenuating virulence, the effect of inhibition was additive so the inhibition of multiple pumps is a good strategy. However, the benefit of this strategy on increasing susceptibility to antimicrobials may be more complex and the impact of this will depend on which drugs are used to treat infections caused by a particular pathogen. For some antimicrobials, an inhibitor with activity against soxS could suggest the unintended consequence of this may be decreased susceptibility to other drugs, such as the aminoglycosides.

Figure 4. Adhesion to (a) and invasion of (b) INT 407 cells in vitro by strains lacking AcrB, AcrD, AcrF and combinations of these. The data shown are the means of at least three independent experiments. Student’s t-tests were used to compare the values for each strain with that of the WT, SL1344. P values of ≤0.05 were considered significant and are indicated by asterisks.

Supplementary data
Tables S1 and S2 are available as Supplementary data at JAC Online (http://jac.oxfordjournals.org/).
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