The human genome contains multiple layers of information that extend beyond the genetic sequence. In fact, identical genetics do not necessarily yield identical phenotypes as evident for the case of two different cell types in the human body. The great variation in structure and function displayed by cells with identical genetic background is attributed to additional genomic information content. This includes large-scale genetic aberrations, as well as diverse epigenetic patterns that are crucial for regulating specific cell functions. These genetic and epigenetic patterns operate in concert in order to maintain specific cellular functions. Single-molecule optical genome mapping is a high-throughput genome analysis method that is based on imaging long chromosomal fragments stretched in nanochannel arrays. The access to long DNA molecules coupled with fluorescent tagging of various genomic information presents a unique opportunity to study genetic and epigenetic patterns in the genome at a single-molecule level over large genomic distances. Optical mapping entwines synergistically chemical, physical, and computational advancements, to uncover invaluable biological insights, inaccessible by sequencing technologies. Here we describe the method's basic principles of operation, and review the various available mechanisms to fluorescently tag genomic information. We present some of the recent biological and clinical impact enabled by optical mapping and present recent approaches for increasing the method's resolution and accuracy. Finally, we discuss how multiple layers of genomic information may be mapped simultaneously on the same DNA molecule, thus paving the way for characterizing multiple genomic observables on individual DNA molecules.

**Introduction**

Optical DNA mapping has emerged in recent years as a valuable technique for unraveling long-range information along the genome [1–3]. The method incorporates a multi-disciplinary approach (Figure 1) for extraction of long-range single-molecule genomic data, and provides an indispensable complementary perspective to DNA sequencing. The method is based on sequence-specific fluorescent labeling of up to mega-base pairs (Mb) long chromosomal DNA molecules (Figure 1A). The labeled DNA molecules are unraveled from their entropically favored entangled state, and extended to allow orderly reading of their fluorescent markers. In nanochannel-based optical mapping, commercialized by BioNano Genomics [4,5], an electric field is applied on the inherently charged long DNA molecules to thread them into an array of nanochannels (∼36 × 36 nm² cross-section), forcing the molecules to stretch linearly due to confinement (Figure 1B.). While this extension can be achieved using various methods (thoroughly reviewed in [3,6]), confinement in nanochannels has proven to generate the most uniform DNA linearization and highest throughput and reproducibility [2,7], and will be the method focused on in this review.

After linearization, the confined molecules are imaged using fluorescence microscopy. The fluorescent genetic marker pattern on each DNA molecule is localized to establish a unique barcode indicating the
Figure 1. The multi-disciplinary process of optical mapping

(A) High molecular weight DNA is extracted and chemically labeled at sequence-specific sites to generate a genetic barcode. Additional labeling of various information layers such as epigenetic marks can follow, and finally the DNA backbone is stained with an intercalating dye. (B) Using an electrical field, DNA molecules are unraveled from their entangled state and forced into nanochannels for linearization. Within the nanochannels, the labeled molecules are imaged in multiple colors (image obtained on BioNano Genomics Saphyrs system). The molecules and their fluorescent marks are then detected and localized, and image analysis is performed for their digitization. (C) The digitized barcodes are then used to infer the molecules’ genomic origin, either by their alignment to a known reference genome, or their assembly de novo. (D) The resulting genomic (and epigenetic) information obtained is used for biological analysis based on structural variants, copy number aberrations, genome phasing and population analyses.
Table 1 Long-read sequencing methods compared to optical mapping

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>BioNano Genomics Saphyr</th>
<th>Oxford Nanopore</th>
<th>PacBio SMRT sequencing, HiFi reads</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resolution</td>
<td>~1500–2500 bp [17]</td>
<td>Single bp</td>
<td>Single bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximal throughput per cell</td>
<td>0.33–5 Tbp* (~100–1500× human genome) [2,16,20,21]</td>
<td>30–50 Gbp (10–16× human) [19,22]</td>
<td>35 Gbp (11× human) [19]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Molecules N50*: half of the genetic data recorded came from reads longer or equal to this value.

