Assessing Inheritance of Zircon and Monazite in Granitic Rocks from the Monashee Complex, Canadian Cordillera
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INTRODUCTION
Crystallization ages of small-volume granitic rocks (e.g. dikes, sheets, veins, and pods of metaluminous to peraluminous granite, leucogranite, leucosome, and pegmatite) are crucial for constructing tectonic models of orogenic belts. Zircon and monazite are ideal for U–Pb dating of magma crystallization because of their moderate to high U concentrations and high closure temperature to diffusion of Pb (Harrison et al., 2002; Parrish & Noble, 2003), yet close scrutiny is required before the ages can be accepted as ages of igneous crystallization because granitic rocks are notorious for containing inherited, xenocrystic zircon (e.g. Harrison et al., 1987; Roddick & Bevier, 1995; Miller et al., 2000; Bea et al., 2007). Zircon from source and host rocks is not dissolved in magma that is saturated with respect to zircon, but rather is incorporated as partially resorbed xenocrysts. Zircon saturation is a function of bulk-rock chemistry, Zr concentration, and temperature of crystallization (Watson & Harrison, 1983). Small-volume granitic melts are usually produced by anatectic dissolution of peraluminous crustal rocks at temperatures low enough that complete zircon dissolution does not occur, and thus some degree of inheritance is expected in all melts. Inherited zircon varies from forming a minor part of the zircon population as rare cores within newly formed zircon to forming a large part as plentiful whole grains. Monazite saturation in granitic melts occurs in a similar way to zircon saturation (Rapp et al., 1987; Montel, 1993),...
yet inherited monazite is rarely documented. The best known examples are two Himalayan leucogranites with sparse inherited monazite (Copeland et al., 1988; Harrison et al., 1995) and leucogranites in the Caledonides of East Greenland with plentiful inherited monazite (Gilotti & McClelland, 2005).

Discerning inherited from non-inherited zircon is central to some of the most intense geochronological debates, including that regarding the age of the oldest chemical evidence of life on Earth (Nutman et al., 1997; Whitehouse et al., 1999; Mojzsis & Harrison, 2002). Internal zoning patterns in zircon and Th/U of zircon were used to argue that the oldest parts are inherited and non-inherited, respectively. Interpretations of inheritance are often based on U–Pb ages alone. This approach is valid for zircon that is so old that inheritance is obvious. For example, inheritance is certain for Archean zircon in granite that intruded into Tertiary sedimentary rocks. However, inheritance cannot be proven by ages alone in granite lacking cross-cutting relationships because every zircon may be inherited. The composition and internal zoning patterns help identify inherited zircon, but inherited grains are difficult to identify when they formed in magma that is similar to the younger magma (e.g. zircon from peraluminous granite host rock that is inherited in peraluminous granite).

We assess inheritance in small-volume granitic bodies (meter-wide sheets and dikes of granite and pegmatite) in a gneiss dome in the metamorphic core of the Canadian Cordillera. A simple question prompted this study: did five granitic rocks crystallize at 1 Ga as part of the Laurentian basement or at 50 Ma as Cordilleran melts with 1 Ga inheritance? The crystallization ages have implications for Cordilleran tectonic models because intrusion of four of the samples occurred after the last penetrative ductile deformation and partial melting of the host gneisses. Our age interpretations are based on data from zircon and monazite, including U–Th–Pb ages obtained by laser ablation inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry and electron microprobe, compositional data, internal zoning, and field relationships.

**TECTONIC SETTING**

A variety of models have been proposed for the tectonic evolution of the southeastern Canadian Cordillera that make contrasting assumptions about the ductility of adjacent gneiss domes in the deepest structural level, the Monashee complex (Fig. 1), during Tertiary orogenesis. Studies have proposed that the Thor–Odin dome (to the south) was hot and mobile whereas the Frenchman Cap dome (to the north) had parts that remained cool and solid. Tectonic models for the Thor–Odin dome include extension-assisted diapirism (Vanderhaeghe et al., 1999), flow of ductile middle crust toward a rolling hinge during extension (Teysier et al., 2005), and channel flow (Williams & Jiang, 2005) or extrusion (Johnston et al., 2000) during compression. Models for the Frenchman Cap dome (Fig. 2) include basement duplexing (Brown et al., 1986; Journeay, 1986), underthrusting of relatively cold basement (Parrish, 1995; Crowley et al., 2001), and basement being the base of a channel flow (Brown & Gibson, 2006).

