Lysophosphatidic acid receptors LPA$_4$ and LPA$_6$ differentially promote lymphocyte transmigration across high endothelial venules in lymph nodes
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Abstract

Naive lymphocytes continuously migrate from the blood into lymph nodes (LN) via high endothelial venules (HEVs). To extravasate from the HEVs, lymphocytes undergo multiple adhesion steps, including tethering, rolling, firm adhesion and transmigration. We previously showed that autotaxin (ATX), an enzyme that generates lysophosphatidic acid (LPA), is highly expressed in HEVs, and that the ATX/LPA axis plays an important role in the lymphocyte transmigration across HEVs. However, the detailed mechanism underlying this axis’s involvement in lymphocyte transmigration has remained ill-defined. Here, we show that two LPA receptors, LPA$_4$ and LPA$_6$, are selectively expressed on HEV endothelial cells (ECs) and that LPA$_4$ plays a major role in the lymphocyte transmigration across HEVs in mice. In the absence of LPA$_4$ expression, lymphocytes accumulated heavily within the HEV EC layer, compared to wild-type (WT) mice. This accumulation was also observed in the absence of LPA$_6$ expression, but it was less pronounced. Adoptive transfer experiments using WT lymphocytes revealed that the LPA$_4$ deficiency in ECs specifically compromised the lymphocyte transmigration process, whereas the effect of LPA$_6$ deficiency was not significant. These results indicate that the signals evoked in HEV ECs via the LPA$_4$ and LPA$_6$ differentially regulate lymphocyte extravasation from HEVs in the peripheral LN.

Keywords: endothelial cell, high endothelial venule, lymph node, lymphocyte transmigration, lysophosphatidic acid

Introduction

Throughout life, naive lymphocytes patrol the body to detect and eliminate invading pathogens as well as aberrant cells that may arise in the host. During this process, which is called lymphocyte recirculation, the naive lymphocytes selectively migrate into lymph nodes (LN) and Peyer’s patches through a specific type of blood vessel, called the high endothelial venule (HEV). Within the HEVs, naive lymphocytes undergo a multistep adhesion cascade, which is initiated by rolling,
followed by firm arrest and then transmigration. These steps enable the large-scale trafficking of blood-borne naive lymphocytes into the LNs. While the molecular requirements for rolling and adhesion are becoming clearer (1, 2), we still know relatively little about the molecular mechanism of lymphocyte extravasation (3).

Lysophosphatidic acid (LPA) is a pleiotropic lipid mediator that regulates a variety of biological responses, including cell adhesion, migration, proliferation, and survival, gap-junction closure and opening, and the production of growth factors and cytokines (4). LPA can be generated by at least two enzymes: autotaxin (ATX) or ENPP2 [ectonucleotide pyrophosphatase/ phosphodiesterase family member 2], which hydrolyzes lysophosphatidylcholine (LPC) to LPA, and phospholipase A2, which hydrolyzes phosphatidic acid to LPA. There are six known receptors for LPA, LPA1–LPA6, which are located on the cell surface (5, 6). LPA1–LPA6 are members of the endothelial differentiation gene (EDG) family, which also includes sphingosine-1-phosphate receptor 1 (S1P1), a key regulator of lymphocyte egress from lymphoid tissues (7). LPA4, LPA6 are non-EDG family receptors that belong to the purinergic P2Y receptor family (8). These LPA receptors transmit signals through various G proteins, including Gq, G12/13, G11, and Gz, LPA, and LPA4 are known to be involved in blood vessel formation during development (9). LPA6 shows high sequence homology with LPA4; it is expressed in vascular endothelial cells (ECs), where it regulates EC contraction in a Gq12/13-Rho-dependent manner (5).

Previously, others and we have reported that ATX is highly expressed in the HEV ECs and that it promotes lymphocyte transmigration across the HEVs by locally producing LPA, which in turn acts on HEV ECs (10–12). LPA also acts on lymphocytes to induce chemokinesis, cell polarization and transmigration across HEVs, although the responsible receptor(s) has remained unclear (12, 13).