**Molecules under 150 kb were filtered out.

***Costs include reagents and do not include instruments.

Genetic labeling schemes

The labeling schemes in optical genome mapping are roughly divided into two main subgroups: sparse labeling, where enzymatic reactions are used to fluorescently tag specific sequences scattered along the long DNA molecules, creating a barcode-like pattern where each marker can be localized [4,23,24]; and continuous labeling, where frequent labeling [25], denaturation mapping [26–28] or affinity-based binding [1,27,28] generates a continuous fluorescence intensity map along the molecule [1,27,29]. The maps generated by both methods provide a unique fluorescent signature that discloses the molecule's genomic origin [1,3–5,30,31].
Sparse labeling can be further divided into several techniques. Enzymatic labeling with nicking enzymes, for example, is a well-established scheme for sparse labeling, in which single-strand breaks are introduced at specific sequence sites by nicking enzymes [4,32,33]. The recognition sites of the enzymes span several bp and occur at distances suitable for genomic mapping. A mix of DNA polymerase and fluorescent nucleotides is then added to repair the nicked strand, fluorescently labeling the recognition sequence, followed by introduction of DNA ligase which seals the fluorescently repaired strand (Figure 2A). Multiple nicking enzymes with different recognition sites are commercially available, providing flexibility in tailoring the genetic markers distribution according to the genome or region of interest [4,32,33]. Although very popular in optical mapping, nick-translation on opposite strands of the DNA can lead to double-strand breaks, compromising the achievable molecular length. Contrary to the two-step labeling required with nicking enzymes, methyltransferases-based labeling is a direct sequence-specific labeling method. DNA methyltransferases (DNMTs) are a family of enzymes that natively catalyze the methylation of DNA bases in biological context. By replacing the natural methyl donor cofactor with a synthetic analog that contains a fluorescent residue, the enzyme adds the modified moiety to the target sequence, creating a fluorescent spot (Figure 2B) [25,34]. The use of methyltransferases that are not sensitive to the native DNA methylation of the studied sample makes this direct labeling scheme accurate and specific while keeping the length of the molecules uncompromised. BioNano Genomics’ Direct Label and Stain (DLS) labeling assay is based on this scheme [35]. A major drawback of both of these enzymatic labeling schemes is the limited availability of enzymes and corresponding recognition sites.

Lately, Cas9 technology was adopted as a labeling scheme for optical mapping. Cas9 enables custom-designing the recognition site, thus allowing full control over the density and specificity of labels. McCaffrey et al. and Abid et al. harnessed a mutant Cas9 enzyme that can cleave single strands to perform a two-step nick labeling at customizable target sequences, such as telomere repeat sequences [36–38] (Figure 2C), while Zhang et al. presented a single-step

**Figure 2. Genetic labeling schemes**

(A–D) sparse labeling schemes. (A) Two-step nick translation. In the first step, a nicking enzyme creates a single-strand nick in the DNA. In the second step, DNA polymerase introduces fluorescent nucleotides to the nicked site. (B) Methyltransferase-based one-step labeling. (C) Nick translation at target sequences with nicking Cas9, steps are similar to (A). (D) single-step fluorescent labeling with dCas9. (E) Continuous affinity-based labeling: competitive binding of a nonsequence-specific fluorescent intercalating dye and an AT/CG-selective molecule. This molecule blocks the dye from binding to these sites, thus keeping them dark while the rest of the genome is labeled, resulting in a sequence-specific intensity profile of the molecules.
due to the fluctuations. A single-frame-acquisition captures the DNA molecules at a nonequilibrium conformation, and therefore the fluctuations are the main limit on the achievable resolution. The mapping accuracy is also limited by the thermal fluctuations, which smear the markers' signal, limiting the resolution of two close-by markers and detecting their location individually; and (ii) mapping accuracy, the ability to determine the correct genomic location of a marker according to its localization in the image.

Two close-by markers and detect their location individually; and (ii) mapping accuracy, the ability to determine the correct genomic location of a marker according to its localization in the image.

The physical aspects of optical genome mapping in nanochannels dictate both its advantages and its limitations.