Critical to the tectonic models is the age of granitic rocks (granite, leucogranite, leucosome, and pegmatite that occur as dikes, sheets, veins, and pods). Leucosomes in migmatites in the Thor–Odin dome with ~55 Ma zircon rims around cores show Tertiary igneous crystallization and Paleoproterozoic inheritance (Vanderhaeghe et al., 1999; Hinchee et al., 2006). In contrast, granitic rocks in the northern part of the Frenchman Cap dome have mostly 1 Ga zircon and monazite that were interpreted as forming during igneous crystallization (Armstrong et al., 1996; Crowley, 1999). However, because dating of the Frenchman Cap dome samples was performed on single- and multi-grain analyses by the isotope dilution thermal ionization mass spectrometry (ID-TIMS) method without knowledge of the internal zoning of the grains, it cannot be ruled out that the 1 Ga grains are inherited in Tertiary granitic rocks. If this alternative hypothesis is true, there would be no evidence for basement remaining rigid through the Tertiary. The purpose of our study is to re-examine the age of granitic rocks in the Frenchman Cap dome by using *in situ* methods.

The Frenchman Cap dome is mostly composed of Paleoproterozoic gneisses that are layered at the meter scale (Journeay, 1986). The dominant orthogneiss in the study area is 2.08 Ga K-feldspar augen granitic gneiss (Crowley, 1999). Other important units are ~2.1 Ga granitic orthogneiss and ~3 Ga diorite gneiss (Crowley, 1999). Paragneiss units derived from sedimentary and volcanic rocks are presumed to be older than the orthogneiss units. Monazite in the paragneiss grew at 2.1 Ga and 50 Ma (Crowley & Parrish, 1999). Younger gneisses are known from outside the study area, including 1.86 Ga granodioritic orthogneiss 30 km to the NW (Crowley, 1997), and 1.93 Ga granodioritic augen orthogneiss and ~1.87 Ga homogeneous granitic gneiss in the Thor–Odin dome (Parkinson, 1991).

**ANALYTICAL METHODS**

Five granitic rocks were collected at Bourne Glacier, Monashee Mountains, British Columbia (Fig. 2; UTM coordinates are given in Electronic Appendix 1, which is available for downloading at http://www.petrology.oxfordjournals.org). Zircon and monazite were isolated using standard mineral separation techniques, mounted in epoxy, and polished to reveal the centers. Cathodoluminescence (CL) images of the polished zircon and backscattered electron (BSE) images of the polished monazite were
acquired using a JEOL JXA-733 Superprobe at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology. Elemental compositions of polished monazite were measured by electron probe microanalysis (EPMA) with an accelerating voltage of 15 kV and beam current of 100 nA. Counting times on peak were 120 s for Pb, 60 s for U and Y, and 20 s for all other elements. Counting time on backgrounds were the same and split between each side of the peak. Background positions were carefully chosen to avoid secondary peak interferences and the detectors were optimally set for pulse-height analysis. \( \text{UM}\beta \) was used for U measurement and the intensity was corrected for Th interference. \( \text{PbM}\alpha \) was measured and its intensity was corrected for Y and Th interferences. \( \text{L}\beta \) X-rays were used to measure Nd, Pr, Gd and Sm. Interferences among the rare earth elements were corrected as outlined by Pyle et al. (2002). Interference correction in the X-ray intensity of an element was based on the intensity of the same X-ray in a standard free of that element but containing the interfering element. For example, \( \text{UM}\beta \) intensity in the sample was corrected for Th interference by subtracting the \( \text{UM}\beta \) intensity in U-free thorite (the Th standard) multiplied by the \( k \)-ratio of \( \text{ThM}\alpha \) in the sample. Raw data were corrected for matrix effects with the CITZAF program (Armstrong, 1995). Data are given in Electronic Appendix 2.

