Benefits of educational attainment on adult fluid cognition: international evidence from three birth cohorts
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Background Educational attainment is highly correlated with social inequalities in adult cognitive health; however, the nature of this correlation is in dispute. Recently, researchers have argued that educational inequalities are an artefact of selection by individual differences in prior cognitive ability, which both drives educational attainment and tracks across the rest of the life course. Although few would deny that educational attainment is at least partly determined by prior cognitive ability, a complementary, yet controversial, view is that education has a direct causal and lasting benefit on cognitive development.

Methods We use observational data from three birth cohorts, with cognition measured in adolescence and adulthood. Ordinary least squares regression was used to model the relationship between adolescent cognition and adult fluid cognition and to test the sensitivity of our analyses to sample selection, projection and backdoor biases using propensity score matching.

Results We find that having a university education is correlated with higher fluid cognition in adulthood, after adjustment for adolescent cognition. We do not find that adolescent cognition, gender or parental social class consistently modify this effect; however, women benefited more in the 1946 sample from Great Britain.

Conclusions In all three birth cohorts, substantial educational benefit remained after adjustment for adolescent cognition and parental social class, offsetting an effect equivalent of 0.5 to 1.5 standard deviations lower adolescent cognition. We also find that the likelihood of earning a university degree depends in part on adolescent cognition, gender and parental social class. We conclude that inequalities in adult cognition derive in part from educational experiences after adolescence.
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Introduction

Educational attainment is highly correlated with adult fluid cognition, but the nature of this relationship remains controversial. Some argue that the ostensible influence of education on cognition is primarily an artefact of selection by prior cognitive ability, differences in which emerge in early life, drive educational attainment and track across the life course. Overlying this may be genetic and early social common-cause processes that link cognitive development and educational attainment. However, although few would deny that educational attainment is at least partly determined by prior cognitive ability, an alternative view is that education promotes cognitive development based on the teaching process and enriched environments. From this perspective, education has a lasting beneficial impact on cognitive functioning in later life, including increased cognitive reserve and resilience to age-related neuropathology.

Hypotheses

Figure 1 shows how we might differentiate selection from causation using graphical representations of educational attainment and adolescent cognition. Under ‘cognitive selection’ (Figure 1A), we expect that adolescent cognition predicts both adult cognition and educational attainment, but that education does not provide additional cognitive benefits. Under ‘educational benefits’ (Figure 1B), adolescent cognition plays no role in determining educational attainment, but those who achieve higher education earn higher adult cognition. However, if both selection and causation processes are active (Figure 1C), we expect to observe both cognitive processes and educational benefits in adult cognition.

Data

To assess these competing, but potentially complementary, theories, we evaluate differences in adult cognitive outcomes in relation to educational attainment. Cognitive development takes time to reach maturity; therefore, we used adolescent cognition to adjust for individual differences in adult cognition. Educational attainment is often mandated; therefore, we focused our attention on university educational attainment, which is subject to variance in outcomes owing to non-cognitive factors including cost, culture, gender and educational or occupational aspiration. Because education may not provide a universal effect, with any benefits possibly modified by, for instance, competition, selectivity and educational difficulty, we provide estimates in three prospective cohorts, providing a basis for comparison across country and birth cohort. In Great Britain (hereafter G.B., which includes England, Scotland and Wales), we observe cognition at age 15 years using the Alice Heim 4 group ability test, and adult fluid cognition at age 53 years using verbal recall and letter cancellation for a class-stratified sample of 5362 people born in 1 week in March 1946 (i.e. G.B. 1946 cohort). In the G.B. 1958 cohort, we also observe cognition at age 16 years using a scale (Cronbach’s $\alpha = 0.8$) combining

Figure 1 Graphical representation showing cognitive selection into educational attainment and educational benefits on adult cognition. Secondary Qualifications (SQ) lines are solid; University Degree (UD) lines are dashed. Dark grey bands represent confidence intervals. Light grey bands show regions where different qualifications have graduates with similar adolescent intelligence. (A) Cognitive processes: adolescent and adult cognition are correlated; adolescent intelligence defines propensity for educational attainment with no educational benefits. (B) Educational benefits: no cognitive selection for educational attainment; adult cognition determined by both adolescent intelligence and educational benefits. (C) Multiple processes: evidence for both cognitive selection into education and benefit of education on adult cognition.
scores on mathematics and reading comprehension tests, and at age 50 years using letter cancellation, animal naming, verbal memory and verbal recall among 18 558 individuals in G.B. born in 1958.10,11 We have access to general ability, mathematics and vocabulary scores in the G.B. 1946 cohort that are comparable with those used in the G.B. 1958 cohort. In the 1946 cohort, general ability is strongly correlated with a factor score created using mathematics and vocabulary scores ($r = 0.8$ at age 15 years).