In this study, we examined the mode of action of the ATX/LPA axis in lymphocyte transmigration across HEVs. We first found that HEV ECs express LPA4 and LPA6. Using knockout (KO) mice, we found that LPA6 deficiency caused severe lymphocyte accumulation within the HEV EC layer, which delayed lymphocyte transmigration across this layer in vivo. In contrast, LPA4 deficiency compromised this cell trafficking process to a much smaller extent. Taken together, these results indicate that LPA4 and LPA6 on HEV ECs are differentially involved in the LPA-dependent lymphocyte transmigration across the HEV wall in LNs.

Methods

Mice

C57BL/6 mice were purchased from Japan SLC. GFP transgenic mice (14) were kindly provided by Dr Masaru Okabe (Research Institute for Microbial Diseases, Osaka University). LPA4 KO mice were generated as described previously (15). The LPA4/Lpar6 KO mice (Accession No. CDB0977K: http://www.clst.riken.jp/arg/mutant%20mice%20list.html) were generated by three of us (S.I., K.B. and T.A), by crossing LPA4/Cre mice and CAG-Cre mice (16), as described in Supplementary Data 1, available at International Immunology Online. Homologous recombinants were isolated, using the HK3 ES cell line established from the C57BL/6N strain (17). The LPA4 KO mice were genotyped by genomic PCR. The primers were 5'-AAAAATCGGAAATGGCGAAAGTAAA-3' and 5'-TGGACCACATCTGAATAGCAAAGG-3' for the wild-type (WT) allele, 5'-ACTTCTGACTAGGGGAGAGTAGA-3' and 5'-TGGACCACATCTGAATGCAAAGG-3' for the floxed allele and 5'-TTCGTAACCAACATCTCCTGTC-3' and 5'-TGGACCACATCTGAATAGCAAAGG-3' for the mutant allele (see Supplementary Data 1, available at International Immunology Online, for details) and yielded 303-bp, 445-bp and 462-bp products, respectively. All mice were housed at the Institute of Experimental Animal Sciences at Osaka University Medical School, and all animal experiments followed protocols approved by the Ethics Review Committee for Animal Experimentation of Osaka University Graduate School of Medicine.

Reagents and antibodies

Hybridomas for anti-peripheral node addressin (PNAd) mAb, MECA-79, the anti-mucosal vascular addressin cell adhesion molecule-1 (MAAdCAM-1) mAbs, MECA-89 and MECA-367, and the ER-TR7 mAb were injected into nude mice i.p., and the antibodies were later purified from the ascites. Purified MECA-79, MECA-89 and ER-TR7 mAbs were labeled with the Alexa Fluor 594 Protein Labeling Kit (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA). The MECA-367 and MECA-89 mAbs were biotinylated using the Sulfo-NHS-LC-biotin Reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Anti-ATX serum was generated in rabbits after several immunizations with GST-fused recombinant ATX (57S-116A); its specificity is shown in Supplementary Data 2, available at International Immunology Online. Mouse γ-globulins and FITC-anti-α-smooth muscle actin (SMA) mAb were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO, USA). Goat IgG, biotinylated anti-CD4 mAb (RM4-5) and allophycocyanin (APC)-anti-CD45 mAb (30-F11) were purchased from Chemicon (Temecula, CA, USA), BD Biosciences (San Jose, CA, USA) and eBioscience (San Diego, CA, USA), respectively. FITC-anti-B220 mAb (RA3-6B2) and purified anti-CD31 mAb (390) were purchased from Biolegend (San Diego, CA, USA). Purified anti-CD31 mAb was labeled with the Alexa Fluor 647 Protein Labeling Kit. Alexa Fluor 647-labeled goat anti-rabbit IgG, Hoechst 33342, lysine fixable FITC-conjugated dextran (MW 70kDa) and CellTracker™ Orange CMTMR (5-[and-6]-[[4-chloromethyl]benzoyl][amino]tetramethylrhodamine) were all purchased from Life Technologies.