Chemical U–Th–Pb ages based on concentrations from EPMA were calculated to guide dating by laser ablation inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (LA-ICPMS) and estimate the age of domains that are too small for LA-ICPMS dating. Because a low degree of accuracy and precision was required to distinguish between monazite that is Paleoproterozoic (thousands of ppm Pb) from that which is Tertiary (zero to hundreds of ppm Pb), the U, Th, and Pb measurements were made relatively

---

**Figure 1.** Tectonic assemblage map in the vicinity of the Monashee complex, modified after Wheeler & McFedy (1991), showing the location of Fig 2. The dark grey square in inset locates the map with respect to the morphogeological belts of the Canadian Cordillera.
quickly and thus the ages are considered to be reconnaissance. Accuracy of the U–Th–Pb chemical ages was confirmed by the LA-ICPMS dating that showed Paleoproterozoic and Tertiary chemical ages were from 1.9 Ga and 50 Ma monazite, respectively. Identification of domains in several monazite grains was facilitated with X-ray maps of Pb, Th, U, and Y made by EPMA on a Cameca SX-50 at the University of Massachusetts (Goncalves et al., 2005). In particular, the approximate age of the domains (Paleoproterozoic vs Tertiary) was discerned from the X-ray maps of Pb.

U–Pb isotopic measurements of zircon and monazite were performed by ICPMS using a VG PlasmaQuad PQ-2S+ system attached to an in-house built 266 nm frequency coupled Nd–YAG laser ablation (LA) microprobe at Memorial University of Newfoundland (Jackson et al., 1992). The LA-ICPMS dating method broadly followed that outlined by Kosler & Sylvester (2003) and Crowley et al. (2005). The laser produced an ~38 and ~25 µm wide square pit in zircon and monazite, respectively, by rastering the stage beneath the laser beam. The pit was ~10 µm deep. The efficiency of the mass bias correction and a correction for laser-induced fractionation were monitored by measurements of the zircon standard 91500 (Wiedenbeck et al., 1995) and an in-house ~550 Ma monazite standard from Madagascar after every seven analyses of unknowns. U–Pb concordia plots and histograms in Fig. 3 were constructed with Isoplot (Ludwig, 2003) based on data in Electronic Appendix 1. Paleoproterozoic ages are given as 207Pb/206Pb ages and Tertiary ages are given as 206Pb/238U ages. Errors are 2σ.

RESULTS

JC-09-03 (folded pegmatite)

Sample JC-09-03 is from a narrow (up to 0.5 m wide) pegmatite layer showing pinch-and-swell. It was deformed by two phases of folding (Fig. 4a): the earlier phase was tight and axial planar to the dominant gneissosity in the host gneisses and the later phase was upright and open. Although it is uncertain whether the folded pegmatite underwent all deformation experienced by the host gneisses, it was clearly more deformed than the other samples, including JC-08-03 and JC-308-03 from the same outcrop.

