Pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH) is a rare and severe clinical condition characterized by a progressive increase of pulmonary vascular resistance leading to right ventricular failure and premature death (Figure 1). Its prevalence ranges from 15 to 50 patients per million population and it affects a relatively young patient population (average age of 50 years) when compared with the more common thoracic organ diseases such as coronary artery disease and chronic obstructive lung disease. PAH in adults includes at least nine clinical subgroups with virtually identical obstructive pathologic changes (Figures 1 and 2) in the distal pulmonary arteries: idiopathic, heritable, drug- and toxin-induced, associated with, connective tissue diseases, HIV infection, portal hypertension, congenital heart disease, schistosomiasis, and chronic haemolytic anaemia.

Pathology

Pathological lesions in PAH patients affect the distal pulmonary arteries (<500 μm), in particular. The lesions are characterized by medial hypertrophy, intimal proliferative and fibrotic changes (concentric and/or eccentric), adventitial thickening with moderate peri-vascular inflammatory infiltrates (Figures 1B and 2), complex lesions (plexiform, dilated), and thrombotic lesion.

Pathobiology

The pathobiology of the distal pulmonary arteries in PAH patients is multifactorial and involves various biochemical pathways and cell types (Figure 2). Excessive vasoconstriction has been related to abnormal function or expression of potassium channels in the smooth muscle cells and to endothelial dysfunction. Endothelial dysfunction leads to chronically impaired production of vasodilator and antiproliferative agents such as nitric oxide and prostacyclin, along with over-expression of vasoconstrictor and proliferative substances such as thromboxane A2 and endothelin. Many of these abnormalities both elevate vascular tone and promote vascular remodelling by proliferative changes that involve several cell types, including endothelial and smooth muscle cells as well as fibroblasts. In addition, in the adventitia, there is increased production of extracellular matrix including collagen, elastin, and fibronectin and of matrix-bound smooth muscle cell mitogens, such as basic fibroblast growth factor. Other matrix metalloproteinases can stimulate the production of tenascin, a smooth muscle cell mitogenic cofactor. Several additional growth factors including vascular endothelial growth factor, platelet-derived growth factor, insulin-like growth factor-1, and epidermal growth factor have been implicated in the development of remodelling and all have been...
reported to be increased (the molecule and/or the specific receptors) in the lung and/or in the blood of PAH patients. Reduced plasma levels of other vasodilator and antiproliferative substances such as vasoactive intestinal peptide have also been demonstrated. Angiopoietin-1, an angiogenic factor essential for vascular lung development, seems to be up-regulated in cases of PAH correlating directly with the severity of the disease. Receptors of the bone morphogenetic protein pathway, involved in cellular proliferation and apoptosis, are down-regulated and/or malfunctioning in the lung vasculature of both heritable and acquired PAH. Inflammatory cells, cytokine- and chemokines, and platelets (through the serotonin pathway) may also play a significant role in PAH.  

Pathophysiology

The increase of pulmonary vascular resistance in PAH patients is therefore related to different mechanisms, including vasoconstriction, proliferative and obstructive remodelling of the pulmonary vessel wall, inflammation, and thrombosis (Figure 2). Vasoconstriction is likely prevalent in the small group of patients responding to the acute vasoreactivity test.1

The increase in pulmonary vascular resistance leads to right ventricular overload, hypertrophy, and dilatation and eventually...
to right ventricle failure and death (Figure 1). The importance of the progression of right ventricle failure on the symptoms, exercise limitation, and outcome of PAH patients is confirmed by the prognostic impact of right atrial pressure, cardiac index and pulmonary arterial pressure, the three main haemodynamic factors linked to right ventricle pump function. Echocardiography and cardiac magnetic resonance parameters and brain natriuretic peptide plasma levels can also identify non-invasively the presence and extent of right ventricular dysfunction (Figure 1). Afterload mismatch remains the leading determinant of right heart failure in patients with PAH because its removal, as follows lung transplantation, leads almost invariably to sustained recovery of right ventricle function. It is, therefore, conceivable that the drug therapies tested in PAH patients have included compounds which could potentially interfere with the pathobiological mechanisms of the disease trying to achieve a reverse remodelling of the obstructive lesions and a reduction of the right ventricular afterload (Figure 2).

### Progress of medical treatment in pulmonary arterial hypertension

Two decades ago, patients with idiopathic PAH were defined as the ‘kingdom of the near-dead’ to outline their dismal median survival rate that, at that time, was 2.8 years from the diagnosis, despite any available supportive treatment. Usually, rare and severe conditions are neglected by both the medical community and the pharmaceutical industry due to the intrinsic difficulties of performing experimental and clinical research in these fields. In fact, meaningful randomized controlled trials (RCTs) in these settings require a worldwide collaboration of expert centres operating with a similar standard of medical care and relatively large
financial resources with a rather high risk of study failure. The recent history of drug development in PAH contradicts this traditional perspective and it is worthwhile to analyse the details of this phenomenon.