RT–PCR

HEV ECs were isolated as MECA-367+CD45- cells from the mesenteric LNs (MLNs) using a FACSVantage cell sorter, and the total RNA was extracted from freshly isolated MECA-367+ HEV ECs using the RNeasy 4-PCR Kit (Ambion, Foster, CA, USA). The cDNA was synthesized using the Ovation System (Nugen Technologies, San Carlos, CA, USA). T cells, B cells and dendritic cells (DCs) were isolated from the spleen as, respectively, CD3-4+ and CD11c+ cells. Total RNA was extracted with Trizol (Life Technologies), and cDNA was synthesized with Superscript III (Life Technologies). The cDNA fragments of LPA receptors (Lpar1-Lpar6) were amplified by PCR using ExTa (Takara, Shiga, Japan). The primer
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pairs are described in Supplementary Data 3, available at International Immunology Online.

In situ hybridization assay

In situ hybridization was performed as previously described (10). The MLNs were embedded in OCT compound (Sakura Finetek, Torrance, CA, USA), and 10-µm-thick serial frozen sections were cut. A 537-bp fragment from nucleotides 182–718 of the LPAα cDNA (GenBank accession no. NM_175271) or a 501-bp fragment from nucleotides 23–523 of the LPAα cDNA (GenBank accession no. NM_175116) was inserted into the pCRRII vector (Invitrogen). The plasmids were linearized by digestion with Xhol or SpeI, at sites flanked by the T7 and SP6 promoters, respectively. Digoxigenin (DIG)-labeled anti-sense and sense probes were generated by in vitro transcription using the DIG RNA Labeling Mix (Roche Diagnostics, Basel, Switzerland), according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Measurement of body weight and the total cell number in each organ

The total body weight of male 8-week-old LPAα-deficient mice, LPAα-deficient mice and their littermates was measured. The total cell numbers in the spleen, MLNs, inguinal LNs (ILNs) and popliteal LNs (PLNs) were determined by flow cytometry (FACSVers; BD Biosciences).

Conventional immunohistochemistry

ILNs and MLNs obtained from 8-week-old littermate mice were snap frozen in OCT compound and cut into 10-µm-thick frozen sections. The sections were fixed in methanol, blocked in 10% FCS/PBS containing mouse γ-globulins (20 µg/ml) and stained with FITC-anti-α-SMA, Alexa Fluor 594-anti-PNAd, Alexa Fluor 594-anti-MAdCAM-1, biotinylated-anti-MAdCAM-1, biotinylated-anti-CD4, FITC-anti-B220, APC-anti-CD45, Alexa Fluor 594-ER-TR7 or Hoechst 33342 (2 µg/ml). Biotinylated antibody was detected by Alexa Fluor 405-streptavidin (2 µg/ml). ATX expression was detected by rabbit anti-ATX serum (1:2000) and Alexa Fluor 467-goat anti-rabbit antibody (2.5 µg/ml) after blocking with goat IgG (20 µg/ml). Immunofluorescence confocal microscopy was performed with an FV1000-D confocal laser scanning microscope (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) and an LSM 710 confocal laser microscope (Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany).

Assessment of leakage from HEVs

Mice were given an injection of Alexa Fluor 594-ER-TR7 (5 µg/foot) into the hindfoot. Six hours later, the mice were given an i.v. injection of Alexa Fluor 647-anti-CD31 (10 µg/mouse). Seven minutes later, an i.v. injection with fixable FITC-dextran (MW 70 kDa, 1 mg/mouse) was given. Fifteen minutes after the final injection, the mice were killed under isoflurane anesthesia, dissected and the ipsilateral PLNs were collected in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA). The LNs were washed with PBS and incubated with 30% sucrose/PBS for 30 min. The immunofluorescent signals were observed with an FV1000-D confocal laser scanning microscope.

Quantification of lymphocyte accumulation within the HEV EC layer

Quantitative analysis of the lymphocyte accumulation within the HEV EC layer was performed using LN sections as follows. The HEV EC layer was identified by staining with fluorescence-coupled PNA or MadCAM-1 mAbs, and the area of the HEV EC layer was determined using ImageJ. Lymphocytes located within the HEV EC layer were identified by CD45 staining, and lymphocyte accumulation within the HEV EC layer was expressed as the number of CD45+ cells per unit HEV EC area (1000 µm²).

Transmission electron microscopy

The ILNs of 8-week-old WT, LPAα KO and LPAα KO mice were collected and processed as described previously (18).