Zircon is composite with oscillatory-zoned CL-dark rims around CL-bright cores with zoning that is truncated at the boundary with the rims (Fig. 5a). The U-rich nature of the rims is indicated by the CL-dark character that is typical of zircon with high U concentrations. Zircon ages from the rims are concordant to highly discordant. The array on the concordia plot indicates that the disturbance to the system occurred relatively recently, probably as a result of Pb loss in these U-rich grains, and thus the 206Pb/238U ages should be close to the primary ages. Sixteen ages (from 13 grains)
Fig. 3. U-Pb concordia plots and histograms of LA-ICPMS $^{207}$Pb/$^{206}$Pb and $^{206}$Pb/$^{238}$U ages. Long grey bars in histogram show the weighted mean age. Unfilled age bars were not used in the weighted mean calculations because they are from zircon interpreted as being inherited. Errors are $2\sigma$. 
from the CL-dark rims yielded a weighted mean age of 1925 ± 15 Ma (MSWD = 0.8) (Fig. 3a). Six CL-bright cores have ages of 2.3–2.2 Ga. Monazite has weak patchy zoning (Fig. 6a). Seventeen grains yielded a weighted mean age of 1863 ± 13 Ma (MSWD = 0.8) (Fig. 3a). Monazite has domains that appear to have formed by recrystallization of the weak patchy-zoned monazite because of their irregular shapes (Fig. 6a). EPMA dating (Fig. 6a) and X-ray mapping (Fig. 7a) show that the secondary monazite is more commonly Tertiary than Paleoproterozoic. Three LA-ICPMS ages from secondary monazite yielded a weighted mean age of 46.8 ± 2.0 Ma (MSWD = 0.7), with one grain being entirely Tertiary. Tertiary monazite is more compositionally diverse than Paleoproterozoic monazite, and is relatively U- and Ca-depleted and Si-enriched (Figs 7a and 8).

**JC-13-03 (granite sheet)**

Sample JC-13-03 is from a sheet of grey medium-grained biotite granite of several meters width (Fig. 4b) that is interpreted as being part of the Bourne granite suite that pervasively intruded the basement orthogneiss in the northern part of the dome (Crowley, 1999). The ubiquity,
Fig. 5. CL images of zircon, showing location of LA-ICPMS spots with $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ ages.
characteristic appearance, and intrusive relationships of Bourne granite make it the most valuable strain marker in the region. The sheet has a homogeneous and equigranular texture, with weak lineation and foliation. The near-pristine appearance of the granite, including where it is highly discordant to the gneissosity in the host augen gneiss (Fig. 4b), indicates that intrusion postdated migmatization.

Zircon is mostly oscillatory- and sector-zoned (Fig. 5b). Twelve grains yielded a weighted mean age of $1846 \pm 14$ Ma ($MSWD = 0.8$) (Fig. 3b). Oscillatory- and sector-zoned zircon is typically surrounded by CL-dark rims (Fig. 5b) that are too narrow (<20 mm wide) for dating with the laser pit size used in this study. Cores and whole grains with different zoning patterns and CL brightness are common, with three grains having ages of
Monazite is moderately sector-zoned (Fig. 6b) and commonly surrounded by thick (hundreds of microns wide) coronas resulting from the breakdown of monazite to (in order of decreasing abundance) apatite, allanite, Th-rich monazite, phengite, and thorite. Thirty-one grains yielded a weighted mean age of 1842 ± 15 Ma (MSWD = 0.6) (Fig. 3b). The combined zircon and monazite weighted mean age is 1846 ± 14 Ma (MSWD = 0.6).

Monazite has small (a few microns) to medium-sized (50 μm) domains that are interpreted as having formed by recrystallization of the sector-zoned monazite because of their irregular shapes and truncation of primary zoning (Fig. 6b). EPMA dating (Fig. 6b) and X-ray mapping (Fig. 7b) show that Paleoproterozoic secondary domains are larger and more common than Tertiary domains. Paleoproterozoic secondary monazite is compositionally similar to primary monazite (Fig. 8) and Tertiary monazite is Y-poorer (Fig. 7b).

Fig. 7. Maps of U, Th, Pb, and Y in monazite obtained by EPMA. BSE images at left show location of LA-ICPMS spots with 207Pb/206Pb ages and EPMA spots with reconnaissance U-Th-Pb ages. Dashed line is the boundary between Paleoproterozoic and Tertiary monazite indicated by the Pb map.