Without a doubt, the progress made in the medical treatment of PAH in the past 15 years is unique, particularly for a rare and severe condition: almost 30 RCTs have been completed and more than 10 are either ongoing or planned. Twenty-five RCTs have been published as of May 2010 (Figure 3). Eight drugs (ambisentan, bosentan, epoprostenol, iloprost, sildenafil, sitaxentan, tadalafil, treprostinil) belonging to three pharmacological classes (endothelin receptor antagonists, phosphodiesterase type-5 inhibitors, and prostanoids) administered by four different routes (oral, inhaled, subcutaneous, and intravenous) have been currently approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and/or by the European Medicines Agency (EMA). All three classes of drugs exert both vasodilator and antiproliferative effects and interfere with the endothelial dysfunction abnormalities observed in PAH patients (Figure 2).

**Traditional endpoints for clinical trials in pulmonary arterial hypertension**

The traditional primary endpoint of the RCTs performed in PAH has been the 6 min walk test (6MWT) which assesses the exercise capacity, and secondary endpoints have included haemodynamics and time to clinical worsening (TtCW), a composite endpoint including death, hospitalization, and disease progression. Based on the primary endpoint, the specific labelling indication of all approved medications for PAH usually includes the wording ‘to improve exercise capacity’ followed by the functional classes of the patient population enrolled in the studies. This reflects the fact that both regulatory agencies and investigators agree that in rare and severe conditions dramatically impairing the functional capacity of relatively young patients, exercise capacity improvement can be considered a worthwhile initial objective. In addition, the usual concordant favourable changes in haemodynamics and TtCW observed in some studies reinforced the results of the 6MWT. The use of this test as a primary endpoint has allowed reasonable study sample sizes (from 100 to 500 patients) and randomized study duration (from 2 to 6 months), which are favourable feasibility characteristics in a rare and severe disease. On the other hand, these same characteristics have prevented the observation of effects on the mortality rate except for studies enrolling the most severe patient population.

**Meta-analyses to assess the effect on mortality**

Meta-analysis of multiple studies is a technique that may allow the analysis of parameters or events with a larger sample size and with additional statistical insights. This approach requires the inclusion of homogeneous studies as far as disease aetiology and study designs are concerned. A first meta-analysis on 16 RCTs performed in PAH concluded that the treatments produced limited benefits in clinical end-points and failed to support a significant survival advantage. However, the meta-analysis included both acute and long-term studies and one study on patients with lung fibrosis and did not consider six RCTs published before its submission. We performed a second meta-analysis on 23 RCTs in 3199 PAH patients published as of October 2008. This analysis showed a reduction in mortality ranging between 38 and 43% (according to different inclusion criteria) after an average treatment period of 14.3 weeks. A subgroup analysis showed that all three classes of PAH-approved drugs achieved a similar favourable reduction in
mortality, although no statistical significance was achieved individually. Updating our meta-analysis with two recently published studies,\textsuperscript{18,19} the overall reduction in mortality has been confirmed (Figure 4). These data have recently been validated by the authors of the first meta-analysis, who reported a reduction in mortality of 39\% ($P = 0.041$). The subgroup analysis of the three classes of approved drugs achieved a similar favourable reduction in mortality, although no statistical significance was achieved individually. RR, relative risk. Modified from Galié et al.\textsuperscript{12}

**Figure 4** Meta-analysis of published randomized controlled studies (identified by first author and year of publication) in pulmonary arterial hypertension as of May 2010. The primary analysis has included 3780 patients of 23 trials. The figure shows the cumulative RR estimate of death in active treatment groups when compared with control groups stratified according to treatment class (inverse variance method). Studies with no events in both groups were excluded. An overall reduction of mortality of 44\% ($P = 0.016$) is shown. The sensitivity analysis, including two additional studies (59 patients) in which two treatment strategies were compared, confirmed a reduction in mortality of 39\% ($P = 0.041$). The subgroup analysis of the three classes of approved drugs achieved a similar favourable reduction in mortality, although no statistical significance was achieved individually. RR, relative risk. Modified from Galié et al.\textsuperscript{12}
Given the higher mortality observed in the placebo groups of the more recent meta-analyses\(^2\) on PAH trials and the higher rate of clinical deterioration observed in placebo-treated groups of individual PAH studies,\(^5\),\(^6\),\(^7\),\(^8\),\(^9\) it is not ethical, in our view, to repeat RCTs in naïve PAH patients in order to satisfy the scientific curiosity of ‘desk trialists’.

The way forward

The true issues PAH patients and physicians face in the clinical practice is the insufficient efficacy of the present therapeutic resources, despite clear progress and the escape from ‘the kingdom of the near-dead’. The current treatment strategy, optimized in recent guidelines,\(^1\) remains inadequate because the mortality rate continues to be high and the functional and haemodynamic impairments are still extensive in many patients. The specific drugs approved for PAH are able to slow the progression of the disease but cannot be considered a cure for the majority of patients.

Current and future plans devoted to increasing our ability to treat PAH are facing new challenges which require scientific creativity and new research strategies. Possible working hypotheses include the drug combination approach and new candidate classes of drugs.

