Rapid online quantification of left ventricular volume from real-time three-dimensional echocardiographic data
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Aims Determination of left ventricular (LV) volumes and ejection fraction (EF) from two-dimensional echocardiographic (2DE) images is subjective, time-consuming, and relatively inaccurate because of foreshortened views and the use of geometric assumptions. Our aims were (1) to validate a new method for rapid, online measurement of LV volumes from real-time three-dimensional echocardiographic (RT3DE) data using cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) as the reference and (2) to compare its accuracy and reproducibility with standard 2DE measurements.

Methods and results CMR, 2DE, and RT3DE datasets were obtained in 50 patients. End-systolic and end-diastolic volumes (ESV and EDV) were calculated from the 2DE images using biplane method of disks. ESV and EDV RT3DE datasets were analysed using prototype software designed to automatically detect the endocardial surface using a deformable shell model and calculate ESV and EDV from voxel counts. 2DE and RT3DE-derived volumes were compared with CMR (linear regression, Bland–Altman analysis). In most patients, analysis of RT3DE data required <2 min per patient. RT3DE measurements correlated highly with CMR (r: 0.96, 0.97, and 0.93 for EDV, ESV, and EF, respectively) with small biases (−214 mL, −6.5 mL, −1%) and narrow limits of agreement (SD: 17 mL, 24 mL, 6.4%). 2DE measurements correlated less well with CMR (r: 0.89, 0.92, 0.86) with greater biases (−23 mL, −15 mL, 1%) and wider limits of agreement (SD: 29 mL, 24 mL, 9.5%). RT3DE resulted in lower intra-observer (EDV: 7.9 vs. 23%; ESV: 7.6 vs. 26%) and inter-observer variability (EDV: 11 vs. 26%; ESV: 13 vs. 31%).

Conclusion Semi-automated detection of the LV endocardial surface from RT3DE data is suitable for clinical use because it allows rapid, accurate, and reproducible measurements of LV volumes, superior to conventional 2DE methods.

Introduction Left ventricular (LV) ejection fraction (EF) is an important predictor of morbidity and mortality in a wide range of patients and clinical scenarios.¹,² Despite its importance in prognosis and clinical decision making, most echocardiography laboratories currently determine EF primarily by visual estimation, which is highly experience-dependent and sensitive to intra- and inter-observer variability. A variety of more objective methods for two-dimensional estimation of LV volumes and EF are available but are inherently flawed because of foreshortening errors and reliance upon geometric models that may be inaccurate in diseased hearts. Three-dimensional echocardiography (3DE) is a relatively new tool that can mitigate the errors inherent to two-dimensional echocardiography (2DE) because it has the potential to eliminate foreshortening and avoid geometric modelling. Indeed, LV volumes measured by tracing endocardial boundaries in multiple planes showed improved accuracy compared with 2DE.³–⁷ More recently, near-real-time three-dimensional echocardiographic (RT3DE) systems that utilize fully sampled matrix array transducers capable of acquiring volumetric data have been developed.⁸–¹⁰ In previous studies using RT3DE imaging, analysis of LV volumes and EF was cumbersome and time-consuming, because it was based on manual tracing of endocardial borders or semi-automated border detection in multiple planes extracted from the volumetric data. Moreover, these 3D analysis methods still required geometric modelling to translate the multiplanar measurements into volumes.

We previously suggested an alternative approach on the basis of detection of the 3D endocardial surface, which...
allows direct quantification of LV volumes without multiplane tracing or geometric modelling.\textsuperscript{11,12} This approach was recently incorporated into commercial software for analysis of RT3DE data for online quantification of LV volumes and EF. As this new methodology is rapidly gaining widespread clinical use because of its high speed and ease of use and is poised to become part of the mainstream quantification of LV function, its thorough validation is imperative. Accordingly, the aims of this study were (1) to validate this online analysis software against cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) determinations of LV volumes and EF, (2) to compare the accuracy of these measurements with that of the conventional 2DE technique, and (3) to prove that the mechanism by which this new tool provides incremental improvements over 2DE and multiplane 3DE methods is indeed its ability to eliminate the foreshortening errors and the need for geometric modelling.

