Cohort Profile: The Themba Lethu Clinical Cohort, Johannesburg, South Africa
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The Themba Lethu Clinical Cohort was established in 2004 to allow large patient-level analyses from a single HIV treatment site to evaluate National Treatment Guidelines, answer questions of national and international policy relevance and to combine an economic and epidemiologic focus on HIV research. The current objectives of the Themba Lethu Clinical Cohort analyses are to: (i) provide cohort-level information on the outcomes of HIV treatment; (ii) evaluate aspects of HIV care and treatment that have policy relevance; (iii) evaluate the cost and cost-effectiveness of different approaches to HIV care and treatment; and (iv) provide a platform for studies on improving HIV care and treatment. Since 2004, Themba Lethu Clinic has enrolled approximately 30 000 HIV-positive patients into its HIV care and treatment programme, over 21 000 of whom have received anti-retroviral therapy since being enrolled. Patients on treatment are typically seen at least every 3 months with laboratory monitoring every 6 months to 1 year. The data collected include demographics, clinical visit data, laboratory data, medication history and clinical diagnoses. Requests for collaborations on analyses can be submitted to our data centre.

How did the study come about?

Since its beginning in 2004, the roll-out of South Africa’s national anti-retroviral treatment (ART) programme has led to a dramatic increase in the number of patients accessing life-saving treatment. The public health approach adopted by the national programme1,2 has led to more than 1 million patients on treatment in 2010,3 the largest HIV treatment programme in the world.4

This massive scale-up of care and treatment services has presented numerous operational, logistical and clinical challenges. The 2010 revisions to South Africa’s National Treatment Guidelines illustrate the challenges the programme has been grappling with given limited resources.3 These include what CD4 threshold to use for treatment initiation,6–8 what ART regimens to use for first- and second-line treatment, what cadre of care provider to use to initiate and maintain patients on therapy and when to
initiate patients who are pregnant or co-infected with tuberculosis (TB).

The Themba Lethu Clinic in Johannesburg was started in 2004 as a public sector HIV treatment roll-out site run by the South African Department of Health as part of its development of accredited Comprehensive Care, Management and Treatment (CCMT) sites. Whereas Themba Lethu Clinic is a government clinic, it also receives support from Right to Care, a South African NGO supporting ART roll-out throughout South Africa with funding through the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) from the President’s Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief (PEPFAR) programme. Since 2004, Themba Lethu Clinic has enrolled approximately 30,000 patients into its HIV care and treatment programme, of whom over 21,000 have received ART since then. The size of the Themba Lethu Clinical Cohort makes it an excellent location to evaluate aspects of the government roll-out and explore ways to improve access to and delivery of treatment.

Since its inception, Themba Lethu Clinic has used a rich patient-level electronic data collection system to keep electronic patient medical records. These records include routinely captured demographic, laboratory, medication and clinical diagnosis fields (Table 1). To analyse this detailed data set, Right to Care has fostered a productive relationship with the Health Economics and Epidemiology Research Office (HE2RO) in South Africa, a collaboration between the University of the Witwatersrand and Boston University. These groups developed the Themba Lethu Clinical Cohort to allow large patient-level analyses from a single HIV treatment site to evaluate National Treatment Guidelines, answer questions of national and international policy relevance and to combine an economic and epidemiologic focus on HIV research. As part of this strategy, they have initiated collaborations with the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Duke University, the Wistar Institute and the University of Bern.

### What does the study cover and how has this changed?

The Themba Lethu Clinical Cohort was initially developed for monitoring and evaluating the treatment roll-out. Prior to 2007, records were kept on paper and then entered into an electronic patient record system. Since then, live data capturing into an electronic medical record at the time of the patient encounter has been used. Since the clinical database was being developed at a time when anti-retroviral (ARV) roll-out was occurring at a rapid pace throughout resource-limited settings, it quickly became a valuable source of data to evaluate the rapid scale-up. Examples of early use of Themba Lethu Clinical Cohort data were studies of patient loss to follow-up and hepatitis co-infection.