Trafficcking assay using flow cytometry

Mice were i.v. injected with GFP+ splenocytes (2 × 10⁷ cells/mouse). Thirty minutes after adoptive transfer, the mice were anesthetized and transcardially perfused with PBS. The spleens, MLNs and peripheral LNs were collected. The proportion of donor GFP+ cells in these tissues was analyzed by flow cytometry.

Trafficcking assay using whole-mount analysis

Mice were i.v. injected with GFP+ splenocytes (2 × 10⁷ cells/mouse) and an Alexa Fluor 647-conjugated anti-CD31 mAb (10 µg/mouse). Sixty minutes after the injection, the mice were anesthetized and transcardially perfused with PBS and 4% PFA in phosphate buffer. The mice were dissected, and the LNs were harvested. The LNs were incubated with increasing concentrations (10, 20 and 30%) of sucrose. The immunofluorescent signals were observed with an FV1000-D confocal laser scanning microscope (Olympus), and the acquired images were analyzed with the IMARIS 7.4.2 software (Bitplane, Zurich, Switzerland). Briefly, the images were processed using the surface rendering and contour modes.

Statistics

Data are presented as the mean ± SD. Raw data were analyzed using the GraphPad Prism 6 software (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA). The Mann–Whitney U-test was used for comparisons of body weight, the total number of accumulated lymphocytes and lymphocyte extravasation. The Kruskal–Wallis test was used for comparison of the lymphocyte accumulation in three groups before the Mann–Whitney U-test. The Student's t-test was used for the comparison of lymphocyte trafficking by flow cytometry.

Results

HEV ECs express two LPA receptors, LPAα and LPAα

While we previously showed that the ATX/LPA axis regulates lymphocyte transmigration across the basal lamina of HEVs, primarily by acting on HEV ECs (11), the LPA receptor(s) involved in this event remained unknown. Therefore, we first examined the LPA receptor expression in HEV ECs and leukocytes by RT-PCR. As shown in Fig. 1, HEV ECs, which
were rigorously purified by cell sorting, expressed LPA$_4$ and LPA$_6$, whereas lymphocytes expressed LPA$_5$, LPA$_4$ and LPA$_6$, and DCs expressed all of the LPA receptors, at the mRNA level. The LPA$_4$ and LPA$_6$ expression on HEV ECs was further confirmed by in situ hybridization of LN sections (Fig. 1B). Although we tried to examine the protein expression as well, the commercially available anti-LPA$_4$, and anti-LPA$_6$ antibodies we used gave substantial signals not only in WT mice but also in LPA$_4$ KO and LPA$_6$ KO mice, indicating that they were not specific enough for our purpose. However, as described later, mice deficient in the LPA$_4$ or LPA$_6$ gene showed distinct HEV phenotypes compared with WT mice, indicating that the LPA$_4$ and LPA$_6$ proteins are indeed functionally expressed in HEV ECs. Although we previously reported that LPA$_4$ is expressed in HEV ECs (10), that observation was not confirmed in the present study, possibly because of the more rigorous isolation methods used here. These results demonstrated that HEV ECs preferentially express two LPA receptors: LPA$_4$ and LPA$_6$.

Neither LPA$_4$ deficiency nor LPA$_6$ deficiency grossly affects the LN architecture

To examine the biological significance of the LPA$_4$ and LPA$_6$, receptors in the immune system, we next examined the LNs and spleen of WT, LPA$_4$ KO and LPA$_6$ KO mice. As shown in Fig. 2(A), neither the LPA$_4$ nor the LPA$_6$ deficiency caused a significant difference in the total cell number in the spleen or MLNs. Of the peripheral LNs, while the ILNs and PLNs tended to be larger in the LPA$_4$ KO mice than in their WT littermates, there was considerable heterogeneity, and no statistically significant difference in the total cell numbers was found. The peripheral LNs of LPA$_6$ KO mice were comparable in size to those of the WT littermates. In addition, no difference in the total body weight was observed among these groups (Fig. 2A). As shown in Fig. 2(B), immunohistological analysis indicated that neither the LPA$_4$ nor the LPA$_6$ deficiency compromised the formation of HEVs, B-cell follicles or T-cell areas in the LNs. FACS analysis of cells obtained from the LNs showed no abnormalities in CD4, CD8 or B220 expression (data not shown).