**Study design**

To achieve these goals, we studied a group of patients with a variety of cardiac pathologies, who were recruited in two different institutions. To validate RT3DE measurements against CMR and compare them with 2DE measurements, each patient underwent 2DE, RT3DE, and CMR imaging, and each modality was used to measure LV volumes and EF. 2DE and RT3DE measurements were compared with the CMR reference values. In addition to performing these comparisons in the entire group of patients, RT3DE data acquired at the two institutions was analysed separately for each site, and the results were compared between the groups and with the entire cohort, as a way to confirm that RT3DE analysis can provide accurate measurements when applied to data acquired at different institutions.

To prove that the mechanisms by which the new RT3DE method improves the accuracy of LV volume measurements are its ability to eliminate foreshortening and its freedom from geometric assumptions, we studied a subset of patients in whom the 2DE results were least accurate. In these patients, an intermediate analysis step was performed in addition to the 2DE and RT3DE endocardial surface-derived measurements. RT3DE data were used to extract anatomically correct, non-foreshortened apical 2- and 4-chamber views, in which the endocardium was manually traced and LV volumes calculated using a biplane model. This intermediate step was designed to remove foreshortening while retaining an equivalent level of reliance on geometric modelling as the 2DE biplane method of disks (MOD), as a way to isolate the contribution of each of these sources of error.

**Methods**

**Population**

Fifty patients (27 males, 23 females; age: 58 ± 19 years) evaluated at the outpati ent cardiology clinics of the University of Chicago Medical Center (n = 29) and University Clinic San Carlos, Madrid (n = 21), who were referred for CMR imaging, were studied. Of 58 patients screened for this study, eight were excluded because of transthoracic 2D acoustic windows that did not allow adequate endocardial visualization. Additional exclusion criteria were dyspnea precluding a 10–15 s breath-hold, atrial fibrillation or other cardiac arrhythmias, pacemaker or defibrillator implantation, and claustrophobia. Of the remaining 50 patients, 24 had coronary artery disease, 18 dilated cardiomyopathy, four valvular disease, two aortic coarctation, and two right atrial masses. The study was approved by the regulatory committees of both institutions, and written informed consent was obtained from all patients prior to data acquisition.

**Protocol**

Data acquisition was performed using identical CMR and echocardiographic equipment and protocols in both institutions. The 2DE and RT3DE examinations were performed on the same day as the CMR study.

**CMR imaging**

CMR images were obtained with a 1.5 T scanner (General Electric, Milwaukee, WI, USA) with a phased-array cardiac coil. Electrocardiogram-gated localizing spin-echo sequences were used to identify the long-axis of the heart to allow imaging of the left ventricle in anatomically correct short-axis planes. Steady-state free precession (FIESTA) dynamic gradient-echo cine-loops were obtained during 10–15 s breath-holds with a temporal resolution of 20 frames per cardiac cycle. In all patients, 6–10 short-axis cine-loops were obtained from the atrioventricular ring to the apex (9 mm slice thickness, no gaps).

**2DE imaging**

Transthoracic 2DE harmonic imaging was performed using a commercial ultrasound scanner (Philips 7500) equipped with a 53 transducer from apical windows in the harmonic mode with the patient in the left lateral decubitus position. Loops from five consecutive cardiac cycles were acquired from apical 4- and 2-chamber views during a breath-hold while taking care to avoid foreshortening. These loops were stored digitally for offline analysis.