Epi-illumination fluorescence imaging, used for visualizing the barcode patterns, provides a large field of view (FOV) for high-throughput data acquisition. On the other hand, any optical imaging method, fluorescence imaging imposes a limitation on the achievable spatial resolution named the diffraction limit. Due to the diffraction of light, close-by markers could be distinguished apart only if they are separated by more than approximately half of their emitted light's wavelength (~250 nm that corresponds to ~800 bp depending on the stretching factor of the DNA molecules in the nanochannels). Optical resolution sets a limit on the maximal information density that can be encoded onto the DNA molecules.

Another advantage of fluorescence imaging is the ability to use color for multiplexing and differentiating various types of genomic observables on the same DNA molecules. Yet, as discussed in a recent work by Jeffet et al. [44], introducing additional colors results in a reduction of throughput by either: (i) sequential color acquisition by emission filter switching, which increases the acquisition time linearly with each additional color; or (ii) by splitting the camera's field of view to image multiple colors simultaneously, therefore increasing the amount of acquisitions needed to cover the sample area. Nevertheless, introducing a multi-color scheme is a crucial step in order to have a holistic understanding of the interplay between various epigenetic and genetic modifications and will be discussed in the last section of this review.

The last advantage of fluorescence imaging is the use of color for multiplexing and differentiating various types of genomic observables on the same DNA molecules. Yet, as discussed in a recent work by Jeffet et al. [44], introducing additional colors results in a reduction of throughput by either: (i) sequential color acquisition by emission filter switching, which increases the acquisition time linearly with each additional color; or (ii) by splitting the camera's field of view to image multiple colors simultaneously, therefore increasing the amount of acquisitions needed to cover the sample area. Nevertheless, introducing a multi-color scheme is a crucial step in order to have a holistic understanding of the interplay between various epigenetic and genetic modifications and will be discussed in the last section of this review.

The last advantage of fluorescence imaging is the use of color for multiplexing and differentiating various types of genomic observables on the same DNA molecules. Yet, as discussed in a recent work by Jeffet et al. [44], introducing additional colors results in a reduction of throughput by either: (i) sequential color acquisition by emission filter switching, which increases the acquisition time linearly with each additional color; or (ii) by splitting the camera's field of view to image multiple colors simultaneously, therefore increasing the amount of acquisitions needed to cover the sample area. Nevertheless, introducing a multi-color scheme is a crucial step in order to have a holistic understanding of the interplay between various epigenetic and genetic modifications and will be discussed in the last section of this review.

The last advantage of fluorescence imaging is the use of color for multiplexing and differentiating various types of genomic observables on the same DNA molecules. Yet, as discussed in a recent work by Jeffet et al. [44], introducing additional colors results in a reduction of throughput by either: (i) sequential color acquisition by emission filter switching, which increases the acquisition time linearly with each additional color; or (ii) by splitting the camera's field of view to image multiple colors simultaneously, therefore increasing the amount of acquisitions needed to cover the sample area. Nevertheless, introducing a multi-color scheme is a crucial step in order to have a holistic understanding of the interplay between various epigenetic and genetic modifications and will be discussed in the last section of this review.
therefore resulting in erroneous markers location registration compared with their true genomic position (Figure 3A).

Therefore, a theoretic physical account of the thermal behavior of DNA in nanochannels, is essential for assessing and improving the limits of resolution and accuracy. When DNA is confined to nanochannels with cross-section much smaller than its statistical folding length (persistence length), it aligns with the channel and exhibits both extension and fluctuation behavior described theoretically by the classic Odijk theory [49] (leftmost panel in Figure 3A). However, optical mapping is generally done in nanochannels that have cross-sections on the same scale as the DNA persistence length, and therefore deviates from this ideal regime [45,47,48]. Adjustments to the Odijk theory have been extensively studied in recent years, both theoretically [17,46,50–54] and experimentally [17,45,47,48,55–58], and we refer the readers to two excellent reviews on the subject [6,59]. The key concepts relevant here are that deviations from the fully extended classic Odijk regime can be manifested as backfolding, hairpins, loops and bunching of the DNA molecules inside the channels (leftmost panel in Figure 3A). These deviations were incorporated into recent theoretical models [51,60], albeit minor discrepancies still remain between theory and experimental data of molecules confined to ∼50 × 50 nm² cross-sections channels [17,61,62]. As a result of this research, an important reduction of nanochannels’ widths from 45 nm to 34 nm, was introduced by BioNano Genomics in their commercial Saphyr chips for optical genome mapping. This allows better DNA extension and therefore increased genomic resolution, and reduced alignment errors due to thermal fluctuations [17,45]. Despite remaining limitations [62], the current framework is well-adequate to allow the extraction of valuable genetic and epigenetic information as will be discussed in the following sections.