2.3–2.0 Ga. Monazite is moderately sector-zoned (Fig. 6b) and commonly surrounded by thick (hundreds of microns wide) coronas resulting from the breakdown of monazite to (in order of decreasing abundance) apatite, allanite, Th-rich monazite, phengite, and thorite. Thirty-one grains yielded a weighted mean age of 1842 ± 15 Ma (MSWD = 0.6) (Fig. 3b). The combined zircon and monazite weighted mean age is 1846 ± 14 Ma (MSWD = 0.6).
JC-19-03 (granite sheet)
Sample JC-19-03 is from a meter-wide sheet of grey medium-grained biotite granite with centimeter-wide apophyses that cut across the gneissosity in the host gneiss at high angles (Fig. 4c). It is similar to JC-13-03 and considered as being part of the Bourne granite suite.

Zircon is oscillatory- and sector-zoned (Fig. 5c). Twenty-five grains yielded a weighted mean age of 1857±9 Ma (MSWD = 1.0) (Fig. 3c). Cores with different zoning patterns and CL brightness are common. Seven cores yielded a weighted mean age of 1980±21 Ma (MSWD = 0.6). Monazite is strongly oscillatory-zoned (Fig. 6c) and has coronas similar to those in sample JC-13-03. Thirteen grains yielded a weighted mean age of 1842±24 Ma (MSWD = 0.4) (Fig. 3c). The combined zircon and monazite weighted mean age is 1855±9 Ma (MSWD = 0.8). Some monazite grains have small- (a few microns) to medium-sized (50 μm) domains that are interpreted as having formed by recrystallization because of their irregular shapes and truncation of primary zoning (Fig. 6c). EPMA dating (Fig. 6c) shows that secondary monazite is Paleoproterozoic and compositionally similar to primary monazite (Fig. 8).

JC-308-03 (pegmatite dike)
Sample 308 is from a 2–4 m wide muscovite biotite pegmatite dike that shows pinch-and-swell; the dike is vertical and cuts the gneissosity in the host gneisses at a high angle (Fig. 4d). It has a weak foliation defined by aligned
coarse biotite that parallels the gently dipping gneissosity in the host gneisses. It contains small lenses of grey medium-grained, weakly foliated biotite granite that is similar to Bourne granite (samples JC-13-03 and JC-19-03).

Zircon is oscillatory-zoned (Fig. 5d) and the U-rich nature is indicated by the CL-dark character that typifies zircon with high U concentrations. Sixteen grains yielded a weighted mean age of 1856 ± 10 Ma (MSWD = 0.7) (Fig. 3d). Cores with different zoning patterns and CL brightness are common, with two having ages of ~1.96 Ga. Monazite has a variety of zoning patterns, including oscillatory, sector, and patchy (Fig. 6d). Ten grains yielded a weighted mean age of 50.7 ± 18 Ma (MSWD = 0.6) (Fig. 3d). EPMA dating shows that all monazite is Tertiary (Fig. 6d) and compositionally diverse (Fig. 8). Heterogeneity in the abundance of monazite in the sample is shown by the lack of monazite in a sample previously collected at the same locality (Crowley, 1999).

**JC-08-03 (pegmatite dike)**

Sample JC-08-03 is from a narrow (0.1–1 m wide) garnet muscovite biotite pegmatite dike that is vertical and cuts the gneissosity in the host gneisses at a high angle (Fig. 4e and f). The igneous textures and undeformed appearance suggest intrusion after gneissosity formation.

Zircon has mostly oscillatory and sector zoning (Fig. 5e). Twenty-four values from 21 grains yielded a weighted mean age of 1845 ± 7 Ma (MSWD = 0.6) (Fig. 3e). Cores with different zoning patterns and CL brightness exist in ~20% of the imaged grains, but none were dated because of small size. Monazite is strongly oscillatory- and sector-zoned (Fig. 6e). Twenty grains yielded a weighted mean age of 1850 ± 17 Ma (MSWD = 0.2) (Fig. 3e). The combined zircon and monazite weighted mean age is 1846 ± 7 Ma (MSWD = 0.4). Oscillatory- and sector-zoned monazite has two similar, yet distinct composition populations (Fig. 8). Many grains have small (a few microns) to large (100 µm) domains that are irregular-shaped, have strong patchy to weak zoning, and cut across oscillatory and sector zoning in the rest of the grains (Fig. 7c). These characteristics suggest that the domains formed during secondary growth by recrystallization of primary monazite. EPMA dating shows that most secondary domains are Paleoproterozoic (20 of 21 spots placed in secondary monazite are Paleoproterozoic (Fig. 6e)), yet some grains have a considerable amount of Tertiary secondary monazite (Fig. 7c). Secondary Paleoproterozoic monazite has more compositional variability than primary monazite and some Tertiary monazite is poorer in Y than is Paleoproterozoic monazite (Fig. 7c).

