

COMMENTS AND RESPONSES

Response to Comment on: Lin et al. Long-Term Changes in Adiposity and Glycemic Control Are Associated With Past Adenovirus Infection. Diabetes Care 2013;36:701-707

We would like to thank Yamada et al. (1) for their comments about our article (2) and for their interest in our work. They state that the association of Ad36 infection with better glycemic control that we reported does not correspond with their previous meta-analysis (3). Although there may be a number of possibilities to explain the difference, it should be noted that the meta-analysis by Yamada et al. (3) included 2,870 subjects for BMI analyses but only 1,083 subjects for determining the association of Ad36 with glucose levels. However, data are now available for additional 3,037 subjects that show an association of various components of glycemic control with Ad36 seropositivity in humans. These additional data are from our study (2) and four additional studies (Rogers et al. 2008, Krishnapuram et al. 2011 and 2012, Dubuisson et al. 2011, rev. in 4), which were published before the meta-analysis by Yamada et al. (3) but were not included in their analyses.

Yamada et al. assert that it is important to conduct prospective studies to obtain more definitive evidence about the role of Ad36 in human metabolism. We agree. In fact, our study (2) is a 10-year prospective study. We are unclear about the specific objections Yamada et al. have to this design. Concerning the lack of statistical significance in some subgroups in our study—please note that this is a new and interesting finding. When analyzed collectively, subjects

show an association of Ad36 with adiposity and glycemic control. However, when analyzed separately by sex and BMI groups, differences between subgroups emerge for statistical associations. In fact, this is a strength of the study because it informs about the specific groups that may contribute to the overall association.

Finally, Yamada et al. have expressed a concern about the biological mechanism and clinical relevance. Clearly, humans are not a suitable model to determine the mechanism of action of Ad36. However, numerous studies have used various cell culture and animal models to understand the underlying mechanism as summarized elsewhere (4–6). In vitro, the cell signaling modulated by Ad36 to influence cellular lipid and glucose metabolism has been determined and a viral gene necessary and sufficient for the effects of Ad36 has been identified (4). The in vivo studies collectively show that experimental infection of animals with Ad36 increases adiposity, improves glycemic control, and attenuates liver fat accumulation. Importantly, these findings are mirrored in human associations (4,6). Although research in this area is ongoing in many laboratories worldwide, because of the congruence of the majority of cellular, animal, and human data, it is not at all premature to consider the potential clinical relevance and implications of these findings.

WAN-YU LIN, PHD¹
 OLGA DUBUISSON, MD, PHD²
 ROHINA RUBICZ, PHD³
 NIANJUN LIU, PHD⁴
 DAVID B. ALLISON, PHD⁴
 JOANNE E. CURRAN, PHD³
 ANTHONY G. COMUZZIE, PHD³
 JOHN BLANGERO, PHD³
 CHARLES T. LEACH, MD⁵
 HARALD GÖRING, PHD³
 NIKHIL V. DHURANDHAR, PHD²

From the ¹Institute of Epidemiology and Preventive Medicine, College of Public Health, National Taiwan University, Taipei Taiwan; the ²Infections and Obesity Laboratory, Pennington Biomedical Research Center, Louisiana State University System, Baton Rouge, Louisiana; the ³Department of Genetics, Texas Biomedical Research Institute, San Antonio, Texas; the ⁴Department of Biostatistics, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama; and the ⁵Department of

Pediatrics, University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio, San Antonio, Texas.

Corresponding author: Nikhil V. Dhurandhar, nikhil.dhurandhar@pbrc.edu.

DOI: 10.2337/dc13-0879

© 2013 by the American Diabetes Association.

Readers may use this article as long as the work is properly cited, the use is educational and not for profit, and the work is not altered. See <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/> for details.

Acknowledgments—For N.V.D., the following patents are granted or have been applied for: Patent number 6,127,113: Viral obesity methods and compositions; Patent number 6,664,050: Viral obesity methods and compositions; Patent number US 8,008,436B2, dated August 30, 2011: Adenovirus 36 E4orf1 gene and protein and their uses; Provisional patent filed: Adenovirus Ad36 E4orf1 protein for prevention and treatment of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, July 2010; Provisional patent filed: Enhanced glycemic control using Ad36 E4orf1 and AKT1 inhibitor, January 2012. N.V.D. has ongoing grant support from Vital Health Interventions for determining antidiabetic properties of E4orf1 protein. D.B.A. has, anticipates, or has had financial interests with the Frontiers Foundation; Federal Trade Commission; Vivus, Inc.; Kraft Foods; University of Wisconsin; University of Arizona; Paul, Weiss, Wharton & Garrison LLP; and Sage Publications. No other potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article were reported.

References

1. Yamada T, Hara K, Kadowaki T. Comment on: Lin et al. Long-term changes in adiposity and glycemic control are associated with past adenovirus infection. *Diabetes Care* 2013;36:701–707 (Letter). *Diabetes Care* 2013;36:e161. DOI: 10.2337/dc13-0657
2. Lin WY, Dubuisson O, Rubicz R, et al. Long-term changes in adiposity and glycemic control are associated with past adenovirus infection. *Diabetes Care* 2013;36:701–707
3. Yamada T, Hara K, Kadowaki T. Association of adenovirus 36 infection with obesity and metabolic markers in humans: a meta-analysis of observational studies. *PLoS ONE* 2012;7:e42031
4. Dhurandhar NV. Insulin sparing action of adenovirus 36 and its E4orf1 protein. *J Diabetes Complications* 2013;27:191–199
5. Dhurandhar NV. Is obesity caused by an adenovirus? *Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther* 2012;10:521–524
6. Dhurandhar NV. A framework for identification of infections that contribute to human obesity. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2011;11:963–969