Although thermal fluctuations are an inherent limitation of the nanochannel-based mapping method [45,48,59,63], a practical approach to minimize their effect and to increase the mapping accuracy was recently introduced by Jeffet et al. [45]. The approach uses short exposure (40 ms) multi-frame time-lapse acquisitions instead of the longer exposure (150–200 ms) single-frame acquisitions usually used in mapping experiments. This enables recording and analysis of the thermal fluctuations of individual DNA molecules inside the nanochannels. As these fluctuations are correlated at short molecular distances (see Figure 3A,B), calculating pairwise-distances between neighboring markers removes the correlated fluctuations (middle panels in Figure 3B), and therefore provides genomic mapping that is more robust to the blinking and bleaching behavior of the markers. Averaging over these pairwise distances had shown to improve the overall mapping accuracy by ∼2-fold (see rightmost panel in Figure 3B) compared with the straightforward marker locations averaging, and more than 6-fold compared with the 450 nm (∼1.5 kbp) mapping accuracy of single-frame acquisition generally accepted in the field [4,17,45,47,64]. Consequently, this improved mapping accuracy enabled a 5-fold increase in mapping alignment scores compared to single-frame-acquisition mapping [45].

The core idea underlying this simple analysis is that markers along the DNA molecule can be used as ‘fluctuation reporters’, registering the local collective motion of the DNA, and thus allow fluctuation correction to the barcode registration. The method can be further improved by increasing the label density along the DNA molecule up to the resolution limit of two adjacent markers (∼1.5 kbp), enabling to characterize the DNA fluctuations with better spatial resolution and to apply better fluctuations correction.

The main caveat of this approach is the need for ∼10-fold increase in acquisition time per FOV in order to record sufficient marker positions for effective time averaging (∼40 frames are sufficient to achieve a substantial increase in accuracy [45]). This reduces the overall throughput, but can prove useful when applied to genomes that present assembling difficulties or when trying to compare molecules exhibiting small genomic differences.

Super-resolved genetic mapping
Super-resolution techniques have revolutionized microscopy and biological research since their introduction in recent years [65–68]. The evolution of the field, technical details and future directions are extensively discussed in several reviews [69–71].

In the context of optical mapping, super-resolution was implemented in recent years mainly on immobilized DNA molecules stretched on surfaces [23,72,73], where the gradual bleaching of single fluorophores was exploited [68] to produce super-resolved maps of marker locations along single DNA molecules, with down to 10 nm resolution. The fundamental assumption underlying these methods is that the molecules are fixed in space, which consequently allows recognition of the different markers according to their locations at different times. The major drawback of super-resolution imaging in the context of optical mapping, is the requirement to record many frames (typically ∼100–1000 frames [72]) of the same FOV, in order to derive the super-resolved map. Thus, the increase in resolution is achieved at the expense of a significant reduction in throughput compared with single-frame optical mapping.
Figure 3. Physical aspects of genomic optical mapping in nanochannels

(A) DNA fluctuations in nanochannels. Left, possible conformations of DNA molecules confined to nanochannels that contribute to the measured fluctuations. Reprinted from [47], with the permission of AIP Publishing. Middle, raw kymograph (left) and the corresponding marker localizations (right) of barcode labeled genomic DNA extracted from *E. coli*, showing the thermal fluctuations of confined DNA. Adapted from [48], with the permission of AIP Publishing. Right, probability distribution of observed marker separations in relation to their aligned genomic distance (calculated using 70,305 observed marker positions from single-frame images of 4557 DNA molecules confined to 43 × 43 nm² cross-section channels). The distribution gets wider as the genomic distance increases and therefore mapping accuracy reduces with genomic marker separation. Reprinted from [47], with the permission of AIP Publishing.

