

OBSERVATIONS

Extended 6-Month Follow-Up of A Randomized Clinical Trial to Assess the Efficacy and Safety of Real-Time Continuous Glucose Monitoring in the Management of Type 1 Diabetes in Young Children Aged 4 to <10 Years

In a 6-month randomized trial of continuous glucose monitoring (CGM) in children 4–9 years of age with type 1 diabetes (1), the DirecNet Study Group reported no difference in change in A1C between the CGM and usual care groups, although parents expressed high satisfaction with use of CGM. In a 6-month post-trial phase, the CGM group continued to use CGM and the control group was started on CGM. This observation provides a summary of the findings for both groups during this post-trial phase.

Sixty-four of the 69 children in the CGM group who completed the trial participated in the extension phase; all 64 completed the 12-month visit. Baseline A1C was 7.9 ± 0.8 and $7.8 \pm 0.8\%$ at the beginning of the extension phase and $7.9 \pm 0.8\%$ at 12 months. During the extension phase, A1C decreased $\geq 0.5\%$ in 14% and increased $\geq 0.5\%$ in 25%. Sensor use dropped from a median of 92 h/week at 6 months to 60 h/week at 12 months; 33% of subjects were wearing the sensor ≥ 6 days/week at 12 months, compared with 44% at 6 months. There was no correlation between sensor use and change in A1C during the extension phase and no significant differences in glycemic profiles between the initial 6 month and extension phases. Severe hypoglycemia rate dropped from 9.5 events/100 person-years in the first 6 months to 3.3 in the extension phase, with no seizures or loss of consciousness. On the CGM Satisfaction questionnaire, parents

continued to endorse feeling safer with use of CGM and that CGM made adjusting insulin easier.

Of the 68 children in the control arm who completed the initial 6-month trial, 66 initiated CGM in the post-trial phase; 61 completed the 12-month visit. Mean A1C was $7.8 \pm 0.8\%$ at CGM initiation and $7.8 \pm 0.7\%$ 6 months later ($P = 0.54$). A decrease in A1C $\geq 0.5\%$ was seen in 21% and an increase in A1C $\geq 0.5\%$ was seen in 31%. CGM wear decreased from a median of 95 h/week during the first month to 32 h/week at the end of the study. Only 16% of subjects were using CGM ≥ 6 days/week by study end. Significant improvements from 6 to 12 months were observed for the percentage of sensor values ≤ 70 mg/dL (median 2.2 vs. 1.4%; $P = 0.001$) and the coefficient of variation (41 vs. 37%; $P < 0.001$), but the percentage in the target range 71–180 mg/dL was similar (52 vs. 54%). Severe hypoglycemia rate was 13.3/100 person-years (four events in 61 participants). The CGM Satisfaction questionnaire completed by parents indicated high benefit and low hassles with CGM despite the lack of improvement in glycemic indices.

Despite parental reports of great satisfaction with CGM, glycemic control did not improve in these young children, and sensor use progressively decreased. Identifying barriers to improved glycemic control will be important in future studies of young children with type 1 diabetes who use CGM. Meaningful improvement in control and reduction in hypoglycemia in this group may require closed-loop insulin delivery.

MICHAEL TANSEY, MD¹
 STUART WEINZIMER, MD²
 ROY BECK, MD, PHD³
 KATRINA RUEDY, MSPH³
 PEIYAO CHENG, MPH³
 WILLIAM TAMBORLANE, MD²
 CRAIG KOLLMAN, PHD³
 NELLY MAURAS, MD⁴
 LARRY FOX, MD⁴
 JULIE COFFEY, ARNP¹
 NEIL H. WHITE, MD⁵

FOR THE DIABETES RESEARCH IN CHILDREN NETWORK (DIRECNET) STUDY GROUP

From the ¹Division of Pediatric Endocrinology, University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa; the ²Section of Pediatric Endocrinology, Yale University, New

Haven, Connecticut; the ³Jaeb Center for Health Research, Tampa, Florida; ⁴Pediatric Endocrinology, Nemours Children's Clinic, Jacksonville, Florida; and the ⁵Department of Pediatrics, Washington University, St. Louis, Missouri.

Corresponding author: Katrina Ruedy, direcnet@jaeb.org.

DOI: 10.2337/dc12-2021

The opinions expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Institutes of Health or the National Center for Research Resources.

© 2013 by the American Diabetes Association. Readers may use this article as long as the work is properly cited, the use is educational and not for profit, and the work is not altered. See <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/> for details.

Acknowledgments—This research was supported by the following National Institutes of Health (NIH)/National Institute of Child Health and Human Development grants: HD41890-10, HD41906-10, HD41908-10, HD41915, HD41918, and HD56526. This publication was also made possible by grants UL1 RR024992, UL1RR024979, UL1RR024139, and UL1RR025744 from the National Center for Research Resources, a component of NIH, and the NIH Roadmap for Medical Research.

M.T. consults for Daiichi Sankyo. S.W. consults for Animas and BD, has received a grant from Medtronic for in-kind support, has received payment for lectures and service on speaker bureaus for Eli Lilly, and has received payment for InsuLine stock. W.T. consults for Medtronic and LifeScan Animas. C.K. has consulted with Diabetes Technology Management. N.M. reports a device supply agreement with Medtronic for a research project. N.H.W. received institutional funds to support travel and for other purposes from NIH/National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, and consults for Novo Nordisk and Daiichi Sankyo.

M.T., S.W., R.B., and K.R. researched data, contributed to discussion, wrote the manuscript, and reviewed and edited the manuscript. P.C., W.T., C.K., N.M., L.F., J.C., and N.H.W. researched data, contributed to discussion, and reviewed and edited the manuscript. R.B. is the guarantor of this work and, as such, had full access to all the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

References

1. Mauras N, Beck R, Xing D, et al.; Diabetes Research in Children Network (DirecNet) Study Group. A randomized clinical trial to assess the efficacy and safety of real-time continuous glucose monitoring in the management of type 1 diabetes in young children aged 4 to <10 years. *Diabetes Care* 2012;35:204–210