The current objectives of the Themba Lethu Clinical Cohort analyses are to: (i) provide cohort-level information on the outcomes of HIV treatment; (ii) evaluate aspects of HIV care and treatment that have policy relevance; (iii) evaluate the cost and cost-effectiveness of different approaches to HIV care and treatment; and (iv) provide a platform for studies on improving HIV care and treatment.

The large size of the data set has led to important contributions in the fields of health economics and epidemiology, including contributing to cost models used to determine the budget needed by the South African government for HIV treatment from 2011/12 to 2016/17. The data have also led to analyses of resistance profiles in patients failing first-line ART and to one of the largest studies included in a recent meta-analysis on the effect of TB on mortality in

### Table 1 Data fields collected routinely on those enrolled on care at Themba Lethu Clinical Cohort in Johannesburg, South Africa

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data fields</th>
<th>Variable list</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Demographics</td>
<td>Name, national ID number, contact details, gender, date of birth, employment status, alcohol use, smoking history, ethnicity and education level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical visit data</td>
<td>Date of visit (scheduled and actual), TB screening, urine analysis, vital signs, height, weight, description and duration of new symptoms and systems-based clinical examination (e.g. cardiology, neurology, and respiratory)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory results</td>
<td>ART initiation and monitoring bloods, including CD4 count, HIV viral load, full blood counts, liver function tests, renal function tests, TB microscopy and culture results, lactate levels and glucose and lipid profiles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medication history</td>
<td>Date of start and stop of ART and non-ART medications, reasons for treatment discontinuation and self-reported treatment adherence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical diagnoses</td>
<td>Pregnancy, opportunistic infections including TB, hepatitis, PCP, AIDS-related malignancies including Kaposi sarcoma, ART toxicities including peripheral neuropathy, anaemia, hyperlactataemia/lactic acidosis and lipoatrophy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
HIV-positive people. Themba Lethu Clinic also contributes data annually to the South African International Epidemiologic Databases to Evaluate AIDS (IeDEA-SA) network that pools data from multiple treatment sites throughout South Africa. In addition, the clinic data have been used as a tool to train pre-doctoral and doctoral students.

Where is the study area?
The Themba Lethu Clinic is located in the city of Johannesburg in the Gauteng Province in north central South Africa (Figure 1). Gauteng Province has the fifth largest number of infected patients with an estimated prevalence of 15.2%, but has the second largest number of patients on ART in South Africa with over 207,000 estimated to be receiving treatment at the province’s CCMT sites at the end of March 2010. The clinic is located in an ambulatory care wing at the Helen Joseph Hospital, a large urban secondary-level public sector teaching hospital. The clinic currently operates according to the 2010 South African National ART Guidelines, although until April 2010 it operated under the 2004 Guidelines. Despite the large number of patients, 400–500 seen per day, Themba Lethu Clinic functions with a modest clinical staff. There are six to eight full-time doctors, nine nurses, three pharmacists and a team of five administrative and eight data entry staff.

Themba Lethu Clinic provides HIV testing services at the clinic to about 12,000 people per year, but the majority of patients who enrol in care test elsewhere. Themba Lethu Clinic provides both pre-ART and ART care and operates a TB focal point where TB can be diagnosed and the necessary treatment initiated. TB patients are then referred to satellite clinics to continue TB treatment until completion. The clinic is supported by a team of Infectious Disease and HIV specialists from the Helen Joseph Hospital and the Clinical HIV Research Unit (CHRU), an HIV clinical trials research group. The clinic has also been used as a teaching facility for community service doctors and registrars.