(B) Improving mapping accuracy with pairwise distance averaging. Left, raw kymograph of the D4Z4 tandem repeat region in chromosome 4 (see optical genome mapping impact section), confined to 45 × 45 nm² cross-section channels (repetitive region marked with the red dashed frame). The repetitive region was used by Jeffet et al. [45] as a ruler to quantify the accuracy and resolution of optical mapping. Adjacent spots in the repetitive segment are distanced 3.3 kbp and each spot is composed of two unresolved fluorophores spaced 676 bp apart. Middle, comparison between localizations maps and cumulative pairwise distances maps of the repetitive region. The localizations map shows correlations in marker fluctuations at short genomic separations, while the distances map shows reduced fluctuations. Right, observed locations distribution compared to the pairwise distance distribution of the ruler molecule’s markers shown to the left. The distances standard deviation is reduced by ~2-fold compared with locations, allowing increased mapping accuracy. 

(C) Enabling super-resolution with distance averaging. Left, schematic illustration of the method. A target consisting of multiple sub-diffraction-limit spaced fluorophores is imaged as a single gaussian signal on the camera. Pairwise distance recording between the target and an adjacent marker allows to remove the local collective fluctuations, and thus enable sensitivity to distance shifts originating from the target’s fluorophores blinking or bleaching behavior. Middle, 3D visualization of the intensity–time profile of two fluorescent spots presented in panel (B). Each spot is composed of two fluorophores, where a bleaching event of one of the fluorophores of the left spot is evident after 15 frames. Top right, Intensity time trace of the spots. Bottom right, pairwise distance time-trace between the two spots. The bleaching step alters the mean distance between the two spots allowing to resolve the sub-diffraction-limit distance between them with ~30 nm resolution. Panels (B and C) were adapted with permission from [45]. Copyright (2016) American Chemical Society.
Unlike immobilized DNA mapping, the dynamic movement of markers due to thermal fluctuations in nanochannel-based optical mapping, prohibits any straight-forward implementation of such super-resolution methods. Although the localization precision of markers in a single frame can be as high as \( \sim 4 \) nm [47], the fluctuations completely screen out the subtle localization shifts originating from individual marker bleaching (average standard deviation of \( \sim 140 \) nm, see rightmost panel in Figure 3B). This essentially limited the effective theoretical resolution of nanochannel-based optical mapping to \( \sim 1 \) kbp [46] and experimentally to \( \sim 1.5–3 \) kbp [4,17,45,47,48]. This restriction was alleviated by applying the ‘fluctuation reporters’ concept to reduce the local collective fluctuations at the occurrence time of a bleaching event [45]. As illustrated in Figure 3C, in order to resolve sub-diffraction-limit distances in a target composed of multiple fluorophores, pairwise distance recording between the target and a close-by marker was used. Using pairwise distances, instead of marker localizations, reduces the fluctuations to a degree where they are smaller than the shifts caused by marker blinking or bleaching. This re-enables the use of super-resolution techniques [68,72] to determine the inner structure of the target by the distance step-shift at a bleaching or blinking event in the target. Jeffet et al. [45] used this principle to resolve markers separated \( 670 \) bp apart with \( \sim 30 \) nm resolution (see rightmost panel in Figure 3C) [45], introducing a 15-fold resolution improvement compared to the standard \( \sim 450 \) nm (\( \sim 1.5 \) kbp) single-frame resolution limit [4,46,47]. However, the precision and resolution of this technique depend on the proximity of the reference ‘fluctuation reporter’ marker. As the fluctuations correlation between two markers drops with increasing marker spacing, the effectiveness of the correction and therefore the super-resolution capability drops accordingly. Hence, similar to the mapping accuracy enhancement, higher label density corresponds with better super-resolution capability, permitting that the reference marker can still be resolved from the super-resolution target.

**The biological impact of optical genome mapping**

In the past few years, optical mapping has positioned itself as the leading technology for large-scale SVs and CNVs detection. It is considered as a high-end alternative to cytogenetic analysis and as a complement to NGS approaches. In this section, we will briefly review some of the recent progress in clinical and biological findings that were enabled by optical mapping.

Current research employs optical mapping to outline the diversity found in the human genome and thus to assemble a more comprehensive map of the genome. In several recent studies, optical mapping greatly contributed to the resolution of haplotypes, SVs and complex regions such as telomeres and sub-telomeric regions, previously inaccessible by NGS alone [11,38,74–79].