Finally, we observe adolescent cognition at age 16 years using the Henmon-Nelson test, and adult fluid cognition at age 53 years using the Weschler Adult Intelligence Scale-Similarities Index among 10 317 adolescents born on average in 1939 and graduating from high school in 1957 in Wisconsin, USA (hereafter U.S. 1939).12 We provide a detailed description of the measurements for adult fluid cognition (Supplementary Table S1, available as Supplementary data at IJE online).

Educational attainment differs substantially between these three cohorts over time and cross-nationally. Moreover, the social circumstances necessary to access further educational attainment differ substantially between cohorts. Parental household social class and gender were used to assess confounding from potential common causes. Respondents’ highest level of educational qualification was recorded between ages 26 and 42 years. The U.S. cohort did not follow individuals who did not graduate from high school; we therefore limit our analysis in the British analyses to those who achieved secondary qualifications (those with O-level certificates). Replications of this study amongst those with lower qualifications yield similar results.

These data represent non-immigrant Whites from different countries, eras and educational systems. To contextualize the educational system, Table 1 provides the percentages of students leaving school without secondary qualifications, with secondary qualifications, with mixed qualifications and with a university degree. Furthermore, we have provided the likelihood of each educational degree by sex, parental social class and cognitive tertiles (33 percentile groupings). Those in the U.S. 1939 cohort were more likely (75%) to graduate with at least secondary (high school) qualifications than those in either of the British cohorts (1946: 1.00–0.48 = 52%; 1958: 1.00–0.36 = 64%). The likelihood of a student from the non-manual classes graduating from university was around 2-fold greater than the likelihood of those from manual classes. Gains in higher education occurred in both non-manual and manual classes over time: in each successive cohort, the number of students...
who graduated with secondary qualifications and who went on to graduate with a university degree increased. In conjunction with this, the number of women who graduated with university degrees was substantially larger in the G.B. 1958 cohort than in either of the earlier cohorts. Finally, those who were in the top 33% in adolescent cognition were much more likely to get a university degree [40% (G.B. 1958), 21% (U.S. 1939, G.B. 1946)] than those who scored in the lowest third (0–2%).

Methods

Counterfactual methods attempt to account for sample selection by carefully analysing the evidence for a treatment effect based on a balanced, robust, comparison group. Adolescent cognition, gender and parental social class are strong predictors of educational propensity; therefore, a standard ordinary least squares (OLS) model is appropriate for modelling mid-life fluid cognition. Adolescent cognition was thus regressed on adult cognition, with education modifying both the intercepts and the slopes of the effect of adolescent cognition. These results use robust standard errors calculated using the Huber–White correction. The model specified in Equation 1 was used to provide the estimates in Figure 2. The interaction between education and adolescent cognition was included in all analyses for comparison purposes; however, it is only important in the U.S. 1939 cohort \[-0.17 \text{ (} -0.24 \text{ to } -0.10, \ P < 0.001\].

\[
C_a = \alpha + \beta_1 c_i + \beta_2 e + \beta_3 s + \varepsilon + \beta_4 p + \beta_5 s + \varepsilon
\]  

where \(c_a\) represents cognition in adulthood, \(\alpha\) is the constant, \(\beta\) represents slopes, \(c_i\) is cognition in adolescence, \(e\) is educational attainment, \(s\) is the interaction between adolescent cognition and educational attainment, \(p\) is parental social class, \(s\) is the respondent’s gender and \(\varepsilon\) represents the error.

To provide model estimates for Figure 3, we use the following model that includes all two-way interactions to estimate the effects of gender and parental social class in addition to their roles in educational selection. Although this model is not parsimonious, estimating reduced models with main effects only does not lead to different results. We specify these models by including gender- and social class-specific interaction terms.