Until April 2010, patients were initiated onto ART with a CD4 count < 200 cells/mm$^3$ or with a WHO Stage IV condition. Pregnant women could be initiated with higher CD4 counts. In April 2010, national guidelines changed to allow initiation at a CD4 count < 350 cells/mm$^3$ for pregnant women and those with TB. In September 2011, guidelines were again changed to allow initiation of all patients with a CD4 count < 350 cells/mm$^3$. Whereas the clinic has some flexibility with which drug regimens to use, before April 2010, patients were largely initiated onto stavudine–lamivudine–efavirenz. Tenofovir was substituted for stavudine after April 2010. Details of other regimens used are given in Table 2.

Since 2009, Themba Lethu Clinic has been ‘down-referring’ stable patients (i.e. those on ART for at least 11 months, with an undetectable viral load in the previous 10 months, stable weight, a CD4 count >200 cells/mm$^3$, <5% weight loss over the last three visits and no opportunistic infections) to one of two primary health clinics for monitoring and treatment, Crosby and Rex Clinics. At these primary health clinics, space is allotted and nurses are dedicated to managing down-referred patients. The down-referral sites are linked to the clinic in real time through the electronic patient management system. Patients who do not remain stable and respond to treatment at the down-referral site are ‘up-referred’ back to Themba Lethu Clinic for care and continued treatment until they are eligible to be down-referred again.

Who is in the sample?
The Themba Lethu Clinical Cohort consists of all patients ever enrolled for HIV care (pre-ART and ART) since April 2004 and by October 1, 2011, this included 8217 pre-ART patients (never initiated ART) and 21,101 ART patients (Table 3). Of these, there are

Table 2  Public sector-recommended ART regimens according to the 2004 and 2010 South African National Treatment Guidelines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regimen</th>
<th>2004 Guidelines</th>
<th>2010 Guidelines</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First-line</td>
<td>d4T/3TC/EFV</td>
<td>TDF/3TC or FTC/EFV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative first-line</td>
<td>d4T/3TC/NVP</td>
<td>TDF/3TC or FTC/NVP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second-line</td>
<td>AZT/ddI/LPVr</td>
<td>AZT/3TC/LPVr</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Exposure</th>
<th>Pre-ART ($n=8217$), $n$ (%)</th>
<th>ART ($n=21101$), $n$ (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>5222 (63.6)</td>
<td>13428 (63.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>2995 (36.4)</td>
<td>7671 (36.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>2 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nationality</strong></td>
<td>South African</td>
<td>7608 (92.6)</td>
<td>19195 (91.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-South African</td>
<td>609 (7.4)</td>
<td>1904 (9.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>2 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education level</strong></td>
<td>No formal education</td>
<td>1151 (14.0)</td>
<td>634 (3.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Primary school</td>
<td>648 (7.9)</td>
<td>2946 (14.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Secondary school</td>
<td>2445 (29.8)</td>
<td>11320 (53.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tertiary education</td>
<td>160 (2.0)</td>
<td>744 (3.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>3813 (46.3)</td>
<td>5357 (25.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Employment status</strong></td>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td>4434 (54.0)</td>
<td>11121 (52.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Employed</td>
<td>3783 (46.0)</td>
<td>9978 (47.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>2 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Characteristics at HAART initiation only</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td>Median (IQR)</td>
<td>36 (30.8–42.6)</td>
<td>3140 (14.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BMI (kg/m²)</strong></td>
<td>&lt;18.5</td>
<td>3140 (14.9)</td>
<td>9025 (42.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18.5–24.9</td>
<td>2804 (13.3)</td>
<td>1302 (6.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;30</td>
<td>4830 (22.9)</td>
<td>4380 (21.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CD4 count category (cells/mm³)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;50</td>
<td>5224 (24.8)</td>
<td>3231 (15.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50–100</td>
<td>3231 (15.3)</td>
<td>5725 (27.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100–200</td>
<td>2359 (11.2)</td>
<td>705 (3.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;350</td>
<td>3857 (18.3)</td>
<td>103 (19.1–25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HIV viral load (copies/ml)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≤100 000</td>
<td>3197 (7.2)</td>
<td>1527 (15.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;100 000</td>
<td>16377 (77.6)</td>
<td>103 (39–178)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Haemoglobin</strong></td>
<td>Median (IQR)</td>
<td>11.6 (10.0–13.1)</td>
<td>2519 (11.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TB</strong></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2519 (11.9)</td>
<td>8582 (88.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>8582 (88.1)</td>
<td>8582 (88.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>(0)</td>
<td>(0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Current status</strong></td>
<td>Alive</td>
<td>13067 (62.0)</td>
<td>1701 (8.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Deceased</td>
<td>4576 (21.7)</td>
<td>1757 (8.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Currently 4570 patients actively in pre-ART care and 12398 receiving ART (40% of those ever enrolled). The 12398 ART patients have been observed for 53530 person-years, for a median [interquartile range (IQR)] of 1.9 (0.6–4.1) person-years per person and a range of 0–7.7 years. Patients had a median (IQR) of 19 (5–44) visits in a total of 724257 visits over the total observation time. As with most HIV treatment programmes in sub-Saharan Africa, the majority of the cohort (64%) are female, are predominantly of Black or of African ethnicity (93%) with a median age of 36 years (IQR: 30.8–42.6 years).