Injecting a fluoresceinilated anti-CD31 mAb intravenously, which illuminated all of the vascular trees in the LNs, revealed no obvious differences in the overall vascular distribution pattern in the LPA$_4$ KO or LPA$_6$ KO mice compared to WT mice (Fig. 2C). Intravenously injected FITC-dextran (70kDa) showed some leakage from HEVs, but most of it was retained within the vasculature at comparable levels in the LPA$_4$ KO, LPA$_6$ KO and WT mice (Fig. 2C). The expression of the LPA-producing enzyme, ATX, appeared unaltered in the HEVs and fibroblastic reticular cells of LPA$_4$ KO and LPA$_6$ KO mice (Fig. 2B), indicating that the local LPA production was unimpaired. These results indicated that neither the LPA$_4$ deficiency nor the LPA$_6$ deficiency grossly affected the tissue architecture or ATX production in LNs.

LPA$_4$ deficiency and LPA$_6$ deficiency differentially induce lymphocyte accumulation within the HEV EC layer

Next, to analyze the HEVs of LPA$_4$- and LPA$_6$-deficient mice, we examined cross-sections of the PNAd$^+$ HEVs in the ILNs and MLNs of WT, LPA$_4$ KO and LPA$_6$ KO mice (Fig. 3A). The HEV ECs, pericytes and lymphocytes were observed by staining for PNAd, α-SMA and CD45, respectively. The PNAd staining appeared sparser and less intense in substantial proportions of the HEVs of the LPA$_4$ KO and LPA$_6$ KO mice compared to WT mice (upper panels), which was apparently due to a greater abundance of lymphocytes within the HEV EC layer (lower panels).

To quantify the lymphocyte accumulation, we enumerated the number of lymphocytes within the HEV EC layer per constant HEV area (1000 μm$^2$) in female mice; the gene dosage effect can be examined in female littermates, because the LPA$_4$ gene is located on the X chromosome. As shown in
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Fig. 2. Neither LPA$_4$ deficiency nor LPA$_6$ deficiency grossly affects the LN architecture or ATX production. (A) Total cell numbers of the spleen, MLN, ILN and PLN and total body weight of WT, LPA$_4$ KO and LPA$_6$ KO littermates. The cell numbers are shown for the spleen ($\times10^7$ cells), MLN ($\times10^6$ cells), ILN ($\times10^6$ cells) and PLN ($\times10^5$ cells). Points indicate data from individual mice, and bars show the arithmetic means ± SD for three to four mice from each group. Mann–Whitney U-test, N.S.: not significant. (B) Sections of peripheral LNs were stained for CD4 (blue), B220 (green), ER-TR7 (red), $\alpha$-SMA (green) and PNA (red). ATX expression was identified by rabbit anti-ATX serum and secondary antibodies (white). Scale bars indicate 50 µm. (C) Blood vessel distribution pattern in the PLN visualized by i.v. injection of the Alexa Fluor 647-anti-CD31 antibody (10 µg/mouse, white). Scale bars indicate 100 µm. (D) HEVs of the PLN visualized by s.c. injection of Alexa Fluor 594-ER-TR7 (5 µg, red) and i.v. injection of anti-CD31 antibody (10 µg/mouse, blue) and FITC-dextran (70 kDa, 1 mg/mouse, green). Scale bars indicate 100 µm. Similar experiments were performed at least three times (LPA$_4$ KO mice) and once (LPA$_6$ KO mice) using 2-photon intravital microscopy.
LPA receptor deficiency differentially induced lymphocyte accumulation within the HEV EC layer. (A) Sections of ILNs obtained from WT, LPA₄ KO or LPA₆ KO mice were stained for α-SMA (green), PNAd (red), CD45 (white) and nuclei (blue) and analyzed by confocal microscopy (top panel). Yellow dotted lines and asterisks indicate the HEV EC layer and CD45⁺ lymphocytes located within the EC layer, respectively (bottom panel). Scale bars indicate 20 µm. (B) Lymphocytes located within the HEV EC layer were enumerated in the ILN obtained from female LPA₄⁺/+, LPA₄⁺/− and LPA₄−/− mice and from male LPA₄⁺/+, LPA₄−/y, LPA₆⁺/+ and LPA₆−/− mice. More than 10 photographs of the ILN section from each mouse were obtained, and three to five mice from each group were analyzed. Kruskal–Wallis test and Mann–Whitney U-test, ***P < 0.0001. N.S.: not significant.

