Regulation of intestinal health and disease by innate lymphoid cells
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Abstract

Innate lymphoid cells (ILCs) are a recently appreciated immune cell population that is constitutively found in the healthy mammalian gastrointestinal (GI) tract and associated lymphoid tissues. Translational studies have revealed that alterations in ILC populations are associated with GI disease in patients, such as inflammatory bowel disease, HIV infection and colon cancer, suggesting a potential role for ILCs in either maintaining intestinal health or promoting intestinal disease. Mouse models identified that ILCs have context-dependent protective and pathologic functions either during the steady state, or following infection, inflammation or tissue damage. This review will discuss the associations of altered intestinal ILCs with human GI diseases, and the functional consequences of targeting ILCs in mouse models. Collectively, our current understanding of ILCs suggests that the development of novel therapeutic strategies to modulate ILC responses will be of significant clinical value to prevent or treat human GI diseases.
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Introduction

Innate lymphoid cells (ILCs) are a family of innate immune cells that critically contribute to the state of health or disease in the mammalian gastrointestinal (GI) tract. The development, heterogeneity, plasticity and regulation of ILCs have been extensively reviewed elsewhere (1–7). In brief, ILCs develop from lymphoid precursors in a process regulated by the common γ-chain cytokine receptor and the transcription factors inhibitor of DNA binding 2 (Id2), T-cell factor 1 (TCF1) and GATA-binding protein 3 (GATA3). Mature ILCs express the IL-7 receptor (CD127), but lack surface markers associated with other immune cell lineages, including T cells, B cells, granulocytes and myeloid cells. Further, ILCs can be divided into three groups based on transcriptional regulation. Group 1 ILCs (ILC1) constitutively express T-bet but not retinoic acid-related orphan receptor γt (RORγt), group 2 ILCs (ILC2) constitutively express GATA3 but not RORγt and group 3 ILCs (ILC3) constitutively express RORγt.

There are numerous shared regulatory and effector pathways that are associated with each group of ILCs. For example, ILC1 respond to IL-12 and IL-18 to produce IFN-γ and TNF-α. ILC2 respond to IL-25, IL-33 and thymic stromal lymphopoietin (TSLP) to produce IL-5, IL-9, IL-13 and amphiregulin, and ILC3 respond to IL-1β and IL-23 to produce IL-17 and IL-22. However, significant heterogeneity has been found in ILC1 and ILC3 populations. Currently, ILC1 encompass classical NK cells (which will not be discussed in this review) and recently identified ILC1 that lack expression of eomesodermin and cytolytic potential, and can be heterogeneous in expression of natural cytotoxicity receptors (NCRs), such as Nkp46 and Nkp44. ILC3 encompass CCR6+ cells that most closely resemble fetal lymphoid tissue inducer (LTi) cells and CCR6− cells that can co-express T-bet and NCRs. The lineage relationships, plasticity and significance of heterogeneity in groups of ILCs remain unclear and are active areas of investigation.

Analyses of ILCs in humans and mice have revealed that the GI tract and associated lymphoid tissues constitutively contain populations of ILCs under homeostatic conditions. Further, studies in patient populations with diseases that affect the GI tract, including inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), HIV and cancer, have revealed significant alterations in either the composition or the functional potential of ILC populations. Mouse models have identified several critical pathways by which ILCs can directly modulate intestinal homeostasis, immunity, inflammation and tissue repair, suggesting that further interrogation of ILCs could provoke the development of novel preventative and therapeutic strategies for GI diseases. The focus of this review will be to discuss data supporting the idea that alterations in ILC populations occur in the intestine of healthy versus diseased humans and to highlight...
Functional studies in mice identifying context-dependent protective and pathologic roles for intestinal ILCs.

**Human ILCs in GI health and disease**

Subsets of ILCs were first discovered in the intestinal tract and associated lymphoid tissues of fetal and adult donors. In a seminal study by Colonna et al., a subset of innate immune cells was identified—in the tonsils, Peyer’s patches and lamina propria of adult donors—that constitutively expressed NKp44, RORC (which encodes RORγ isoforms) and IL-22 (8). Similarly, a report by Cupedo, Spits et al. identified a population of NCR+ CD127+ innate immune cells present in the fetal intestine and mesenteric lymph nodes that expressed IL-17 and IL-22, and further characterized a post-natal development of NKp44+ CD127+ cells that express IL-22 and RORC in human tonsils (9). Subsequent studies suggested that IL-22-expressing NKp44+ cells might be a subset of immature NK cells (10) and highlighted many questions regarding the developmental and lineage relationships of innate IL-22- and IL-17-expressing cell types in the GI tract and associated lymphoid tissues of humans.