What has been measured?

Since the clinic was founded, data collection has been a central focus of clinic management. Themba Lethu Clinic uses an electronic patient management system called TherapyEdge-HIV™. This system can be used as both an electronic patient medical record and an interactive tool that provides up-to-date information on HIV patient care, including real-time alerts for better care and treatment.

When the clinic first opened, data were collected on paper registers in a form that could easily be entered into the electronic database by a team of data capturers. TherapyEdge-HIV™ went live at the clinic in mid-2007, so that clinicians could enter data in real time at the point of clinical encounter with the patient. Themba Lethu Clinic continues to maintain data capturers who are used to verify and clean data that are found to be missing, out of range or logically impossible. In addition, monitoring and evaluation teams, academic researchers and a TherapyEdge-HIV™ operations team identify and forward data validation queries to a dedicated data cleaning team for checking and correction where possible.

At Themba Lethu Clinic, pre-ART care includes wellness and adherence counselling. Follow-up of patients occurs generally on a schedule of visits every 3–6 months, depending on the patient’s CD4 count, and visits include monitoring CD4 cell count and WHO Stage to determine when the patient becomes eligible for ART.

From 2004 to 2010, prior to a patient initiating ART, various laboratory investigations, including full blood counts, haemoglobin and liver function tests were conducted to determine the appropriate ART regimen. In April 2010, when tenofovir was substituted for stavudine, measurement of creatinine clearance was also required prior to initiation of treatment to determine patient’s renal function. In accordance with South African National Guidelines, viral loads are not generally taken prior to ART initiation. Whereas the schedule varies depending on the regimen, patients are typically seen for medical follow-up visits at months 1, 3 and 6 and 12-monthly thereafter. Patients come for ARV pickups monthly for the first 6–12 months on treatment and every 2 months thereafter once stable. Patients have their first monitoring of viral load to assess suppression at 4 months as well as a CD4 count. Monitoring tests were done every 6 months after that until the 2010 guideline changes. They are now done at 6 and 12 months and yearly thereafter.

Other parameters routinely measured include full blood counts, haemoglobin, liver function tests and creatinine clearance. Tests such as lipid profiles, lactate levels and glucose are measured as clinically indicated. Specimens are processed and analysed at the National Health Laboratory Service (NHLS), which has a branch at Helen Joseph Hospital.

At all visits, the dates the visit was scheduled and when it was actually completed are recorded as well as all clinical characteristics and laboratory results. More recently (2010), the database was upgraded to include the ability to integrate and download all laboratory results electronically from the NHLS, ensuring high quality, complete data. Finally, conditions reported at the clinical encounter (e.g. peripheral neuropathy and TB) are recorded, though with less completeness than the laboratory data.