**RT3DE imaging**

Harmonic RT3DE imaging was performed immediately following 2DE imaging using a fully sampled matrix array transducer (X4, 2.4 MHz), which utilizes 3000 active elements to obtain from a single apical window a pyramidal dataset that contains the entire LV cavity. The 3D images were optimized by modifying the gain, brightness, compression, and time-gain compensation controls. RT3DE datasets were then acquired using the wide-angle acquisition mode (93° × 80°) in which four wedge-shaped sub-volumes (93° × 20°) were obtained over eight consecutive cardiac cycles during a breath-hold. Acquisition of each subvolume was triggered to the ECG R-wave of every second heartbeat to allow sufficient time for the probe to be recalculated and each subvolume stored.

**Image analysis**

**CMR LV volume measurements**

These loops were analysed offline using commercial software (MASS Analysis, General Electric). In every short-axis slice, endocardial contours were manually traced at end-systole and end-diastole, including the papillary muscles in the LV cavity. All tracings were performed by an investigator experienced in the interpretation of CMR images who had no knowledge of the echocardiographic measurements. The traced contours were used to calculate EDV and ESV, which served as the reference for comparisons against 2DE and RT3DE data.

**2DE volume measurements**

Analysis of 2DE images included manual tracing of the LV endocardial borders at end-systole and end-diastole in each apical view. EDV and ESV were then calculated using the biplane MOD, and EF was computed.
RT3DE volume measurements

3DQ Advanced software (Philips) was used for analysis of 3D data. First, non-foreshortened, anatomically correct apical 2- and 4-chamber views were extracted from the pyramidal dataset as described in detail previously, on the first frame in the loop, which corresponded to end-diastole. Then, five anatomic landmarks were manually initialized, including two points to identify the mitral valve annulus in each of the two apical views and one point to identify the apex in either view (Figure 1). Following manual identification of these points, the program automatically identified the three-dimensional endocardial surface using a deformable shell model. Adjustments to the automatic surface detection could be performed at this time, if necessary. EDV was then automatically computed directly from voxel counts. Then, end-systole was selected by identifying the frame with the smallest LV cavity cross-sectional area in both apical views. If the two frames appeared to have similar sizes, the end-systolic frame was selected as the last systolic frame before mitral valve opening. Surface detection, including initialization, was then repeated on this frame to obtain ESV. The EF was then calculated from these ED and ES volumes.

In the subset of 20 patients in whom the 2DE results were least accurate compared with CMR, data was analysed as follows. Instead of determining the volumes by surface detection, RT3DE datasets were used to extract the anatomically correct, non-foreshortened 2- and 4-chamber views and LV volume was calculated using a biplane MOD calculation on these views (Figure 2). The results of these measurements were compared with the 2D biplane MOD and the RT3DE direct surface detection volume determinations.

In addition, images obtained in a subgroup of eight patients were analysed using the TomTec software as described in detail by Kühl et al. The results of these analyses were compared with the results of our rapid online measurements.

Reproducibility

The reproducibility of the 2DE and RT3DE measurements of EDV and ESV was evaluated by calculating the intra- and inter-observer variability of both techniques. To achieve this, 10 of the 50 patients were selected at random. Analysis of the 2DE and RT3DE images obtained in these patients was repeated 1 week later by a primary reader and by an additional expert reader, who were both blinded to the previous measurements, and the CMR-derived volumes. Intra- and inter-observer variability was assessed for each technique by calculating the absolute difference between the corresponding repeated measurements, which was expressed in per cent of their mean. Absolute differences between repeated measurements were subjected to Bland–Altman analysis. In addition, the inter-examination variability of the RT3DE technique was studied by repeating image acquisition 1 h later in a group of eight patients.

Statistical analysis

All LV volume and EF values were expressed as mean ± SD. The relationship between each technique, 2DE and RT3DE with CMR, was evaluated using linear regression analysis with Pearson’s correlation coefficient. The agreement between each, 2DE and RT3DE measurements and CMR reference values, was evaluated using Bland–Altman analysis by calculating the bias (mean difference) and the limits of agreement (2SD around the mean difference). The significance of the biases was tested using paired t-tests with a two-tailed distribution. P-values < 0.05 were considered significant.