**AGE INTERPRETATION**

Interpretation of whether igneous crystallization of the granitic rocks occurred at 1.9 Ga or 50 Ma is based on U–(Th)–Pb ages of zircon and monazite, field relationships, and mineral composition and zoning. Each dataset on its own is insufficient for age interpretation, but together they provide solid evidence.

**U–(Th)–Pb ages**

Age interpretation starts with U–(Th)–Pb ages from all zircon and monazite chemical domains large enough for analysis. Zircon ages are equivalent within each sample, excluding the small number of ages >1.95 Ga from cores and whole grains that appear to be inherited based on differences in zoning and CL brightness. Ages are also equivalent between samples with a weighted mean of 1831 ± 5 Ma (MSWD = 0.8), excluding sample JC-09-03, which yielded an age of 1925 ± 15 Ma. No younger zircon was found, but it is not certain that all zircon is 1.9 Ga because the 40 µm × 40 µm spot of the laser was too large for dating the narrow rims on some grains. The overwhelming dominance of 1.9 Ga zircon may indicate igneous crystallization at 1.9 Ga. However, evidence other than zircon ages must be considered given the possibility that all zircon is inherited.

Monazite with an age of 1.9 Ga makes up >90% of the population in four samples. The 1.9 Ga monazite ages are equivalent within each sample and between samples with a weighted mean of 1832 ± 8 Ma (MSWD = 0.6). Zircon and monazite ages from all samples are equivalent with a weighted mean of 1851 ± 4 (MSWD = 0.7), excepting the above-mentioned older and younger ages. Monazite with an age of 50 Ma forms all of the population in one sample and <10% of the other samples. The rarity of monazite inheritance and the dominance of 1.9 Ga monazite in four samples suggest that igneous crystallization occurred at 1.9 Ga. However, evidence other than monazite ages must be considered because there is one known case of complete monazite inheritance (Gilotti & McClelland, 2005).

**Field relationships**

Inheritance can be identified upon comparison of U–Pb ages with relative ages based on field relationships. U–Pb ages must be consistent with relative ages if the granitic rocks crystallized at 1.9 Ga, but not necessarily if 1.9 Ga zircon and monazite are inherited. Pegmatite layer JC-09-03 is considered to be the oldest sample because it was deformed by two fold generations, the earlier of which resulted in isoclinal structures (Fig. 4a); the other samples are weakly deformed or undeformed (Fig. 4b-f). For example, pegmatite dyke JC-08-03 is undeformed and is only 35 m from JC-09-03. JC-09-03 has 1925 ± 15 Ma zircon that is older than the dominant zircon in the other samples, showing that U–Pb ages are consistent with relative ages.

Inheritance is also assessed by comparing the ages of zircon and monazite with those in the host rocks, the likely source for inherited grains in these small-volume,
locally derived granitic rocks. The host rocks are 2.3–
2.1 Ga orthogneiss and older paragneiss. Two samples do
contain 2.3–2.1 Ga zircon that is thought to be inherited
because it occurs as cores and whole grains with different
zoning patterns and CL brightness compared with the
dominant 1.9 Ga zircon. A similar origin is probable for
~1.98 Ga zircon cores in two samples. A 1.9 Ga gneiss that
could be an inheritance source for the dominant 1.9 Ga
zircon and monazite is not known in the study area; the
nearest dated zircon and monazite is not known in the study area given the small number of
dated samples, and it may lie below the exposed surface.