Accumulated lymphocytes in the HEV EC layer are located between the ECs and the underlying basal lamina

We next compared the HEVs of LPA₄ KO, LPA₆ KO and WT mice by transmission electron microscopy. As shown in Fig. 4(A), compared with WT mice, a substantial proportion of the HEVs in both the LPA₄ KO and LPA₆ KO mice contained more lymphocytes within the EC layer, which occasionally caused luminal narrowing. Regarding the precise location of the lymphocytes within the EC layer, it could clearly be seen in the LPA₄−/− deficient mice that, although some lymphocytes were present between the ECs, most of them were nested between the ECs and the underlying basal lamina (Fig. 4B).

This finding was consistent with an observation reported by Mionnet et al. (20), that the HEV ECs create pockets where
Lymphocytes reside for several minutes before they extravasate. These pockets were readily observed in all of the mice we examined, with different degrees of lymphocyte accumulation. Whereas most of the pockets housed up to four to five lymphocytes in WT mice, those in LPA$_4$ KO and LPA$_6$ KO mice held statistically greater numbers of lymphocytes (Fig. 4C), with >10 lymphocytes being occasionally present in a single pocket in the LPA$_4$ KO and LPA$_6$ KO mice. When this occurred, the ECs overlying such a pocket bulged out into the vascular lumen, resulting in luminal narrowing (Fig. 4A). Given that the pockets in the HEV EC layer are the areas where lymphocytes are retained before they enter the LN parenchyma (20), these data raise the possibility that LPA$_4$ and LPA$_6$ are both involved in driving lymphocytes from the pockets into the LN parenchyma.

The absence of LPA$_4$ signaling delays lymphocyte extravasation across HEVs

To further evaluate the importance of LPA$_4$- and LPA$_6$-mediated signaling in the lymphocyte transmigration across HEVs, we adoptively transferred WT donor cells from GFP mice into WT, LPA$_4$ KO or LPA$_6$ KO mice and quantified the lymphocyte migration from the blood into the LNs and spleen, by flow cytometry. As shown in Fig. 5(A), LPA$_4$ deficiency...
Lymphocytes accumulate more heavily in the HEV EC layer in LPA$_4$-deficient mice than in LPA$_6$-deficient mice. (A) GFP$^+$ lymphocytes ($2 \times 10^7$ cells/mouse) were injected into the tail vein of WT, LPA$_4$ KO and LPA$_6$ KO mice. Thirty minutes after cell transfer, spleens and peripheral LNs were harvested. The cells that had migrated into these tissues were then quantified by flow cytometry. The number of donor cells found in the spleens ($\times 10^5$ cells) and peripheral LNs ($\times 10^3$ cells) is shown. Results are shown as the mean ± SD of three mice from each group. Student's t-test, N.S.: not significant. The data in the figure are representative of two separate experiments. (B) GFP$^+$ lymphocytes ($2 \times 10^7$ cells/mouse) and Alexa Fluor 647-conjugated anti-CD31 mAb (10 µg/mouse) were co-injected into the tail vein of WT, LPA$_4$ KO and LPA$_6$ KO mice. Sixty minutes after cell transfer, the mice were perfused with PBS and 4% PFA. The LNs were collected and subjected to confocal microscopic analysis (upper panel; donor cells appear green and CD31$^+$ blood vessels appear red). Subsequently, donor cells within the HEV EC layer and those located within 20 µm of the outside of HEVs were marked in green and blue, respectively, using the IMARIS software (middle panel). CD31$^+$ blood vessels and donor cells in the $xy$, $xz$ and $yz$ planes (lowest panel). Scale bars indicate 30 µm. The data shown are representative of two separate experiments. (C) Density of transferred cells around HEVs, and the surface area and volume of HEVs in LPA$_4$ KO, LPA$_6$ KO and WT mice. Lymphocyte density = the number of donor cells within the HEV EC layer/the number of recently extravasated cells (those located within 20 µm from the outside of an HEV). The HEV surface area and volume per 100 µm of HEV were calculated by the IMARIS software. Mann–Whitney U-test, *$P < 0.05$. N.S.: not significant. The data in the figure are representative of two separate experiments, with two to three mice per experiment.
mildly reduced the lymphocyte entry into the peripheral LNs but not into the spleen, whereas LPA$_4$ deficiency did not affect the lymphocyte entry into any of the lymphoid tissues examined at appreciable levels. Phenotypic analysis of migrated cells indicated that T-cell migration is more strongly affected than B-cell migration in the absence of LPA$_4$ signaling (Supplementary Data 5, available at International Immunology Online). When LPA$_4$-deficient cells were transferred to LPA$_4$-deficient mice, they showed uncompromised migration to the LNs and spleen compared with WT cells (Supplementary Data 6, available at International Immunology Online), indicating that LPA$_4$ in lymphocytes is dispensable for lymphocyte migration *in vivo*.