In addition, RT3DE data acquired at the two institutions were analysed separately, including comparisons with CMR, using linear regression and Bland–Altman analyses. The results of these analyses were compared between groups and with the entire cohort. Inter- and intra-observer variability was measured with each technique and averaged for all patients. In the subset of 20 patients in whom the 2DE results were least accurate compared with CMR, the RT3DE-derived biplane MOD measurements were compared with CMR using linear regression and Bland–Altman analysis, similar to the 2DE and RT3DE-derived values.

Results

Acquisition of RT3D datasets was feasible in all patients. Generating one LV volume value from RT3DE data required ~2 min, including the manual initialization. Adjustment of the detected endocardial surface was necessary in 21 patients (42%), including four patients who had aneurysms. These adjustments increased the analysis time in these patients by up to five additional minutes per volume. RT3D measurements of LV volumes correlated highly with the CMR reference values (r = 0.96, 0.97, 0.93 for EDV, ESV, and EF, respectively). There were small negative biases (−14 mL, −6.5 mL, both P < 0.05, −1%, P = 0.27), reflecting the underestimation by the RT3D technique, and narrow limits of agreement (2SD: 34 mL, 32 mL, 12.8%) between the two methods. In comparison, volume determinations using the biplane MOD from 2DE images did not correlate as well with CMR values (r: 0.89, 0.92, 0.86 for EDV, ESV, and EF, respectively) and showed greater negative biases (−23 mL, −15 mL, both P < 0.05, 0.8%, P = 0.57) with wider limits of agreement (2SD: 58 mL, 48 mL, 19%) when compared with CMR (Figures 3 and 4). Of note, in the low EF range by MRI, the data is tightly clustered around the regression line (Figure 3, bottom right panel) and around the near-zero bias line (Figure 4, bottom right panel).

Table 1 shows the results for intra-observer, inter-observer, and inter-examination variability of the RT3DE-derived ESV, EDV, and EF measurements, revealing high reproducibility. Importantly, all variability values were significantly lower for the RT3DE-derived volumes compared with the corresponding 2DE values.

When the entire cohort was analysed by acquisition site, measurements performed in each group resulted in LV volumes that correlated equally well with CMR reference values (r = 0.94 for EDV and ESV in Chicago patients and r = 0.98 for EDV and ESV in Madrid patients). Bland–Altman analysis showed that the bias and limits of agreement were essentially the same in these subgroups and were not different from the cohort as a whole.

In the subgroup of 20 patients in whom the 2DE results were least accurate, the accuracy of the RT3DE biplane MOD measurements fell between those of traditional 2D biplane MOD and the RT3DE surface-derived values (Figure 5). Specifically, the 2D biplane MOD volume measurements had the greatest biases (−43 mL, −30 mL, 3.5% for EDV, ESV, and EF, respectively) and widest limits of agreement (2SD: 46 mL, 42 mL, 17.4%, respectively). The RT3DE biplane MOD measurements resulted in improved biases (−28 mL, −15 mL, −1.6%, respectively) but wider limits of agreement (2SD: 72 mL, 50 mL, 25.6%, respectively). The RT3D surface detection algorithm had the smallest biases (−19 mL, −9.9 mL, 0.4%, respectively) and the narrowest limits of agreement (2SD: 40 mL, 30 mL, 12.4%, respectively).

The comparisons with the volume values obtained using the TomTec analysis software resulted in high correlation coefficients (EDV: 0.96; ESV: 0.97), only small biases
Figure 1  Method of LV volume analysis. Once the desired viewing planes were selected from the RT3DE datasets, five points were manually initialized. These included two points to identify the mitral annulus in each apical view (A and B), and a single point to identify the LV apex in either view (A). Then, the LV endocardial surface was automatically identified using a deformable shell model (C and D). Adjustments to the resultant surface could be made in cross-sectional planes (A and B) when necessary.