Since those initial reports, more recent studies have demonstrated that these cells are indeed a novel innate immune cell population, now termed ILC3, that are distinct from classical NK cells (11) and uniquely regulated by environmental and inflammatory stimuli (12–15). The ability of ILC3 to rapidly produce IL-22 and IL-17, two cytokines that induce expression of anti-microbial peptides and inflammatory mediators (16), provoked the hypothesis that they were critical regulators of intestinal immunity and inflammation.

Consistent with this, ILC3 were found to be the dominant source of IL-22 in the intestine and associated lymphoid tissue of healthy human donors (17) and, as discussed below, alterations in ILC3 populations were identified in multiple infectious, inflammatory and metabolic diseases affecting the GI tract. For example, it was reported by multiple groups that IL-22- and IL-17-expressing ILC3 are depleted from the GI tract of non-human primates following infection with a highly pathogenic strain of Simian immunodeficiency virus and induction of tissue damage in the intestine (18–20), a pathway critically linked to the progression of AIDS. Further, the presence of IL-22-producing ILC3 populations has been correlated with preserved integrity of the GI tract of HIV-infected individuals (21, 22), suggesting a beneficial role for ILC3 and IL-22 in maintaining intestinal health during chronic viral infection.

IBD patients have also been reported to exhibit significant alterations in intestinal ILCs. IL-22-producing NKp44+ ILC3 were found to be reduced in patients with Crohn’s disease (23, 24); however, these ILC3 were preserved in ankylosing spondylitis patients exhibiting subclinical intestinal inflammation (25), suggesting that this population of cells may play a role in limiting the development of clinical GI disease. This loss of a potentially ‘protective’ ILC3 population in IBD was also associated with an increase in potentially ‘pathologic’ ILCs (23, 24, 26). Powrie et al. identified an expansion of CD127+ IL-23-responsive, IL-17-producing ILC3 in the intestinal lamina propria of Crohn’s disease patients (26). In a seminal study by Spits et al., a subset of IFN-γ-producing ILC1 were found to be increased in Crohn’s disease patients relative to non-inflamed controls, and further expansion of human ILC1 could be driven by experimental intestinal damage in a humanized mouse model (24). Colonna et al. also identified a population of T-bet- IFN-γ-producing ILC1 residing in the intra-epithelial cell compartment, which accumulated in Crohn’s disease patients (27). Collectively, these reports suggest that there are significant alterations in the composition or functional potential of intestine-resident ILCs during chronic infection or tissue inflammation in humans.

The mechanisms that result in dysregulated human ILC populations in these chronic diseases currently remain unclear. Several studies suggest the loss of protective ILCs and accumulation of pathologic ILCs may occur through altered lineages or mediating repair following tissue damage. This is accomplished through expression of a number of factors that can influence stromal, epithelial, myeloid, granulocyte and adaptive immune cell responses (Fig. 1).

**The role of ILCs in maintaining intestinal health**

Functional studies in mice have revealed that ILCs can play a significant role in maintaining intestinal health by promoting immunity to pathogens, limiting inappropriate inflammatory responses to commensal bacteria or dietary antigens, or mediating repair following tissue damage. This is accomplished through expression of a number of factors that can influence stromal, epithelial, myeloid, granulocyte and adaptive immune cell responses.
critical to control pathogen replication and clearance early following infection.

As previously reviewed (38), ILCs also play a significant role in modulating adaptive immunity in the intestine through either indirect interactions with stromal cells or direct interactions with adaptive immune cells. Studies by Mebius et al. (39, 40) identified a subset of ILC3, termed LTi cells, that interacts with stromal cells to induce secondary lymphoid organogenesis prior to birth. Following birth, a complex interplay between mammalian hosts and commensal bacteria facilitate the maturation of intestinal cryptopatches to isolate lymphoid follicles by RORγt+ ILC3 (41, 42).