The clinic employs several strategies to deal with patients lost to follow-up. Dedicated loss to follow-up...
counsellors make up to three attempts to contact lost patients and return them to care or determine their vital status. Mortality is ascertained routinely through family or hospital report, active tracing and linkage with the South African National Vital Registration Infrastructure Initiative. Over half (52%) of the patients in the clinic have a legitimate national ID number recorded and have been linked to the death registry. During our first match in 2008, the number of deaths more than doubled (4.2–10.9%).

What is attrition like?

Since this is a clinical cohort, we consider attrition to be an important outcome that we measure and track as well as strive to reduce. There are three types of attrition for ART patients in our cohort (Figure 2). Firstly, patients can become lost to follow-up. We define loss to follow-up (for research purposes) as having occurred as soon as a patient is 3 months late for their last scheduled visit. Since April 2004, 22% of all the patients who initiated ART have become lost, typical for clinical cohorts in this region. Secondly, patients can leave the cohort through formal transfer to another facility. Of all patients initiated onto ART, 9% have transferred to another facility. Finally, attrition can happen through death, which has occurred in 9% of all patients, the majority within the first 6 months after ART initiation. This leaves over 60% of all patients who initiated ART remaining alive and in care. Figure 2 shows attrition from the cohort over time in relation to events at the clinic, including the initiation of live capturing of patient data, the removal of clinic fees and initiation of active tracing of lost patients.

What has been found?

Analyses conducted using the Themba Lethu Clinic data follow several themes. Examples of these include:

- Treatment outcomes: we have demonstrated good clinical, virologic and immunologic outcomes among patients initiating first- and second-line therapy in our cohort, with roughly 80% of the patients on second-line therapy alive and in care 1 year later. The database has also been used as a platform to explore the association between baseline renal function and renal toxicity and mortality among patients initiated onto tenofovir-based regimens. Recently, we showed that stable patients down-referred from doctor-managed ART clinics to nurse-managed primary health clinics were less likely to die [hazard ratio (HR) 0.2; 95% confidence interval (95% CI): 0.04–0.8], become lost to follow-up (HR 0.3; 95% CI: 0.2–0.6) or experience viral rebound (RR 0.6; 95% CI 0.4–0.9) than stable patients who remained at the site where they initiated treatment.

- Response to co-infections: we have shown that TB at time of ART initiation does not increase all-cause mortality during follow-up, but may increase short-term risks of drug toxicities, especially stavudine toxicities. We have explored outcomes in patients co-infected with Kaposi’s sarcoma herpes virus (KSHV), finding a detectable KSHV viral load rather than KSHV seropositivity to be associated with markers of advanced HIV disease at ART initiation.

- Attrition for HIV care: data from Themba Lethu Clinic have been used for several analyses of attrition in ART programmes. By matching with the National Vital Registration System, we showed...
that 37% of the patients lost from ART care died within 3 years of being lost. Themba Lethu data also showed that missing two or more medical visits was associated with at least a 2-fold increased risk of mortality compared with missing no visits (HR 2.1; 95% CI: 1.0–4.3).27

- Pregnancy and HIV treatment: we have shown that there is a high rate of pregnancy among women (especially young women) after ART initiation, and that incident pregnancy after ART initiation may increase risks of virologic failure.23

- Cost and cost-effectiveness: Themba Lethu has been the subject of costing analyses as well as a source of inputs for larger costing models. In the former category, cost and outcomes for Themba Lethu patients were compared with other sites and showed that in comparison with these sites, Themba Lethu had the lowest cost for producing a patient who was in care and responding. In the latter category, data from Themba Lethu were used to model the probabilities of patient movement between CD4 categories as well as rates of survival and attrition over time in order to model the overall cost of HIV care in South Africa as well as the cost implications of changes to the 2010 National Treatment Guidelines.34

What future analyses are planned?