Figure 2  The effect of foreshortening on 2DE volume determinations and a method of using RT3DE data to reduce this source of error. The top panels represent 2DE apical 4-chamber (left) and 2-chamber (right) images with their respective long-axes indicated by the green lines. The bottom panels show the anatomically correct 4-chamber (left) and 2-chamber (right) images that have been extracted from the RT3DE dataset obtained in the same subject. When these non-foreshortened images are used to measure the long-axis of the ventricle, it is clear that the 2DE images are foreshortened, which explains the underestimation of the ventricular volume obtained by the biplane MOD. By performing a biplane MOD on the non-foreshortened images extracted from the RT3DE datasets, a more accurate result is obtained.
Discussion

The accurate and reliable determination of LV volumes and EF has important clinical implications for patients with cardiovascular disease, as they correlate well with prognosis and mortality across a wide range of cardiac diseases.\(^1,2\) EF is also a frequent criterion upon which the decision to employ or withhold certain therapies depends, including ICD implantation,\(^15\) valve replacement,\(^16\) and coronary artery bypass surgery.\(^17\) Despite the large volume of data published on the topic, there is currently no optimal method for the determination of LV volumes using echocardiography. The overall accuracy of the various available methods appears to relate directly to the frequency of data sampling and the ease with which the geometric model used adapts to irregularities in the true cavity shape. Methods with less sampling and more reliance upon geometric assumptions are inherently less accurate.

The shortcomings of standard methods currently in use are well illustrated by the 2D biplane MOD. First and foremost, this technique assumes that the ventricular cavity has the idealized shape of a truncated ellipsoid. It then uses measurements performed in only two planes of the ventricle to estimate the volume of this three-dimensional structure. Unfortunately, even the healthiest hearts do not conform well to this geometric model. As the ventricle becomes diseased and asymmetric in its contraction because of the presence of regional wall motion abnormalities, the model usually becomes even less accurate. This creates a situation in which patients with cardiac disease, in whom the accurate measurement of ventricular function is of greatest significance, are the patients in whom current methods based on geometric models fail most profoundly.\(^18\) Yet, even if there were a geometric model that could translate 2D biplane measurements into volumes accurately, the results compared with 3D methods would still be disappointing. This is because foreshortening is difficult to avoid or even to recognize when acquiring apical 2D measurements of the left ventricle. Lastly, 2D imaging often has difficulty visualizing the apical lateral portion of the left ventricle, which makes accurate border tracing difficult in this region.

Although we are not the first to propose a 3D solution to overcome the limitations inherent to 2D estimations of ventricular volumes,\(^19\) most currently available 3D methods

---

**Figure 3** Linear regression analysis of the 2DE and RT3DE measurements of EDV, ESV, and EF against the CMR ‘gold standard’ in 50 subjects. 2DE EF values were calculated using Simpson’s biplane MOD.
often require difficult or lengthy acquisition and offline reconstruction, which make them impractical for routine clinical use. Data analysis frequently involves tedious manual tracing of the endocardial border in multiple planes. In our previous studies as well as in those by other investigators who used RT3DE, endocardial surface detection was performed offline. In contrast, the technique used in this study allows online analysis within the imaging system without the need to export data to an external computer for tracing and 3D reconstruction. Moreover, because this methodology has recently become widely available, it is imperative that it be rigorously validated prior to routine clinical use. This was the goal of our present study, and it was achieved by applying this new technique to

Table 1  Intra-observer, inter-observer, and inter-examination variability in EDV, ESV, and EF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>EDV</th>
<th>ESV</th>
<th>EF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2DE</td>
<td>RT3DE</td>
<td>2DE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intra-observer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Variability</td>
<td>13 ± 21</td>
<td>10 ± 6</td>
<td>24 ± 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bias ± 2SD (mL)</td>
<td>15 ± 46</td>
<td>13 ± 14</td>
<td>13 ± 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inter-observer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Variability</td>
<td>19 ± 20</td>
<td>10 ± 8</td>
<td>24 ± 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bias ± 2SD (mL)</td>
<td>23 ± 42</td>
<td>14 ± 20</td>
<td>11 ± 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inter-examination</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Variability</td>
<td>23 ± 21</td>
<td>12 ± 12</td>
<td>28 ± 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bias ± 2SD (%)</td>
<td>13 ± 30</td>
<td>7 ± 16</td>
<td>8 ± 18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The RT3DE measurements are compared with the 2DE measurements.