SVs are associated with a wide variety of diseases, from rare genetic disorders to cancer [80,81]. Recent works that studied cancer genomes identified new disease-relevant SVs, including highly complex rearrangements and repetitive regions, thus resolving loose ends in sequencing-based maps [12,13,82,83]. Poretti-Boltshauser syndrome and Duchenne muscular dystrophy are among the genetic disorders that have recently benefited from SV detection, enabled by optical mapping [9,20,84].

Facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy 1 (FSHD1) is a common type of muscular dystrophy, characterized by a CNV of the D4Z4 tandem repeat in chromosome 4. The length of each repeat unit is 3.3 kbp, and the number of repeats can reach 150 copies in healthy individuals. However, an array of less than 10 D4Z4 copies is considered pathogenic. Recently, Zhang et al. [85] and Dai et al. [21] demonstrated the clinical diagnosis of the syndrome by optical mapping and showed that it is superior to the generally used blot hybridization or FISH combing. Nevertheless, disease manifestation is not determined solely by this genetic CNV, but also by the epigenetic methylation status of the tandem repeats [86,87]. Thus, multiplexing epigenetic with genetic information enabled Sharim et al. to simultaneously detect copy number and methylation pattern of individual DNA molecules, thereby resolving different haplotypes and distinguishing an FSHD-affected patient from a healthy individual [88]. This result stresses the importance of a holistic view that combines genetic and epigenetic information in order to resolve a full genomic picture. The next section will highlight the emerging incorporation of epigenetic information into optical mapping.

**Optical mapping of epigenetics**

The function of the genome and the resulting gene expression profile is regulated by genetic and epigenetic mechanisms. The epigenetic information is crucial for regulating specific cell functions [89]. Major epigenetic mechanisms include covalent modifications of DNA bases [90–92] and histones [92,93], chromatin structure [89,93,94] and non-coding RNAs that can control gene expression [95]. Unlike the genetic code, which is essentially stable throughout life, the epigenetic content is dynamically changing in response to environmental cues and has great impact on cell activity and diseases [96].
The use of color to distinguish between biological entities is one of the foundations of fluorescence microscopy. In optical mapping, it allows to visualize the genetic information, together with multiple types of epigenetic contents on the same DNA molecule (Figure 4A). Once the genomic origin of the molecule is mapped according to the genetic barcode, the different epigenetic layers are instantaneously placed into their genomic context, and provide locus-specific information. Various labeling schemes of epigenetic features have been developed in recent years and will be summarized in this section together with an account of their impact on epigenetic research.

A primary epigenetic modification with direct impact on gene expression is DNA methylation of the base cytosine (5-mC) in the dinucleotide sequence CpG [90]. Unmethylated CpG sites can be specifically labeled by methyltransferase enzymes, creating a complementary map to the methylome. For example, the methyltransferase M.TaqI can directly transfer a fluorophore from a synthetic cofactor to the adenine base in the enzyme's recognition sequence TCGA. However, if the CpG nested in this sequence context is methylated or modified, this reaction is blocked, resulting in the exclusive labeling of unmodified cytosines in every TCGA sequence context (Figure 4B,i) [25,88,97]. In 2019, Sharim et al. used this scheme to optically map a reduced representation of the human methylome for the first time. This ensemble-averaged reduced representation map (~6% of human CpG sites are found within TCGA context) captures the majority of regulatory sites in the genome [88]. Recently, this long-read methylation data was analyzed at a single-cell level for the first time. The methylation status of promoters and their distal enhancers, simultaneously imaged on the same long DNA molecules, served to accurately deconvolve cell-type mixtures and subpopulations within a sample [98]. A recent improvement to methylation labeling, replacing the methyltransferase M.TaqI with a modified M.SssI (which recognizes any unmethylated CpG), enabled the generation of genome-wide methylation profiles of all CpG sites [99]. DNA methylation can also be labeled directly, using methyl-binding antibodies coupled with a fluorescent reporter [100]. However, the antibodies' tendency to aggregate hampers DNA insertion into the nanochannels, therefore requiring larger channel cross-sections which reduces by ~10-fold the achievable resolution compared to covalent base labeling [100].