We next examined whether LPA$_4$ or LPA$_6$ deficiency compromised the extravasation of adoptively transferred lymphocytes from HEVs, by the whole-mount analysis of LNs. To this end, we focused on the particular segment of HEVs, termed orders IV-V, where the lymphocyte rolling and subsequent steps preferentially occur (21). We first identified the adaptively transferred lymphocytes and the order IV-V venules in whole-mount LN preparations (Fig. 5B, top panel) and differentially marked the extravasated donor cells located outside but within 20 µm of the HEV basal lamina and those still located within the HEV EC layer, using the IMARIS software (Fig. 5B, middle and lower panels). We then determined the ratio of the cells resident within the HEV EC layer to those recently extravasated from HEVs. This analysis revealed that the LPA$_4$ deficiency significantly increased the proportion of lymphocytes located within the HEV EC layer (Fig. 5C), in agreement with the results obtained by conventional immunohistochemical and electron microscopic analyses (Figs 3 and 4), whereas the samples with LPA$_6$ deficiency did not show a statistical difference from WT.

In addition, reflecting the lymphocyte accumulation within the EC layer, the HEVs of the LPA$_4$ KO mice had a wider surface area and larger volume compared to those of WT mice, whereas no statistical difference was observed between these data for LPA$_6$ KO and WT mice (Fig. 5C), although HEVs with a narrowed lumen, apparently due to lymphocyte accumulation within the HEV cell layer, were occasionally found in these mice. In conjunction with the electron microscopy data presented in the previous section, these results indicated that the LPA$_4$ and LPA$_6$ receptors play differential roles in the regulation of lymphocyte transmigration across the HEV EC layer, with LPA$_4$ signaling playing a more influential role than LPA$_6$ signaling in the HEV ECs.

**Discussion**

In the present study, we showed that expression of the LPA receptors LPA$_6$ and LPA$_4$ on HEV ECs is required for the effective transport of lymphocytes from the HEV EC layer to the LN parenchymal compartment. Without the signal from either of these receptors, lymphocytes were more frequently trapped in the EC layer, where they accumulated in EC pockets and often narrowed the HEV lumen. These results provide new details about the process of lymphocyte transmigration from HEVs by identifying the LPA$_6$ and LPA$_4$ receptors as key receptors in this process.

Our whole-mount LN analysis, electron microscopy studies and lymphocyte transfer studies all showed that LPA$_4$ signaling plays a larger role than LPA$_6$ signaling in lymphocyte migration through the HEV EC layer. Although we hoped to determine whether this observation could be explained by a difference in their protein expression levels, immunohistochemical analysis failed to produce meaningful results, due to the non-specific reactivity of the commercially available anti-LPA$_4$ and anti-LPA$_6$ antibodies we used. Another possible reason for the different effects of LPA$_4$ versus LPA$_6$ is a difference in their ligand-binding ability. Yanagida *et al.* (5) showed that LPA$_4$ has a much lower affinity for LPA compared with other LPA receptors including LPA$_6$. One can thus envision a situation in which the amount of LPA available in the HEV EC layer determines whether LPA$_4$ is preferentially stimulated or both receptors are simultaneously stimulated. Not mutually exclusive with these possibilities, it is also possible that LPA$_4$ and LPA$_6$ invoke qualitatively different signals in HEV ECs. Previous reports show that LPA$_4$ activates G$_{12/13}$ and Rho-mediated signaling in neuronal cells (22, 23). LPA$_6$ signaling also induces calcium ion mobilization by activating G$_{i}$ and G$_{4}$, and intracellular cyclic AMP accumulation by activating G$_{i}$, which makes this receptor unique among LPA receptors (22). On the other hand, LPA$_6$ is coupled with G$_{12/13}$ and Rho proteins (5), and its activation leads to increases in intracellular calcium ions and ERK1/2 phosphorylation coupled with G$_{4}$, which may account for the differences in HEV ECs, the development of specific antagonists for LPA$_4$ and LPA$_6$ may help resolve this issue.