Figure 4  Bland–Altman plots comparing 2DE (left) and RT3DE (right) measurements with the CMR gold standard. The thin horizontal line in each plot represents the mean difference between the echo measurements and the CMR reference, which is the bias of the corresponding measurement. The thick horizontal lines represent the limits of agreement (2SD around the mean).
images obtained in a large group of patients at two different institutions and comparing the results to magnetic resonance measurements.

In our patients, the new technique resulted in a significant improvement over both traditional 2D estimations and currently available 3D measurement techniques. The underestimation of LV volumes by the standard 2DE biplane MOD technique compared with CMR was drastically reduced with the use of the RT3DE algorithm, as reflected by the 40% decrease in the negative bias for EDV and the 56% decrease for ESV. Furthermore, the RT3DE technique offers more reliable results, as shown by the significantly lower standard deviations and lower intra- and inter-observer variability compared with the 2D technique. An important implication of this result is that in future studies, a smaller sample of patient population of interest would be required for the RT3DE technique to conclusively demonstrate effects of treatment. It is not surprising that an echocardiographic method would tend to underestimate volumes compared with a CMR-based method. This may be because the endocardial border is automatically detected at the tips of the trabeculae, whereas in CMR images this border appears to be at their base, resulting in slight volume disparities.

The target number of patients was set to 50 to yield a sufficiently large subgroup of patients (n = 20), in whom the RT3DE-derived measurements disagreed with the CMR reference, to allow us investigate the relative contributions of the different sources of error. This ratio was determined on the basis of our preliminary results. Measurements performed in this subgroup illustrated the power of true 3D analysis to remove foreshortening errors by the comparison between standard 2D biplane determinations and RT3DE-derived biplane estimations that allowed anatomically correct measurement planes to be identified, so that they represented the accurate, non-foreshortened long-axis dimensions of the ventricle. In the subset of 20 patients in whom 2D calculations significantly underestimated the CMR results, foreshortening was shown to be the predominant cause for error. By reducing this source of error, the bias relative to CMR was reduced by 34% (−42.8 to −28.1 mL) for EDV and by 49% (−29.9 to −15.4 mL) for ESV. This strategy of using selected patients allowed us to determine the relative contributions of different sources of error in the standard 2D biplane LV volume measurements.

A shortcoming of the RT3DE technique lies in the fact that a significant minority of subjects required some degree of manual correction of the automated border detection, which increased the analysis time. This increase was particularly significant in patients with LV aneurysms, indicating that the performance of the deformable shell algorithm needs to be studied in a larger number of such patients. Despite the fact that this technique avoids some of the problems of the 2DE methods, it is still an echocardiographic technique and suffers from the limitations inherent to this imaging modality. Poor acoustic windows and imaging artefacts can significantly reduce the reliability of RT3DE measurements, just as with 2DE imaging. Finally, the use of short-axis CMR imaging as a reference could be viewed as a limitation of this study, because it also cannot provide perfectly accurate volume measurements, despite the superior endocardial definition. This is because these measurements are derived from manually traced, discrete slices of fixed thickness, thus ignoring...
Rapid quantification of LV volumes

longitudinal LV systolic shortening, as well as its other well known limitations such as poor endocardial definition near the apex because of partial-volume artefacts. Nevertheless, CMR imaging is widely used as the standard, although imperfect, for LV volume measurements.