ILC3 promote the development of GALT through expression of lymphotoxin (LT) and interactions with stromal cells, allowing the recruitment of immune cells to discrete organized locations (40). The formation of secondary lymphoid tissues in the intestine by ILC3 is critical for orchestrating optimal adaptive immunity. For example, regulation of these functions of ILC3 by dietary retinoids significantly impacts the magnitude of protective adaptive immune cell responses to mucosal viruses (43). Expression of surface-bound LTα1β2 or soluble LTα1 by ILC3 is also essential for generating host-protective IgA in the GI tract in a T-cell-independent and T-cell-dependent manner, respectively (44, 45). ILC2 have recently been described to regulate eosinophil homeostasis through constitutive production of IL-5 (46). While the homeostatic functions of intestinal eosinophils are poorly understood, emerging evidence suggests they can contribute to optimal induction of IgA and Treg cells (47).

ILCs can also directly interact with adaptive immune cells to promote tissue immunity. ILC3 can promote B-cell responses through production of GM-CSF, B-cell activating factor (BAFF) and co-stimulatory receptors, and ILC2 have been shown to be critical regulators of B1 cell proliferation (14, 34, 48). ILC3 can also directly promote maintenance of memory CD4+ T-cell responses to pathogens through expression of Ox40 ligand (OX40L) and CD30L (49). Collectively, ILCs can orchestrate intestinal immunity to pathogens through rapid cytokine-dependent responses, or by facilitating optimal adaptive immune cell responses.

ILCs limit inflammatory responses to commensal bacteria and dietary antigens

A growing body of evidence suggests that ILCs play a critical role in limiting inappropriate immune responses to non-harmful environmental stimuli, such as commensal bacteria and dietary antigens. In the absence of pathogen infection, loss of ILC3 or IL-22 results in peripheral dissemination of commensal bacteria and systemic inflammation (17). Interestingly, disseminating bacteria were found to be of the genus *Alcaligenes* or *Achromobacter* (17), which were characterized to constitutively colonize the GALT of healthy humans, non-human primates and mice (50), suggesting that the ILC3–IL-22 pathway selectively regulates the anatomical containment of commensal bacteria in order to limit chronic inflammation.

Consistent with this, it has been demonstrated that regulation of ILC3 and IL-22 by the aryl hydrocarbon receptor (Ahr) is critical to limit colonization of intestinal epithelial cells with segmented filamentous bacteria (SFB). Ahr-deficient mice exhibit an overgrowth of SFB and a subsequent increase in pro-inflammatory T_{h17} cells (51). Collectively, these studies suggest that the ILC3–IL-22 pathway is critical to maintain...
intestinal homeostasis by limiting the dissemination and replication of commensal bacteria that are intimately associated with mammalian hosts, such as *Alcaligenes* and *Achromobacter* that are resident in lymphoid tissue, or SFB that are associated with intestinal epithelial cells.

Emerging evidence suggests that ILC3 may also limit inappropriate immune responses to commensal bacteria through other effector pathways. For example, it was recently shown that ILC3 can directly limit pro-inflammatory CD4+ T-cell responses to commensal bacteria through expression of MHC class II (MHCIi) (52). Loss of ILC-intrinsic MHCIi resulted in dysregulated effector CD4+ T-h17 cell responses to commensal bacteria and spontaneous intestinal inflammation (52). A recent report by Merad et al. also identified that ILC3 are a dominant source of GM-CSF in the intestine and critically regulate myeloid cell homeostasis (53). Intriguingly, ILC3-mediated regulation of myeloid cells was required to maintain optimal Treg cell responses and tolerance to dietary antigens (53). Collectively, these results suggest that ILC3 play a central role in regulating intestinal homeostasis by limiting inappropriate immune responses to normally beneficial or harmless environmental stimuli.

**ILCs mediate intestinal tissue repair**

Production of IL-22 is critical in mediating repair of the intestinal epithelium in the context of tissue damage (16). Studies by Eberl et al. have highlighted that ILC3 rapidly respond to experimental tissue damage in the intestine and mediate IL-22-dependent tissue repair (54). Interestingly, a mouse model of graft versus host disease, ILC3-derived IL-22 maintained intestinal epithelial barrier integrity following hematopoietic stem cell transplantation by directly protecting intestinal stem cells from immune-mediated damage (55). Acting directly on intestinal stem cells may be one mechanism by which a relatively rare population, such as ILC3, can substantially influence homeostasis in the intestine. Collectively, ILCs promote multiple beneficial processes in order to maintain a state of health in the mammalian intestine.