The LPA$_4$/LPA$_6$ receptor requirement for lymphocyte transmigration across HEVs was not absolute, because the HEV ECs deficient in LPA$_4$ or LPA$_6$ also allowed lymphocytes to leave the EC compartment and enter the LN parenchyma, albeit to lesser extents compared to those of WT mice. To examine whether LPA$_4$ and LPA$_6$ compensate for each other, or if both LPA$_4$ and LPA$_6$ are simultaneously required for efficient lymphocyte transmigration across HEVs, we are in the process of generating conventional and conditional LPA$_4$/LPA$_6$ double-KO mice.

We previously reported that LPA$_4$ is expressed in HEV ECs (10), but we did not reproduce this observation in the present study. This discrepancy could be at least partly explained by the fact that in this study, we carefully sorted the HEV ECs to exclude pericytes and smooth muscle cells, which are known to express LPA$_4$, from the EC preparation.

Upon leaving the HEV luminal surface, naive lymphocytes pass through an endothelial barrier and form pockets within the EC layer (20), where they are transiently retained before being released into the LN parenchyma. In LPA$_4$- or LPA$_6$-deficient mice, these pockets contained greater numbers of lymphocytes in substantial proportions of the HEVs compared to WT, although the increase was less obvious in the LPA$_4$-deficient animals. On the basis of the results of our whole-mount analysis of LNs, we propose that this accumulation was due to a defect in lymphocyte exit from the pockets to the LN parenchyma, and not to an increase in lymphocyte ingress from the HEV luminal surface. One possibility is that LPA$_4$/LPA$_6$ signaling promotes the exit process by enhancing the motility of HEV ECs and/or by impeding the strong adhesive interactions between HEV ECs and lymphocytes, allowing lymphocytes to be released from the EC layer more
LPA receptors regulate lymphocyte transmigration

easily. We had hoped to study the in vitro abilities of HEV ECs to support lymphocyte ingress into and egress from the HEV EC layer in the presence or absence of LPAα or LPAγ signaling. However, we have been unable to obtain HEV ECs at sufficient purity for this experiment from mice deficient in LPAα or LPAγ, although we could obtain them from WT animals successfully. It could well be that disruption of the LPAα or LPAγ gene has affected the physiology of HEV ECs such that they could not be isolated successfully with the conventional method that we have been using. Future studies focusing on the details of LPA/LPAα signaling in HEV ECs are still needed.

Despite the slow lymphocyte egress from the EC compartment into the parenchymal compartment, only a minor reduction was observed in lymphocyte entry into the peripheral LNs in the LPAγ-deficient mice, using a conventional lymphocyte trafficking assay. Although the reason for this observation remains unclear, one possibility is the existence of a homeostatic mechanism that regulates overall lymphocyte trafficking efficiency by sensing the trapping of egress-incompetent lymphocytes in the HEV endothelial pockets.

Collectively, these results indicate that LPAα and LPAγ are differentially involved in the LPAα-dependent lymphocyte transmigration across the HEV wall in LNs, by signaling through the HEV ECs. As shown previously by our group (11), HEV ECs secrete ATX, which locally converts LPC to LPA; the LPA then acts on HEV ECs in LPAγ- and LPAα-dependent manners, with the LPA/LPAα axis playing a major role. LPAγ signaling in HEV ECs is thus an interesting target for immunomodulation.
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Supplementary data are available at International Immunology Online.
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