Comparison of sodium-glucose co-transporter-2 inhibitors and glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists in myocardial infarction patients with type 2 diabetes

J. Tjerkaski1, P. Ueda2, J. Alfredsson3, D. Erlinge4, B. Lindahl5, M.A. Mohammad4, E. Omerovic6, C. Reitan1, P. Svensson7, T. Jernberg1

1Karolinska Institutet Danderyd Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden
2Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden
3Linkoping University, Linkoping, Sweden
4Lund University, Lund, Sweden
5Uppsala University Hospital and Uppsala Clinical Research Center, Uppsala, Sweden
6Institute of Medicine - Sahlgrenska Academy - University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden

Funding Acknowledgements: Type of funding sources: Public Institution(s). Main funding source(s): Stockholm Regional Council

Background: Both sodium–glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors (SGLT2i) and glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists (GLP1-RA) have been shown to reduce the risk of adverse cardiovascular outcomes in type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) patients with established atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease.

Purpose: The aim of this study was to assess the comparative effectiveness of SGLT2i and GLP1-RA in myocardial infarction (MI) patients with T2DM.

Methods: This observational cohort study included MI patients with T2DM who were treated with SGLT2i or GLP1-RA within 180 days of hospital discharge between 2013 and 2021. Data was acquired from the SWEDEHEART registry, a nationwide quality register. The co-primary endpoints of this study were a composite of MI, ischemic stroke and all-cause mortality (MACE) and a composite of heart failure hospitalisation (HHF) and all-cause mortality. Inverse probability of treatment weighting was used to adjust for differences in baseline covariates between the treatment groups.

Results: This study consisted of 617 patients who were treated with SGLT2i and 543 patients who were treated with GLP1-RA. We observed no statistically significant differences between SGLT2i and GLP1-RA concerning the risk of MACE (adjusted incidence rate 76 vs. 68 events per 1000 person-years; HR 1.09 [95% CI 0.85 - 1.40]) and the risk of HHF or all-cause mortality (adjusted incidence rate 52 vs. 50 events per 1000 person-years; HR 1.04 [95% CI 0.80 - 1.36]). Similarly, we did not observe any statistically significant differences between the treatment groups concerning the secondary outcomes of MI (adjusted incidence rate 63 vs. 53 events per 1000 person-years; HR 1.08 [95% CI 0.83 - 1.40]), stroke (adjusted incidence rate 7 vs. 6 events per 1000 person-years; HR 1.22 [95% CI 0.57 - 2.87]), HHF (adjusted incidence rate 45 vs. 38 events per 1000 person-years; HR 1.06 [95% CI 0.80 - 1.41]) and all-cause mortality (adjusted incidence rate 11 vs. 15 events per 1000 person-years; HR 1.06 [95% CI 0.63 - 1.82]).

Conclusions: There were no statistically significant differences between SGLT2i and GLP1-RA concerning the risk of recurrent ischemic events, HHF and all-cause mortality in this cohort of MI patients with T2DM.