We plan to continue to use Themba Lethu Clinical Cohort data to ask questions that will help guide not only the South African National treatment programme but also other programmes in resource-limited settings. As an example, current plans include evaluating the impact of changes in the 2010 National ART Guidelines, including changes allowing the initiation of pregnant women and TB patients at higher CD4 counts (>350 cells/mm3), changes related to nurse initiated and managed HIV treatment and changes in first-line ART regimens.

What are the main strengths and weaknesses of the study?

The Themba Lethu Clinical Cohort has several strengths that make it a valuable research asset. Perhaps the biggest strength is the size of the cohort. The Themba Lethu Clinical Cohort is one of the largest single site ART cohorts in all of South Africa and globally. As such, questions can be answered in a single cohort that typically require pooled data sets like the IeDEA networks to answer. Whereas such collaborations are invaluable, the differing care protocols make them best suited to answering questions about the variation across sites. The Themba Lethu Clinical Cohort is able to answer questions of critical importance among patients following a common treatment protocol.

The second strength is the depth of the data. Due to the history of using a standardized, electronic data capture system, data on ART regimens, visit dates, outcomes, drug side effects, laboratory investigations and demographics are of high quality. The employment of dedicated data cleaners helps maintain the quality over time and the integration of the data collection system electronically with the National Health Laboratory System, linking with the South African National Vital Registration Infrastructure Initiative, and plans to link with the national TB and cancer registries allow for accurate capture of clinical data.

The third strength is the dynamic nature of the cohort. Since we continue to enrol patients, we can evaluate changes to both the clinic and national policies as they are implemented. The prospective nature also means we have and can continue to improve data collection systems and quality over time. New data collection modules can be added for substudies of interest and fields that are repeatedly not collected can be removed.

The Themba Lethu Clinical Cohort also has weaknesses. Since it is a clinical cohort, missing data occur when patients miss visits and when the clinician seeing the patient does not enter information about the patient encounter. Some conditions, including those requiring inpatient workup and diagnosis (such as malignancies) or those where treatment is accessed at other facilities (TB and chronic conditions such as hypertension or diabetes), are commonly under-reported in the data set and need to be interpreted and analysed with caution. In addition, as a result of data not being captured, confounding could be a common problem in analyses. The data collection system was designed to capture critical information for patient care, but variables one would often want to adjust for (e.g. socio-economic status, adherence and parity) are either not collected and need to be evaluated in substudies or are poorly recorded.

Can I get hold of the data?

Analyses of the data are approved for analysis by the Human Research Ethics Committee (Medical) of the University of the Witwatersrand. All participating institutions who conduct analyses on the data seek their own ethics approval, often using de-identified data. All investigators working with Themba Lethu Clinical Cohort sign a data-use agreement. Themba Lethu staff has a long history of collaborating with partner institutions to ask questions of importance and will continue to do so. Whereas the data are not given out to outside researchers, requests for analyses that are relevant to the research missions of the participating institutions can be considered. Requests should be made in writing to Lynne McNamara (lmcnamara@witshealth.co.za) with a specific study question and the types of data that would be needed.
Where can I find out more?
Information about the Themba Lethu Clinical Cohort can be found at http://www.righttocare.org/tlc/tlc.pdf or by contacting the Right to Care offices.
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KEY MESSAGES
The following are the main findings from the Themba Lethu Clinical Cohort to date:
- HIV-infected patients initiating first- and second-line therapy have good clinical, virologic and immunologic outcomes, with roughly 80% of the patients on second-line therapy alive and in care 1 year later.
- Stable patients down-referred from doctor-managed ART clinics to nurse-managed primary health clinics were less likely to die, become lost to follow-up or experience viral rebound than stable patients remaining at the site where they initiated treatment.
- TB at the time of ART initiation does not increase all-cause mortality during follow-up, but may increase short-term risks of drug toxicities, especially stavudine toxicities.
- Data from Themba Lethu were used to model the overall cost of HIV care in South Africa as well as the cost implications of changes to the 2010 National Treatment Guidelines.
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