Despite these limitations, we have shown that it is possible to obtain more accurate LV volume determinations from RT3DE data. This method showed excellent concordance with CMR, reflected by minimal bias and limits of agreement that were narrower than those of the 2DE-based measurements. It also provided highly reproducible results, with low intra-observer, inter-observer and inter-examination variability. These accurate and reproducible results were achieved rapidly, with data analysis being performed online. Importantly, the RT3DE algorithm led to highly accurate results in patients with both normal and significantly reduced EFs. These results need to be viewed in perspective to previous studies that compared 3D echocardiographic measurements of LV volumes with CMR. Comparisons with previous publications showed that our results are as accurate as those published by others (Figure 6). Moreover, the favourable comparisons with the TomTec technique previously validated by Kühl et al. further substantiated the applicability of the rapid online analysis tested in this study. Importantly, we see the greatest virtue of this new technique that is poised to become part of the routine clinical evaluation of LV function in its speed, ease of use and reproducibility. These are tremendous advantages even if some of the previous techniques had shown slightly better accuracy.

In summary, RT3DE imaging and volumetric analysis tested in this study has at least two advantages over traditional 2D methods which make it highly accurate. First, it eliminates foreshortening errors, which has long been the thorn in the side of echocardiographers attempting to obtain accurate volume estimations. Secondly, it dispenses with the need for geometric models and the errors that come with them. It also offers the promise of a technique that is reliable in both normal and abnormal hearts. Beyond this, it is rapid and user-friendly, and thus highly applicable not only to the research setting but also to the routine clinical examination.
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A 35-year-old man attended the Emergency Room with his first episode of central chest pain. The pain began 8 h prior to presentation and the 12-lead ECG showed ST-segment elevation of >1 mm in leads II, III, and aVF (Figure, lower panel). He was treated with thrombolysis and transferred to the Coronary Care Unit. Troponin I was 60.9 pg/mL (normal <0.2 pg/mL), and creatinine kinase and MB fraction were 2888 and 235 IU, respectively. No abnormality was demonstrated on transthoracic echocardiography. CMR examination was carried out the following day on a 1.5 T scanner (Siemens Sonata) with a phased-array chest coil, during breath hold and gated to the electrocardiogram. A steady-state free-precession (true FISP) sequence was used to acquire a short-axis cinematographic (CINE) stack of the left ventricle (field of view = 340 mm, slice thickness = 8 mm, interslice gap = 2 mm, TR = 47.4 ms, TE = 1.58 ms, flip-angle = 60°). Delayed contrast-enhanced cardiac magnetic resonance imaging was then performed using the standard turboFLASH inversion-recovery sequence. Briefly, gadolinium-DTPA (Amersham Health), 0.2 mmol/kg, was administered intravenously and delayed enhancement short-axis images were recorded 10-20 min later (field of view = 340 mm, slice-thickness = 8 mm, interslice gap = 2 mm, TE = 4.3 ms, flip-angle = 30°, optimum inversion time adjusted to null normal myocardium (range 200–300 ms)). An extensive area of hyper-enhancement that spares the subendocardium and does not match a coronary artery territory is demonstrated (Figure, upper left: four-chamber and upper right: mid-ventricular short axis). There is a preserved left ventricular function with no regional wall motion abnormality on the corresponding CINE images, and the patient has neither cardiovascular risk factors nor previous history of chest pain. Subsequent coronary angiography did not demonstrate a culprit lesion. Therefore, the myocardial damage should not be secondary to coronary artery disease (including emboli or spasm). A right ventricular endomyocardial biopsy was performed and was in keeping with an evolving cardiomyopathy. Correct diagnosis of myocardial infarction is always of clinical importance, and this case illustrates a novel use for CMR in the non-invasive determination of the aetiology of myocardial damage.

Delayed enhancement images on cardiac MRI shown in upper panels and admission 12-lead ECG in the lower panel. Upper left panel: four-chamber and upper right panel: mid-ventricular short-axis views.