The role of educational attainment in determining individual differences in adult fluid cognition is confounded with adolescent cognition. To test the sensitivity of our analyses to selection bias, backdoor bias and Lord’s paradox, we replicated our analyses using a statistically balanced estimate of the propensity for higher education in kernel-based propensity score matching and marginal structural models. We used parental social class, adolescent cognition, gender and, when important, we also

---

Figure 2 Adult fluid cognition by adolescent intelligence for those who were educated to a university degree (UD) as compared with those with secondary qualifications (SQ). We provide OLS estimates using thick black lines, and 95% confidence intervals using mirrored solid thin grey lines. For explanatory purposes, we use horizontal solid lines capped by circles and dashed vertical lines to estimate a point estimate for the cognitive offset evaluated at the sample average \((\bar{C}_0)\). (A) In the U.S. 1939 cohort, \(\Delta \bar{C}_0\) is large at 1.49 (1.44–1.54; \(P < 0.001\). (B) In the 1946 G.B. cohort, \(\Delta \bar{C}_0\) was 0.40 (−0.01 to 0.81; \(P > 0.05\). (C) In the 1958 G.B. cohort, \(\Delta \bar{C}_0\) was 0.49 (0.45–0.53; \(P < 0.001\))
considered their interactions to model educational propensity. Ensuring sample balance necessitated limiting our region of analysis to the region of cognitive overlap, highlighted as a grey square in Figure 1. Model specification (results shown in Table 1) impacts on the educational effect size but does not change the interpretation of our results.

Providing useful comparative measures is important in cognitive research. We have provided what we call the ‘cognitive offset’ (ΔC) for each cohort. ΔC estimates the adolescent cognition necessary for an individual whose treatment status (e) was zero (secondary qualifications) to expect an adult fluid cognition that was equivalent to someone of average adolescent cognition who earned a university degree.

Graphs were generated using predicted average treatment effects [β2 in Equation 1] and standard errors from the models (provided in Supplementary Table S2, available as Supplementary data at IJE online). Cognitive offset at average adolescent cognition was estimated visually in Figures 2 and 3 using red lines. For the purposes of graphical representation, we provide a range in adolescent cognition that has been symmetrically trimmed by 2.5%. These were not removed from statistical analyses (though doing so does not change the relationships) but are not represented graphically because they represent highly unlikely outcomes. Trimming does not bias results but instead highlights the effective likelihood of higher education. Measures of adolescent cognition and adult fluid cognition have been standardized for ease of interpretation and to facilitate comparability.

Results

Figure 2 relates adolescent cognition to fluid cognition in adulthood for those who attained secondary qualifications in comparison with those who earned a university degree. Replicating the hypothetical curves shown in Figure 1, using observed outcomes, in Figure 2 we highlight the beneficial effect of education by computing the ‘cognitive offset’ (ΔC). This represents the expected benefit of educational attainment by contrasting the adolescent cognition of those with similar adult cognition for those with university qualifications as compared with those with secondary qualifications.

With regard to educational propensity, we see that in all three cohorts, those with cognitive scores 1σ below sample mean rarely attained university qualifications, whereas those with adolescent cognition >1.5σ above sample mean usually did. Considering educational benefits, we provide a point estimate for ΔC shown in red in Figure 2 (Supplementary Table S2, available as Supplementary data at IJE online, provides averages). Those in the U.S. 1939 cohort show the largest gains at 1.49σ, with those in the G.B. 1958 showing a smaller gain at
had secondary qualifications. If early education is more impact had a robust effect on adult fluid cognition even after adjusting for adolescent cognition. Our results suggest that a university education not measured in both G.B. cohorts but not in the U.S. cohort. These contextual results suggest that cognitive returns to education may be susceptible to similar forces as socio-economic returns to education.22,33 This study has wider scientific implications. Education acts as one of the primary ‘social determinants’ and ‘fundamental causes’ of social inequalities in health.34–37 Higher education is related to individual skill formation,38 and may shape a person’s life.
chances. Inequalities in successful learning are related to behavioural differences, extra-curricular training and more challenging educations. In view of this, persisting inequalities in school-readiness and access to educational opportunities are of particular concern. Our results support efforts to increase cognition by investing in educational opportunities both in early and later life.

Supplementary Data
Supplementary Data are available at IJE online.

KEY MESSAGES
- Estimates of educational benefits are biased by unobserved individual differences in prior cognition and parental social class; however, the data to analyse such claims are limited.
- We use data from three comparable cohorts from Great Britain and the USA to provide a generalized estimate of the impact of educational benefits on adult fluid cognition after adjusting for adolescent cognition.
- The educational benefit remains qualitatively large after adjustment for adolescent cognition: a person with average adolescent cognition who earns a university degree can expect similar adult fluid cognition as someone with adolescent cognition measuring 0.5 to 1.5 standard deviations (SDs) higher who did not go to university.
- We also find that the educational benefit can be modified by contextual circumstances: women benefit more in the 1946 G.B. cohort when very few women attended university, and respondents with lower adolescent cognition benefit more in the U.S. 1939 cohort.
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