

Comment on: Allister et al. UCP2 Regulates the Glucagon Response to Fasting and Starvation. *Diabetes* 2013; 62:1623–1633

Erik Gylfe

Using α -cell-specific knockout of the mitochondrial uncoupling protein 2 (UCP2), Allister et al. (1) recently provided evidence for involvement of this protein in glucose regulation of glucagon release. This is an interesting and potentially important contribution with regard to the possible involvement of UCP2. However, the authors do not seem to notice that their data contradict the promoted model. The study focuses on intrinsic regulation of secretion, implying that the α -cell senses the glucose concentration and releases glucagon accordingly. The mechanism for α -cell glucose sensing is controversial, but the authors only mention the most cited model, in which glucose-derived ATP closes ATP-sensitive K^+ channels to depolarize the α -cell (2). The depolarization leads to inactivation of Na^+ channels involved in action potential firing and thereby to inhibition of glucagon release. Other α -cell models instead predict that glucose inhibits glucagon secretion by hyperpolarizing the α -cell after activating the electrogenic Na^+/K^+ pump (3) or shutting off a depolarizing store-operated current by stimulating Ca^{2+} sequestration in the endoplasmic reticulum (4,5).

Surprisingly, it is not settled how glucose affects the membrane potential of α -cells. Whereas electrophysiological recordings have indicated both depolarizing (2) and hyperpolarizing (6) effects, noninvasive measurements with a potential-sensitive dye indicate that glucose elevation hyperpolarizes α -cells (4,7). Using the electrophysiological approach, Allister et al. provide additional evidence for a hyperpolarizing effect of glucose both in control and

UCP2-knockout α -cells. However, this finding is never mentioned. Focus is instead on UCP2-knockout α -cells being more depolarized, which is assumed to reflect higher ATP production. It seems somewhat biased to highlight indirect indications of metabolism-mediated depolarization of the α -cell and suppress direct evidence for a hyperpolarizing effect of glucose that contradicts the favored model for glucagon secretion.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

No potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article were reported.

REFERENCES

1. Allister EM, Robson-Doucette CA, Prentice KJ, et al. UCP2 regulates the glucagon response to fasting and starvation. *Diabetes* 2013;62:1623–1633
2. Gromada J, Ma X, Høy M, et al. ATP-sensitive K^+ channel-dependent regulation of glucagon release and electrical activity by glucose in wild-type and SUR1^{-/-} mouse α -cells. *Diabetes* 2004;53(Suppl. 3):S181–S189
3. Bode HP, Weber S, Fehmann HC, Göke B. A nutrient-regulated cytosolic calcium oscillator in endocrine pancreatic glucagon-secreting cells. *Pflugers Arch* 1999;437:324–334
4. Liu YJ, Vieira E, Gylfe E. A store-operated mechanism determines the activity of the electrically excitable glucagon-secreting pancreatic α -cell. *Cell Calcium* 2004;35:357–365
5. Vieira E, Salehi A, Gylfe E. Glucose inhibits glucagon secretion by a direct effect on mouse pancreatic α cells. *Diabetologia* 2007;50:370–379
6. Barg S, Galvanovskis J, Göpel SO, Rorsman P, Eliasson L. Tight coupling between electrical activity and exocytosis in mouse glucagon-secreting α -cells. *Diabetes* 2000;49:1500–1510
7. Hjortoe GM, Hagel GM, Terry BR, Thastrup O, Arkhammar PO. Functional identification and monitoring of individual α and β cells in cultured mouse islets of Langerhans. *Acta Diabetol* 2004;41:185–193

From the Department of Medical Cell Biology, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden.

Corresponding author: Erik Gylfe, erik.gylfe@mcb.uu.se.

DOI: 10.2337/db13-0397

© 2013 by the American Diabetes Association. Readers may use this article as long as the work is properly cited, the use is educational and not for profit, and the work is not altered. See <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/> for details.