**Mineral composition and zoning**

Several assumptions are made when using the composition
and zoning of zircon and monazite to assess inheritance.
Grains that formed during igneous crystallization of the sample should have (1) zoning typical of grains crystallized
from magma, (2) similar composition and zoning within
samples, and (3) different composition and zoning between
samples. Inherited grains should be (1) broadly similar to
grains in the host rocks, the likely inheritance sources for
small-volume, locally derived granitic rocks, and (2) similar
between samples. It is expected that inherited grains in
our study would have variable composition and zoning
within each sample because the granitic rocks are hosted
by heterogeneous gneisses. Moreover, most inherited
grains should not appear to be from peraluminous granitic
magmas given the lack of peraluminous granitic rocks in
the host gneisses.

The 1.9 Ga zircon is thought to have formed during
igneous crystallization of the sample because CL brightness
and zoning are similar within samples and different
between samples (Fig. 5). Bright grains in JC-08-03 with
strong fine oscillatory zoning contrast with dark grains in
JC-09-03 with weak oscillatory zoning. Grains in JC-308-
03 are also dark, but differ from those in JC-09-03 by
having better developed oscillatory zoning. Grains in
JC-13-03 and JC-19-03 have similar strong oscillatory and
sector zoning; similarity is expected because these samples
are from identical-looking granite sheets that are consid-
ered to be part of the Bourne granite suite. Growth of
zircon from magma is suggested by the oscillatory and
sector zoning (Fig. 5) (Corfu et al., 2003). Growth from
granitic magmas is suggested by moderate to high U con-
centrations that are typical of granitic magmas. The
CL-dark nature of zircon in JC-09-03 and JC-308-03 indi-
cates that the grains are U-rich, and ID-TIMS dating shows that zircon in rocks from which JC-08-03 and
JC-308-03 were collected are U-rich, with 1000–2200 and
500–2500 ppm, respectively (Crowley, 1999). In contrast,
zircon in the host gneisses is poorer in U, with <500 ppm
(Crowley, 1999).

The 1.9 Ga monazite is also thought to have formed
during igneous crystallization of the sample because of
similarities in zoning within samples and differences
between samples (Fig. 6). Strong oscillatory zoning in
grains in JC-08-03 and JC-19-03 contrasts with patchy
zoning in grains in JC-09-03 and sector zoning in grains
in JC-13-03. Primary 1.9 Ga monazite is compositionally
uniform within samples and rather distinct between sam-
ples (Fig. 6), with the exception of the two samples of
Bourne granite (JC-13-03 and JC-19-03) that are similar.
Monazite growth in JC-08-03 and JC-19-03 from magmas
is suggested by oscillatory zoning (Fig. 6).

Sample JC-308-03 differs from the others by containing
monazite with drastically different zoning. There is more
variability in BSE brightness between grains in JC-308-03
than there is between grains in all other samples (Fig. 9).
This observation is important because JC-308-03 is the
only sample with only 50 Ma monazite. The 50 Ma mona-
zite is compositionally diverse, with nearly as much diver-
sity within JC-308-03 as between all primary 1.9 Ga
monazite in the other four samples (Fig. 8). Based on the
inference that metamorphic fluids are more composition-
ally diverse than granitic magmas, we suggest that the
variable zoning and composition in 50 Ma monazite is
due to growth from metamorphic fluids. Metamorphic
monazite can be oscillatory-zoned, but it typically has
patchy zoning. Patchy zoning in JC-09-03 indicates a meta-
morphic origin for grains that grew ~60 Myr after mag-
natic zircon in the sample.