Another epigenetic modification of the cytosine base, gaining increased interest in recent years due to its link to gene regulation, development, and disease, is the oxidation product of DNA methylation, 5-hydroxymethylcytosine (5-hmC) [91]. The fluorescent labeling of this modification involves two steps. First, the enzyme β-glucosyltransferase (β-GT) is used to attach an azide modified glucose moiety from a synthetic cofactor (UDP-6-N3-Glu), to the hydroxyl group of 5-hmC. In the second step, a copper-free click reaction is used to connect a fluorophore-alkyne to the azide-labeled 5-hmC (Figure 4B,ii) [101–106]. Gabrieli et al. presented a whole-genome optical 5-hmC map of human peripheral blood cells, revealing variable regions and long-range information that were not accessible by sequencing [106].

Another important information layer that could be explored by optical mapping is the DNA damage content. Cellular DNA damage lesions are continuously induced by exposure to various exogenous and endogenous agents, and are a major cause for genomic instability. A labeling scheme called RADD (repair-assisted damage detection), was developed to fluorescently label single-strand lesions in vitro by a two-step process [107–109]. First, native repair enzymes excise the damaged bases and leave a single-strand gap at the damage locus. Next, DNA polymerase and ligase are introduced and fill this gap with fluorescent nucleotides, allowing the visualization of the repaired damage sites (Figure 4B,iii). As a proof of principle, sites of DNA damage induced by the chemotherapeutic agent etoposide were recently labeled and mapped using competitive binding [30]. In the future, optical mapping can potentially be used to reveal the effect of drugs and environmental toxicants on the genome, specifically at regions that are hard to analyze by NGS, and locate genomic hotspots prone to damage and mutations.

Apart from chemical DNA modifications, multi-color optical mapping can be used to study basic biological processes. A recent example was seen in the mapping of origins of DNA replication [110–112]. Mapping these sites provides basic understanding of replication mechanisms and kinetics in normal conditions. The effect of different factors, including damaging agents, drugs, and diseases on replication, could also be studied in this manner. Lately, Wang et al. used in vivo fluorescent nucleotide pulse-labeling of human cells to mark freshly synthesized DNA and trace replication initiation sites with optical mapping, including sites active in very small percentages of cells (Figure 4B,iv) [110].

To summarize, any genomic feature that can be labeled with a fluorescent reporter and does not impair DNA linearization, has the potential to be optically mapped. Detection of multiple types of observables on the same DNA molecule remains a challenge in genomics. Optical mapping holds the potential to multiplex information on a single DNA molecule, and thus map genomic interactions, variability and context with single-molecule sensitivity.
Figure 4. Genomic observables and epigenetic optical mapping in nanochannels

(A) Example observables that can be marked and viewed in optical mapping. (B) Labeling schemes of the epigenetic modifications shown in A. (i) Labeling of TCGA sequences that contains an unmodified cytosine by the enzyme M.TaqI and a fluorescent cofactor; (ii) two-step labeling of 5-hmC: the enzyme β-GT attaches a modified glucose with an azide group on it from uridine-diphospho-6-azide-glucose (UDP-6-N3-Glu) to the hydroxyl group of the 5-hmC. Then the azide group is reacted with a fluorescently-labeled alkyne via click chemistry; (iii) two-step labeling of DNA damage sites: The damaged lesion is enzymatically excised, and replaced by fluorescent nucleotides; (iv) in vitro labeling of origins of DNA replication: fluorescent nucleotides enter synchronized cells following electroporation. Then, fluorescence is incorporated into the newly replicated DNA and creates symmetrical replication ‘forks’. (C) An exaggerated illustration demonstrating the impact of thermal fluctuations on multi-color marker detection. Sequential color acquisitions capture the same molecule at different off-equilibrium conformations due to fluctuations. This results in an erroneous interpretation of marker locations along the DNA molecule compared to the ground truth.
Challenges of multi-color optical mapping and future prospects

Although dual-color optical mapping has been implemented [88,106,110], mapping more colors on the same DNA molecules imposes further constraints on the method's throughput and mapping accuracy. Meanwhile, super-resolution in two colors was only applied to immobilized optical mapping [73], and has not yet been applied to nanochannel-based methods. These limitations are the consequence of the color acquisition procedure employed in the standard fluorescence microscopy setup, where each color is imaged sequentially by inserting a suitable emission filter to the emission path. The immediate result is that each additional color acquisition requires a linear increase in acquisition time, reducing the overall throughput.