Combination therapy

The rationale for combining approved PAH compounds is related to the different pathobiological pathways targeted by the three classes of approved PAH drugs (Figure 2). This combined approach has successfully been employed in the treatment of other serious and chronic diseases such as congestive heart failure, HIV infection, and cancer. Combination therapy is currently recommended in PAH patients with suboptimal response to the initial monotherapy as an add-on with a compound of an alternative drug class (sequential combination therapy).\(^1\) Different RCTs have currently shown the efficacy of this strategy on the improvement of exercise capacity\(^1\),\(^6\),\(^7\),\(^8\),\(^9\),\(^10\),\(^11\),\(^12\),\(^13\),\(^14\),\(^15\),\(^16\),\(^17\),\(^18\),\(^19\),\(^20\),\(^21\),\(^22\),\(^23\),\(^24\),\(^25\) and the reduction of TtCW.\(^1\),\(^6\),\(^7\),\(^8\),\(^9\),\(^10\),\(^11\),\(^12\),\(^13\),\(^14\),\(^15\),\(^16\),\(^17\),\(^18\),\(^19\),\(^20\)

An emerging concept relates to the use of first-line combination therapy with two drugs in PAH patients when compared with the initial monotherapy. This hypothesis was tested in the BREATHE-2 trial, but the small sample size of the study did not allow for a definitive conclusion.\(^27\) The appropriate design to assess the efficacy of this strategy appears to be a three-arm study, comparing combination therapy with two arms of monotherapy, using the single compounds.

New candidate classes of drugs

Paradoxically, there is no shortage of novel candidate therapies for PAH, including drugs, gene, and/or stem-cell treatments. These approaches are intended to address alternative pathobiological pathways (Figure 2) or explore new strategies such as regenerative medicine. New drugs with ongoing or planned phase III studies in this field include oral compounds such as NO-independent stimulators and activators of cyclic guanosine monophosphate, tyrosine kinase inhibitors (platelet-derived growth factor inhibitors), tissular dual endothelin receptor antagonists, prostanooids and non-prostanoid prostacyclin receptor agonists, and inhaled vasoactive intestinal peptide. The efficacy of these new compounds needs to be demonstrated ‘on top of’ the available approved PAH drug therapies in order to avoid any delay in the initiation of effective medications. Therefore, a combination approach is required also in this case.

Future study designs

The future decisive challenge is the identification of the most appropriate study designs to demonstrate the efficacy-to-safety ratio of combination strategies either with already approved drugs or with novel therapies. The replication of the traditional phase III strategy (placebo-controlled design in treatment-naïve patients, 6MWT as primary endpoint assessed after 3–4 months of treatment) appears not to be suitable for practical and ethical reasons. In fact, the inclusion of patients on background effective therapies will reduce our ability to demonstrate a difference between the ‘placebo-treated group’ and the ‘actively treated group’, in particular, if exercise capacity is the primary endpoint.

This phenomenon was observed in the more recently completed RCTs in which the treatment effect on the 6MWT ranged from 15 to 25 m\(^1\),\(^6\),\(^8\),\(^9\),\(^19\),\(^26\) when compared with the traditional 35 to 55 m observed in historical monotherapy studies. A possible solution is the adoption of different primary endpoints, such as TtCW, accepted by the regulatory agencies. This approach also presents challenges, including the objective and uniform definition of this composite endpoint and the sample size and/or duration of the study, which can be either pre-specified or based on the number of observed events. Additional problems of multicentre and international studies are linked to the country-related heterogeneity of the PAH-approved medications, the different attitudes and international studies are linked to the country-related heterogeneity of the PAH-approved medications, the different attitudes for hospitalization in different geographic areas, and the availability of centres with experience in combination therapy.

Initial appropriate answers to solve these difficulties have been given by the regulatory agencies and by the investigators. In fact, in the recently published ‘Guideline on the Clinical Investigations of Medicinal Products for the Treatment of PAH’,\(^28\) the Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use (CHMP) of the EMA clarified the requirements for the approval of PAH medications, indicating the characteristics of acceptable primary endpoints, including 6MWT and TtCW. Randomized controlled trials adopting novel designs and TtCW as primary endpoint have already been initiated. For example, a morbidity and mortality primary endpoint has been adopted in the SERAPHIN study (Study with Endothelin Receptor Antagonist in Pulmonary arterial Hypertension to Improve ciliaNal outcome), testing the efficacy of a new tissular dual endothelin receptor antagonist, and in the AMBITION study (A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicentre study of first-line combination therapy with AMBrIsentan and Tadalafil vs. monotherapy in subjects with pulmonary arterial hypertension), testing the efficacy of the initial combination therapy of a selective endothelin receptor antagonist and a phosphodiesterase type-5 inhibitor when compared with monotherapy using single compounds.
Conclusions

In conclusion, the clear recent progress in the treatment of PAH supported by the concordant results of recent meta-analyses need to be further extended because the current treatment strategy is still not satisfactory. This requires a joint effort between regulatory agencies, patient associations, investigators, and industry for the development and completion of additional new RCTs. There is no time for sterile discussions about the extent of current achievements based on others’ published papers. Let us fight the battle against PAH ‘on the field’ together. Our patients deserve this commitment.
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