**Igneous crystallization at 1.9 Ga**

We conclude that the granitic rocks crystallized at 1.9 Ga
and were metamorphosed at 50 Ma during the Cordilleran
orogenesis because 1.9 Ga zircon and monazite
inheritance is unlikely given that (1) the host rocks are het-
erogeneous 2.3–2.0 Ga gneisses; (2) zircon yielded 1.9 Ga
ages that are equivalent within samples; (3) monazite is
from magma that appears to be unique to each sample; (4)
~90% of the monazite in four of five samples yielded
1.9 Ga monazite ages that are equivalent within samples;
(5) 1.9 Ga monazite in three samples is from magma that
appears to be unique to each sample; (6) variable composi-
tion and zoning of 50 Ma monazite suggest growth from
metamorphic fluids; (7) field relationships are consistent
with 1.9 Ga igneous crystallization.

The crystallization age of the strongly deformed pegma-
tite layer (JC-09-03) is interpreted from the zircon age of
1925 ± 15 Ma. The 1863 ± 13 Ma monazite grew during
metamorphism that coincided with intrusion of the other
samples, which were weakly deformed to undeformed.
Crystallization ages of weakly deformed Bourne granites,
JC-13-03 and JC-19-03, are interpreted from the combined
zircon and monazite ages of 1846 ± 14 and 1855 ± 9 Ma,
respectively. The crystallization age of the weakly
dehomed pegmatite dike (JC-308-03) is interpreted from
the zircon age of 1856 ± 10 Ma. The 50 ± 7 Ma monazite grew during Cordilleran metamorphism, synchronous with monazite in nearby pelitic schist (Crowley & Parrish, 1999). The crystallization age of the undeformed pegmatite dike (JC-08-03) is interpreted from the combined zircon and monazite age of 1846 ± 7 Ma.

Results confirm that basement gneisses in a small (several square kilometers) part of the Frenchman Cap dome were last strongly deformed and partly melted at 19 Ga (Crowley, 1999; Crowley et al., 2001). If granite that is considered to be part of the Bourne granite suite based on appearance and field relationships is indeed part of the suite, the region that escaped severe Tertiary (Cordilleran) tectonism is considerably larger, perhaps tens of square kilometers. The weak Tertiary overprint experienced by parts of the Frenchman Cap basement contrasts with the intense Tertiary tectonism recorded in higher structural levels of the dome (Journeay, 1986; Crowley & Parrish, 1999; Crowley et al., 2001; Foster et al., 2004) and in many parts of the Thor–Odin dome (Vanderhaeghe et al., 1999; Hinchee et al., 2006, 2007). Further geochronology, petrology, and structural analysis are needed to explain the differences in the intensity of Tertiary tectonism between structural levels within the Frenchman Cap dome and between it and the Thor–Odin dome.

**SUMMARY**

Identifying inherited zircon and monazite is crucial for accurate geochronology of granitic rocks. U–(Th)–Pb ages from all chemical domains are the starting dataset to which other types of evidence are added; We use zircon and monazite ages, field relationships, and mineral composition and zoning to show that five granitic rocks in the Canadian Cordillera crystallized at 1.9 Ga rather than during Cordilleran orogenesis at 50 Ma. Zircon and monazite yielded 1.9 Ga ages that are equivalent within samples, except for small 50 Ma monazite domains and one sample with only 30 Ma monazite. The oldest sample based on field relationships yields greater ages than the other samples. The granitic rocks are small-volume melts hosted by heterogeneous 2.3–2.0 Ga gneisses lacking 1.9 Ga components that could be the sources for any 1.9 Ga inherited grains. The 1.9 Ga grains have composition and zoning suggestive of crystallization from granitic magmas. These aspects are uniform within samples, yet differ between samples, indicating that the grains crystallized from magmas unique to each sample; this scenario would be fortuitous if the 1.9 Ga grains are inherited. The 50 Ma monazite is compositionally different from the 1.9 Ga monazite and variable within samples, suggesting that it did not grow from a uniform source such as a magma, but from rather diverse metamorphic fluids.

The presence of weakly deformed to undeformed 1.9 Ga sheets and dikes indicates that a structurally deep part of the Cordillera remained free of melt and rigid throughout Tertiary orogenesis.
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