However, an additional constraint arises from the combination of sequential color acquisition and thermal fluctuations. This creates desynchronization between the barcode maps of different colors, as each color acquisition images the molecule at a different off-equilibrium conformation (see illustration in Figure 4C). Applying the multi-frame distance-averaging approach discussed previously would enable resolving this issue by allowing to better approximate the equilibrium DNA conformation. However, different color markers could not be used for accurate distance calculation, as the measurements are not synchronized. Moreover, the time delay between adjacent frames of the same color increases with every additional color, therefore multi-color acquisitions reduce the temporal resolution of the single-color fluctuations characterization. Furthermore, since each color should be corrected independently, the overall acquisition time would increase by at least 10-fold multiplied by the number of information layers, resulting in a tremendous decrease in throughput. For these reasons, and since only the genetic markers are used as anchors to map the individual molecules to the genome, additional colors would reduce the enhancement in overall genomic mapping accuracy compared with the results reported in [45]. Finally, since the different color acquisitions are not synchronized, super-resolution could only be implemented independently for each color, using only markers of the same color. This imposes the dense labeling constraint needed for super-resolution, individually to each of the colors.

Emerging possibilities of simultaneous color acquisition could alleviate these problems and allow fusing the recorded fluctuation information from the different colors. Methods such as multi-color split view [73,113], where the FOV is split between emission channels using dichroic mirrors; or spectral imaging [44,114–116], where spectral images are recorded using a dispersive element, can record marker locations of different colors at the same time. Therefore, localizations of markers with different colors can be used to create an integrated multi-color fluctuation map. This would yield a dense characterization of DNA fluctuations, greatly increasing the achievable spatial and temporal mapping resolution with reduction of overall acquisition time compared with the single-color fluctuation characterization. Moreover, simultaneous multi-color acquisition methods allow to resolve markers of different colors even when they are spaced by sub-diffraction limit distances. This enables registering the fluctuations with even higher spatial resolution that would result in unprecedented mapping accuracy and super-resolution capabilities. Finally, the constraint on label density needed for applying super-resolution, would be alleviated from dense labeling of each color independently to the total label density of all colors, allowing to apply super-resolution on all information contents even when some motifs are sparsely dispersed on the DNA.

Conclusions

In this brief review, we have introduced nanochannel-based optical genome mapping, highlighting the method's ability to tackle outstanding problems unaddressed by available sequencing methods. We have summarized the various multidisciplinary aspects of the method including: (i) the chemically oriented mechanisms for genetic and epigenetic fluorescence labeling; (ii) the physical limitations of the method arising from both the optical acquisition and the thermodynamic properties of DNA confinement, and the ways to circumvent them; (iii) the biological impact of the method in exploration of large-scale genomic aberrations, epigenetic content and other genomic observables such as DNA damage and origins of replication.

Optical mapping's ability to visualize multiple observables on the same single DNA molecule, will no doubt be exploited in the near future to elucidate the interactions between the various information layers encoded in our genome. We have examined the possibilities of exploring this venue and their current limitations, and pinpointed to multi-color simultaneous-acquisition techniques that would allow to remove these limitations and provide better mapping accuracy and resolution than ever.
Summary

- Optical mapping in nanochannels is a single-molecule, high-throughput method that captures a high fraction of ultra-long genomic fragments and may elucidate genomic information that is otherwise inaccessible using sequencing.

- Thermal fluctuations of the DNA molecules in the nanochannels pose the limit on mapping accuracy and resolution.

- Fluctuation mapping can improve mapping accuracy by 6-fold and allows resolution enhancement of 15-fold by super-resolution localization.

- Multi-color fluorescent labeling allows multiplexing several layers of genomic information coexisting on the same DNA molecules, potentially allowing a holistic view of the genome.

- Simultaneous color acquisition, as opposed to the current sequential color acquisition, would allow to further improve the resolution and accuracy of the method.
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