**The role of ILCs in promoting intestinal disease**

In contrast to the tissue protective roles of intestinal ILCs, dysregulated ILC responses or changes in the composition of intestinal ILC populations can promote intestinal inflammation and the development or progression of intestinal cancers (Fig. 2).

**Dysregulated ILC responses promote intestinal inflammation**

Pioneering research by Powrie et al. (56) identified that IL-23 production in response to *Helicobacter hepaticus* infection, or following dysregulated activation of dendritic cells via anti-CD40 antibody administration, results in the expansion of a T-bet+ ILC3 population that promotes colitis in an IL-17- and IFN-γ-dependent manner. Later research identified that T-bet co-expression in ILC3 is associated with IFN-γ production and enterocolitis following *Salmonella* infection (57). IL-22 production by ILC3 can also promote colitis in some contexts (58), which may be dependent on co-expression of other pro-inflammatory cytokines (16).

In addition to ILC3, recent research suggests that dysregulated intra-epithelial ILC1 responses can promote IFN-γ-dependent intestinal inflammation following anti-CD40 antibody administration (27). Emerging evidence suggest that a shift from protective to pathologic ILC responses in the intestine may involve lineage plasticity, altered expression of transcriptional regulators or engagement of alternative activation pathways (24, 28, 29). For example, recent work suggests that loss of RORγt expression in ILC3 is accompanied with an up-regulation of T-bet and IFN-γ expression (24, 28). As RORγt is a defining transcription factor of ILC3, these cells have been termed ‘ex-ILC3’, but are distinct from ILC1, which have no history RORγt expression (33). Collectively, these data suggest that dysregulation or expansion of

![Fig. 2. Dysregulated ILC responses can promote intestinal disease. Dysregulated ILC responses, or changes in the composition of ILC populations, can promote chronic intestinal inflammation or initiate the development and progression of intestinal tumors. This can occur through loss of regulation or sustained activation.](https://academic.oup.com/intimm/article-abstract/26/9/501/2950796)
pro-inflammatory ILC populations may directly promote colitis through the production of cytokines IL-17, IL-22, TNF-α and IFN-γ. Additional studies are required to carefully define the mechanistic pathways that promote dysregulation of the composition or functional potential of intestinal ILCs, and further, a role for ILC2 in intestinal inflammation.

Dysregulated ILC responses support intestinal cancers

In addition to chronic inflammation, dysregulated ILC responses have been linked to the development of intestinal cancers. Administration of a carcinogen following H. hepaticus infection results in the formation of aberrant crypt lesions, which were enriched in expression of IL-23, IL-22 and IL-17 (30). ILC3 and IL-22 were required for cancer progression in this model (30), suggesting that sustained activation of ILC3 can promote the formation of intestinal tumors.

In support of this, it was recently highlighted that uncontrolled IL-22-dependent signals, occurring through a loss of the regulatory IL-22 binding protein (IL-22BP), results in increased tumorigenesis in the intestine following tissue damage (59). Further, it has also been shown that loss of intestinal barrier function can contribute to sustained activation of the IL-23–IL-17 pathway and promote growth of intestinal tumors (60). It is possible to speculate that the ILC3–IL-22 axis may be particularly poised to promote tumorigenesis by its ability to act directly on intestinal stem cells, as previously observed following hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (55).

Therefore, sustained activation of ILCs, likely the result of chronic infection or impaired intestinal barrier function, can promote the development and progression of inflammation and cancer within the GI tract. Despite these advances, little is known about whether other factors expressed by ILC3, such as LT, MHCII or GM-CSF, can in some contexts promote the development of intestinal inflammation or tumors, and this will be an important area of future investigation.

Summary and outstanding questions

As discussed above, translational studies in healthy human donors and patient populations have revealed a significant association of altered intestinal ILC responses with human disease, and basic mouse models have demonstrated that ILCs have the functional potential to promote both tissue protective and pathologic responses. Therefore, it is likely that developing novel targets to manipulate ILCs will be of significant value to prevent or limit human intestinal diseases. Additional analyses are required to identify the regulatory pathways that control the composition and functional potential of ILC responses in the intestine. Recent reports have highlighted that microbial and dietary signals can significantly influence intestinal ILCs (43, 54, 61), suggesting that not only will this be important to consider in our interpretation of basic and translational studies, but also that this may be a useful strategy to identify pathways to boost protective ILC responses while potentially limiting pathologic ILC responses.
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